Practice Management Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Injury in the Pregnant Patient: The EAST Practice Management Guidelines Work Group

Robert D. Barraco, MD, MPH, William C. Chiu, MD, Thomas V. Clancy, MD, John J. Como, MD, James B. Ebert, MD, L. Wayne Hess, MD, William S. Hoff, MD, Michele R. Holevar, MD, J. Gerald Quirk, MD, PhD, Bruce J. Simon, MD, and Patrice M. Weiss, MD

Abstract: Trauma during pregnancy has presented very unique challenges over the centuries. From the first report of Ambrose Pare of a gunshot wound to the uterus in the 1600s to the present, there have existed controversies and inconsistencies in diagnosis, management, prognostics, and outcome. Anxiety is heightened by the addition of another, smaller patient. Trauma affects 7% of all pregnancies and requires admission in 4 of 1000 pregnancies. The incidence increases with advancing gestational age. Just over half of trauma during pregnancy occurs in the third trimester. Motor vehicle crashes comprise 50% of these traumas, and falls and assaults account for 22% each. These data were considered to be underestimates because many injured pregnant patients are not seen at trauma centers. Trauma during pregnancy is the leading cause of nonobstetric death and has an overall 6% to 7% maternal mortality. Fetal mortality has been quoted as high as 61% in major trauma and 80% if maternal shock is present. The anatomy and physiology of pregnancy make diagnosis and treatment difficult.

Key Words: Fetal mortality and morbidity, Mortality, Morbidity, Pregnancy, Trauma, Maternal shock, Cardiotocographic monitoring, Kleihauer-Betke analysis, Radiation.

(*J Trauma.* 2010;69: 211–214)

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Trauma during pregnancy has presented very unique challenges over the centuries. From the first report of Ambrose Pare of a gunshot wound to the uterus in the 1600s to the present, there have existed controversies and inconsistencies in diagnosis, management, prognostics, and outcome. Anxiety is heightened by the addition of another, smaller patient. Trauma affects 7% of all pregnancies and requires admission in 4 out of 1000 pregnancies. The incidence increases with advancing gestational age. Just over half of trauma during pregnancy occurs in the third trimester. Motor vehicle crashes comprise 50% of these traumas, and falls and assaults account for 22% each. These data were considered to be underestimates as many injured pregnant patients are not seen at trauma centers. Trauma during pregnancy is the leading cause of nonobstetric death and has an overall 6% to 7% maternal mortality. Fetal mortality has been quoted as high as 61% in major trauma and 80% if maternal shock is present.[1] The anatomy and physiology of pregnancy make diagnosis and treatment difficult.

PROCESS

An initial computerized search was undertaken using Medline with citations published between the years 1966 and 2003. Search words included "pregnancy," "radiography," and the MesH term for trauma, "Wounds and Injuries." Articles sought were limited to studies involving humans and published in English language journals. Over 1,600 articles were screened. In addition, bibliographies of book chapters and reviews were examined for any additional references. No time limit was imposed on the literature to acquire adequate data. Because of concerns about the availability of literature concerning these areas, studies were not excluded initially based on number of subjects. Isolated case reports were excluded. A total of 76 references are contained in the evidentiary table. Two position statements were also included. The references were reviewed by a trauma surgeon or obstetrician and classified according to the following standards. Data from each article were extracted using a data extraction form and placed in a table. Conclusions of each article were critiqued and a determination made regarding consistency of the conclusion and data. Criteria for achieving a specific classification and the number of articles for each class are shown below:

Class I: prospective randomized controlled trials. (0 studies)
Class II: clinical studies in which data were collected prospectively and retrospective analyses that were based on

clearly reliable data. Types of studies so classified include observational studies, cohort studies, prevalence studies, and case control studies. (18 studies)

Class III: studies based on retrospectively collected data, i.e., clinical series, database or registry review, large series of case reviews, and expert opinion. (58 studies, expert opinions, and position statements)

RECOMMENDATIONS

Level I

There are no level I standards.

Level II

a. All pregnant women >20-week gestation who suffer trauma should have cardiotocographic monitoring for a minimum of 6 hours. Monitoring should be continued and further evaluation should be carried out if uterine contractions, a nonreassuring fetal heart rate pattern, vaginal bleeding, significant uterine tenderness or irritability, serious maternal injury, or rupture of the amniotic membranes is present.

b. Kleihauer-Betke analysis should be performed in all pregnant patients >12 week-gestation.

Level III

a. The best initial treatment for the fetus is the provision of optimum resuscitation of the mother and the early assessment of the fetus.

b. All female patients of childbearing age with significant trauma should have a human chorionic gonadotropin (β-HCG) performed and be shielded for X-rays whenever possible.

c. Concern about possible effects of high-dose ionizing radiation exposure should not prevent medically indicated maternal diagnostic X-ray procedures from being performed. During pregnancy, other imaging procedures not associated with ionizing radiation should be considered instead of X-rays when possible.

d. Exposure to <5 rad has not been associated with an increase in fetal anomalies or pregnancy loss and is herein deemed to be safe at any point during the entirety of gestation.

e. Ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging are not associated with known adverse fetal effects. However, until more information is available, magnetic resonance imaging is not recommended for use in the first trimester.

f. Consultation with a radiologist should be considered for purposes of calculating estimated fetal dose when multiple diagnostic X-rays are performed.

g. Perimortem cesarean section should be considered in any moribund pregnant woman of ≥24-week gestation.

h. Delivery in perimortem cesarean sections must occur within 20 minutes of maternal death but should ideally start within 4 minutes of the maternal arrest. Fetal neurologic outcome is related to delivery time after maternal death.

i. Consider keeping the pregnant patient tilted left side down 15 degrees to keep the pregnant uterus off the vena cava and prevent supine hypotension syndrome.

j. Obstetric consult should be considered in all cases of injury in pregnant patients.

SCIENTIFIC FOUNDATION

The level II guidelines were based predominantly on class II studies. The class II study by Pearlman et al.[2] indicates monitoring should begin at 20 weeks gestation. The duration of fetal monitoring has been the subject of debate. Early studies indicating that placenta abruption, the main obstetric cause of fetal demise, can occur up to 48 hours postinjury led to recommendations for this duration of monitoring.[3,4] Recommended minimum times of post-trauma monitoring quoted in the literature vary from of 2 hours to 6 hours in the absence of signs, symptoms, or monitoring abnormalities.[5–10] None of these times, however, have been validated by large, prospective studies. Therefore, we suggest adopting the most conservative estimate of 6 hours while recommending further investigation of this topic by our and other multi-institutional trials groups. Two class II studies and one class III study conclude that Kleihauer-Betke testing should be routinely performed in whom blunt uterine trauma is suspected.[2,11,12] One study showed an increased incidence of abruptio placentae in those with a positive test.[2] In the latest class III study, the Kleihauer-Betke test was a predictor of preterm labor.[11] As per American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommendations, the main utility of the test is to restrict Rh immune globulin use to those who need it and to detect the few patients for whom that quantity is insufficient.[13] Another option is to administer Rh immune globulin to all unsensitized Rh-negative pregnant patients who have suspected blunt uterine trauma. Then, one would guide additional dosing by the Kleihauer-Betke test results. There is a 72-hour window after feto-maternal hemorrhage within which Rh immune globulin can be administered to provide protection from alloimmunization. The appropriate dose is 300 μg per 30 mL of fetomaternal hemorrhage.

The first level III recommendation is based on expert opinion. Advanced Trauma Life Support teaches that "the best initial treatment for the fetus is the provision of optimum resuscitation of the mother and the early assessment of the fetus." The most common cause of fetal demise is maternal demise. Routine β-HCG testing seems to make sense in our present medicolegal environment. One class II article recommends routine β-HCG testing because of "incidental pregnancy."[14] Many of our patients arrive without the ability to communicate, with testing being especially important in this group. As for recommendations c through f, data regarding diagnostic radiation exposure is particularly lacking. Much of the data comes from atomic bomb blasts or large series in cancer registries. Many of these studies have inherent bias making useful conclusions impossible. No study to date has shown any increase in teratogenicity above baseline at fetal ex-

posures below 10 rad or 100 mGy to the fetus. Growth restriction, microcephaly, and mental retardation can occur with high dose radiation, well above that used in medical imaging.[15–17] The fetus is most at risk for central nervous system effects from 8 weeks to 15 weeks and the threshold appears to be at least 20 rad to 40 rad.[16,17] The ACOG has published recommendations for diagnostic imaging during pregnancy.[5] They state that 5 rad or 50 mGy exposure to the fetus is not associated with any increased risk of fetal loss or birth defects. The reference cited for this dose and statement was an article concerning counseling of pregnant patients on radiation exposure. There is, however, class III data from our literature search which supports this number.[18] There is no mention regarding leukemia incidence.

Several class II and III studies have suggested variable increased risk of childhood leukemia above baseline with "low level radiation."[8,19–26] There are three class II and III references which show no significant increase in risk.[27–29] Agreeing with the former studies, the National Radiation Protection Board of Britain has adopted a 6% per 100 rad excess absolute risk coefficient for childhood cancer or 1 in 17,000. Data from the Oxford Survey of Childhood Cancers and Japanese survivors of the atomic bombings reported in May 2003 estimate an 8% per 100 rad increased risk of childhood cancers.[25] This is equivalent to an excess absolute risk of childhood cancer of 0.00006 to 0.00008 for each mGy. For comparison, the baseline age-adjusted cancer rate as reported for children age 0 to 19 in 2001 by the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program of the National Cancer Institute was 4.2 per 100,000 or 0.0042%. Most X-rays are a fraction of an mGy or rad. The authors admit these figures are based on mathematical models and dosimetry estimates that are subject to various uncertainties.

Fetal dose without shielding is 30% of that to the mother. Therefore, a policy of limiting testing to those studies that would influence maternal (and thereby fetal) outcome should reduce the fetal risk. Mandatory shielding of the fetus for all but pelvic and lumbar spine films/computed tomography (CT) scans should be performed. Plain X-rays and CT scans have traditionally been liberally used in other patients. Particularly in the pregnant patient, tests should be ordered judiciously and redundancy should be eliminated. For instance, a pelvic X-ray may not be necessary if the patient requires an abdominopelvic CT scan. ACOG recommends, in their 2004 guidelines, that consultation with a radiologist or radiation specialist should be considered for purposes of calculating estimated fetal dose when multiple diagnostic X-rays are performed.[5] This seems prudent especially when approaching 5 rad to 10 rad. Sample doses of typical radiographic studies in trauma patients are given in Table 1.

Emergency cesarean section should be differentiated from perimortem cesarean section. Emergency cesarean section may be undertaken for many reasons, including fetal distress, premature rupture of membranes, etc. Perimortem cesarean section refers to that which is performed at the time of maternal death. The 1996 article on emergency cesarean section by Morris et al.[30] demonstrates the utility of this

TABLE 1. Estimated Fetal Exposure for Various Radiographic Studies

Examination Type	Estimated Fetal Dose Per Examination (rad)
Plain films	
Cervical spine	0.002
Chest (two view)	0.00007
Pelvis	0.040
Thoracic spine	0.009
Lumbosacral spine	0.359
CT scans (10-mm slices)	
Head	<0.050
Chest	<0.100
Abdomen	2.60

intervention but only one case in this study was perimortem. Two class III articles as well as ACOG support consideration of perimortem cesarean section with gestational age at least 24 weeks.[13,31,32] The survival and neurologic outcome are related to time between maternal death and delivery. Perimortem cesarean section should be ideally started within 4 minutes of maternal arrest, but this recommendation is based on isolated case reports.[13] Review of the literature shows that most survivors were delivered within 5 minutes, but one was delivered >20 minutes after maternal arrest.[31]

Emergency cesarean section is potentially an option for fetuses of at least 24 weeks gestation with fetal heart tones and may be indicated for fetal or maternal distress per Morris et al.[30] It should be ensured that saving the fetus will not adversely affect the maternal outcome. The indication for perimortem cesarean section is a little less clear when times reach 10 to 15 minutes. Prevention of supine hypotension syndrome is well-documented in many sources, including Advanced Trauma Life Support. The pregnant patient should be tilted 15 degrees on her left side to keep the pregnant uterus off the vena cava to prevent this syndrome.

Routine obstetric consult in the injured pregnant patient is strongly recommended although there is no specific literature on this topic.[13] Independent predictors of fetal mortality and morbidity remain unclear in context of the available literature. Among the maternal factors cited in the literature are Injury Severity Score, Revised Trauma Score, hypotension, heart rate, Glasgow coma score, pH, PO_2, serum bicarbonate, and abdominal abbreviated injury scale. Obstetrical factors include vaginal bleeding, uterine tenderness, contractions, fetal heart rate, and fetal monitoring findings. The following topics had insufficient data of any class to support recommendations appropriate resuscitative fluids and endpoints of resuscitation; use of blood products, use of factor VIIa; use of invasive and/or non-invasive hemodynamic monitoring devices and techniques; management of penetrating trauma to the anterior abdomen and flank; indications for hysterectomy or hysterotomy; ethical issues in, and management of, the brain dead mother bearing a live fetus and preservation to term or safe delivery gestation; management of severe pelvic fractures.

Conflicting data regarding the above are presented in 15 class II/III articles making indications for their use to determine fetal outcome unclear.[8,33–46]

REFERENCES

1. Rothenberger D, Quattlebaum FW, Perry JR Jr, Zabel J, Fischer RP. Blunt maternal trauma: a review of 103 cases. *J Trauma.* 1978;18:173–179.
2. Pearlman MD, Tintinalli JE, Lorenz RP. A prospective controlled study of outcome after trauma during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1990;162:1502–1510.
3. Agran PF, Dunkle DE, Winn DG, Kent D. Fetal death in motor vehicle accidents. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1987;16:1355–1358.
4. Esposito TJ. Evaluation of blunt abdominal trauma occurring during pregnancy. *J Trauma.* 1989;29:1628–1632.
5. American College of Obstetrics and Gynecologists Committee Opinion #299: Guidelines for Diagnostic Imaging During Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;104:647.
6. Connolly AM, Katz VL, Bash KL, McMahon MJ, Hansen WF. Trauma and pregnancy. *Am J Perinatol.* 1997;14:331–336.
7. Curet MJ, Schermer CR, Demarest GB, et al. Predictors of outcome in trauma during pregnancy: identification of patients who can be monitored for less than 6 hours. *J Trauma.* 2000;49:18–25.
8. Goodwin TM, Breen MT. Pregnancy outcome and fetomaternal hemorrhage after noncatastrophic trauma. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1990;162:665–671.
9. Towery R, English TP, Wisner D. Evaluation of pregnant women after blunt injury. *J Trauma.* 1993;35:731–736.
10. Williams JK, McClain L, Rosemurgy AS, Colorado NM. Evaluation of blunt abdominal trauma in the third trimester of pregnancy: maternal and fetal considerations. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1990;75:33–37.
11. Muench MV, Baschat AA, Reddy UM, et al. Kleihauer-Betke testing is important in all cases of maternal trauma. *J Trauma.* 2004;57:1094–1098.
12. Rose PG, Strohm PL, Zuspan FP. Fetomaternal hemorrhage following trauma. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1985;153:844–847.
13. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstetric aspects of trauma management. ACOG Educational Bulletin 249. Washington, DC: ACOG, 1998.
14. Bochicchio GV, Napolitano LM, Haan J, Champion H, Scalea T. Incidental pregnancy in trauma patients. [Comment in: *J Am Coll Surg.* 2002;194:100–101.] *J Am Coll Surg.* 2001;192:566–569.
15. Bohnen NI, Ragozzino MW, Kurland LT. Brief communication: effects of diagnostic irradiation during pregnancy on head circumference at birth. *Int J Neurosci.* 1996;87:175–180.
16. Otake M, Schull WJ. Radiation-related brain damage and growth retardation among prenatally exposed atomic bomb survivors. *Int J Radiat Bio.* 1998;74:159–171.
17. Otake M, Schull WJ. In utero exposure to A-bomb radiation and mental retardation; a reassessment. *Br J Radiol.* 1984;57:409–414.
18. Ornoy A, Patlas N, Schwartz L. The effects of in utero diagnostic X-irradiation on the development of preschool-age children. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 1996;32:112–115. 15.
19. Bross ID, Natarajan M. Genetic damage from diagnostic radiation. *JAMA.* 1977;237:2399–2401.
20. Gilman EA, Kneale GW, Knox EG, et al. Pregnancy x-rays and childhood cancers: effects of exposure age and radiation dose. *J Radiol Prot.* 1988;8:3–8.
21. Harvey EB, Boice JD Jr, Honeyman M, Flannery JT. Prenatal x-ray exposure and childhood cancer in twins. *N Engl J Med.* 1985;312:541–545.
22. Rodvall Y, Pershagen G, Hrubec Z, Ahlbom A, Pedersen NL, Boice JD. Prenatal X-ray exposure and childhood cancer in Swedish twins. *Int J Cancer.* 1990;46:362–365.
23. Shu XO, Jin F, Linet MS, et al. Diagnostic X-ray and ultrasound exposure and risk of childhood cancer. *Br J Cancer.* 1994;70:531–536.
24. Stewart A, Kneale GW. Radiation dose effects in relation to obstetric x-rays andchildhood cancers. *Lancet.* 1970;1:1185–1188.
25. Wakeford R, Little MP. Risk coefficients for childhood cancer after intrauterine irradiation: a review. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 2003;79:293–299.
26. Yoshimoto Y, Kato H, Schull WJ. Risk of cancer among children exposed in utero to A-bomb radiations, 1950–84. *Lancet.* 1988;2:665–669.
27. McKinney PA, Cartwright RA, Saiu JM, et al. The inter-regional epidemiological study of childhood cancer (IRESCC): a case control study of aetiological factors in leukaemia and lymphoma. [erratum appears in *Arch Dis Child* 1987;62:644]. *Arch Dis Child.* 1987;62:279–287.
28. Michaelis J. Recent epidemiological studies on ionizing radiation and childhood cancer in Germany. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 1998;73:377–381.
29. Salonen T. Prenatal and perinatal factors in childhood cancer. *Ann Clin Res.* 1976;8:27–42.
30. Morris JA Jr, Rosenbower TJ, Jurkovich GJ, et al. Infant survival after cesarean section for trauma. *Ann Surg.* 1996;223:481–491.
31. Katz VL, Dotters DJ, Droegenmueller W. Perimortem cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1986;571–576.
32. Lanoix R, Akkapeddi V, Goldfeder B. Perimortem cesarean section case reports and recommendations. *Acad Emerg Med.* 1995;2:1063–1067.
33. Ali J, Yeo A, Gana TJ, et al. Predictors of fetal mortality in pregnant trauma patients. *J Trauma.* 1997;42:782–785.
34. Baerga-Varela Y, Zietlow SP, Bannon MP, et al. Trauma in pregnancy. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2000;75:1243–1248.
35. Biester EM, Tomich PG, Esposito TJ, et al. Trauma in pregnancy: normal Revised Trauma Score in relation to other markers of maternofetal status—a preliminary study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1997;176:1206–1212.
36. Corsi PR, Rasslan S, de Oliveira LB, Kronfly FS, Marinho VP. Trauma in pregnant women: analysis of maternal and fetal mortality. *Injury.* 1999;30:239–243.
37. Drost TF, Rosemurgy AS, Sherman HF, et al. Major trauma in pregnant women: maternal/fetal outcome. *J Trauma.* 1990;30:574–578.
38. Esposito TJ, Gens DR, Smith LG, et al. Trauma during pregnancy. A review of 79 cases. *Arch Surg.* 1991;126:1073–1078.
39. Farmer DL, Adzick NS, Crombleholme WR, et al. Fetal trauma: relation to maternal injury. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1990;25:711–714.
40. George ER, Vanderkwaak T, Scholten DJ. Factors influencing pregnancy outcome after trauma. *Am Surg.* 1992;58:594–598.
41. Hoff WS, D'Amelio LF, Tinkoff GH, et al. Maternal predictors of fetal demise in trauma during pregnancy. *Surg Gynecol Obstet.* 1991;172:175–180.
42. Kissinger DP. Trauma in pregnancy. Predicting pregnancy outcome. [erratum appears in *Arch Surg.* 1991;126:1524]. *Arch Surg.* 1991;126:1079–1086.
43. Rogers FB, Rozycki GS, Osler TM, et al. A multi-institutional study of factors associated with fetal death in injured pregnant patients. *Arch Surg.* 1999;134:1274–1277.
44. Scorpio RJ, Esposito TJ, Smith LG, et al. Blunt trauma during pregnancy: factors affecting fetal outcome. *J Trauma.* 1992;32:213–216.
45. Shah KH, Simons RK, Holbrook T, et al. Trauma in pregnancy: maternal and fetal outcomes. *J Trauma.* 1998;45:83–86.
46. Theodorou DA, Velmahos GC, Souter I, et al. Fetal death after trauma in pregnancy. *Am Surg.* 2000;66:809–812.