

REVIEW ARTICLE

Pulse Pressure—A Review of Mechanisms and Clinical Relevance

Anthony M. Dart, BA, BM, BCH, DPHIL, FRCP, Bronwyn A. Kingwell, BSC, PHD

Melbourne, Australia

The goal of this study was to review the origin, clinical relevance and treatment of pulse pressure (PP). Elevated PP is increasingly being recognized as a risk factor for cardiovascular, particularly coronary, disease. Pulse pressure is discussed in terms of both Windkessel and distributive models of the arterial circulation. Pulse pressure arises from the interaction of cardiac ejection (stroke volume) and the properties of the arterial circulation. An increased stiffness of the aorta and large arteries leads to an increase in PP through a reduction in arterial compliance and effects on wave reflection. A number of factors are known to influence arterial wall behavior and, therefore, PP. In addition to the effects of aging and blood pressure on arterial wall elasticity, there is some evidence that atherosclerosis, per se, amplifies these effects. Thus, the relationship between PP and coronary disease may be bidirectional. A number of dietary and lifestyle interventions have been shown to modify large artery behavior. These include aerobic exercise training and consumption of n-3 fatty acids. Conversely, strength training is associated with an increase in arterial stiffness and a higher PP. The effects of antihypertensive medication have been extensively studied, but many studies are difficult to interpret because of concomitant change in blood pressure, and to a lesser degree, heart rate. However a number of studies do suggest direct arterial wall effects, particularly for angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. A distributed compliance model of the arterial circulation provides a framework for understanding the causes, effects and potential treatment of elevations in PP. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:975–84) © 2001 by the American College of Cardiology

Pulse pressure (PP) arises as a consequence of the episodic nature of cardiac contraction and the properties of the arterial circulation. Thus, while mean arterial pressure is adequately described by cardiac output and total peripheral resistance, the origins of PP are more complex. As such, PP is not explicable by any single, simple model of the circulation. In this review, PP will be discussed in terms of a synthesis of two complementary model systems, namely the well-known Windkessel model and one based on the propagative properties of the arterial circulation.

In its simplest, two-element form, the Windkessel model describes the circulation in terms of parallel resistance and capacitance components. The resistance element corresponds to measured peripheral vascular resistance, while the capacitance element corresponds to the compliance (C) of the arterial circulation. Compliance is simply a measure of the capacity of a volume-containing structure, in this case the arterial system, to accommodate further increases in volume (Δ volume/ Δ pressure). While C is widely distributed throughout the arterial tree, total systemic arterial C is predominantly determined by the aorta (1) and its major branches (Fig. 1). Arterial C can be estimated from the decay in diastolic pressure (2) as well as by the simpler

approach of: $C = \text{stroke volume (SV)}/\text{PP}$, and studies have suggested reasonable correspondence between these methods (3,4). An important feature of the arterial system is that its C is dependent on initial loading conditions such that it becomes less at higher pressures. This has important consequences not only in terms of the Windkessel model but also in terms of the transmission or propagative properties discussed subsequently. It is apparent from the approximation $C = \text{SV}/\text{PP}$ that elevation of PP can be secondary to a rise in SV or a fall in C. The rise in PP (or systolic pressure) with age in healthy subjects (5) relates to falls in C, whereas elevation of PP in the young appears to be related to increases in SV (6).

Analysis solely in terms of the Windkessel model is limited because this model lumps the arterial tree into the entities C and resistance, assuming that all pressure changes occur instantaneously, whereas the circulation serves to distribute cardiac output through a series of branching networks. Hence, the Windkessel model is unable to explain phenomena pertinent to a distributed system, such as wave reflection and frequency dependence. This is of particular importance in the understanding of PP. Wave reflection occurs throughout the arterial tree at each branching of arteries: a fraction of the forward travelling pulse wave is reflected back towards the heart, where it summates with the forward travelling wave. Frequency, distance and vessel wall-dependent dampening occur of both the forward and the backward travelling wave. Hence, not surprisingly,

From the Alfred Baker Medical Unit, Alfred Hospital and Baker Medical Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia. Drs. Dart and Kingwell are both supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

Manuscript received March 21, 2000; revised manuscript received November 10, 2000, accepted December 13, 2000.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACE	5	angiotensin-converting enzyme
C	5	compliance
DBP	5	diastolic blood pressure
LV	5	left ventricle or left ventricular
PP	5	pulse pressure
PWV	5	pulse wave velocity
SBP	5	systolic blood pressure
SV	5	stroke volume

reflected waves returning to the heart originate predominantly at the major branches of the aorta and its terminal tapering (7) (Fig. 1). This is due to the proximity of these points to the heart, resulting in reduced dampening and in the amount of reflection, which, among other determinants, relates to the amount of change in vessel diameter at branching site.

The correspondence of forward and backward waves will also be determined by their respective timing at any given point of measurement. Augmentation of central systolic pressure refers to the superposition of a visible reflected wave onto the forward travelling wave generated by left ventricular (LV) ejection. This superposition is often noticeable as an inflection on the aortic pressure trace, and it may lead to an increase in systolic pressure (and, hence, PP) over and above that generated by LV ejection alone. Peripherally, PP amplification refers to the phenomenon wherein the PP measured at a peripheral artery is amplified vis-a-vis that present in the proximal aorta. Although this amplification is lower for the brachial than it is for the femoral artery and lower in older as compared with younger age groups, it is important to note that peripheral estimates of PP are not identical to measured central PP.

Pulse pressure, thus, depends on LV ejection and the properties of the arterial wall, which determine both the C and the transmission characteristics of the arterial system.

While the advantage of the two-element Windkessel model is its simplicity, including the ability to describe the arterial system through simple numbers, that is, C and resistance, it fails to characterize other important determinants. Considering the arterial system as comprising a distributed C overcomes these limitations to a large extent. However, as both relate to the same fundamental, that is, the properties of the arterial wall, both approaches may be appropriate depending on circumstances.

PP and anthropometric factors. EFFECTS OF AGE, GENDER, HEIGHT, HEART RATE. Aging is associated with a loss of elasticity in the aorta and major arterial conduits. The increase in large artery, particularly aortic, stiffness resulting from fragmentation and disruption of elastic lamellae and alteration in the collagen to elastin ratio is of profound importance to the genesis of increased PP with age (5,8,9). The consequences of this increase in stiffness are important in both Windkessel and propagative models of the circulation by leading to both reduced arterial C and increased pulse wave velocity (PWV). Reduced C will increase PP due to a reduced buffering capacity available from the arterial wall while the concomitant increase in PWV will increase systolic pressure augmentation, as discussed in more detail in the following text.

Loss of arterial elasticity, in the absence of compensatory dilation, will both reduce arterial C and increase the speed of wave transmission due to the dependence of the latter on vessel wall properties as given in the Bramwell-Hill and Moens-Korteweg equations (10±12). The latter effect will lead to earlier return of the reflected pressure wave. Hence, the reflected wave superposes earlier during systole, resulting in increased systolic pressure augmentation, thereby also increasing central PP. Changes in reflection coefficient (i.e., the proportion of forward to backward travelling wave) and the site of major reflection could also contribute to altered magnitude and timing of wave reflection and, therefore, central pressure augmentation. Animal data suggest that age-related increases in atheroma at branch sites could induce changes by these mechanisms, but no evidence is available to support such a proposal in humans (13). The time taken for return of the reflected pressure wave will also depend on distance to principal reflection sites and, hence, on body height. There is ample evidence for a relation between central pressure augmentation and height (14±16). Such a relation could be expected to produce male-female differences, and, indeed, augmentation index is greater in women than it is in men (14±16). Whether there are body-size independent differences is less certain. The central coincidence of forward and backward waves will also depend on the timing of ventricular ejection. Due to the proportionality between ejection time and cardiac cycle duration (17,18), the peak of the forward travelling wave will be relatively delayed at slower heart rates. Thus, even with a fixed reflection site and speed of wave transmission, there will be an altered relationship between forward and backward waves. Central pressure augmentation and, thus,

Figure 1. Pulse pressure (PP) arises from the interaction between stroke volume (SV) and the characteristics of the arterial circulation that determine compliance (C) and wave reflection. As discussed in the text, wave reflection occurs at multiple sites but is shown in the diagram as a single site for the sake of simplicity. Cardiac output and peripheral vascular resistance (PVR) determine mean arterial pressure (MAP).

central PP will, therefore, be amplified at lower heart rates (14). The somewhat faster heart rate found in women will, therefore, to an extent, counteract the effects of shorter stature. Proposed to account for this include reflection from distal sites (39) as well as nonlinearity in the forward travelling wave from the heart (40). This leads to central-peripheral PP differences that diminish with age due to the effect of arterial stiffening in producing central systolic pressure augmentation (41). The relationship between brachial PP have been shown to result in short-term modifications and height suggests that the phenomenon of peripheral large artery properties. These appear to be in excess of the pressure amplification is also affected by transmission length effects attributable to change in mean pressure and which (42). Systolic pressure and PP measured at the brachial artery rise with age. Data from the U.S. suggest a greater wall behavior, leading to reduced stiffness at lower pressures increase in brachial PP with age in women compared with men, but this is not evident in all populations (43).

A number of nonpharmacological (19±23) as well as pharmacological interventions (discussed subsequently) and height suggests that the phenomenon of peripheral large artery properties. These appear to be in excess of the pressure amplification is also affected by transmission length effects attributable to change in mean pressure and which (42). Systolic pressure and PP measured at the brachial artery rise with age. Data from the U.S. suggest a greater wall behavior, leading to reduced stiffness at lower pressures increase in brachial PP with age in women compared with men, but this is not evident in all populations (43). The most plausible explanations for 'direct' arterial wall that in men, but this is not evident in all populations (43). effects appear to be change in smooth muscle tone leading to The phenomenon of PP amplification may have important consequences when considering clinical end point nonelastic tissues (24) and changes in the vasa vasorum studies (discussed in the following text). The overwhelming majority of these studies have measured pressure at the (25,26). Short-term change in functional cross-links could brachial artery, whereas important pathophysiological consequences of change in PP, such as cardiac hypertrophy and function and coronary perfusion, are related to central pressure. The importance of the disparity between central and peripheral PP is somewhat lessened by its diminution with age, but it is nonetheless important not to ignore its potential impact.

EFFECTS OF CHOLESTEROL, DIABETES, HYPERTENSION, HOMOCYSTEINE.

A number of pathophysiological states and peripheral PP is somewhat lessened by its diminution with age, but it is nonetheless important not to ignore its potential impact. Interestingly, elevated cholesterol levels, in the absence of overt atheroma, appear to be associated with reduced, rather than enhanced, aortic stiffness. In a study of asymptomatic subjects with marked hypercholesterolemia, aortic stiffness showed a less steep increase with age than was the case in control subjects (27). Similarly, very young subjects with familial hypercholesterolemia showed more compliant large arteries (28), whereas somewhat older subjects with familial hypercholesterolemia showed reduced large artery C in one study (29) but not another (30). The latter study did not find any relation between standard lipid variables and aortic C, which was, however, reduced with increasing levels of oxidized low-density lipoprotein. Asymptomatic 35-year-old men showed a negative correlation between aortic stiffness and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (31). A similar situation has been demonstrated in diabetes where very early investigation of young subjects with type I diabetes were found to have increased arterial C, whereas older subjects with type II diabetes (likely to have macro-hypertrophy. Measures of PP have included conventional vascular disease) had less distensible large arteries (32). Diabetic subjects appear to be particularly susceptible to the effects of a high salt diet in reducing C (33) but are responsive to fish oils (20). In addition to diabetes, hypertension has also been found to reduce brachial artery distensibility (34). Salt sensitive hypertensive subjects have lower large artery C than those who are salt resistant (35). Although elevated plasma levels of homocysteine have been related to systolic hypertension (36), there appears to be little effect on the stiffness of carotid or femoral arteries (37,38).

Summary. Arterial (primarily aortic) stiffening, such as occurs with age, increases PP by reducing C and increasing PWV. An increase in PWV leads to earlier return of the reflected wave with systolic pressure augmentation. A rise in mean blood pressure will operate similarly to increase PP due to the nonlinear stress-strain behavior of the arterial wall, leading to increased stiffness at higher distending pressures. Changes in the coincidence of forward and backward travelling waves underlie the effects of height and heart rate, with short stature and slow heart rate both favoring systolic pressure augmentation and increased PP. Comparison of PP between populations or study groups need consideration of these anthropometric factors including stiffness and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (31). At the effects of PP amplification at peripheral sites. Surrogate and end point clinical studies. A number of studies have investigated relationships between PP and surrogate end points such as vascular structure and LV hypertrophy. Measures of PP have included conventional brachial sphygmomanometry, ambulatory monitoring, including intra-arterial pressure recording and site specific noninvasively by tonometry. To date, little information is available to relate central pressures to clinical end points. An important consideration in interpreting studies on PP and clinical surrogate end points is the extent to which the effects of PP can be shown to be independent of the effects of other blood pressure terms, particularly mean pressure. Surrogate end points. Increases in clinic and ambulatory PPs were the only significant predictors of wall thickness/lumen ratio in subcutaneous arterioles harvested from skin buttock biopsies of normotensive and hypertensive subjects (44). Both PP terms remained independently significant in the presence of age and other clinic blood pressure terms. In

a study of hypertensive subjects, those with SBP ≥ 160 mm Hg had higher values for LV mass than those with SBP < 160 mm Hg, despite similar mean pressures (45). In a cross-sectional study of normotensive and untreated hypertensive subjects, carotid intima-media thickness was related to brachial PP but not to radial, PP or to mean pressure (46). In a study of 19,000 men aged 40 to 69 years, brachial PP was a predictor of cardiovascular mortality over a mean follow-up of 19.5 years. Importantly, this association was evident at both low and high mean pressure (61). In the Studies Of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) study of patients with impaired LV function in which more than 70% had had a previous myocardial infarction, baseline brachial PP was a predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality and remained elevated in multivariate analysis even after adjustment for mean pressure and a range of other covariates (62). In contrast, in a study of patients with essential hypertension, both stroke and total mortality were related to the elevation in PP produced by mental stress (49). In an eight-year follow-up study of women in the East Boston senior health project, baseline brachial PP was an independent predictor of congestive heart failure in male and female subjects ≥ 65 years who were free of cardiovascular disease (50). Regression of LV hypertrophy by pharmacologic treatment in spontaneously hypertensive rats was dependent on changes in pulsatile load, despite similar effects on mean pressure (51).

Summary. Pulse pressure has been related to a number of surrogate end points such as LV hypertrophy, and, in addition, clinical events. Despite finding a positive association between PP and electrocardiogram abnormalities in a cross-sectional study, a Chicago Heart Association and Health Department study failed to find a relation between PP and subsequent mortality (52±54). However, a number of other studies have found positive associations between PP and subsequent cardiovascular clinical end points. In the Framingham Study, while SBP, DBP and PP were all positively related to outcome when entered individually, the association was negative when entered in combination with other terms for the middle-aged and elderly (55,56). In the Boston Veteran's Administration Study of healthy male volunteers aged 21 to 80 years at enrollment, cardiovascular death was related to baseline SBP in young (60 years) subjects, whereas in older (50 years) subjects PP appeared to be a more accurate predictor of death than SBP or DBP (57). In a 10-year follow-up of 13,000 Dutch women aged ≥ 50 at baseline who were participating in a breast screening program, baseline SBP was positively and monotonically related to stroke and total mortality (58). However, the relation between SBP and subsequent coronary events and cardiovascular mortality was J shaped, with an apparent increase at lower SBP levels (58). In a noninvasive 24-h ambulatory study of initially untreated subjects with essential hypertension, both baseline SBP and PP were related to cardiovascular events and mortality over a mean follow-up of 3.8 years with a stronger relationship for ambulatory PP compared with clinic pressures (59). In the Medical Research Council (MRC) mild hypertension trial, combined fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarcts were related to baseline PP (and SBP), whereas mean arterial pressure was a better predictor of stroke (60). In a study of 19,000 men aged 40 to 69 years, brachial PP was a predictor of cardiovascular mortality over a mean follow-up of 19.5 years. Importantly, this association was evident at both low and high mean pressure (61). In the Studies Of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) study of patients with impaired LV function in which more than 70% had had a previous myocardial infarction, baseline brachial PP was a predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality and remained elevated in multivariate analysis even after adjustment for mean pressure and a range of other covariates (62). In contrast, in a study of patients with essential hypertension, both stroke and total mortality were related to the elevation in PP produced by mental stress (49). In an eight-year follow-up study of women in the East Boston senior health project, baseline brachial PP was an independent predictor of congestive heart failure in male and female subjects ≥ 65 years who were free of cardiovascular disease (50). Regression of LV hypertrophy by pharmacologic treatment in spontaneously hypertensive rats was dependent on changes in pulsatile load, despite similar effects on mean pressure (51).

Conclusion. Baseline PP has been found to be predictive of subsequent cardiovascular, particularly coronary, events. Of particular importance is the finding in several studies that PP is as good or better a predictor than other blood pressure terms. Intervention studies. Intervention studies have not been specifically designed to address the question of PP. However, there have been studies with isolated systolic hypertension as the entry criterion. Since such studies have required normal diastolic pressures, they have inevitably included subjects with elevated PPs. In the Systolic Hypertension in Europe study with the calcium channel blocker nifedipine, there was a significant reduction of 41% in stroke and a reduction of 26% in combined coronary events (65). In the SHEP trial, there was a reduction of 36% in stroke and 27% in combined coronary events (66). The Systolic Hypertension in China study of isolated systolic hypertension in the Chinese community also reported significant reductions in both stroke and combined coronary events (67±69). A recent meta-analysis found that active treatment in patients aged ≥ 60 with SBP ≥ 160 mm Hg and DBP ≥ 95 mm Hg reduced stroke by 30%, coronary events by 23% and total mortality by 18% (70). Bidirectionality-elevated PP as cause and effect. While there is substantial evidence linking an elevated PP to adverse cardiovascular outcomes, there has been little study of possible mechanisms linking PP to cardiovascular pathology. Pulse pressure elevation was shown to induce endothelial dysfunction as assessed by acetylcholine reactivity (71) in

Figure 2. Schematic diagram illustrating the concept of bidirectionality in the relationship between pulse pressure (PP) and atherosclerosis. Elevated PP promotes vascular damage, an antecedent to atherosclerosis, which results in large-vessel stiffening and increased wave reflection, thus, further amplifying PP. While it is not clear which is the incipient event in this cycle, it is clear that, once initiated, a vicious cycle promoting disease progression ensues.

small vessels, and endothelial dysfunction is a possible antecedent of atherosclerosis. As discussed, PP has also been related to LV hypertrophy. A possible additional explanation for the relationship between PP and cardiovascular end points is provided by the concept of bidirectional-feedback, that is, an elevated PP is both a cause and a consequence of atherosclerosis (Fig. 2). Thus, if atherosclerosis were so, it does not preclude the operation of a bidirectional-feedback process, a recent outcome study found that aortic stiffness at baseline, as measured by PWV, was predictive of both all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, even with adjustment for other risk factors, indicating a prognostic role for aortic stiffness per se (72). Atherosclerosis and large artery stiffness is recognized that coronary atheroma is often accompanied, even at an early phase, by disease in other large vessels, particularly the thoracic and abdominal aorta (73,74). Indeed, one explanation for the observed relationship between elevated intima-media thickness and future coronary events (75) can be that such elevation is a marker of a widespread atheromatous process. The aorta and major arteries are known to stiffen with age, but several studies have found that the presence of widespread atherosclerosis amplifies this effect. Thus, measurements of the stiffness of the aorta in the proximal ascending aorta, the aortic arch, and the abdominal aorta have all been shown to be elevated in patients with coronary disease (27,76-78). Similarly, arterial C, largely contained within the aorta, has been shown to be significantly lower in such patients (79). Negative studies have also been reported, but these have not identified subjects on the basis of presentation with clinical coronary disease. In a study of asymptomatic men, there was

no relationship between aortic C, estimated from PWV, and coronary calcification (80), determined by ultra-fast computed tomography. Compliance adjusted for mean arterial pressure did, however, correlate negatively with the number of extra-coronary disease sites, but only before adjustment for the effects of age. As discussed, it has become clear in recent years that coronary events are more closely predicted by systolic and PP, both consequences of increased arterial stiffness, than by diastolic pressure (61,81). In a recent study, we were able to show that the elevation in PP in subjects with coronary disease was closely associated with an increase in echocardiographically measured aortic stiffness (77).

The demonstration of an association between aortic properties and atherosclerotic, including coronary, disease cannot, per se, be informative about the direction of causality. Thus, it is possible that a rise in PP due to degenerative changes (8) is an initiating event in the subsequent development of atherosclerosis. Even if this were so, it does not preclude the operation of a bidirectional-feedback process, a recent outcome study found that aortic stiffness at baseline, as measured by PWV, was predictive of both all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, even with adjustment for other risk factors, indicating a prognostic role for aortic stiffness per se (72). Atherosclerosis and large artery stiffness is recognized that coronary atheroma is often accompanied, even at an early phase, by disease in other large vessels, particularly the thoracic and abdominal aorta (73,74). Indeed, one explanation for the observed relationship between elevated intima-media thickness and future coronary events (75) can be that such elevation is a marker of a widespread atheromatous process. The aorta and major arteries are known to stiffen with age, but several studies have found that the presence of widespread atherosclerosis amplifies this effect. Thus, measurements of the stiffness of the aorta in the proximal ascending aorta, the aortic arch, and the abdominal aorta have all been shown to be elevated in patients with coronary disease (27,76-78). Similarly, arterial C, largely contained within the aorta, has been shown to be significantly lower in such patients (79). Negative studies have also been reported, but these have not identified subjects on the basis of presentation with clinical coronary disease. In a study of asymptomatic men, there was

an additional phenomenon, which may also restrict the potential benefit from blood pressure reduction, relates to impairment of coronary perfusion. Experimental studies have established that the presence of a stiffened proximal circulation, achieved by bandaging the aorta in vivo, or the use of a stiffened bypass circulation is associated with reduced coronary perfusion, and this is particularly noticeable subendocardially and in the presence of a coronary artery stenosis (84,85). This situation would likely be exacerbated by a treatment-induced reduction in mean pressure. A potential adverse effect of blood pressure reduction has previously been aired in man in relation to the existence of a J-shaped curve relating blood pressure treatment to coronary outcome (86-88). In the recently published Hypertension Optimal Treatment study, there was a trend to increasing cardiovascular mortality for subjects with diastolic pressure reduced, 60 mm Hg, whereas stroke (89).

Summary. Some, but not all evidence, indicates that atherosclerosis, per se, is associated with an increase in aortic stiffness (and a reduced C) over and above that due to aging. Widened PP may exacerbate myocardial ischemia as a result of increased afterload and reduced coronary perfusion. PP as a therapeutic target. Despite the impressive epidemiological associations between PP and cardiovascular end points and outcomes, it is currently premature to identify reduction of PP, per se, as a therapeutic goal. As indicated in the introduction, PP will fall with any reduction in mean pressure. A more targeted approach to lowering PP, if eventually indicated, will come from an increase in arterial

C. In addition to pharmacological agents, increases in suggesting a direct effect on arterial wall properties (102). In arterial C can be achieved by a variety of nonpharmacological single-blind, crossover comparison of eight weeks treatment means, such as exercise training (19,90) and dietary manipulations, particularly including n-3 fatty acids (20,22), channel blocker nifedipine in elderly subjects with essential estrogen compounds (21) and reduced salt intake (91) hypertension, aortic PWV was reduced significantly more Hormone replacement therapy in postmenopausal women by lisinopril, despite there being no difference in their effect has been shown to increase arterial C and to lower central mean arterial pressure, suggesting an arterial wall effect (aortic) PP (92±94). All these effects appeared in excess of ACE inhibitors (103).

those predicted from the change in mean pressure. In Calcium channel blockers. In a double-blind, crossover contrast with the effects of aerobic training, muscle strength comparison of 12 weeks treatment with the calcium channel training was associated with increased arterial stiffness and blocker felodipine or the diuretic hydrochlorothiazide in increased PP (95). subjects with mild-to-moderate hypertension, there were

A number of studies have been carried out to compare the more pronounced falls in PWV at carotid-femoral as well as effects of different agents and classes on arterial properties peripheral sites with felodipine (104). However, felodipine that are relevant to the issue of PP. When considering such was also associated with greater blood pressure reduction studies, a number of additional factors need to be considered (104). The ending of brachial artery dilation with felodipine. Thus, the nonlinearity of arterial elasticity means that a, but not hydrochlorothiazide, however, indicated direct a reduction of distending pressure will, parri passu, lead to arterial wall effects of the calcium channel blocker. Peripheral a reduction in arterial stiffness. There may also be heart rate arterial C, determined by brachial-radial PWV measurement, effects on arterial properties (96,97). An additional consideration was improved by the calcium channel blocker nicardipine in many studies is the location and class of artery but not by the beta-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol in under investigation. Thus, many studies have only examined hypertensive subjects treated for eight months (105). In a muscular or peripheral arteries, such as the brachial artery parallel group comparison of hydrochlorothiazide with nifedipine in subjects with mild to moderate hypertension which may not be representative of more proximal vessels treated for two months, there were no significant differences The pathophysiological consequences of change in PP largely relate to change in central, rather than peripheral, pressure in effects on muscular radial artery C (106). Effects of Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. In a placebo-controlled crossover study of the acute effects of the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor, quinapril, appeared to be determined by angiotensin II receptor I in patients with essential hypertension, there were increases more marked in those with a C allele, only those with the in carotid artery distensibility and aortic C (determined by AA allele showed a PWV effect with nitrendipine (107). PWV). Whereas all the effects on the carotid artery could be attributed to effects secondary to blood pressure reduction, in an acute invasive study in which aortic PWV was inferred from the the effects on aortic distensibility appeared to be a combination of direct and blood pressure-dependent effects (98) unaffected by beta-adrenoceptor blockade but was reduced Pulse pressure was reduced by a similar amount at both combined alpha- and beta-blockade (108). As discussed, carotid and brachial sites. In a catheter laboratory study within a comparative study with nicardipine, atenolol did not intravenous captopril, large artery C was lower in hypertensive affect peripheral PWV in long-term treatment of hypertensive, compared with normotensive, subjects and was insensitive subjects (105). However, in another study, atenolol increased by captopril but not to the values found in normotensive subjects (109). In hypertensive subjects (99). In another acute study, neither other studies of beta-adrenoceptor antagonists, whereas six intravenous dihydralazine nor perindoprilat significantly weeks treatment with metoprolol had no significant effect altered carotid-femoral PWV in patients with mild-to moderate hypertension (100). However, while there was a strong correlation between change in blood pressure and both peripheral and central PWV (111). In an eight week PWV in the dihydralazine group, there was no such study in patients with essential hypertension comparing correlation with perindoprilat, which was interpreted as atenolol with the ACE inhibitor fosinopril, augmentation implying a direct arterial effect of the ACE inhibitor. index, a marker of large artery properties, was reduced more

Ramipril reduced aortic PWV in hypertensive subjects by fosinopril than it was by the beta-adrenergic blocking treated for 42 days. Although blood pressures were lowered agent (112). However, no adjustment was made for the there was no relationship between change in PWV and lower heart rate in the atenolol-treated subjects and, as change in diastolic or mean blood pressure, suggesting as discussed, augmentation index is known to be increased at blood pressure independent effect (101). Three months lower heart rates.

treatment with perindopril increased brachial artery C in Diuretics and nitrates. Acute administration of isosorbide comparison with placebo in a single-blind study in hypertensive subjects increased C at hypertensive subjects without changing tangential tension, again brachial, carotid and femoral sites when measured with an

echocardiography tracking device (113). Nitrate therapy also produced a fall in blood pressure and in wave reflection as assessed by central pressure augmentation (113). A single oral dose of nicorandil reduced blood pressure and peripheral PWV in hypertensive subjects (114). In a comparison of a potassium-losing with a potassium-sparing diuretic in hypertensive subjects treated for six weeks, both lowered blood pressure from baseline but did not significantly affect PWV (115).

Despite a considerable body of work, there is still uncertainty over the direct effects of a number of antihypertensive agents on large artery properties. However, a number of studies have suggested that ACE inhibitors and, to a lesser extent, calcium channel blockers do exert direct arterial wall effects and induce changes not solely due to the passive effects of blood pressure lowering. The newer techniques of tonometry and wall tracking do allow examination of arterial wall properties at different pressures, but these studies are limited to accessible (peripheral) arteries, whereas the major contributor to systemic arterial C and, therefore, PP is the aorta, which cannot be studied by these techniques. A promising new approach in assessing central pressure changes is the use of transfer functions to estimate central arterial waveforms and pressures from recordings at peripheral sites (116).

Summary. Since PP elevation is predominantly a consequence of increased large artery stiffness, a targeted effect on PP in excess of that attributable to a reduction in mean pressure would be expected from treatments and interventions that affect large arteries. An effect on large artery properties has been demonstrated for a number of nonpharmacological interventions, including aerobic exercise training and dietary consumption of n-3 fatty acids. Studies of the effects of pharmacological therapy have been somewhat confounded by change in mean pressure (and heart rate), but there is evidence for specific large artery effects, most consistently for ACE inhibitors.

Conclusions. Determinants of PP can be adequately discussed in terms of a model of distributed C, with arterial wall properties determining both C and transmission characteristics. There is now extensive epidemiological evidence for a positive relationship between PP and future cardiovascular events, and some evidence that PP modification, per se, affects cardiovascular end points. However, more evidence is required before it can be firmly concluded that reduction in PP should be a specific therapeutic target. The acquisition of such evidence would be helped by therapies that specifically target PP, rather than mean pressure.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for their stimulating discussions with Dr. Christoph Gatzka during the preparation of this review. The authors would also like to thank Andrew Plant for his artwork in this study.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. A. M. Dart, Alfred Baker Medical Unit, Alfred Hospital, Commercial Road, Prahran, Victoria, Australia 3181. E-mail a.dart@alfred.org.au.

REFERENCES

1. Kelly RP, Tunin R, Kass DA. Effect of reduced aortic compliance on cardiac efficiency and contractile function of in situ canine left ventricle. *Circ Res* 1992;71:490-502.
2. Liu Z, Brin K, Yin F. Estimation of total arterial compliance: an improved method and evaluation of current methods. *Am J Physiol* 1986;251:H588-600.
3. Chemla D, Hebert JL, Coirault C, et al. Total arterial compliance estimated by stroke volume-to-aortic pulse pressure ratio in humans. *Am J Physiol* 1998;274:H500-5.
4. Stergiopoulos N, Segers P, Westerhof N. Use of pulse pressure method for estimating total arterial compliance in vivo. *Am J Physiol* 1999;276:H424-8.
5. Franklin S, Gustin W, IV, Wong N, et al. Hemodynamic patterns of age-related changes in blood pressure: the Framingham heart study. *Circulation* 1997;96:308-15.
6. Allee J, Waisman GD, Galarza CR, Camera MI. Contribution of stroke volume to the change in pulse pressure pattern with age. *Hypertension* 1999;34:808-12.
7. Latham RD, Westerhof N, Sipkema P, Rubal BJ, Reuderink P, Murgu JP. Regional wave travel and reflections along the human aorta: a study with six simultaneous micromanometric pressures. *Circulation* 1985;72:1257-69.
8. Avolio A, Jones D, Tafazzoli-Shadpour M. Quantification of alterations in structure and function of elastin in the arterial media. *Hypertension* 1998;32:170-5.
9. Nichols W, O'Rourke M, editors. *McDonald's Blood Flow in Arteries. Theoretical, Experimental and Clinical Principles*. 4th ed. London, Sydney, Auckland: Arnold, 1998.
10. Bramwell CJH, Hill AV. Velocity of transmission of the pulse wave. *Lancet* 1922;1:891-2.
11. Dart A, Qi X, Cameron J. In vivo determinants of arterial stiffness. *Atherosclerosis* 1996;125:145-8.
12. Bramwell J, Hill A. The velocity of the pulse wave in man. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1922;93:298-306.
13. Zuckerman B, Weisman H, Yin F. Arterial hemodynamics in a rabbit model of atherosclerosis. *Am J Physiol* 1989;257:H891-7.
14. Cameron JD, McGrath BP, Dart AM. Use of radial artery applanation tonometry and a generalized transfer function to determine aortic pressure augmentation in subjects with treated hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;32:1214-20.
15. London GM, Guerin AP, Pannier B, Marchais SJ, Stimpel M. Influence of sex on arterial hemodynamics and blood pressure: role of body height. *Hypertension* 1995;26:514-9.
16. Smulyan H, Marchais SJ, Pannier B, Guerin AP, Safar ME, London GM. Influence of body height on pulsatile arterial hemodynamic data. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;31:1103-9.
17. Braunwald E, Sarnoff S, Stainby W. Determinants of duration and mean rate of ventricular ejection. *Circ Res* 1958;6:319-25.
18. Wallace A, Mitchell J, Skinner N, Sarnoff S. Duration of the phases of left ventricular systole. *Circ Res* 1963;12:611-9.
19. Cameron JD, Dart AM. Exercise training increases total systemic arterial compliance in humans. *Am J Physiol* 1994;266:H693-701.
20. McVeigh GE, Brennan GM, Cohn JN, Finkelstein SM, Hayes RJ, Johnston GD. Fish oil improves arterial compliance in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1994;14:1425-9.
21. Nestel PJ, Yamashita T, Sasahara T, et al. Soy isoflavones improve systemic arterial compliance but not plasma lipids in menopausal and perimenopausal women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1997;17:3392-8.
22. Nestel PJ, Pomeroy SE, Sasahara T, et al. Arterial compliance in obese subjects is improved with dietary plant n-3 fatty acid from flaxseed oil despite increased LDL oxidizability. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1997;17:1163-70.
23. Kingwell BA, Berry KL, Cameron JD, Jennings GL, Dart AM. Arterial compliance increases after moderate-intensity cycling. *Am J Physiol* 1997;273:H2186-91.

24. Dobrin P, Rovick A. Influence of vascular smooth muscle on contractile mechanics and elasticity of arteries. *Am J Physiol* 1969; 217:1644-51.
25. Stefanadis C, Vlachopoulos C, Karayannacos P, et al. Effect of vasa vasorum flow on structure and function of the aorta in experimental animals. *Circulation* 1995;91:2669-78.
26. Stefanadis CI, Karayannacos PE, Boudoulas HK, et al. Medial necrosis and acute alterations in aortic distensibility following removal of the vasa vasorum of canine ascending aorta. *Cardiovasc Res* 1993;27:951-6.
27. Dart AM, Lacombe F, Yeoh JK, et al. Aortic distensibility in patients with isolated hypercholesterolemia, coronary artery disease or cardiac transplant. *Lancet* 1991;338:270-3.
28. Lehmann EW, Watts GF, Gosling GS. Aortic distensibility and hypercholesterolemia. *Lancet* 1992;340:1171-2.
29. Pitsavos C, Toutouzas K, Dernelis J, et al. Aortic stiffness in young patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Am Heart J* 1998;135:604-8.
30. Toikka JO, Niemi P, Ahotupa M, et al. Large-artery elastic properties in young men: relationships to serum lipoproteins and oxidized low-density lipoproteins. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1999;19: 436-41.
31. Kupari MH, Keto P, Poutanen P, Tikkanen MJ, Standertskjold-Nordemstam CG. Relation of aortic stiffness to factors modifying the risk of atherosclerosis in healthy people. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1994; 14:386-94.
32. Lehmann EG, Sonsken PH. Arterial wall compliance in diabetes. *Diabet Med* 1992;9:114-9.
33. Lambert J, Pijpers R, van Ittersum FJ, et al. Sodium, blood pressure and arterial distensibility in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Hypertension* 1997;30:1162-8.
34. Megnien JL, Simon A, Valensi P, Flaud P, Merli I, Levenson J. Comparative effects of diabetes mellitus and hypertension on physical properties of human large arteries. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;20:1562-8.
35. Draaijer P, Kool MJ, Maessen JM, et al. Vascular distensibility and compliance in salt-sensitive and salt-resistant borderline hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1993;11:1199-207.
36. Sutton-Tyrrell K, Bostom A, Selhub J, Zeigler-Johnson C. High homocysteine levels are independently related to isolated systolic hypertension in older adults. *Circulation* 1997;96:1745-9.
37. van Guldener C, Lambert J, ter Wee PM, Donker AJ, Stehouwer CD. Carotid artery stiffness in patients with end-stage renal disease: no effect of long-term homocysteine-lowering therapy. *Clin Nephrol* 2000;53:33-41.
38. Smilde TJ, van den Berkortel FW, Boers GH, et al. Carotid and femoral artery wall thickness and stiffness in patients at risk for cardiovascular disease, with special emphasis on hyperhomocysteinemia. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1998;18:1958-63.
39. Murgu JP, Westerhof N, Giolma JP, Altobelli SA. Aortic input impedance in normal man: relationship to pressure wave forms. *Circulation* 1980;62:105-16.
40. Jones CJ, Parker KH, Hughes R, Sheridan DJ. Nonlinearity of human arterial pulse wave transmission. *J Biomech Eng* 1992;114: 10-4.
41. O'Rourke M, Kelly R, Avolio A. *The Arterial Pulse*. Philadelphia, PA: Lea and Febiger, 1992.
42. Asmar R, Brisac AM, Courivaud JM, Lecor B, London GM, Safar ME. Influence of gender on the level of pulse pressure: the role of large conduit arteries. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 1997;19:793-811.
43. Whelton P, He J, Klag M. Blood pressure in westernized populations. In: Swales J, editor. *Textbook of Hypertension*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Productions, 1994:11-21.
44. James MA, Watt PA, Potter JF, Thurston H, Swales JD. Pulse pressure and resistance artery structure in the elderly. *Hypertension* 1995;26:301-6.
45. Pannier B, Brunel P, el Aroussy W, Lacolley P, Safar ME. Pulse pressure and echocardiographic findings in essential hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1989;7:127-32.
46. Boutouyrie P, Bussy C, Lacolley P, Girerd X, Laloux B, Laurent S. Association between local pulse pressure, mean blood pressure and large-artery remodeling. *Circulation* 1999;100:1387-93.
47. Boutouyrie P, Bussy C, Hayoz D, et al. Local pulse pressure and regression of arterial wall hypertrophy during long-term antihypertensive treatment. *Circulation* 2000;101:2601-6.
48. Khattar RS, Acharya DU, Kinsey C, Senior R, Lahiri A. Longitudinal association of ambulatory pulse pressure with left ventricular mass and vascular hypertrophy in essential hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1997;15:737-43.
49. Matthews KA, Owens JF, Kuller LH, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Lassila HC, Wolfson SK. Stress-induced pulse pressure change predicts women's carotid atherosclerosis. *Stroke* 1998;29:1525-30.
50. Kuller LH, Matthews KA, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Edmundowicz D, Bunker CH. Coronary and aortic calcification among women eight years after menopause and their premenopausal risk factors: the healthy women study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1999;19:2189-98.
51. Mitchell G, Pfeffer M, Finn P, Pfeffer J. Equipotent antihypertensive agents variously affect pulsatile hemodynamics and regression of cardiac hypertrophy in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Circulation* 1996;94:2923-9.
52. Dyer AR, Stamler J, Shekelle RB, et al. Pulse pressure-III. Prognostic significance in four Chicago epidemiologic studies. *J Chronic Dis* 1982;35:283-94.
53. Dyer AR, Stamler J, Shekelle RB, et al. Pulse pressure-II. Factors associated with follow-up values in three Chicago epidemiologic studies. *J Chronic Dis* 1982;35:275-82.
54. Dyer AR, Stamler J, Shekelle RB, et al. Pulse pressure-I. Level and associated factors in four Chicago epidemiologic studies. *J Chronic Dis* 1982;35:259-73.
55. Franklin SS. Cardiovascular risks related to increased diastolic, systolic and pulse pressure: an epidemiologist's point of view. *Pathol Biol (Paris)* 1999;47:594-603.
56. Franklin SS, Khan SA, Wong ND, Larson MG, Levy D. Is pulse pressure useful in predicting risk for coronary heart disease? The Framingham heart study. *Circulation* 1999;100:354-60.
57. Lee ML, Rosner BA, Weiss ST. Relationship of blood pressure to cardiovascular death: the effects of pulse pressure in the elderly. *Ann Epidemiol* 1999;9:101-7.
58. van der Giezen AM, Schopman-Geurts van Kessel JG, Schouten EG, Slotboom BJ, Kok FJ, Collette HJ. Systolic blood pressure and cardiovascular mortality among 13,740 Dutch women. *Prev Med* 1990;19:456-65.
59. Verdecchia P, Schillaci G, Borgioni C, Ciucci A, Pede S, Porcellati C. Ambulatory pulse pressure: a potent predictor of total cardiovascular risk in hypertension. *Hypertension* 1998;32:983-8.
60. Millar JA, Lever AF, Burke V. Pulse pressure as a risk factor for cardiovascular events in the MRC Mild Hypertension trial. *J Hypertens* 1999;17:1065-72.
61. Benetos A, Safar M, Rudnicki A, et al. Pulse pressure: a predictor of long-term cardiovascular mortality in a French male population. *Hypertension* 1997;30:1410-5.
62. Domanski MJ, Mitchell GF, Norman JE, Exner DV, Pitt B, Pfeffer MA. Independent prognostic information provided by sphygmomanometrically determined pulse pressure and mean arterial pressure in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999; 33:951-8.
63. Domanski MJ, Davis BR, Pfeffer MA, Kastantin M, Mitchell GF. Isolated systolic hypertension: prognostic information provided by pulse pressure. *Hypertension* 1999;34:375-80.
64. Chae CU, Pfeffer MA, Glynn RJ, Mitchell GF, Taylor JO, Hennekens CH. Increased pulse pressure and risk of heart failure in the elderly. *JAMA* 1999;281:634-9.
65. Staessen JA, Fagard R, Thijs L, et al. Randomized double-blind comparison of placebo and active treatment for older patients with isolated systolic hypertension. The Systolic Hypertension in Europe (Syst-Eur) trial investigators. *Lancet* 1997;350:757-64.
66. SHEP Cooperative Research Group. Prevention of stroke by anti-hypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated systolic hypertension. Final results of the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP). SHEP Cooperative Research Group. *JAMA* 1991;265:3255-64.
67. Wang JG, Staessen JA, Gong L, Liu L. Chinese trial on isolated systolic hypertension in the elderly. Systolic Hypertension in China (Syst-China) collaborative group. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:211-20.

68. Liu L, Wang JG, Celis H, Staessen JA. Implications of the systolic hypertension in China trial. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 1999;21:499-505.
69. Staessen JA, Wang JG, Thijs L, Fagard R. Overview of the outcome trials in older patients with isolated systolic hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens* 1999;13:859-63.
70. Staessen JA, Gasowski J, Wang JG, et al. Risks of untreated and treated isolated systolic hypertension in the elderly: meta-analysis of outcome trials. *Lancet* 2000;355:865-72.
71. Ryan SM, Waack BJ, Weno BL, Heistad DD. Increases in pulse pressure impair acetylcholine-induced vascular relaxation. *Am J Physiol* 1995;268:H359-63.
72. Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Asmar R, et al. Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality in hypertensive patients. *J Hypertens* 2000;18 Suppl 4:S20.
73. McGill HC, Jr, McMahan CA, Malcom GT, Oalmann MC, Strong JP. Effects of serum lipoproteins and smoking on atherosclerosis in young men and women. The PDAY research group (Pathobiological Determinants of Atherosclerosis in Youth). *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1997;17:95-106.
74. Vihert AM. Atherosclerosis of the aorta and coronary arteries in coronary heart disease. *Bull World Health Organ* 1976;53:585-96.
75. Kuller LH, Tracy RP, Shaten J, Meilahn EN. Relation of C-reactive protein and coronary heart disease in the MRFIT nested case-control study (Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial). *Am J Epidemiol* 1996;144:537-47.
76. Stefanadis C, Stratos C, Boudoulas H, Kourouklis C, Toutouzas P. Distensibility of the ascending aorta: comparison of invasive and noninvasive techniques in healthy men and in men with coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J* 1990;11:990-6.
77. Gatzka CD, Cameron JD, Kingwell BA, Dart AM. Relation between coronary artery disease, aortic stiffness and left ventricular structure in a population sample. *Hypertension* 1998;32:575-8.
78. Hirai T, Sasayama S, Kawasaki T, Yagi S. Stiffness of systemic arteries in patients with myocardial infarction: a noninvasive method to predict severity of coronary atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 1989;80:78-86.
79. Cameron JD, Jennings GL, Dart AM. Systemic arterial compliance is decreased in newly-diagnosed patients with coronary heart disease: implications for prediction of risk. *J Cardiovasc Risk* 1996;3:495-500.
80. Megnien JL, Simon A, Denarie N, et al. Aortic stiffening does not predict coronary and extracoronary atherosclerosis in asymptomatic men at risk for cardiovascular disease. *Am J Hypertens* 1998;11:293-301.
81. Mitchell GF, Moye LA, Braunwald E, et al. Sphygmomanometrically determined pulse pressure is a powerful independent predictor of recurrent events after myocardial infarction in patients with impaired left ventricular function: SAVE investigators (Survival and Ventricular Enlargement). *Circulation* 1997;96:4254-60.
82. Hiromoto M, Toma Y, Tomochika Y, Umemoto S, Matsuzaki M. Echographical assessment of the early stage of experimental atherosclerosis of the descending aorta in rabbits. *Jpn Circ J* 1996;60:691-8.
83. Hayashi K, Matsumoto T. Aortic walls in atherosclerotic rabbits—mechanical study. *J Biomech Eng* 1994;116:284-93.
84. Kass D, Saeki A, Tunun R, Recchia F. Adverse influence of systemic vascular stiffening on cardiac dysfunction and adaption to acute coronary occlusion. *Circulation* 1996;93:1533-41.
85. Watanabe H, Ohtsuka S, Kakihana M, Sugishita Y. Coronary circulation in dogs with an experimental decrease in aortic compliance. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993;21:1497-506.
86. Cruickshank JM, Thorp JM, Zacharias FJ. Benefits and potential harm of lowering high blood pressure. *Lancet* 1987;1:581-4.
87. Farnett L, Mulrow CD, Linn WD, Lucey CR, Tuley MR. The J-curve phenomenon and the treatment of hypertension. Is there a point beyond which pressure reduction is dangerous? *JAMA* 1991;265:489-95.
88. Samuelsson OG, Wilhelmsen LW, Pennert KM, Wedel H, Berglund GL. The J-shaped relationship between coronary heart disease and achieved blood pressure level in treated hypertension: further analyses of 12 years of follow-up of treated hypertensives in the Primary Prevention trial in Gothenburg, Sweden. *J Hypertens* 1990;8:547-55.
89. Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG, et al. Effects of intensive blood-pressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomized trial: HOT study group. *Lancet* 1998;351:1755-62.
90. Kingwell BA, Cameron JD, Gillies KJ, Jennings GL, Dart AM. Arterial compliance may influence baroreflex function in athletes and hypertensives. *Am J Physiol* 1995;268:H411-8.
91. Safar M, Laurent S, Safavian A, Pannier B, Asmar R. Sodium and large arteries in hypertension: effects of indapamide. *Am J Med* 1988;84:15-9.
92. McGrath BP, Liang YL, Teede H, Shiel LM, Cameron JD, Dart A. Age-related deterioration in arterial structure and function in postmenopausal women: impact of hormone replacement therapy. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1998;18:1149-56.
93. Rajkumar C, Kingwell BA, Cameron JD, et al. Hormonal therapy increases arterial compliance in postmenopausal women. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;30:350-6.
94. Waddell TK, Rajkumar C, Cameron JD, Jennings GL, Dart AM, Kingwell BA. Withdrawal of hormonal therapy for four weeks decreases arterial compliance in postmenopausal women. *J Hypertens* 1999;17:413-8.
95. Bertovic DA, Waddell TK, Gatzka CD, Cameron JD, Dart AM, Kingwell BA. Muscular strength training is associated with lower arterial compliance and high pulse pressure. *Hypertension* 1999;33:1385-91.
96. Liang YL, Gatzka CD, Du XJ, Cameron JD, Kingwell BA, Dart AM. Effects of heart rate on arterial compliance in men. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* 1999;26:342-6.
97. Mangoni AA, Mircoli L, Giannattasio C, Ferrari AU, Mancia G. Heart rate-dependence of arterial distensibility in vivo. *J Hypertens* 1996;14:897-901.
98. Topouchian J, Brisac AM, Pannier B, Vicaud E, Safar M, Asmar R. Assessment of the acute arterial effects of converting enzyme inhibition in essential hypertension: a double-blind, comparative and crossover study. *J Hum Hypertens* 1998;12:181-7.
99. Ting CT, Yang TM, Chen JW, Chang MS, Yin FC. Arterial hemodynamics in human hypertension: effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition. *Hypertension* 1993;22:839-46.
100. Benetos A, Santoni JP, Safar ME. Vascular effects of intravenous infusion of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor perindoprilat. *J Hypertens* 1990;8:819-26.
101. Benetos A, Vasmant D, Thiery P, Safar M. Effects of ramipril on arterial hemodynamics. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1991;18:S153-6.
102. Asmar RG, Pannier B, Santoni JP, et al. Reversion of cardiac hypertrophy and reduced arterial compliance after converting enzyme inhibition in essential hypertension. *Circulation* 1988;78:941-50.
103. Shimamoto H, Shimamoto Y. Lisinopril improves aortic compliance and renal flow: comparison with nifedipine. *Hypertension* 1995;25:327-34.
104. Asmar RG, Benetos A, Chaucho-Teyara K, Raveau-Landon CM, Safar ME. Comparison of effects of felodipine versus hydrochlorothiazide on arterial diameter and pulse-wave velocity in essential hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* 1993;72:794-8.
105. De Cesaris R, Ranieri G, Filitti V, Andriani A. Large artery compliance in essential hypertension. Effects of calcium antagonism and beta-blocking. *Am J Hypertens* 1992;5:624-8.
106. Khder Y, Bray des Bosc L, el Ghawi R, et al. Calcium antagonists and thiazide diuretics have opposite effects on blood rheology and radial artery compliance in arterial hypertension: a randomized double-blind study. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 1998;12:457-62.
107. Benetos A, Cambien F, Gautier S, et al. Influence of the angiotensin II type 1 receptor gene polymorphism on the effects of perindopril and nitrendipine on arterial stiffness in hypertensive individuals. *Hypertension* 1996;28:1081-4.
108. Ting CT, Chou CY, Chang MS, Wang SP, Chiang BN, Yin FC. Arterial hemodynamics in human hypertension: effects of adrenergic blockade. *Circulation* 1991;84:1049-57.
109. Kelly R, Daley J, Avolio A, O'Rourke M. Arterial dilation and reduced wave reflection: benefit of diltiazem in hypertension. *Hypertension* 1989;14:14-21.
110. Trimarco B, Lembo G, De Luca N, et al. Effects of celiprolol on systemic and forearm circulation in hypertensive patients: a double-blind crossover study versus metoprolol. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1987;27:593-600.

111. Asmar RG, Kerihuel JC, Girerd XJ, Safar ME. Effect of bisoprolol on blood pressure and arterial hemodynamics in systemic hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* 1991;68:61-4.
112. Ting CT, Chen CH, Chang MS, Yin FC. Short- and long-term effects of antihypertensive drugs on arterial reflections, compliance and impedance. *Hypertension* 1995;26:524-30.
113. Laurent S, Arcaro G, Benetos A, Lafleche A, Hoeks A, Safar M. Mechanism of nitrate-induced improvement on arterial compliance depends on vascular territory. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1992;19:641-9.
114. Levenson J, Bouthier J, Chau NP, Roland E, Simon AC. Effects of nicorandil on arterial and venous vessels of the forearm in systemic hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* 1989;63:40J-3J.
115. Laurent S, Lacolley PM, Cuche JL, Safar ME. Influence of diuretics on brachial artery diameter and distensibility in hypertensive patients. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 1990;4:685-93.
116. Chen C-H, Ting C-T, Nussbacher A, et al. Validation of carotid artery tonometry as a means of estimating augmentation index of ascending aortic pressure. *Hypertension* 1996;27:168-75.