

Techniques to monitor respiratory drive and inspiratory effort

Irene Telias^{a,b,c} and Savino Spadaro^d

Purpose of review

There is increased awareness that derangements of respiratory drive and inspiratory effort are frequent and can result in lung and diaphragm injury together with dyspnea and sleep disturbances. This review aims to describe available techniques to monitor drive and effort.

Recent findings

Measuring drive and effort is necessary to quantify risk and implement strategies to minimize lung and the diaphragm injury by modifying sedation and ventilation. Evidence on the efficacy of such strategies is yet to be elucidated, but physiological and epidemiological data support the need to avoid injurious patterns of breathing effort.

Some techniques have been used in research for decades (e.g., esophageal pressure or airway occlusion pressure), evidence on their practical utility is growing, and technical advances have eased implementation. More novel techniques (e.g., electrical activity of the diaphragm and ultrasound) are being investigated providing new insights on their use and interpretation.

Summary

Available techniques provide reliable measures of the intensity and timing of drive and effort. Simple, noninvasive techniques might be implemented in most patients and the more invasive or time-consuming in more complex patients at higher risk. We encourage clinicians to become familiar with technical details and physiological rationale of each for optimal implementation.

Keywords

airway occlusion pressure, electrical activity of the diaphragm, esophageal pressure, respiration artificial, respiratory drive, ultrasound

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory drive is the intensity of the neural output from the respiratory centers that control the magnitude of inspiratory effort [1^{••}]. In normal conditions, the respiratory centers adapt breathing effort to the patient's needs. However, derangements of respiratory drive during critical illness are frequent [2[•]], placing the patients at risk of lung and diaphragm injury (i.e., patient self-inflicted lung injury -P-SILIand *myotrauma*, respectively). Additionally, an abnormal drive can result in dyspnea, sleep disturbances, and hinder weaning. For example, low respiratory drive because of excessive sedation and overassistance [3] results, not only in disuse atrophy, but also in frequent apneas leading to sleep fragmentation. On the other hand, high respiratory drive, as in the context of pneumonia and systemic inflammation, leads to excess loading of the diaphragm, stress and strain to the lungs, and dyspnea.

Consequently, monitoring respiratory drive and effort is necessary to quantify the risk of injury by detecting low drive and effort in the context of overassistance and excessive drive and effort frequent during underassistance. Techniques to monitor drive and effort have not been implemented at the bedside despite being used in research for many years. Integrating the information arising from these techniques with patient's clinical condition and ventilator settings can assist in implementing strategies aimed at

Curr Opin Crit Care 2019, 25:000-000 DOI:10.1097/MCC.000000000000680

1070-5295 Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com

^aInterdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, ^bKeenan Research Centre, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, ^cDepartment of Medicine, Division of Respirology, University Health Network and Sinai Health System, Toronto, Ontario, Canada and ^dDepartment of Morphology, Surgery and Experimental Medicine, Intensive Care Unit University of Ferrara, Sant'Anna Hospital, Ferrara, Italy

Correspondence to Irene Telias, MD, Critical Care Department, St. Michael's Hospital, 30 Bond St., 4th Floor, Room 4-079, Toronto, ON, Canada M5B 1T8. Tel: +1 416 864 5686; e-mail: telias.irene@gmail.com

KEY POINTS

- Monitoring respiratory drive and inspiratory effort are necessary to quantify the risk of injury and control the magnitude and timing of breathing effort.
- There are various techniques to monitor respiratory drive and effort, each of which provides unique information.
- Respiratory muscle weakness can result in measures of effort underestimating respiratory drive.
- Reference technique to monitor inspiratory effort requires the insertion of a nasogastric tube to measure esophageal pressure.
- Noninvasive techniques such as airway occlusion pressure or diaphragm ultrasound might be used in all patients to screen for potentially injurious patterns of drive and effort.

protecting the lung and the diaphragm, avoiding dyspnea, and improving sleep. The aim of this review is to describe the available techniques and help clinicians to integrate the unique information arising from each into the global clinical assessment.

DETERMINANTS OF RESPIRATORY DRIVE AND RELATIONSHIP WITH EFFORT

Understanding the determinants of respiratory drive and the relationship between drive and effort allows for the interpretation of any given physiological measurement. The chemoreflex control system (i.e., related to changes in pH and PaO₂) is the main controller of the respiratory drive in normal conditions [1^{••}]. However, during critical illness other factors modify respiratory drive. These include dyspnea, anxiety or pain, sleep depth, lung injury, inflammation, drugs (e.g., sedatives and opioids), and mechanical ventilation itself [2[•]].

Respiratory drive controls the magnitude of inspiratory effort (Fig. 1). Therefore, measures of respiratory drive (e.g., airway occlusion pressure) can be used to estimate inspiratory effort and measures of effort (e.g., esophageal pressure) to estimate drive. In fact, there is no available technique to directly measure drive arising from the brainstem, so it is always inferred on the basis of its output, including muscular effort. However, altered respiratory muscle function modifies the relationship between drive and effort resulting in a dissociation between them which needs to be considered when interpreting the measurements. For example, a patient with respiratory muscle dysfunction despite having a high respiratory drive will produce a low effort. Nonetheless, muscle function is relatively

stable within a patient in a short period, allowing to use these monitoring techniques to follow changes in individual patients.

INVASIVE TECHNIQUES

Esophageal and gastric pressures

The reference technique to monitor inspiratory effort requires measurement of esophageal pressure (Pes) by insertion of a nasogastric tube with an airfilled balloon close to the tip [4] in the distal third of the esophagus [5,6]. Esophageal pressure (Peso) can be displayed on the screen of several ventilators, some providing basic calculations (e.g., transpulmonary pressure). Alternatively, Peso can be transduced using dedicated respiratory monitors which usually perform more complex calculations. If none is available, regular ICU monitors can also be used. Using Peso is simple but requires some technical and physiological expertise for insertion (i.e., positioning and balloon filling) and interpretation [5,6]. Peso is a surrogate of pleural pressure [7^{••}]; therefore, inspiratory effort results in a negative deflection in Peso.

Several parameters can be calculated on the basis of Peso (Fig. 1, Table 1). The simplest is the **negative** deflection in Peso during inspiration (Δ Peso or swing in Peso). In a recent study by Yoshida *et al.* [8[•]], a decrease in Δ Peso following an increase in positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) accurately reflected changes in lung stress and strain measured by electrical impedance tomography.

However, $\Delta Peso$ somewhat underestimates the muscular pressure (Pmus) during inspiration and does **not** account for the **duration** of the effort. Therefore, the reference parameter for measuring inspiratory effort is the pressure-time product of the esophagus (PTPes) [9]. It is the pressure developed by all respiratory muscles over the duration of inspiration and correlates with energy expenditure [10]. PTPes is calculated as the area enclosed between the chest wall elastic recoil pressure and Peso during inspiration. It requires a special software for calculation and to estimate or measure chest wall elastance. Work of breathing is calculated using the Campbell diagram [11] as the area enclosed within the Peso-volume loop and quantifies effort during volume displacement. It has been recently used to recognize expiratory muscle activity and a special patient-ventilator dyssynchrony (reverse triggering) in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome [12[•]-14[•]].

Gastric pressure (Pga) is measured using a nasogastric tube with an additional balloon in the stomach. It allows to calculate transdiaphragmatic

2 www.co-criticalcare.com



FIGURE 1. Parameters used to monitor respiratory drive and inspiratory effort. Panel (a) Anatomical distribution of the parameters used to measure respiratory drive and effort. Activation of respiratory centers results in depolarization of the diaphragm (Eadi) and other respiratory muscles (not shown), muscle contraction occurs (muscular pressure measured with TFdi and parameters derived from Peso and Pga,) and breathing pattern (Vt – RR) resulting from the interaction between inspiratory effort, respiratory mechanics and ventilatory support. (b) Tracings of respiratory signals over time showing the most relevant parameters. (c) Detailed representation of the measurement of PO.1. (d) Campbell diagram represented as the area enclosed in the esophageal pressure-volume loop relative to the chest wall recoil pressure. Black area represents resistive work and grey, elastic work. ΔEAdi, change in EAdi during occluded breath; ΔPaw, change in Paw during occluded breath; ΔPdi: change in Pdi during tidal breathing; ΔPeso, change in Peso during tidal breathing; Eadi, electrical activity of the diaphragm; EAdi_{peak}, maximal electrical activity of the diaphragm during tidal breathing; PO.1, airway occlusion pressure; Paw, airway pressure; Pcw, chest-wall recoil pressure; Pdi, transdiaphragmatic pressure; Peso, esophageal pressure; Pga, gastric pressure; Pmus: muscular pressure; PTPdi, pressure-time product of the diaphragm; PTPes, pressure-time product of the esophagus; RR, respiratory rate; Tfdi, thickening of the diaphragm measured with ultrasound; VT, tidal volume.

pressure (Pdi, i.e., pressure developed by the diaphragm calculated as the difference between Pga and Peso). Pga is also useful to quantify the contribution of expiratory muscles to inspiration [15^{••}]. Expiratory muscles are activated in the context of high respiratory drive and decreased inspiratory muscle function such as during weaning failure (Fig. 2). In that context, relaxation of expiratory muscles (abdominal and intercostal) can assist inspiration [16[•]].

Electrical activity of the diaphragm

Electrical activity of the diaphragm (Eadi) (Fig. 1) is obtained continuously by a nasogastric catheter with a multiple-array of electrodes positioned in the lower esophagus behind the diaphragm connected to a special type of ventilator (Servo, Getinge, Solna, Sweden) [17]. The electromyogram signal is processed [18] and Eadi is continuously displayed on the ventilator screen representing the electrical activation of the crural diaphragm, which is proportional to the stimulus by the phrenic nerve [19]. Eadi is, anatomically, the closest signal to the respiratory center's output, however, to be a good surrogate of respiratory drive various assumptions need to be valid: *First*, phrenic nerve and neuromuscular junction should be intact; *second*, the patient should use the diaphragm as the main inspiratory muscle (rather than accessory muscles); and *third*, activity of the crural diaphragm should be representative of the total diaphragm.

Additionally, maximum Eadi during tidal breathing (Eadi_{peak}) can be used to quantify inspiratory effort given that is strongly correlated with Pdi and Peso [20]. However, prevalence of diaphragm weakness in the ICU is high [21]. Therefore, in many patients, Eadi_{peak} may underestimate inspiratory effort [1^{••}]. The range of Eadi_{peak} varies considerably between patients [22[•]], but might still serve as a reliable parameter to follow changes in individual patients.

Muscular pressure during tidal breathing can be estimated from Eadi by multiplying Eadi_{peak} by a coefficient that represents the neuromechanical efficiency of the diaphragm (NME_{occ}). NME_{occ} is the ratio of the change in airway pressure over the change in Eadi during an end-expiratory occlusion (Δ Paw/ Δ EAdi) [20]. A recent study showed that an average of at least three values of NME_{occ} should be measured [23[•]]. Pressure-time product of the diaphragm (PTPdi) can also be calculated on the basis of Eadi using NME_{occ}. It was recently shown that overassistance during pressure support is frequent because of excessive unloading of the diaphragm measured with PTPdi estimated from Eadi [24].

1070-5295 Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com 3

Parameter	Clinical meaning	Caveats as measure of respiratory drive	Caveats as measure of inspiratory effort	Reference values
Require activation of the muscle		Not useful during paralysis	Respiratory muscle weakness: overestimation of inspiratory effort	
Eadi _{peak} (µV)	Maximal electrical activation of the diaphragm during tidal breathing	Only if diaphragm is the main inspiratory muscle Variable between patients	$\label{eq:expansion} \begin{split} \text{EAdi}_{\text{peak}} \times \text{NME}_{\text{occ}} \text{ to estimate} \\ \text{Pmus} \end{split}$	HS 17 [13-22]
Require muscle contraction		Muscle weakness: underestimation of respiratory drive (except P0.1) Not useful during paralysis		
$\Delta Peso$ (cmH ₂ O)	Negative deflection in pleural pressure during inspiration		Some underestimation of effort	Intermediate in ICU \sim 5–10
Pmus–PTPes (cmH ₂ O– cmH ₂ O s/min)	Pressure generated by all inspiratory muscles		Require calculations and Ecw	HS 86 ± 21 High in ICU > 200 Low in ICU < 50
Pdi-PTPdi (cmH ₂ O- cmH ₂ O s/min)	Pressure generated by the diaphragm		Requires calculation	
wob (J/I)	Patient's work during inspiration		Require calculations and Ecw. Work only during volume displacement	HS 0.2-0.9
Tfdi (%)	Reflects diaphragmatic contraction. Association with clinical outcomes		Diaphragmatic contribution to inspiration. Not continuous	HS 20 ± 15
EXdi (cm)	Vertical displacement the diaphragm		ldem+valid during unassisted breathing	<1 weakness
P0.1 (cmH ₂ O)	Drop in Paw during first 100 ms of occluded breath. Available in most ventilators	Not influenced by mechanics and muscle dysfunction; however, some muscle contraction required (i.e., absence of paralysis)	Muscle weakness: overestimation of inspiratory effort. Still useful for low and high effort	HS 0.5-1.5 High in ICU≥3.5 Low in ICU <mark><1.</mark> 3
RR, VT	Interaction: inspiratory effort, ventilator and mechanics	RR: not variable PaCO ₂ 23–45 mmHg, decreases with opiods and insufflation. VT: Influenced by settings and mechanics.	Dependent on settings and mechanics.	RR < 17 low drive-effort in ICU
Paw and flow waveform	Patient–ventilator interaction results in unique waveform patterns	Informative on the status of drive		

Table 1. Parameters used to monitor respiratory drive and inspiratory effort

ΔPeso, negative deflection in esophageal pressure during tidal breathing; Eadi_{peak}, maximal electrical activity of the diaphragm during tidal breathing; Ecw, chest wall elastance; EXdi, diaphragmatic excursion measured with ultrasound; HS, healthy subjects; NME_{occ}, index of neuromechanical efficiency of the diaphragm (ΔPaw/ΔEadi during end-expiratory occlusion); P0.1, airway occlusion pressure; Paw, airway pressure; Pdi, transdiaphragmatic pressure; Pmus, muscular pressure; PTPdi, transdiaphragmatic pressure-time product; PTPes, pressure-time product of the diaphragm; RR, respiratory rate; Tfdi, thickening fraction of the diaphragm measured by ultrasound; VT, tidal volume; WOB, work of breathing .

Additional use of esophageal pressure and electrical activity of the diaphragm

Peso can also be used to quantify driving pressure, transpulmonary pressures and auto-PEEP. Additionally, both Eadi and Peso are useful to confirm lack of muscle activation if complete passive mechanical ventilation is desired. Eadi and Peso are also a valuable tool to diagnose dyssynchronies [25]. Finally, if already in place at time of extubation they can be used to quantify inspiratory effort after extubation [26[•]] and potentially guide prophylactic noninvasive

4 www.co-criticalcare.com

Volume 25 • Number 00 • Month 2019



FIGURE 2. <u>Respiratory waveforms</u> to detect <u>overassistance</u> (low respiratory drive), <u>underassistance</u> (high respiratory drive) and <u>expiratory</u> muscle <u>activity</u>. Respiratory tracings are represented over time. Panel (a) <u>Overassistance</u> in pressure regulated modes. On the left, the patient performs small breathing efforts (negative deflections in Peso) that trigger the ventilator (arrow), followed by relaxation of the respiratory muscles (positive deflection in Peso). In the flow tracing, the flat line suggests that breathing effort ceased resulting in passive insufflation (asterisk). On the right, ineffective effort occurs as a result of overassistance resulting in deformation of the expiratory flow curve. In panel (b) <u>Underassistance</u>. On the left, flow starvation on volume-controlled ventilation with square waveform resulting in scooping of the Paw curve proportional to breathing effort. On the right, breathing effort is long and strong enough to trigger a second insufflation delivered by the ventilator resulting in double triggering with breath-stacking. In panel (c) Tracings from a patient with <u>diaphragm dysfunction</u> after cardiac surgery are seen with an increase in the use of expiratory muscles to assist inspiration when ventilatory support is decreased (from left to right panel). The asterisks show the positive deflection in gastric pressure due to a caudal movement of the diaphragm (asterisk) when expiratory muscles are relaxed. Progressive increase in gastric pressure during expiration indicates activation of expiratory muscles (arrow) contributes to inspiration. Eadi, electrical activity of the diaphragm; Paw, airway pressure; Pdi, transdiaphragmatic pressure; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; Peso, esophageal pressure; Pga, gastric pressure; PS, pressure support.

ventilatory support in patients at higher risk for reintubation.

NONINVASIVE TECHNIQUES

Breathing pattern

Despite the widespread use for making clinically relevant decisions, respiratory rate and tidal volume are poor estimates of drive and effort and might lead to the lack of recognition of over and underassistance. They are influenced by abnormal respiratory mechanics, muscle dysfunction and ventilator settings (e.g., weak efforts can result in low-minute ventilation despite a high drive). Resting respiratory rate during critical illness is high, does not follow respiratory drive within a wide range (PaCO₂ from 23–45 mmHg) [27], and is decreased by opioids [28] and long insufflation times [29] independently of the drive. Nonetheless, a respiratory rate lower than

1070-5295 Copyright $\ensuremath{{\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}}$ 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com 5

17 has a good sensitivity and specificity (90 and 81%) to diagnose low drive and effort [3]. Additionally, increase in tidal volume in pressure regulated modes reflects an increase in drive and effort. However, a decrease in effort is not followed by a decrease in tidal volume below a certain threshold in the same context (i.e., minimum tidal volume) [30^{••}] leading to overassistance even in spontaneous modes (e.g., pressure support).

Ventilator waveforms and dyssynchronies

Information on the drive, strength, and timing of breathing effort can be obtained from bedside analysis of airway pressure (Paw) and flow waveforms. There are different dyssynchronies represented by specific waveform patterns (Fig. 2), each with unique physiological mechanisms and potential consequences [31].

Flow starvation, short cycling, and double triggering often appear with high respiratory drive and effort. Flow starvation is characterized by an indentation in the Paw curve, proportional to patient's effort [32]. During short cycling inspiratory effort is prolonged during exhalation resulting in a deformation of the expiratory flow and, if strong enough, results in double-triggering [33]. Delayed cycling and ineffective efforts are frequent with overassistance and low drive and effort. Ineffective efforts are recognized by a decrease in Paw with a simultaneous deceleration of expiratory flow during late expiration.

Reverse triggering only appears during controlled mechanical ventilation [34] consisting of inspiratory efforts occurring immediately after passive insufflation. It is probably related to low respiratory drive in the context of sedation [35[•]]. However, determinants of the magnitude of breathing effort in this circumstance are not known.

Waveform interpretation requires training and clinicians do not routinely detect dyssynchronies at the bedside [25]. However, it is likely a worthwhile effort, as ventilator waveforms are almost universally available, provide useful information on the patient's drive and effort, and automated systems to detect dyssynchronies are not widely available.

P0.1

Airway occlusion pressure (P0.1) is the drop in Paw during the first 100 ms of a breath against the occluded airway [36[•]] (Fig. 2). Modern ventilators display P0.1, some estimate P0.1 based on the drop in Paw during the trigger phase and others perform a short-end-expiratory occlusion manoeuver to measure P0.1. P0.1 is an excellent measure of respiratory drive, it increases proportionally to PaCO₂ even during assisted ventilation [37] and it is still valid despite respiratory muscle weakness [38] or altered mechanics. Intrinsic PEEP can result in a small bias in P0.1 for estimation of drive in COPD patients but is still useful for titrating ventilation in these patients [39]. Breath to breath variability of P0.1 is significant; therefore, an average of three values should be considered.

P0.1 correlates with inspiratory effort, can be used to <u>titrate support</u> in an individual patient by following changes in P0.1 and can also be used to detect excessive and low inspiratory effort. A P0.1 higher than $3.5 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ showed a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 89% [40] to detect excessive inspiratory effort.

Ultrasound

The diaphragm can be visualized using ultrasound in the zone of apposition. As the diaphragm contracts, it thickens; therefore, measurement of diaphragm thickening fraction (TFdi) is a good estimate of inspiratory effort [41,42] that correlates with Eadi and PTPes [43] (Fig. 3). M-mode is used to measure diaphragm thickness at end-inspiration (Tei), endexpiration (Tee), and calculation of TFdi (TFdi = (Tei – Tee)/Tee) [41,42]. Additionally, TFdi during a maximal effort can be used to assess diaphragm function [44].

Limitations of ultrasound include the need for equipment, some training for acquisition and the fact that is neither continuous nor synchronized with the ventilator waveforms. However, it is simple and inspiratory effort measured by TFdi was recently correlated with clinically relevant outcomes [45^{••}]. A decrease in diaphragmatic thickness is common during mechanical ventilation, consistent with the high prevalence of overassistance myotrauma [46], it is associated with impaired diaphragm function and worse clinical outcomes during mechanical ventilation [45^{••}]. Excessive inspiratory effort measured with ultrasound was also associated with worse clinical outcomes and an intermediate TFdi similar to that of healthy patients at rest (15-30%)was associated with shorter duration of mechanical ventilation, lower risk of reintubation and tracheostomy [45**].

Diaphragmatic excursion is another measure of diaphragm activity (Fig. 3) [42]obtained using a different technique and only valid during unassisted breathing. It can be used to identify patients with diaphragm dysfunction during a weaning trial (defined as a diaphragmatic excursion < 10 mm or negative). Based on a recent study by Spadaro *et al.*

6 www.co-criticalcare.com

Volume 25 • Number 00 • Month 2019



FIGURE 3. Ultrasonographic evaluation of the diaphragm. (a) Ultrasound evaluation of the right hemidiaphragm obtained in the zone of apposition. The diaphragm can be identified as a three-layered structure, consisting of the muscle itself (hypoechogenic) delimited by pleural and peritoneal membranes (hyperechogenic). This figure shows the difference between thickening fraction (TFdi) during normal breathing ((a) and (b) in the figure) and maximal inspiratory efforts ((c) and (d) in the figure) in a healthy volunteer. TFdi is calculated using the M-mode as [thickness at peak inspiration (Tei) – thickness at end expiration (Tee)]/thickness at end expiration (Tee). In this case, the TFdi raised from 25 to over 200%, showing a great inspiratory reserve. (B) Ultrasonographic M-mode images from the right hemidiaphragm of a healthy volunteer. The figure shows a normal diaphragm motion. The diaphragmatic displacement is 2.8 cm during tidal breathing (from (a) to (b)) (normal range > 1.2 cm) and higher during maximal inspiratory effort (7.5 cm).

[47], this measure combined with the rapid shallow breathing index during a trial of T-piece can accurately predict weaning failure.

A recent study showed that assessment of diaphragm function in isolation using ultrasound (combining TFdi and excursion) during unassisted breathing on T-piece is not a good predictor of reintubation within one week in higher risk patients that successfully passed a trial of spontaneous breathing (SBT) [48[•]]. An adequate respiratory muscle function, including that of the diaphragm and accessory muscles, is required to maintain spontaneous breathing after extubation, predicted by a successful SBT. However, despite sufficient inspiratory muscle function, extubation failure can still occur because of decreased level of consciousness and difficulty in managing secretions. This might explain the poor diagnostic performance of diaphragm ultrasound in isolation to predict extubation failure.

There is growing interest in the role of accessory muscles in critical illness, being particularly relevant during weaning. Accessory muscles assist the diaphragm during inspiration and are key in the development of an effective cough. Ultrasound might be of great value in assessing structure and activity of accessory muscles (intercostal and abdominal) **[15**^{••}]. Additionally, diaphragmatic ultrasound has shown striking concordance with Peso [49] and might assist in diagnosing patient–ventilator dyssynchronies in the future if technical advances in synchronization with ventilator waveforms are made.

CLINICAL APPLICATIONS, CONCLUSION, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Physiological and epidemiological data suggest that avoiding injurious breathing effort (i.e., excessive or minimal efforts) is preferable. Patients at higher risk are those with more severe lung injury, systemic inflammation, baseline respiratory muscle dysfunction, or hemodynamic compromise. There are various techniques to monitor respiratory drive and effort that range from very simple (e.g., P0.1) to more complex and time-consuming (e.g., Peso). Simple techniques should be used in most patients and more precise measures such as Peso might be warranted in those at higher risk and more difficult to manage throughout the course of mechanical ventilation (from intubation to the weaning phase). Clinical research focused on what should be the target range of inspiratory effort and strategies to control respiratory drive is ongoing. Additionally,

1070-5295 Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com

technical advances in the monitoring techniques are also expected. For example, automated algorithms to detect injurious patterns of breathing effort are being developed. In the meantime, we encourage clinicians to combine specific information arising from different monitoring techniques to tailor mechanical ventilation to patient's individual physiological characteristics.

Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank Dr. Katerina Vaporidi for providing a respiratory tracing to illustrate ineffective efforts.

Financial support and sponsorship

I.T. is supported by salary support grant from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research in the form of a postdoctoral fellowship.

Conflicts of interest

I.T. received teaching honoraria from Covidien, Argentina and consulting fees from MbMED SA, Argentina, outside the submitted work; Savino Spadaro received funding for travel/accommodation/congress registration from Getinge.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest
- Vaporidi K, Akoumianaki E, Telias I, et al. Respiratory drive in critically ill
 patients: pathophysiology and clinical implications. Am J Respir Crit Care Med
 2019. [Epub ahead of print]

Detailed review on the determinants of respiratory drive during critical illness, influence of mechanical ventilation on it, and monitoring techniques. It uses a theoretical model based on experimental data to explain how various factors influence the chemoreflex control system.

Telias I, Brochard L, Goligher EC. Is my patient's respiratory drive (too) high?
 Intensive Care Med 2018; 44:1936–1939.

Concise review on the determinants of respiratory drive and clinical relevance of excessive drive and effort.

- Pletsch-Assuncao R, Caleffi Pereira M, Ferreira JG, et al. Accuracy of invasive and noninvasive parameters for diagnosing ventilatory overassistance during pressure support ventilation. Crit Care Med 2017; 46:1.
- Mojoli F, Chiumello D, Pozzi M, et al. Esophageal pressure measurements under different conditions of intrathoracic pressure: an in vitro study of second generation balloon catheters. Minerva Anestesiol 2015; 81:855–864.
- Akoumianaki E, Prinianakis G, Kondili E, et al. Physiologic comparison of neurally adjusted ventilator assist, proportional assist and pressure support ventilation in critically ill patients. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2014; 203:82–89.
- Mauri T, Yoshida T, Bellani G, et al. Esophageal and transpulmonary pressure in the clinical setting: meaning, usefulness and perspectives. Intensive Care Med 2016; 42:1360–1373.
- 7. Yoshida T, Amato MB, Grieco DL, et al. Esophageal manometry and regional
- transpulmonary pressure in lung injury. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 197:1018-1026.

Study in human cadavers and pigs demonstrating that esophageal pressure is an adequate surrogate of pleural pressure at midchest by comparing measures of esophageal pressure with pressure sensors in the pleural space.

 Morais CC, Koyama Y, Yoshida T, et al. High positive end-expiratory pressure
 renders spontaneous effort non-injurious. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 352:1-58

In animal models of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), the authors demonstrate that high positive endexpiratory pressure decreases inspiratory effort measured with esophageal pressure.

- Jubran A, Tobin MJ. Pathophysiologic basis of acute respiratory distress in patients who fail a trial of weaning from mechanical ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997; 155:906–915.
- McGregor M, Becklake MR. The relationship of oxygen cost of breathing to respiratory mechanical work and respiratory force. J Clin Invest 1961; 40:971-980.
- Cabello B, Mancebo J. Work of breathing. Intensive Care Med 2006; 32:1311-1314.
- Baedorf Kassis E, Loring SH, Talmor D. Lung volumes and transpulmonary pressure are decreased with expiratory effort and restored with passive breathing in ARDS: a reapplication of the traditional Campbell diagram. Intensive Care Med 2018; 44:534-536.

Measurement of expiratory work using the Campbell diagram in a cohort of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome.

Baedorf Kassis E, Train S, MacNeil B, *et al.* Monitoring of neuromuscular
 blockade: a comparison of train-of-four and the Campbell diagram. Intensive Care Med 2018; 44:2305-2306.

Comparison of monitoring neuromuscular blockade with esophageal pressure using the Campbell diagram versus train-of-four showing discordance in ascertainment of effective paralysis between the methods.

14. Su HK, Loring SH, Talmor D, Baedorf Kassis E. Reverse triggering with breath stacking during mechanical ventilation results in large tidal volumes and

transpulmonary pressure swings. Intensive Care Med 2019; 45:1161–1162. Recognition of reverse triggering using the Campbell diagram in a cohort of patients with ARDS.

 Shi Z-H, Jonkman A, de Vries H, et al. Expiratory muscle dysfunction in critically ill patients: towards improved understanding. Intensive Care Med 2019; 45:1061-1071.

Narrative review on the relevance, physiological role, clinical relevance, and techniques to monitor the activity of the expiratory muscles.

 16. Doorduin J, Roesthuis LH, Jansen D, et al. Respiratory muscle effort during expiration in successful and failed weaning from mechanical ventilation. Anesthesiology 2018; 129:490-501.

Physiological study in patients wearing from mechanical ventilation showing that the contribution expiratory muscles to inspiration and neuromechanical efficiency is substantially increased in patients failing a spontaneous breathing trial.

- Sinderby C, Beck J, Spahija J, et al. Voluntary activation of the human diaphragm in health and disease. J Appl Physiol 1998; 85:2146-2158.
- Sinderby C, Navalesi P, Beck J, et al. Neural control of mechanical ventilation in respiratory failure. Nat Med 1999; 5:1433–1436.
- Lourenço RV, Cherniack NS, Malm JR, Fishman AP. Nervous output from the respiratory center during obstructed breathing. J Appl Physiol 1966; 21:527–533.
- Bellani G, Mauri T, Coppadoro A, et al. Estimation of patient's inspiratory effort from the electrical activity of the diaphragm. Crit Care Med 2013; 41:1483-1491.
- Dres M, Dubé B-P, Mayaux J, et al. Coexistence and impact of limb muscle and diaphragm weakness at time of liberation from mechanical ventilation in medical intensive care unit patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017; 195:57-66.
- Piquilloud L, Beloncle F, Richard JC, *et al.* Information conveyed by electrical diaphragmatic activity during unstressed, stressed and assisted spontaneous breathing: a physiology study. Ann Intensive Care 2019; 9:89–102.

Physiological study in healthy volunteers describing baseline magnitude of electrical activity of the diaphragm during normal breathing, under increased resistive load, and decrease in load by applying positive pressure.

 23. Jansen D, Scheffer GJ, Jonkman AH, et al. Estimation of the diaphragm neuromuscular efficiency index in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients. Crit Care 2018; 22:1–8.

Physiological study in 31 patients under assisted mechanical ventilation that shows that neuromuscular efficiency index (NME_{occi}) has great variability within each patient and an average of at least three values should be considered.

- Di Mussi R, Spadaro S, Mirabella L, *et al.* Impact of prolonged assisted ventilation on diaphragmatic efficiency: NAVA versus PSV. Crit Care 2016; 20:1.
- Colombo D, Cammarota G, Alemani M, et al. Efficacy of ventilator waveforms observation in detecting patient-ventilator asynchrony. Crit Care Med 2011; 39:2452–2457.
- 26. Di Mussi R, Spadaro S, Stripoli T, et al. High-flow nasal cannula oxygen
- therapy decreases postextubation neuroventilatory drive and work of breathing in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Crit Care 2018; 22:1-11.

First physiological study to demonstrate that the use of high-flow nasal oxygen decreases respiratory drive and inspiratory effort after extubation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

- Georgopoulos D, Mitrouska I, Bshouty Z, et al. Respiratory response to CO2 during pressure-support ventilation in conscious normal humans. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997; 156:146–154.
- Costa R, Navalesi P, Cammarota G, et al. Remifentanil effects on respiratory drive and timing during pressure support ventilation and neurally adjusted ventilatory assist. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2017; 244:10–16.
- Laghi F, Karamchandani K, Tobin MJ. Influence of ventilator settings in determining respiratory frequency during mechanical ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999; 160:1766–1770.

Volume 25 • Number 00 • Month 2019

Meza S, Mendez M, Ostrowski M, Younes M. Susceptibility to periodic
 ■ breathing with assisted ventilation during sleep in normal subjects. J Appl Physiol 2017; 85:1929–1940.

Narrative review on the definition, pathophysiology, and clinical consequences of dyssynchronies with detailed description of the different patterns.

- Pham T, Telias I, Piraino T, et al. Asynchrony consequences and management. Crit Care Clin 2018; 34:325–341.
- MacIntyre NR, McConnell R, Cheng KC, Sane A. Patient-ventilator flow dyssynchrony: flow-limited versus pressure-limited breaths. Crit Care Med 1997; 25:1671–1677.
- Beitler JR, Sands SA, Loring SH, et al. Quantifying unintended exposure to high tidal volumes from breath stacking dyssynchrony in ARDS: the BREATHE criteria. Intensive Care Med 2016; 42:1427–1436.
- Akoumianaki E, Lyazidi A, Rey N, *et al.* Mechanical ventilation-induced reversetriggered breaths: a frequently unrecognized form of neuromechanical coupling. Chest 2013; 143:927–938.
- 35. Bourenne J, Guervilly C, Mechati M, et al. Variability of reverse triggering in
- deeply sedated ARDS patients. Intensive Care Med 2019; 45:725-726.

Ancillary analysis of a randomized trial in 21 patients that found absence of reverse triggering in patients under neuromuscular blockade and presence in 3/10 patients without neuromuscular blockade.

Generation 10, 2008
 Generation 20, 2008<

Short review on the clinical use and technical pitfalls of airway occlusion pressures (P0.1) in patients under assisted-mechanical ventilation.

- Mauri T, Grasselli G, Suriano G, et al. Control of respiratory drive and effort in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation patients recovering from severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiology 2016; 125:159–167.
- Holle RH, Schoene RB, Pavlin EJ. Effect of respiratory muscle weakness on P0.1 induced by partial curarization. J Appl Physiol 1984; 57:1150–1157.
- Conti G, Cinnella G, Barboni E, et al. Estimation of occlusion pressure during assisted ventilation in patients with intrinsic PEEP. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1996; 154:907–912.
- Rittayamai N, Beloncle F, Goligher EC, et al. Effect of inspiratory synchronization during pressure-controlled ventilation on lung distension and inspiratory effort. Ann Intensive Care 2017; 7:100.
- **41.** Goligher EC, Laghi F, Detsky ME, *et al.* Measuring diaphragm thickness with ultrasound in mechanically ventilated patients: feasibility, reproducibility and validity. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41:642–649.

- Matamis D, Soilemezi E, Tsagourias M, et al. Sonographic evaluation of the diaphragm in critically ill patients: technique and clinical applications. Intensive Care Med 2013; 39:801–810.
- 43. Vivier E, Dessap AM, Dimassi S, *et al.* Diaphragm ultrasonography to estimate the work of breathing during noninvasive ventilation. Intensive Care Med 2012; 38:796–803.
- Dres M, Goligher EC, Heunks LM, Brochard LJ. Critical illnessassociated diaphragm weakness. Intensive Care Med 2017; 43: 1441-1452.
- 45. Goligher EC, Dres M, Fan E, et al. Mechanical ventilation-induced diaphragm atrophy strongly impacts clinical outcomes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 197:204-213.

Cohort study that demonstrates for the first time that both excessive and low inspiratory effort measured by ultrasound is associated with worse clinical outcomes related to liberation from mechanical ventilation compared with having an intermediate range of inspiratory effort during the first three days of mechanical ventilation. Personal perspective that models the mechanism of injury to the respiratory muscles related to mechanical ventilation by introducing the concept of myotrauma. It also demonstrates, by doing a mediation analysis that the relationship between inspiratory effort and adverse clinical outcomes might be mediated by changes in diaphragm thickness (increase or decrease) suggesting that overassistance and underassistance myotrauma are relevant pathophysiological mechanisms of adverse consequences of injurious inspiratory efforts.

- 46. Goligher EC, Brochard LJ, Reid WD, et al. Diaphragmatic myotrauma: a mediator of prolonged ventilation and poor patient outcomes in acute respiratory failure. Lancet Respir Med 2019; 7:90–98.
- 47. Spadaro S, Grasso S, Mauri T, et al. Can diaphragmatic ultrasonography performed during the T-tube trial predict weaning failure? The role of diaphragmatic rapid shallow breathing index. Crit Care 2016; 20: 1–11.
- **48.** Vivier E, Muller M, Putegnat JB, *et al.* Inability of diaphragm ultrasound to predict extubation failure. Chest 2019; 155:1131-1139.

Cohort study that shows that in the subgroup of patients at high risk of extubation failure despite having passed a spontaneous breathing trial, indexes of diaphragmatic dysfunction measured by ultrasound cannot predict the need for reintubation.

 Soilemezi E, Vasileiou M, Spyridonidou C, et al. Understanding patientventilator asynchrony using diaphragmatic ultrasonography. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2019; 200:e27–e28.