https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-03216-7

(2020) 24:504

Tatham et al. Critical Care

Open Access

Helmet interface increases lung volumes at equivalent ventilator pressures compared to the face mask interface during noninvasive ventilation



Kate C. Tatham^{1,2,3*}, Matthew Ko³, Lisa Palozzi³, Stephen E. Lapinsky^{2,3}, Laurent J. Brochard^{2,4} and Ewan C. Goligher^{2,3,5}

Keywords: Non-invasive ventilation, Helmet, Face mask, Acute hypoxemic respiratory failure

Main text

Non-invasive ventilation (NIV) delivered by a helmet interface in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) has been associated with a lower rate of intubation, and mortality, compared to face mask NIV [1]. The mechanism accounting for this apparent benefit is uncertain; postulated mechanisms include more effective delivery of airway pressure due to better sealing of the interface and/or higher inspiratory flows.

During routine care of two patients with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure, we used electrical impedance tomography ('EIT', Draeger Pulmovista 500) to monitor ventilation while transitioning from face mask to helmet NIV. The transition to helmet NIV was a clinical decision prompted by worsening respiratory failure on face mask NIV, with the goal of avoiding intubation. EIT is a noninvasive imaging technique that permits visualization of lung volumes and the distribution of ventilation. Its high temporal resolution can detect rapid changes in lung volume during tidal ventilation and during adjustments to



Transition from face mask to helmet NIV was associated with a significant increase in EELI, predominantly in the ventral lung regions (Fig. 1). These changes in EELI were consistent with increases in end-expiratory lung volume (EELV) of 690 ml and 320 ml above FRC in the first and second patients, respectively (Table 1). Tidal impedance variation was redistributed dorsally in the second patient, possibly reflecting recruitment of previously non-ventilated lung regions. In both patients, oxygen saturations improved and FiO₂ requirements decrease, on helmet NIV compared to face mask NIV (Table 1). The first patient required



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, with http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: kate@imperial.ac.uk

The data herein were originally presented at the American Thoracic Society conference, Washington DC 2017.

¹Section of Anaesthetics, Pain Medicine and Intensive Care, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College, 503 5th Floor Medical School Building, St Marys Hospital, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG, UK

²Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



intubation after several hours on NIV via helmet; after 7 days of invasive mechanical ventilation, the patient recovered and was discharged to the ward. The second patient recovered after 24 h on helmet NIV and was discharged to the ward. In summary, we observed that helmet NIV interface was associated with higher EELV compared to face mask NIV, even though the applied pressures were unchanged. This effect—and the potential lung recruitment that may accrue in some patients in consequence—might explain

Table 1 Lung volumes and distribution of ventilation under face mask and helmet NIV

	Patient 1			Patient 2		
Clinical history:	61-year-old female with metastatic small cell lung cancer admitted to the ICU for sepsis and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure			69-year-old female with acute myelogenous leukaemia admitted to ICU for acute hypoxemic respiratory failure		
	Face mask NIV	Transition (no NIV)	Helmet NIV	Face mask NIV	Transition (no NIV)	Helmet NIV
Ventilator	Draeger V500	n/a	Draeger V500	BiPap Vision	n/a	Draeger V500
NIV setting (IPAP/EPAP), cm $\rm H_2O$	12/8	n/a	12/8	10/8	n/a	10/8
Tidal impedance variation (mean, SD)	1404 (93)	773 (202)	1163 (259)	2123 (259)	1724 (487)	2564 (245)
Tidal volume, ml (mean, SD)	392 (26)	216 (56)	325 (72)	375 (46)	310 (86)	462 (43)
End-expiratory lung impedance (mean, SD)	550 (126)	234 (83)	3022 (147)	3623 (128)	1956 (559)	5203 (212)
Computed end-expiratory lung volume above FRC, ml (mean, SE)	88 (34)	Reference	778 (38)	253 (134)	Reference	574 (140)
Proportion of tidal impedance in variation in dorsal lung region (%)	56	50	57	45	42	57
Respiratory rate (min ⁻¹)	24	31	25	33	32	34
Peripheral oxygen saturation (%)	92	n/a	96	93	n/a	95
Set FiO ₂ *	0.55	n/a	0.4	0.5	n/a	0.4

SD standard deviation, SE standard error of the mean

*The FiO_2 stated represents the requirement as determined by the respiratory therapists (RTs). FiO_2 was titrated, depending on response, within approximately 1 h of the change in interface

the apparent benefit of helmet NIV observed in a recent trial [1]. The mechanism accounting for this increase is unclear, potentially due to either a reduction in leak or a reduction in expiratory muscle activation. Studies are required to confirm this clinical finding and to delineate the responsible mechanisms. Of note, this report is not intended to suggest that helmet NIV should be applied with identical settings to face mask NIV, as previous investigators have shown that increases in pressure are required to unload the respiratory muscles because of lags in the pressurization of the helmet [4]. Rather, these results suggest the possibility that for any given pressure applied, helmet NIV may more effectively maintain EELV in comparison to the face mask interface.

Abbreviations

ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome; EELI: End-expiratory lung impedance; EELV: End-expiratory lung volume; EIT: Electrical impedance tomography; EPAP: Expiratory positive airway pressure; IPAP: Inspiratory positive airway pressure; NIV: Non-invasive ventilation; TIV: Tidal impedance variation

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the valuable contributions of Mr. Tim Slogan RRT, Mr. John Traill RRT and Ms. Vagia Campbell RRT to this research letter.

Authors' contributions

KCT, MK, LP, SL, LJB and ECG contributed to the study conception and design and to the analysis/interpretation of data and manuscript preparation. All authors have approved the submitted version.

Funding

N/A

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Consent for publication was obtained from the 3 patients/legal representatives, although was later withdrawn in the third case.

Consent for publication

As above, consent for publication was obtained from the 3 patients/legal representatives, although was later withdrawn in the third case.

Competing interests

KT: Dr. Tatham reports grants from National Institute for Health Research (UK), the Academy of Medical Sciences and the European Institute of Innovation and Technology, outside the submitted work.

LB: Dr. Brochard reports grants from Medtronic Covidien, grants and nonfinancial support from Fisher Paykel, grants and non-financial support from Air Liquide, non-financial support from General Electric, from Philips, outside the submitted work.

EG: Dr. Goligher is supported by an Early Career Investigators Award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Dr Goligher also reports nonfinancial support from Draeger, non-financial support from Timpel, personal fees and non-financial support from Getinge, outside the submitted work. All remaining authors have nothing to disclose.

Author details

¹Section of Anaesthetics, Pain Medicine and Intensive Care, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College, 503 5th Floor Medical School Building, St Marys Hospital, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG, UK. ²Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. ³Intensive Care Unit, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada. ⁴Critical Care Department, St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Canada. $^{\rm S}$ Critical Care Department, Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, Canada.

Received: 3 June 2020 Accepted: 28 July 2020 Published online: 15 August 2020

References

- Patel BK, Wolfe KS, Pohlman AS, Hall JB, Kress JP. Effect of noninvasive ventilation delivered by helmet vs face mask on the rate of endotracheal intubation in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2016;315(22):2435–41.
- Kobylianskii J, Murray A, Brace D, Goligher E, Fan E. Electrical impedance tomography in adult patients undergoing mechanical ventilation: a systematic review. J Crit Care. 2016;35:33–50.
- Mauri T, Eronia N, Abbruzzese C, et al. Effects of sigh on regional lung strain and ventilation heterogeneity in acute respiratory failure patients undergoing assisted mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med. 2015;43(9):1823– 31.
- Vargas F, Thille A, Lyazidi A, Roche Campo F, Brochard LJ. Helmet with specific settings versus facemask for noninvasive ventilation. Crit Care Med. 2009;37(6):1921–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

