

Update in Critical Care 2015

Martin Dres^{1,2}, Jordi Mancebo³, and Gerard F. Curley^{1,2,4}

¹Department of Critical Care, St. Michael's Hospital and the Critical Illness and Injury Research Centre, Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science of St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ²Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care and ⁴Department of Anesthesia, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and ³Servei de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

This review documents important progress made in 2015 in the field of critical care. Significant advances in 2015 included further evidence for early implementation of low tidal volume ventilation as well as new insights into the role of open lung biopsy, diaphragmatic dysfunction, and a potential mechanism for ventilator-induced fibroproliferation. New therapies, including a novel low-flow extracorporeal CO₂ removal technique and mesenchymal stem cell-derived microparticles,

have also been studied. Several studies examining the role of improved diagnosis and prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia also showed relevant results. This review examines articles published in the *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* and other major journals that have made significant advances in the field of critical care in 2015.

Keywords: critical care; acute respiratory failure; mechanical ventilation; sepsis

Critical illness is among the leading medical, social, and economic burdens in the developed world. This article focuses on critical care research published in the *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* and other journals in 2015 and will update the reader on acute respiratory failure (including use of high-flow nasal cannula, noninvasive ventilation [NIV], acute respiratory distress syndrome [ARDS], diaphragm dysfunction, weaning, and extracorporeal approaches), infections in the critically ill (including ventilator associated pneumonia [VAP], community-acquired pneumonia [CAP], and sepsis) and intensive care unit (ICU) organizational challenges.

Acute Respiratory Failure

High-Flow Nasal Cannula

Over the past 2 decades, systems to deliver heated and humidified oxygen at high flows through nasal cannula have been developed as an alternative to standard oxygen delivery systems (i.e., Venturi masks or nasal prongs) and NIV. In 310 patients with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure without hypercapnia, the use of high-flow humidified oxygen via nasal cannula (HFNC), standard oxygen, or NIV were compared in a randomized clinical trial (1). The use of HFNC resulted in a similar rate of endotracheal intubation but significantly increased ventilator-free days and reduced mortality in the ICU and at 90 days when compared with either

NIV or standard oxygen (1). In a *post hoc* adjusted analysis that included the 238 patients with severe initial hypoxemia ($\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2 \leq 200$ mm Hg), the intubation rate was significantly lower among patients who received HFNC than among patients in the other two groups (1). The reduction in intubation rate in the severely hypoxemic patient subgroup probably explains the lower mortality rate encountered at 90 days postrandomization in the HFNC group as compared with standard oxygen and NIV groups.

Among a growing list of indications, there is increasing interest in the use of HFNC to provide apneic oxygenation during laryngoscopy. This preventive approach aims to increase the lowest arterial oxygen saturation experienced by patients

(Received in original form February 17, 2016; accepted in final form April 12, 2016)

Supported by the French Intensive Care Society (bourse de mobilité 2015), the 2015 Short Term Fellowship program of the European Respiratory Society, the 2015 Bernhard Dräger Award for advanced treatment of Acute Respiratory Failure of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine, the Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale grant FDM 20150734498 (M.D.); and the the Canadian Institute of Health Research, Government of Ontario, Ministry of Research and Innovation, Early Researcher Award, and Clinician Scientist Transition Award from the University of Toronto Department of Anesthesia (G.F.C.).

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Gerard F. Curley, M.B., M.Sc., Ph.D., Department of Anesthesia, Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science of St. Michael's Hospital, 30 Bond Street, Toronto, ON, M5B 1W8 Canada. E-mail gerard.curley@utoronto.ca

Am J Respir Crit Care Med Vol 194, Iss 1, pp 19–25, Jul 1, 2016

Copyright © 2016 by the American Thoracic Society

DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201602-0339UP

Internet address: www.atsjournals.org

undergoing endotracheal intubation. Until now, only anecdotal case reports, case series, and some “before and after” studies have provided an evidence base to guide the use of HFNC in adults requiring endotracheal intubation for respiratory failure. In an open-label randomized trial reported in the journal, **apneic oxygenation did not increase lowest arterial oxygen saturation** during endotracheal intubation of critically ill patients compared with usual care (2). A separate multicenter, randomized, open-label, controlled trial in 2015 **found similar results** (3).

NIV

NIV may improve the outcome of patients who succeed in NIV by **avoiding intubation** but may **worsen outcome** by **delaying intubation** in those who fail an NIV attempt (4). Data on current NIV use indicates better patient selection and a reduced NIV failure rate (5). In immunocompromised patients with acute nonhypercapnic respiratory failure, Lemiale and colleagues reported that early NIV compared with oxygen therapy alone did not reduce 28-day mortality (6). Nevertheless, because the observed mortality rate was lower than expected, the study power was limited.

ARDS

New insights into disease pathogenesis.

Despite the widespread adoption of **low tidal volume (V_T) ventilator strategies**, **investigators continue to report early fibroproliferative activity** in lungs of patients with ARDS (7, 8), a finding that has **historically** been associated with a **poor prognosis with high mortality** and/or prolonged ventilator dependence (9). Recent evidence demonstrates that mechanical ventilation, particularly where significant **overstretch** occurs, may drive the **pathogenesis of fibrosis** in patients with ARDS (10). Zhang and colleagues (11) demonstrated the role of **midkine**, a **heparin-binding cytokine** and growth factor associated with inflammation and tissue repair, on lung fibrosis. Midkine plasma levels in 17 mechanically ventilated patients with ARDS were threefold higher than in healthy volunteers, whereas cyclic mechanical stretch applied to cultured human lung epithelial cells induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition mediated by the midkine–Notch2–angiotensin-converting

enzyme signaling pathway (11). Midkine knockout mice injured with acid aspiration and mechanical ventilation exhibited less pulmonary fibrosis.

Levels of the soluble form of the receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) are elevated during ARDS and correlate with severity and prognosis (12). In a mouse model of acid-induced lung injury, and in 30 patients with ARDS, the rate of alveolar fluid clearance was inversely correlated with sRAGE levels in plasma and bronchoalveolar fluid (13). Therefore, sRAGE appears to be a valid marker of alveolar fluid clearance during ARDS.

Mortality in ARDS is strongly linked to nonpulmonary organ failure, yet the specific contribution of organ failure to ARDS mortality has not been evaluated (14). Recent findings support the idea that ARDS is an independent risk factor for delirium in mechanically ventilated patients, whereas at least some of the short-term mortality from ARDS may be attributable to this acute brain dysfunction (15).

Ventilation strategies. Currently, mechanical ventilation strategies in patients with ARDS consist of low V_T s and high positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). However, the effects of increasing PEEP on outcomes are critically dependent on its effects on oxygenation (16). The **beneficial** effects of **higher PEEP levels** appear to be **circumscribed** to those patients with the **most severe hypoxemia** or to those having a **significant oxygenation response to high PEEP** (16, 17). It is now evident that the **sooner this protective strategy is applied, the better the survival** (18, 19). The principle is to minimize ventilator-induced lung injury by titrating V_T according to predicted body weight. On the basis of the hypothesis that in patients with ARDS, the proportion of lung available for ventilation is markedly decreased, Amato and colleagues proposed **normalization of V_T to the respiratory system compliance** rather than **predicted lung volume** (20). Using the combined individual data of nine randomized trials, they found that the ratio of **V_T to respiratory system compliance (also called driving pressure)**, and easily measured on the ventilator) was the ventilation variable that **best stratified mortality risk** (20). However, the use of driving pressure is yet to be subjected to a high-quality

randomized controlled trial confirming its clinical utility and safety.

Potential new therapies. There is a growing interest in the use of **mesenchymal stem cells** (MSCs) as a treatment for ARDS. In the multicenter, open-label, dose-escalation, START (STem cells for ARDS Treatment) trial, nine patients with moderate-to-severe ARDS tolerated treatment with either low-, intermediate-, or high-dose MSCs (21). Interestingly, in a mouse model of bacterial pneumonia, human MSC-derived microvesicles reduced lung inflammation and enhanced bacterial clearance in a similar manner to MSCs themselves, by enhancing monocyte phagocytosis and improving epithelial cell bioenergetics (22). MSCs are capable of transferring mitochondria to epithelial cells and macrophages (23). In addition, MSCs secrete RNA containing exosomes, growth factors, cytokines, and lipid mediators that diminish inflammation and enhance bacterial clearance and tissue repair (23).

Progress in the understanding of ARDS pathophysiology and management requires new trials. New findings suggest that outcomes of patients enrolled in trials focusing on mechanical ventilation could differ significantly from nonenrolled but eligible patients (24). Nonenrollment in the OSCILLATE trial (Oscillation for ARDS Treated Early Trial) was associated with increased risk of mortality. In addition, eligible but nonenrolled patients ventilated with conventional mechanical ventilation had less severe ARDS than study patients, whereas patients treated with high-frequency oscillation outside the trial had more severe ARDS than study patients (24). This study points to the need for prospective tracking and transparent **reporting of this eligible but not enrolled cohort** as part of trial management (25).

Ventilator-induced Diaphragm Dysfunction

The relationship between mechanical ventilation and diaphragm atrophy has been established in several human studies. Using daily diaphragm ultrasound, in a large-scale observational study, Goligher and colleagues demonstrated **diaphragm atrophy** by reporting decreases in diaphragmatic thickness over time in ventilated patients (26). Moreover, they **correlated** these changes with the levels of **inspiratory effort**. This important finding could be helpful to better titrate

the amount of ventilator assistance (27). From a more fundamental aspect, the activation of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway has been involved in the development of contractile weakness during mechanical ventilation (28). This may indicate protein balance as an important therapeutic target to ameliorate diaphragm muscle weakness in critically ill patients (29).

Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation

Thille and colleagues identified **ineffective cough**, prolonged **duration** of mechanical ventilation, and **severe systolic left ventricular dysfunction** as **stronger** determinants of **extubation failure** than delirium or ICU-acquired **weakness** (30). They also observed that only one-third of patients who failed extubation were considered at high risk for extubation failure (30). This is in line with another study designed to investigate the agreement between physicians, nurses, and patients in the subjective assessment of breathlessness with the Numerical Rating Scale score at the end of a spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) (31). Although there were no major differences in objective assessments of respiratory function in patients with moderate or severe breathlessness, **no apparent relationship between breathlessness during the SBT and extubation outcome was observed**, either (31). This underlines the need for improved accuracy in the evaluation of patient dyspnea and discomfort (32). The use of another observation scale incorporating respiratory and behavioral signs, such as the Respiratory Distress Observation Scale, could be useful in this context (33).

In difficult-to-wean patients, **cardiac preload independence** (documented by the absence of increase in cardiac output during a passive leg raising maneuver) was significantly associated with the occurrence of **weaning-induced pulmonary edema** (34). Whether the effect of a preload dependence-directed **fluid removal** therapy can make the weaning process faster (35), as has been demonstrated for the **B-type natriuretic peptide** (36), should now be investigated.

Mehta and colleagues reported on the use, timing, and outcomes of **tracheostomy in 1,352,432 patients** (9% of the overall ventilated population) from 1993 to 2012 in the United States (37). They observed an increase in tracheostomies performed

through 2008, rising from 6.9% of mechanically ventilated patients in 1993 to 9.8% in 2008, with a yearly decline thereafter. Over the 2 decades there were shifts toward earlier tracheostomy (median, 11 d in 1998 vs. 10 d in 2012), shorter hospital length of stay (median, 39 d in 1993 vs. 26 d in 2012), more frequent discharges to long-term care facilities (40.1 vs. 71.9%), and fewer discharges from hospital to home. Determining the optimal timing for tracheostomy is a challenging clinical decision, as is appropriate discharge to a post ICU facility (38). A metaanalysis pooling 13 trials (2,434 patients) showed that **all-cause mortality in the ICU was not significantly lower** in patients assigned to **early versus late or no tracheostomy** (39), although the incidence of VAP was lower in the early group.

Extracorporeal Approaches

The use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for both respiratory and cardiac failure is evolving rapidly. Despite major technologic advances, **ECMO is still burdened with a high rate of complications** (40). Akin to other areas of medicine (41, 42), there are now consistent data demonstrating a link between higher annual ECMO patient volume and lower case-mix-adjusted hospital mortality rate: patients receiving ECMO at hospitals with **more than 30 adult ECMO cases per year** had significantly lower odds of mortality (43). Whether 30 ECMO cases per year is an appropriate benchmark of a quality service is not a straightforward decision, because other predictors of good outcomes are not yet defined (44).

Extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal (ECCO₂R) has been proposed as a means to facilitate ultraprotective low V_T mechanical ventilation. An innovative and minimally invasive ECCO₂R technique, namely **respiratory electro dialysis**, has been developed and tested successfully in swine (45). The respiratory electro dialysis gathers **three devices in one: hemofilter, membrane lung, and an electro dialysis cell**. It is designed to **regionally convert bicarbonate to CO₂** before entering the membrane lung, **enhancing membrane lung CO₂ extraction**. If the results are confirmed in humans, it could offer the opportunity for a **low blood flow ECCO₂R device**, with **less invasive cannulation**, to be

applied as an adjunct to mechanical ventilation in patients with ARDS or as a potential **alternative** to invasive mechanical ventilation for the support of **hypercapnic respiratory failure** (46).

Infections in the Critically Ill

VAP Prevention and Diagnosis

In patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation, colonization of the lower respiratory tract with oropharyngeal pathogens occurs rapidly after intubation. Modifications of the endotracheal tube cuff using **polyurethane**, a **conical shape**, or both, aimed at preventing the formation of **porous longitudinal folds and** achieving a more consistent seal with the trachea, have demonstrated promise in preclinical studies (47). A multicenter, open-label **study (48) failed to show any advantage** of the **new cuff** design or composition. The results indicated that **colonization** of the **lower respiratory tract on Day 2** after intubation occurred in approximately **two-thirds** of all study patients, whereas **only 14.4%** of these patients developed subsequent **VAP (47)**.

Several other measures aimed at preventing heavy colonization of the lower respiratory tract have been studied, including **subglottic secretion drainage**, head-of-bed elevation to 30 to 45°, selective digestive decontamination, chlorhexidine oral care, and probiotics (49). Branch-Elliman and colleagues (50) performed a cost-benefit analysis using model inputs from the medical literature and the U.S. Department of Labor to determine the preferred VAP prevention strategy. The preferred strategies included the use of subglottic suction endotracheal tubes, probiotics, and the Institute for Healthcare Improvement VAP Prevention Bundle. The accompanying commentary noted that increasing rates of **VAP attributed to antibiotic-resistant bacteria may make more expensive preventative measures cost effective (51)**.

To improve the reliability of VAP surveillance data as an indication of quality improvement, the U.S. National Healthcare Safety Network has proposed an **alternative definition**, termed **ventilator-associated events (VAEs)** (52). A key entity within this new definition is the ventilator-associated condition, a respiratory deterioration identified on the basis of ventilator settings

that may in some cases subsequently be classified as **infection-related ventilator-associated condition**, or as **possible** or **probable VAP**. Heretofore, evidence has been limited as to whether, how, and to what extent VAEs are preventable, because the overlap between VAEs and VAP seems very limited (53, 54). Klompas and colleagues (55) performed a multicenter study on the preventability of VAE by using SBTs and spontaneous awakening trials. In ICUs adopting the intervention, they observed reduced duration of mechanical ventilation, shortened length of ICU and hospital stay, and lowered incidence of VAE per episode of mechanical ventilation, without a significant reduction of VAP incidence or an overall effect on in-hospital mortality (55, 56). However, the improved outcomes may have been related to an improving baseline outcome (i.e., “secular” trends) or the complex social and environmental effects of bringing collective attention to a recognized clinical challenge (i.e., a Hawthorne effect) (57).

Rapid identification of VAP and

accurate selection of antimicrobial agents are important clinical goals (58). A significant proportion of patients may develop a bacterial infection before meeting the criteria for clinical diagnosis despite a substantial microbiological burden. Douglas and colleagues (59), in a prospective single-center cohort study, examined the role of surveillance with standard laboratory culturing versus a **novel automated microscopy method with same-day phenotypic antibiotic susceptibility analysis** to analyze lower respiratory tract specimens obtained before VAP clinical diagnosis. The results of clinical culture testing gave rise to changes in empiric antimicrobial prescribing for two of five patients (40%) found to be microbiologically positive for a VAP-associated pathogen but negative for VAP clinical diagnosis. In comparison with clinical culture results, **pathogen identification by automated microscopy was both sensitive (100%) and specific (97%), and it was quick (4.5 h)**.

CAP

Empiric antibiotic therapy often is initiated when patients are critically ill and physicians are unable to distinguish systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) from infection. However, there is hope that

systems biology approaches using high-throughput technologies will allow the development of **better sepsis biomarkers** (60). **Expression profiling** is one such rapid method for the unbiased screening of **thousands of mRNAs** in a single assay. In this respect, Scicluna and colleagues (61) defined a gene signature profile of 78 genes that distinguished patients with severe CAP from patients treated for pneumonia but subsequently found not to have had pneumonia (on the basis of a *post hoc* review of all available clinical, radiologic, and microbiologic evidence). Of the initial set of 78 selected gene transcripts, a ratio of two gene transcripts, FAIM3:PLAC8, proved to be the most robust discriminator between patients with and without severe CAP. The clinical utility of this gene array of the leukocyte host response to distinguish CAP from other causes of respiratory failure still has to be evaluated with sufficiently powered prospective trials (61).

Sepsis

A connection between patients' **susceptibility to sepsis** and **alterations** in the diverse microbial ecosystems on and in the human body (termed the **microbiome**) has been reinforced by a study of more than 10,000 hospital inpatients, stratified according to estimated **degrees of intestinal dysbiosis** (perturbation of the microbiome) (62). A strong and **consistent dose-response** relation was uncovered between **disorder of the microbiome and subsequent development of severe sepsis**. Further research is needed to define the natural history of microbiome recovery after hospitalization, to determine whether recovery can be accelerated (eg, via probiotics or fecal microbiota transplantation) and whether this can affect long-term outcomes.

Optimized antibiotic therapy is an intervention likely to improve treatment outcomes in severe sepsis (63). **β-Lactam** antibiotics display **time-dependent** activity where bacterial killing and treatment efficacy correlate with the duration of time that free plasma drug concentrations remain above the minimum inhibitory concentration of the offending pathogen. Dulhunty and colleagues (64) conducted a randomized controlled trial comparing **the use of continuous infusion versus intermittent dosing of β-lactams** in patients with severe sepsis. Disappointingly, the

authors **found no difference** in a range of relevant clinical outcomes, including 28- and 90-day survival, ICU-free days, clinical cure defined as objectively as possible, Day 14 organ failure-free days, and bacteremia duration for the small patient subset with bloodstream infections (64). Of note, **other clinical studies that have demonstrated benefit with continuous infusion dosing (65) recruited critically ill patients with conserved renal function** (because patients with reduced drug clearances are less likely to manifest subtherapeutic antibiotic exposures) and **isolated pathogens with high minimum inhibitory concentrations**.

The fact that **Candida** infections are **under-recognized** and the lack of sensitivity of culture methods would suggest a **possible role for empirical antifungals**, particularly in patients with recent **exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotics or immunosuppression**. In an observational longitudinal study of 1,491 severely ill ICU patients, results showed that empiric antifungal therapy had **no effect on 30-day mortality** or occurrence of invasive candidiasis in nonneutropenic and nontransplanted ICU patients (66). This study (66) and others (67) highlight the **challenge of demonstrating the benefit of antifungals in the absence of proven invasive candidiasis, even in ICU patient populations with multiple risk factors**.

Catheter-related infections (CRIs) are associated with substantial morbidity and **mortality** in the critically ill, **despite** efforts to decrease infection rates with the use of central line intervention “**bundles**.” **Ethanol lock solutions**, instilled as an antimicrobial solution into the lumen of the catheter, demonstrate **efficacy on biofilms** (a **permanent source of bacteremia**) *in vitro* and when used in a **prophylactic** fashion for the management of catheter-related bloodstream infection (68). Souweine and colleagues (69) evaluated the efficacy of a **2-minute ethanol lock (60% ethanol solution)** to prevent CRI in 1,460 adult ICU patients who were undergoing insertion of a nontunneled dialysis catheter for dialysis, plasma exchange, or both. Overall, 1.6% of subjects in the control group and 2.3% of subjects in the ethanol lock group experienced CRI (a **nonsignificant difference**), whereas there was an increased incidence of catheter-related clinical sepsis in the ethanol group (0.5 and 1.8 events per

1,000 catheter-days; $P = 0.03$) (69). These results fail to suggest any benefit of ethanol lock in ICU patients and may challenge the use of other antimicrobial locks to prevent CRI in critically ill patients.

Many noninfectious conditions can result in the development of SIRS, but the SIRS criteria have been commonly used in sepsis bundles and as entry criteria for clinical trials. The need for two or more SIRS criteria to define severe sepsis could exclude a significant portion of patients with similar demographic characteristics and outcomes (70). On the other hand, Churpek and colleagues found that nearly half of all patients hospitalized on the wards met SIRS criteria at least once during their stay (71). The study strongly suggests that it is impractical to use the presence of two or more SIRS criteria in isolation as a screening tool for sepsis (72).

ICU Organization

Spending on hospital admissions involving intensive care accounts for nearly half of all hospital costs, making ICU admissions an important focus for reducing overall expenditures (73). Indeed, there is good

evidence that intensive care is overused in the United States (74). Hospitals vary widely in their use of the ICU without apparent differences in mortality, and transient reductions in the availability of ICU beds lead to fewer ICU admissions without apparent harm to patients. Within the United States, there is substantial unexplained variation in the use of ICUs (75), the demand for which is growing rather than contracting (76).

One way of potentially reducing costs is the use of high-dependency units (HDUs, also termed step-down or intermediate care). HDUs provide a level of care between ICU and general ward care. Given the high costs of care in ICUs, understanding the current utilization of HDU beds is essential to ultimately improving patient flow and efficiency of care. Wunsch and colleagues (77) studied provision and use of HDU care in geographically separate units and determined that their use was associated with a decrease in delayed discharge from the ICU. This is important, as HDU beds have the potential to improve inpatient throughput by appropriately matching care to patients' needs. We know that

inattention to patient flow leads to ICU bed rationing, and improving flow builds capacity without building beds (78).

Another contributor to high costs is physician staffing. Indeed, most studies suggest that intensivists are associated with lower mortality in the ICU, and the major critical care professional societies have called for an increase in the intensivist supply (74). The view that exposure to trained intensivists is associated with improved outcomes for patients in the ICU has led to calls for intensivist staffing at night (79). However, multicenter observational studies (80) and one single-center randomized trial (81) found no benefit from maintaining such staffing through the night. Furthermore, in an examination of ICU discharges in 40 ICUs in Australia and New Zealand (82) (where nighttime coverage in the ICU is provided by ICU fellows and residents in training), after adjustment for patient characteristics including limitations on life support, there was no evidence of a heightened mortality risk among nighttime versus daytime discharges. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

References

- Frat JP, Thille AW, Mercat A, Girault C, Ragot S, Perbet S, Prat G, Boulain T, Morawiec E, Cottreau A, et al.; FLORALI Study Group; REVA Network. High-flow oxygen through nasal cannula in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372:2185–2196.
- Semler MW, Janz DR, Lentz RJ, Matthews DT, Norman BC, Assad TR, Keriwala RD, Ferrell BA, Noto MJ, McKown AC, et al.; FELLOW Investigators and the Pragmatic Critical Care Research Group. Randomized trial of apneic oxygenation during endotracheal intubation of the critically ill. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193:273–280.
- Vourc'h M, Asfar P, Volteau C, Bachoumas K, Clavieras N, Egretou PY, Asehnoune K, Mercat A, Reignier J, Jaber S, et al. High-flow nasal cannula oxygen during endotracheal intubation in hypoxemic patients: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Intensive Care Med* 2015;41:1538–1548.
- Elliott MW, Nava S. Noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: "Don't think twice, it's alright!" *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;185:121–123.
- Demoule A, Chevret S, Carlucci A, Kouatchet A, Jaber S, Meziani F, Schmidt M, Schnell D, Clergue C, Aboab J, et al.; oVNI Study Group; REVA Network (Research Network in Mechanical Ventilation). Changing use of noninvasive ventilation in critically ill patients: trends over 15 years in francophone countries. *Intensive Care Med* 2016;42:82–92.
- Lemiale V, Mokart D, Resche-Rigon M, Pène F, Mayaux J, Faucher E, Nyunga M, Girault C, Perez P, Guittou C, et al.; Groupe de Recherche en Réanimation Respiratoire du patient d'Onco-Hématologie (GRRR-OH). Effect of noninvasive ventilation vs oxygen therapy on mortality among immunocompromised patients with acute respiratory failure: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2015;314:1711–1719.
- Budinger GR, Chandel NS, Donnelly HK, Eisenbart J, Oberoi M, Jain M. Active transforming growth factor-beta1 activates the procollagen I promoter in patients with acute lung injury. *Intensive Care Med* 2005;31:121–128.
- Syrenki L, Chandel NS, Budinger GR, Donnelly HK, Topin J, Eisenbart J, Jovanovic B, Jain M. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid from patients with acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome induces myofibroblast differentiation. *Crit Care Med* 2007;35:842–848.
- Marshall RP, Bellingan G, Webb S, Puddicombe A, Goldsack N, McNulty RJ, Laurent GJ. Fibroproliferation occurs early in the acute respiratory distress syndrome and impacts on outcome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:1783–1788.
- Siempos II, Choi AM. Midkine: in the middle of the pathogenesis of acute respiratory distress syndrome-associated lung fibrosis? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:271–272.
- Zhang R, Pan Y, Fanelli V, Wu S, Luo AA, Islam D, Han B, Mao P, Ghazarian M, Zeng W, et al. Mechanical stress and the induction of lung fibrosis via the midkine signaling pathway. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:315–323.
- Matthay MA, Ware LB. Resolution of alveolar edema in acute respiratory distress syndrome: physiology and biology. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:124–125.
- Jabaudon M, Blondonnet R, Roszyk L, Bouvier D, Audard J, Clairefond G, Fournier M, Marceau G, Déchelotte P, Pereira B, et al. Soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products predicts impaired alveolar fluid clearance in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:191–199.
- Brummel N, Chandrasekhar R, Ely EW. Willie Sutton and the future of acute respiratory distress syndrome research. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:10–11.
- Hsieh SJ, Soto GJ, Hope AA, Ponea A, Gong MN. The association between acute respiratory distress syndrome, delirium, and in-hospital mortality in intensive care unit patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:71–78.

16. Briel M, Meade M, Mercat A, Brower RG, Talmor D, Walter SD, Slutsky AS, Pullenayegum E, Zhou Q, Cook D, *et al*. Higher vs lower positive end-expiratory pressure in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2010;303:865–873.
17. Goligher EC, Kavanagh BP, Rubenfeld GD, Adhikari NK, Pinto R, Fan E, Brochard LJ, Granton JT, Mercat A, Marie Richard JC, *et al*. Oxygenation response to positive end-expiratory pressure predicts mortality in acute respiratory distress syndrome: a secondary analysis of the LOVS and ExPress trials. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;190:70–76.
18. Needham DM, Yang T, Dinglas VD, Mendez-Tellez PA, Shanholtz C, Sevransky JE, Brower RG, Pronovost PJ, Colantuoni E. Timing of low tidal volume ventilation and intensive care unit mortality in acute respiratory distress syndrome: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:177–185.
19. Gong MN, Ferguson ND. Lung-protective ventilation in acute respiratory distress syndrome: how soon is now? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:125–126.
20. Amato MB, Meade MO, Slutsky AS, Brochard L, Costa EL, Schoenfeld DA, Stewart TE, Briel M, Talmor D, Mercat A, *et al*. Driving pressure and survival in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372:747–755.
21. Wilson JG, Liu KD, Zhuo H, Caballero L, McMillan M, Fang X, Cosgrove K, Vojnik R, Calfee CS, Lee JW, *et al*. Mesenchymal stem (stromal) cells for treatment of ARDS: a phase 1 clinical trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015;3:24–32.
22. Monsel A, Zhu YG, Gennai S, Hao Q, Hu S, Rouby JJ, Rosenzweig M, Matthay MA, Lee JW. Therapeutic effects of human mesenchymal stem cell-derived microvesicles in severe pneumonia in mice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:324–336.
23. Horie S, Curley GF, Laffey JG. What's new in cell therapies in ARDS? *Intensive Care Med* 2016;42:779–782.
24. Arabi YM, Cook DJ, Zhou Q, Smith O, Hand L, Turgeon AF, Matte A, Mehta S, Graham R, Brierley K, *et al*. Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. Characteristics and outcomes of eligible nonenrolled patients in a mechanical ventilation trial of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:1306–1313.
25. Lanspa MJ, Morris AH. Those who aren't counted still count. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:1268–1269.
26. Goligher EC, Fan E, Herridge MS, Murray A, Vorona S, Brace D, Rittayamai N, Lanyas A, Tomlinson G, Singh JM, *et al*. Evolution of diaphragm thickness during mechanical ventilation: impact of inspiratory effort. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:1080–1088.
27. Vassiliakopoulos T. Ultrasonographic monitoring of the diaphragm during mechanical ventilation: the vital pump is vivid, plastic, and vulnerable. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:1030–1032.
28. Hooijman PE, Beishuizen A, Witt CC, de Waard MC, Girbes AR, Spoelstra-de Man AM, Niessen HW, Manders E, van Hees HW, van den Brom CE, *et al*. Diaphragm muscle fiber weakness and ubiquitin-proteasome activation in critically ill patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1126–1138.
29. Sieck GC. Muscle weakness in critical illness. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1094–1096.
30. Thille AW, Boissier F, Ben Ghezala H, Razazi K, Mekontso-Dessap A, Brun-Buisson C. Risk factors for and prediction by caregivers of extubation failure in ICU patients: a prospective study. *Crit Care Med* 2015;43:613–620.
31. Haugdahl HS, Storli SL, Meland B, Dybwik K, Romild U, Klepstad P. Underestimation of patient breathlessness by nurses and physicians during a spontaneous breathing trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:1440–1448.
32. Banzett RB, Schwartzstein RM. Dyspnea: don't just look, ask! *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:1404–1406.
33. Persichini R, Gay F, Schmidt M, Mayaux J, Demoule A, Morélot-Panzini C, Similowski T. Diagnostic accuracy of respiratory distress observation scales as surrogates of dyspnea self-report in intensive care unit patients. *Anesthesiology* 2015;123:830–837.
34. Dres M, Teboul JL, Anguel N, Guerin L, Richard C, Monnet X. Passive leg raising performed before a spontaneous breathing trial predicts weaning-induced cardiac dysfunction. *Intensive Care Med* 2015;41:487–494.
35. Dres M, Teboul JL, Monnet X. Weaning the cardiac patient from mechanical ventilation. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2014;20:493–498.
36. Mekontso Dessap A, Roche-Campo F, Kouatchet A, Tomcic V, Beduneau G, Sonneviller R, Cabello B, Jaber S, Azoulay E, Castanares-Zapatero D, *et al*. Natriuretic peptide-driven fluid management during ventilator weaning: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;186:1256–1263.
37. Mehta AB, Syeda SN, Bajpayee L, Cooke CR, Walkey AJ, Wiener RS. Trends in tracheostomy for mechanically ventilated patients in the United States, 1993–2012. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:446–454.
38. Scales DC. The implications of a tracheostomy for discharge destination. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:404–405.
39. Siempos II, Ntaidou TK, Filippidis FT, Choi AM. Effect of early versus late or no tracheostomy on mortality and pneumonia of critically ill patients receiving mechanical ventilation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015;3:150–158.
40. Ventetuolo CE, Muratore CS. Extracorporeal life support in critically ill adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;190:497–508.
41. Walkey AJ, Wiener RS. Hospital case volume and outcomes among patients hospitalized with severe sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;189:548–555.
42. Dres M, Tran TC, Aegerter P, Rabbat A, Guidet B, Huchon G, Roche N; CUB-REA Group. Influence of ICU case-volume on the management and hospital outcomes of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:1884–1892.
43. Barbaro RP, Odetola FO, Kidwell KM, Paden ML, Bartlett RH, Davis MM, Annich GM. Association of hospital-level volume of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation cases and mortality: analysis of the extracorporeal life support organization registry. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:894–901.
44. Fan E, Brodie D. Higher volumes, better outcomes: the end or just the beginning of the story for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:864–866.
45. Zanella A, Castagna L, Salerno D, Scaravilli V, Abd El Aziz El Sayed Deab S, Magni F, Giani M, Mazzola S, Albertini M, Patroniti N, *et al*. Respiratory electro-dialysis: a novel, highly efficient extracorporeal CO₂ removal technique. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:719–726.
46. Moerer O, Brodie D, Quintel M. Low-flow extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal: moving closer to reality. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:651–652.
47. Branson RD, Hess DR. Lost in translation: failure of tracheal tube modifications to impact ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:606–608.
48. Philippart F, Gaudry S, Quinquis L, Lau N, Ouanes I, Touati S, Nguyen JC, Branger C, Faibis F, Mastouri M, *et al*. TOP-Cuff Study Group. Randomized intubation with polyurethane or conical cuffs to prevent pneumonia in ventilated patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:637–645.
49. Klompas M, Branson R, Eichenwald EC, Greene LR, Howell MD, Lee G, Magill SS, Maragakis LL, Priebe GP, Speck K, *et al*. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2014;35:S133–S154.
50. Branch-Elliman W, Wright SB, Howell MD. Determining the ideal strategy for ventilator-associated pneumonia prevention: cost-benefit analysis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:57–63.
51. Kollef MH. Ventilator-associated pneumonia prevention: is it worth it? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:5–7.
52. Magill SS, Klompas M, Balk R, Burns SM, Deutschman CS, Diekema D, Fridkin S, Greene L, Guh A, Gutterman D, *et al*. Developing a new, national approach to surveillance for ventilator-associated events. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:2467–2475.
53. Wunderink RG. Ventilator-associated complications, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and Newton's third law of mechanics. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;189:882–883.

54. Klein Klouwenberg PM, van Mourik MS, Ong DS, Horn J, Schultz MJ, Cremer OL, Bonten MJ; MARS Consortium. Electronic implementation of a novel surveillance paradigm for ventilator-associated events: feasibility and validation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;189:947–955.
55. Klompas M, Anderson D, Trick W, Babcock H, Kerlin MP, Li L, Sinkowitz-Cochran R, Ely EW, Jernigan J, Magill S, *et al.*; CDC Prevention Epicenters. The preventability of ventilator-associated events. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:292–301.
56. van Mourik MS, Bouadma L, Bonten MJ. An old world's view on a new world's solution. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:243–245.
57. Kavanagh BP, Nurok M. Standardized intensive care: protocol misalignment and impact misattribution. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193:17–22.
58. Spellberg B, Bartlett J, Wunderink R, Gilbert DN. Novel approaches are needed to develop tomorrow's antibacterial therapies. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:135–140.
59. Douglas IS, Price CS, Overdier KH, Wolken RF, Metzger SW, Hance KR, Howson DC. Rapid automated microscopy for microbiological surveillance of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:566–573.
60. Opal S. Is genomic medicine finally coming of age for the diagnosis of pneumonia? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:773–774.
61. Scicluna BP, Klein Klouwenberg PM, van Vught LA, Wiewel MA, Ong DS, Zwinderman AH, Franitza M, Toliat MR, Nürnberg P, Hoogendijk AJ, *et al.* A molecular biomarker to diagnose community-acquired pneumonia on intensive care unit admission. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:826–835.
62. Prescott HC, Dickson RP, Rogers MA, Langa KM, Iwashyna TJ. Hospitalization type and subsequent severe sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:581–588.
63. Andes D, Craig WA. Animal model pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics: a critical review. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2002;19:261–268.
64. Dulhunty JM, Roberts JA, Davis JS, Webb SA, Bellomo R, Gomersall C, Shirwadkar C, Eastwood GM, Myburgh J, Paterson DL, *et al.*; BLING II Investigators for the ANZICS Clinical Trials Group *. A multicenter randomized trial of continuous versus intermittent β -lactam infusion in severe sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:1298–1305.
65. Abdul-Aziz MH, Sulaiman H, Mat-Nor MB, Rai V, Wong KK, Hasan MS, Abd Rahman AN, Jamal JA, Wallis SC, Lipman J, *et al.* Beta-Lactam Infusion in Severe Sepsis (BLISS): a prospective, two-centre, open-labelled randomised controlled trial of continuous versus intermittent beta-lactam infusion in critically ill patients with severe sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* [online ahead of print] 11 Jan 2016; DOI: 10.1007/s00134-015-4188-0.
66. Bailly S, Bouadma L, Azoulay E, Orgeas MG, Adrie C, Souweine B, Schwebel C, Maubon D, Hamidfar-Roy R, Darmon M, *et al.* Failure of empirical systemic antifungal therapy in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1139–1146.
67. Knitsch W, Vincent JL, Uziel S, François B, Dinya T, Dimopoulos G, Özgüneş İ, Valia JC, Eggimann P, León C, *et al.* A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of preemptive antifungal therapy for the prevention of invasive candidiasis following gastrointestinal surgery for intra-abdominal infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015;61:1671–1678.
68. Oliveira C, Nasr A, Brindle M, Wales PW. Ethanol locks to prevent catheter-related bloodstream infections in parenteral nutrition: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2012;129:318–329.
69. Souweine B, Lautrette A, Gruson D, Canet E, Klouche K, Argaud L, Bohe J, Garrouste-Orgeas M, Mariat C, Vincent F, *et al.* Ethanol lock and risk of hemodialysis catheter infection in critically ill patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1024–1032.
70. Kaukonen KM, Bailey M, Pilcher D, Cooper DJ, Bellomo R. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome criteria in defining severe sepsis. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372:1629–1638.
71. Churpek MM, Zdravcevic FJ, Winslow C, Howell MD, Edelson DP. Incidence and prognostic value of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome and organ dysfunctions in ward patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:958–964.
72. Smyth MA, Daniels R, Perkins GD. Identification of sepsis among ward patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:910–911.
73. Barbash IJ, Kahn JM. Assessing the value of intensive care. *JAMA* 2015;314:1240–1241.
74. Kahn JM, Rubenfeld GD. The myth of the workforce crisis: why the United States does not need more intensivist physicians. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:128–134.
75. Wallace DJ, Angus DC, Seymour CW, Barnato AE, Kahn JM. Critical care bed growth in the United States: a comparison of regional and national trends. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:410–416.
76. Pastores SM, Halpern NA. Insights into intensive care unit bed expansion in the United States: national and regional analyses. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:365–366.
77. Wunsch H, Harrison DA, Jones A, Rowan K. The impact of the organization of high-dependency care on acute hospital mortality and patient flow for critically ill patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:186–193.
78. Howell MD. Managing ICU throughput and understanding ICU census. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2011;17:626–633.
79. Halpern SD. Nighttime in the intensive care unit: a lens into the value of critical care delivery. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:974–975.
80. Wallace DJ, Angus DC, Barnato AE, Kramer AA, Kahn JM. Nighttime intensivist staffing and mortality among critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:2093–2101.
81. Kerlin MP, Small DS, Cooney E, Fuchs BD, Bellini LM, Mikkelsen ME, Schweickert WD, Bakhr RN, Gabler NB, Harhay MO, *et al.* A randomized trial of nighttime physician staffing in an intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:2201–2209.
82. Santamaria JD, Duke GJ, Pilcher DV, Cooper DJ, Moran J, Bellomo R; Discharge and Readmission Evaluation (DARE) Study. The timing of discharge from the intensive care unit and subsequent mortality: a prospective, multicenter study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1033–1039.