

# 

**Citation:** Brunot V, Landreau L, Corne P, Platon L, Besnard N, Buzançais A, et al. (2016) Mortality Associated with Night and Weekend Admissions to ICU with On-Site Intensivist Coverage: Results of a Nine-Year Cohort Study (2006-2014). PLoS ONE 11(12): e0168548. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0168548

Editor: Tobias Eckle, University of Colorado Denver, UNITED STATES

Received: July 21, 2016

Accepted: November 14, 2016

Published: December 29, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Brunot et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** The authors received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; CI, Confidence Interval; HR, Hazard-Ratio; ICU, **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Mortality Associated with Night and Weekend Admissions to ICU with On-Site Intensivist Coverage: Results of a Nine-Year Cohort Study (2006-2014)

Vincent Brunot<sup>1</sup>, Liliane Landreau<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Corne<sup>1</sup>, Laura Platon<sup>1,2</sup>, Noémie Besnard<sup>1</sup>, Aurèle Buzançais<sup>1,2</sup>, Delphine Daubin<sup>1</sup>, Jean Emmanuel Serre<sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Molinari<sup>4</sup>, Kada Klouche<sup>1,2,5</sup>\*

1 Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Lapeyronie University Hospital, Montpellier, France, 2 School of medicine, Montpellier University, Montpellier, France, 3 Department of Nephrology-Transplantation, Lapeyronie University Hospital, Montpellier, France, 4 Department of Statistics, Lapeyronie University Hospital, Montpellier, France, 5 PhyMedExp, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS 9214) - Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM U-1046), Montpellier University, Montpellier, France

\* k-klouche@chu-montpellier.fr

## Abstract

## Background

The association between mortality and time of admission to ICU has been extensively studied but remains controversial. We revaluate the impact of time of admission on ICU mortality by retrospectively investigating a recent (2006–2014) and large ICU cohort with on-site intensivist coverage.

#### **Patients and Methods**

All adults ( $\geq$  18 years) admitted to a tertiary care medical ICU were included in the study. Patients' characteristics, medical management, and mortality were prospectively collected. Patients were classified according to their admission time: week working days on- and offhours, and weekends. ICU mortality was the primary outcome and adjusted Hazard-ratios (HR) of death were analysed by multivariate Cox model.

#### Results

2,428 patients were included: age 62±18 years; male: 1,515 (62%); and median SAPSII score: 38 (27–52). Overall ICU mortality rate was 13.7%. Admissions to ICU occurred during open-hours in 680 cases (28%), during night-time working days in 1,099 cases (45%) and during weekends in 649 cases (27%). Baseline characteristics of patients were similar between groups except that patients admitted during the second part of night (00:00 to 07:59) have a significantly higher SAPS II score than others. ICU mortality was comparable between patients admitted during different time periods but was significantly higher for those admitted during the second part of the night. Multivariate analysis showed however



Intensive Care Unit; IQ, InterQuartile; LOS, Length Of Stay; LTE, Limitation of Therapeutic Effort; RRT, renal replacement therapy; SAPS, Simplified Acute Physiology Score; SD, Standard Deviation. that admission during weeknights and weekends was not associated with an increased ICU mortality as compared with open-hours admissions.

#### Conclusion

Time of admission, especially weeknight and weekend (off-hour admissions), did not influence the prognosis of ICU patients. The higher illness severity of patients admitted during the second part of the night (00:00–07:59) may explain the observed increased mortality.

#### Introduction

In critical care settings, optimal treatment availability should be ensured for all patients on a 24-hour/7 days basis. Outcome of such patients depends mainly on their initial treatment that involves care teams mostly on unscheduled times of admissions [1–4]. Indeed, critically ills are often admitted to ICU during nights, weekends, holidays, off hours [5] when the intensity of care and medical staff is significantly reduced. During these time periods, physical and cognitive abilities of medical and paramedical staff may be, in addition, impaired due to sleep deprivation especially during night-time [6]. Moreover, critically ill patients usually have organ failures requiring the implementation of complex diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. These measures are urgent in most cases and cannot be postponed to on-hours. During offhours, the lack of an early detection of patients at risk may also induce a longer delay to their admission to ICU. This disparity in patient care over time would induce a significant impact on ICU patients' prognosis [7].

Several studies have investigated the influence of admission time on patients' outcome. A significantly worse outcome was observed in many acute diseases including myocardial infarction and stroke when hospital admission occurred at night or over the weekend [8–12]. Then, it is a common belief that patients admitted to ICU would have a higher risk of death during off-hours. However, data related to critically ill patients remain contradictory [13–19]. While some studies demonstrated a significant association between ICU mortality and off-hours [14], others found an association only with nightshift [15, 16] and others did not find any impact of admission time on ICU mortality [19]. Discrepancy between these reports relates to differences in organization of work shifts, intensivist coverage on site, ratio of caregivers to patient, different definitions of open hours, closed or ICU "without walls"... The organisational care in ICUs has changed in the last recent years with improvement in both medical and paramedical staffing at least in western countries. In our ICU, according to French Law, medical staff has been upgraded with obligatory rest periods and a coverage intensivist on site. Since 2006 we have prospectively collected data of all patients admitted to our unit including admission time, severity score and ICU mortality. We therefore carried this study in order to reassess the potential effect of admission time on ICU mortality. We recruited our patients over 9 years from 2006 to 2014 with stringent medical organization and definitions of time of admission of patients.

#### Methods

#### Setting and organisation

This prospective observational cohort study was carried out in a medical ICU of an academic tertiary care hospital in Montpellier, France. This 12-bed medical ICU admitted an average of

270 patients per year. Critical care unit team included 6 attending intensivists, 4 residents (critical care or other speciality fellows), medical students, nurses, and respiratory therapists. Intensivists and residents staffed the ICU 24h per day and every day of the week. During open hours, 2 teams provided ICU medical coverage: each including a <u>senior intensivist</u> and a resident and taking care of 6 bed-patients. The <u>nurse-to-patient</u> ratio was maintained at <u>1:3</u> every time of any day. Imaging technical platform and surgical operating room were available on a 24-hour and 7-days basis. Admissions may occur at any time of the day and the night. This organisation was maintained all along the study period and was comparable to the other ICUs of our hospital.

We defined two periods of ICU admissions: on- and off-hours periods. On-hours or openhours admissions included time period from Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:59 p.m. at the exception of holidays. During on-hours admissions, almost the entire Unit personnel members were present leading to the highest level of medical presence (at least 2 intensivists and 2 residents). Patients admitted during on-hours were considered as reference group. Offhour admissions included night-time (6:00 p.m. to 7:59 a.m.), weekend (from Saturday 08:00 a.m. to Monday 7:59 a.m.) and holidays' admissions. Holidays were those officially recognised by the French Republic. During off-hours, medical team was reduced and included one intensivist and one resident.

#### Study population

All patients older than 18 years consecutively admitted to the ICU over 9-year period from January 2006 to December 2014 were included in the study. Patients who had to undergo a limitation of therapeutic effort (LTE) during their ICU stay were excluded from the analysis. Only the first ICU admission of each patient was included. Data were prospectively collected and reported in a computer Excel spread sheet database. They were recorded on a daily basis by the intensivist in charge of the patient. Data accuracy and exhaustiveness were checked before archiving paper folders. Data were analysed and stored in an anonymous way and are not traceable to any patient. The Institutional Review Board (Comité de protection des personnes: CPP CHU Montpellier) approved the study and waived the need for informed consent.

#### Data collection

The following data were extracted for each patient: age and sex, time and date of ICU admission, reason for admission, and Body Mass Index (BMI). Severity of the disease was assessed 24 hours after admission using the simplified acute physiology score (SAPS) II [20]. The requirement for invasive mechanical ventilation, renal replacement therapy (RRT) and for vasoconstrictive agents was recorded. ICU length of stay (LOS) and ICU survival were recorded.

ICU mortality was the primary end point of the study.

#### Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using the R 2.15.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) software. We first performed a descriptive analysis by computing frequencies and percentages for categorial data; and means or medians, standard deviations, quartiles and extreme values for continuous data. We also checked for the normality of the continuous data distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk's tests. Continuous variables were compared using two-tailed Student t-test or two-tailed Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon's test when appropriate. Fisher exact and Chi 2 tests were used to compare categorial variables. To analyze

the factors associated with the in-ICU survival, the Cox proportional hazards regression model was used in both univariate and multivariate models. ICU survival was calculated from the time of admission to the date of death from any cause or the date of ICU discharge. A specific potential association between time of admission and ICU survival was investigated. The proportional hazard assumption was tested and met for each variable of interest. Results were expressed as hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Survival curves were generated using the Kaplan-Meier methodology. A value of p < 0.05 was considered as significant.

#### Results

During the study period, 2,894 patients were admitted to the ICU. After the exclusion of 464 patients (16%) who underwent a LTE, and 2 patients for missing data, 2,428 patients were enrolled in the study.

The study flow-chart is shown in Fig 1. Among the population analysed, 680 (28%) patients were admitted during on-hours and 1,748 (72%) during off-hours. Most of admissions (1,462/ 2,428: 60%) occurred during night-time period: 915 (38%) patients were admitted during the first part (18:00–23: 59), and 548 (22.5%) during the second part of the night (00:00–07:59). Six hundred forty-nine patients were admitted during weekends and holiday days.

Patient's characteristics, management, ICU LOS and mortality are summarized in Table 1. Population was predominantly male (62%) with a mean age of 59±18 years. Admissions were mainly medical with primarily respiratory (40.7%) and neurological (17.4%) causes. High mean SAPS-II scores underlined the severity of the patients' illnesses. During ICU stay, a high proportion (60%) of patients was on invasive mechanical ventilation, 32.5% necessitated a



doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.g001

Table 1. Demographic and epidemiological characteristics of the studied population at the admission to the ICU; management, ICU length of stay and mortality. BMI: body mass index; SAPS II: simplified acute physiology score II; ICU: intensive care unit; LOS: length of stay; "SAMU": Service d'Aide Médicale Urgente: mobile emergency team.

Age (years), mean (SD)   59±18     Male, n (%)   1515 (62.6)     BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (25–75%)   24.8 (21–29)     SAPS II score, median (25–75%)   38 (27–52)     Admission source, n (%)   Emergency department     Emergency department   672 (27.7)     Medical ward   650 (26.8)     Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119.9)     Need for, n (%)   11449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)	Patient characteristics	n = 2428
Male, n (%)   1515 (62.6)     BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (25–75%)   24.8 (21–29)     SAPS II score, median (25–75%)   38 (27–52)     Admission source, n (%)   E     Emergency department   672 (27.7)     Medical ward   650 (26.8)     Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)   E     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   Invasive mechanical ventilation     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 [13.7)	Age (years), mean (SD)	59±18
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (25–75%)   24.8 (21–29)     SAPS II score, median (25–75%)   38 (27–52)     Admission source, n (%)      Emergency department   672 (27.7)     Medical ward   650 (26.8)     Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)      Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)      Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)	Male, n (%)	1515 (62.6)
SAPS II score, median (25–75%)   38 (27–52)     Admission source, n (%)      Emergency department   672 (27.7)     Medical ward   650 (26.8)     Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)      Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)      Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)	BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (25–75%)	24.8 (21–29)
Admission source, n (%)   Emergency department   672 (27.7)     Medical ward   650 (26.8)     Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)   E     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   Invasive mechanical ventilation     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality n (%)   332 (13.27)	SAPS II score, median (25–75%)	<mark>38 (27–52)</mark>
Emergency department   672 (27.7)     Medical ward   650 (26.8)     Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)   Reason for ICU admission, n (%)     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   Infectious disease     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality %   21.3	Admission source, n (%)	
Medical ward   650 (26.8)     Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)   Respiratory     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   11449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Emergency department	672 (27.7)
Surgical ward   276 (11.4)     Transfer from other hospital   619 (25.5)     Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)   Reason for ICU admission, n (%)     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   11449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 [13.7]     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Medical ward	650 (26.8)
Transfer from other hospital619 (25.5)Mobile emergency team "SAMU"202 (8.3)Other9 (0.3)Reason for ICU admission, n (%)Respiratory988 (40.7)Cardiovascular360 (14.8)Neurologic423 (17.4)Infectious disease216 (8.9)Other medical322 (13.3)Postoperative119 (4.9)Need for, n (%)1449 (59.7)Vasopressor therapy790 (32.5)Renal replacement therapy162 (6.7)ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)6 (3–13)ICU mortality. n (%)332 (13.7)Predicted ICU mortality. ½21.3	Surgical ward	276 (11.4)
Mobile emergency team "SAMU"   202 (8.3)     Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)      Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)      Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality. n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Transfer from other hospital	619 <mark>(25.5)</mark>
Other   9 (0.3)     Reason for ICU admission, n (%)   988 (40.7)     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   1     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. ½   21.3	Mobile emergency team "SAMU"	202 (8.3)
Reason for ICU admission, n (%)   988 (40.7)     Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   119 (4.9)     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality. n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Other	9 (0.3)
Respiratory   988 (40.7)     Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality, %   21.3	Reason for ICU admission, n (%)	
Cardiovascular   360 (14.8)     Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   11449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Respiratory	988 (40.7)
Neurologic   423 (17.4)     Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   11449 (59.7)     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Penal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Cardiovascular	360 (14.8)
Infectious disease   216 (8.9)     Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   119 (4.9)     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Neurologic	423 (17.4)
Other medical   322 (13.3)     Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)	Infectious disease	216 (8.9)
Postoperative   119 (4.9)     Need for, n (%)   1449 (59.7)     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Other medical	322 (13.3)
Need for, n (%)   1449 (59.7)     Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Postoperative	119 (4.9)
Invasive mechanical ventilation   1449 (59.7)     Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	Need for, n (%)	
Vasopressor therapy   790 (32.5)     Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality, n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality, %   21.3	Invasive mechanical ventilation	1449 <mark>(59.7)</mark>
Renal replacement therapy   162 (6.7)     ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)   6 (3–13)     ICU mortality_n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality.%   21.3	Vasopressor therapy	790 (32.5)
ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%) 6 (3–13)   ICU mortality.n (%) 332 (13.7)   Predicted ICU mortality. % 21.3	Renal replacement therapy	162 <mark>(6.7)</mark>
ICU mortality.n (%)   332 (13.7)     Predicted ICU mortality. %   21.3	ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)	6 (3–13)
Predicted ICU mortality, % 21.3	ICU mortalityn (%)	332 <u>(13.7)</u>
	Predicted ICU mortality, %	21.3

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.t001

catecholamine support, and <mark>7% a RRT.</mark> The median ICU LOS was 6 days and <u>ICU mortality</u> rate was <u>14%</u>, which is lower than the <u>mortality predicted according to SAPSII</u>.

#### Comparison of different groups according to the period of admission

The comparison between patients admitted during on-hours and off-hours is displayed in Table 2. The 2 groups were comparable in terms of demographic and epidemiologic characteristics, severity of illness and support care. Patients were more frequently admitted from the emergency department in the off-hours group (31%) than in the on-hours group (20%). Duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU LOS were significantly longer for patients admitted during on-hours than for those admitted during off-hours (7 versus 5 days, p<0.001 and 8 versus 7 days; p<0.01 respectively). ICU mortality was however comparable between patients admitted during on- and off-hours and reached approximately 14%.

We compared patients admitted during working day nights and those admitted during weekends and holidays to the reference group (patients admitted on on-hours during working days). The former group did not differ from the reference group in terms of age, sex, BMI, and SAPS II scores but it presents different features. Patients admitted during nightly working days were preferentially transferred from emergencies, had significantly shorter duration of mechanical ventilation, and reduced ICU LOS than the on-hours group. Nevertheless, ICU

Table 2. Comparison of patients admitted during on-hours and off-hours. BMI: body mass index; SAPS II: simplified acute physiology score II; ICU: intensive care unit; LOS: length of stay; "SAMU": Service d'Aide Médicale Urgente: mobile emergency team.

	On-hours	Off-hours	p
Time of ICU admission	Monday to Friday. 08:00 to 17:59	Weeknights, weekends and public holidays	
No. of patients, n (%)	680 (28)	1748 (72)	
Age, mean (SD), years	58.6±17.48	59±18	0.58
Male, n (%)	429 (63)	1085 (62)	0.17
<b>BMI</b> (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (25–75%)	24.9 (21.2–29)	24.7 (21.3–29.3)	0.89
SAPS II score, median (25–75%)	38 (26.25–51)	38 (27–53)	0.41
Admission source, n (%)			<0.0001
Emergency department	135 (19.8)	537 (30.7)	
Surgical ward	61 (9)	141 (8)	
Medical ward	221 (32.5)	429 (24.5)	
Mobile emergency team "SAMU"	64 (9.4)	212 (12.1)	
Transfer from other hospitals	195 (28.7)	423 (24.2)	
Reason for ICU admission, n (%)			0.079
Respiratory	302 (44.4)	687 (39.3)	
Cardiovascular	98 (14.4)	261 (14.9)	
Neurologic	102 (15)	321 (18.4)	
Other medical	140 (20.6)	398 (22.8)	
Postoperative	38 (5.6)	81 (4.6)	
Need for, n (%)			
Invasive mechanical ventilation	407 (59.8)	1041 (59.6)	0.93
Vasopressor therapy	222 (32.6)	567 (32.4)	0.96
Renal replacement therapy	53 (7.8)	108 (6.2)	0.18
ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)	7 (3–15)	5(3–13)	0.0009
Ventilation duration (days), median (25–75%)	8 (3–20)	7 (3–15)	0.0012
ICU mortality, n (%)	95 (13.97)	236 (13.5)	0.84
Predicted ICU mortality (%)	21.3	21.3	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.t002

PLOS ONE

mortality rate remained similar between the two groups, ranging from 13% to 14% (p = 0.56) (Table 3). Similarly, patients admitted during weekends and holidays did not show any differences with the reference group except a higher proportion of patients from the emergency department and a shorter duration of mechanical ventilation (6.5 versus 8 days, p = 0.018). ICU mortality was again comparable to on-hour patients group (14.5% versus 15%, p = 0.81). These results are summarized in Table 3.

We then classified the study population according to time period regardless of working day or not, considering 3 groups: the first group, considered as reference group, included patients admitted from 08:00 to 17:59 whereas the second group included patients admitted from 18:00 to 23:59 and the third group admitted from 00:00 to 7:59 (Table 4). Univariate analysis showed that patients admitted during the last part of the night were transferred preferentially from the emergency department, had a significantly higher SAPS II score, were more likely to require mechanical ventilation or/and vasopressor therapy than others. As a consequence, this group of patients has the highest mortality rate (16.5%) as compared to the open-hours group (14.5%; p = 0.01) and to the group admitted during the first part of the night (11.1%; p = 0.004).

Univariate analysis showed, as expected, that age, SAPS II score and life sustaining therapy (mechanical ventilation, vasopressor therapy and renal replacement therapy) were significantly associated with ICU mortality (Table 5). Admissions occurring during the last part of the

Table 3. Comparison of patients admitted during on-hours to those admitted during weeknights, and to those admitted during weekends and public holidays. Weekend admission was defined as admission between 08:00 am Sunday and 7:59 am Monday; BMI: body mass index; SAPS II: simplified acute physiology score II; ICU: intensive care unit; LOS: length of stay; "SAMU": Service d'Aide Médicale Urgente: mobile emergency team; p: On-hours vs Week-night; p\*: On-hours vs weekends and public holidays.

	On-hours	Weeknights	р	Weekends and public holidays	<b>P</b> *
Time of ICU admission	Monday to Friday, 08:00 to 17:59	Monday to Friday, 18:00 to 07:59		Friday 18:00 to Monday 07:59 and public holidays	
No. of patients, n (%)	680 (28)	1099 (45.3)		649 (26.7)	
Age, mean (SD), years	58.6±17.5	59.3±17.4	0.43	58.43±18.63	0.86
Male, n (%)	429 (63)	688 (62.6)	0.84	397 (61.2)	0.47
<b>BMI</b> (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (25–75%)	24.9 (21–29)	24.8 (21–29)	0.94	24.6 (21–29)	0.80
SAPS II score, median (25–75%)	38 (26–51)	37.5 (27–52)	0.93	40 (27–54)	0.097
Admission source, n (%)			<0.0001		<0.0001
Emergency department	135 (19.8)	342 (31.1)		195 (30.6)	
Surgical ward	61 (9)	72 (6.5)		69 (10.6)	
Medical ward	221 (32.5)	260 (23.6)		169 (26)	
"SAMU"	64 (9.4)	151 (13.7)		61 (9.4)	
Transfer from other hospitals	195 (28.7)	269 (24.5)		154 (23.7)	
Reason for ICU admission, n (%)			0.0097		0.25
Respiratory	302 (44.4)	419 (38.1)		268 (41.3)	
Cardiovascular	98 (14.4)	152 (13.8)		109 (16.8)	
Neurologic	102 (15)	210 (19.1)		111 (17.1)	
Other medical	140 (20.6)	258 (23.5)		140 (21.6)	
Postoperative	38 (5.6)	60 (5.5)		21 (3.2)	
Need for, n (%)					
Invasive mechanical ventilation	407 (59.8)	639 (58.1)	0.47	402 (61.9)	0.65
Vasopressor therapy	222 (32.6)	346 (31.5)	0.61	221 (34)	0.59
Renal replacement therapy	53 (7.8)	69 (6.3)	0.22	39 (6)	0.20
ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)	7 (3–15)	5 (3–12)	0.0002	5 (3–13)	0.07
Ventilation duration (days), median (25–75%)	8 (3–20)	5 (3–12)	0.0014	6.5 (3–15)	0.018
ICU mortality, n (%)	95 (13.97)	142 (12.93)	0.56	94 (14.48)	0.78
Predicted ICU mortality, %	21.3	21		24.7	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.t003

PLOS ONE

night were significantly associated with a higher mortality risk than admissions occurring during open hours (HR 1.39 [CI, 1.06–1.39, p = 0.02]) whereas those occurring during summer tended to have a lower mortality risk than those occurring in spring but without reaching significance (HR 0.77 [CI, 0.56–1.04]; p = 0.09). Multivariate analysis did confirm SAPSII, mechanical ventilation, and RRT as risk factors associated with mortality but failed to demonstrate any association between ICU mortality and time admission even for admissions occurring during the last part of the night (Table 6). Adjusted hazard-ratio of admissions from 00:00 to 7:59 was at 1.24 [CI, 0.85–1.81]; p = 0.25, as compared with admissions during open hours.

Fig 2 represents the Kaplan-Meier curves for ICU survival according to different time periods and admission source. The comparison between patients admitted during on- and offhours showed no differences in ICU actuarial survival (Fig 2a). Analysis of all ICU admissions (Fig 2b) and of working days' admissions (Fig 2c), showed that patients admitted during the second part of the night had a significantly higher mortality rate than others (Fig 2b and 2c). Patients transferred from the emergency department or directly by an emergency mobile team have the highest mortality rate (Fig 2d). Table 4. Characteristics of patients admitted per time variable regardless of type of the day (working days or not). BMI: body mass index; SAPS II: simplified acute physiology score II; ICU: intensive care unit; LOS: length of stay; "SAMU": Service d'Aide Médicale Urgente: mobile emergency team; MV: mechanical ventilation. p = significance as compared to group admitted from 08:00 to 17:59.

Hours of ICU admission	08:00 to 17:59	18:00 to 23:59	00:00 to 07:59	р
No. of patients, n (%)	966 (39.8)	915 (37.7)	547 (22.5)	
Age (years), mean (SD)	58.6±17.6	59±17.5	59±18	0.69
Male, n (%)	610 (63.1)	554 (60.5)	350 (64)	0.34
<b>BMI</b> (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (25–75%)	24.8 (21.2–29)	24.7 (21–29.2)	25 (21.8–29.4)	0.17
SAPS II score, median (25–75%)	38 (26.25–51)	36 (26–50)	41 (28–56)	0.0053
Admission source, n (%)				<0.0001
Emergency department	207 (21.4)	250 (27.3)	215 (39.3)	
Surgical ward	89 (9.2)	134 (14.6)	53 (9.7)	
Medical ward	303 (31.4)	242 (26.4)	104 (19)	
Transfer from other hospitals	270 (28)	223 (24.4)	125 (22.9)	
"SAMU"	91 (9.4)	62 (6.8)	49 (9)	
Reason for ICU admission, n (%)				0.0096
Respiratory	429 (44.4)	347 (37.9)	212 (38.8)	
Cardiovascular	147 (15.2)	142 (15.5)	70 (12.8)	
Neurologic	144 (14.9)	153 (16.7)	125 (22.9)	
Other medical	197 (20.4)	213 (23.3)	121 (22.1)	
Postoperative	44 (4.6)	58 (6.3)	17 (3.1)	
Need for, n (%)				
Invasive mechanical ventilation	584 (60.5)	515 (56.3)	349 (63.8)	0.0144
Vasopressor therapy	312 (32.3)	273 (29.8)	204 (37.3)	0.0128
Renal replacement therapy	71 (7.3)	46 (5)	42 (7.7)	0.0604
ICU LOS (days), median (25–75%)	6 (3–16)	5 (3–11)	5 (2–13)	<0.0001
MV (days), median (25–75%)	8 (3–19.5)	6 (3–14)	7 (2–15)	0.0006
ICU mortality, n (%)	140 (14.5)	102 (11.1)	90 (16.4)	0.0107
Predicted ICU mortality	21.3	18.1	26.6	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.t004

PLOS ONE

#### Discussion

In this single-centre large cohort study, we observed that most of ICU admissions occurred during off-hours. In univariate analysis, patients admitted during the second part of the night bears the worst prognosis with a significantly higher mortality. After adjustment for confound-ing variables especially illness severity, night-time admission was not associated with mortality. Our observations therefore suggest that time of admission, especially weeknight and weekend (off-hour admissions), did not influence the prognosis of ICU patients.

In ICUs, diagnostic procedures, optimal treatment, and necessary staffing should be available to all patients on a 24 hour and 7 days basis. However, an increased mortality has been observed and reported during off-hours, especially during weekend [5, 21]. Several factors have been implicated in this association including reduced medical staff, higher working load, and difficult accessibility to surgical or imaging platform. Differences in patient characteristics such as disease severity have also been advocated. Though various studies have been conducted in adults [13–19,21–26] and paediatrics [27,28] to assess a link between mortality and time of patient admission, especially for those admitted during weekends, results remain however controversial. Yet, patients admitted for myocardial infarction [10], strokes [9], pulmonary embolism or aortic aneurism rupture [8], have a higher mortality rate when admitted on weekends

Factors	Hazard-Ratio	95% IC	р
Age > 60 years	1.43	[1.14–1.78]	0.002
Male*	1.03	[0.83–1.29]	0.77
SAPS II $\geq$ 40	5.20	[3.85–7.04]	<0.001
BMI ≥ 30	0.86	[0.64–1.15]	0.30
Admission source			
Medical ward	Ref.	-	-
Emergency dpt.	1.19	[0.89–1.6]	0.25
"SAMU"	1.69	[1.15–2.47]	0.007
Surgical ward	0.66	[0.40-1.02]	0.06
Invasive ventilation	4.58	[2.97–7.05]	<0.001
Vasopressor therapy	3.12	[2.63-4.43]	<0.001
Renal replacement therapy	3.51	[2.73-4.50]	<0.001
Admission time			
08:00 to 17:59	Ref.	-	-
18:00 to 23:59	0.86	[0.67–1.11]	0.25
00:00 to 07:59	1.39	[1.06–1.81]	0.02
Weekend	1.05	[0.82–1.33]	0.71
Reduced staff	1.05	[0.83–1.34]	0.67
Spring	Ref.	-	-
Summer	0.77	[0.56–1.04]	0.09
Autumn	0.94	[0.68–1.26]	0.62
Winter	0.84	[0.62-1.14]	0.26

Table 5. Univariate Cox model of factors associated with ICU mortality. \* As compared with female; Ref: reference category; reduced staff: weekend and public holydays admissions; RRT: renal replacement therapy; "SAMU": Service d'Aide Médicale Urgente: mobile emergency team.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.t005

rather than on weekdays. Also, Kuijsten et al. [14] observed a significantly higher mortality rate in adult patients admitted to ICU on weekends and night-times as compared to those admitted on weekdays. Moreover, Barnett et al. [17], in a study of 150,000 adult patients from 38 ICUs, demonstrated a higher risk-adjusted odd of in-hospital death for patients admitted

Table 6. Multivariate Cox model of factors associated with ICU mortality. Included variables in the analysis: age, sex, BMI, SAPS II, nutritional status, admission source, hospital admission category, reason for admission, life support treatments.

Factors	Hazard-Ratio	95% IC	р
SAPS II ≥40	2.79	[1.73–4.49]	<0.001
Reason for admission			
Other medical	Ref.	-	-
Respiratory	1.00	[0.57–1.78]	0.99
Cardiovascular	2.68	[1.72–3.93]	<0.001
Neurologic	1.92	[1.27–2.90]	0.002
Postoperative	0.49	[0.12-2.06]	0.33
Invasive ventilation	16.96	[4.14–69.5]	<0.001
Renal replacement therapy	2.48	[1.72–3.56]	<0.001
Admission time			
08:00 to 17:59	Ref.	-	-
18:00 to 23:59	0.95	[0.66–1.37]	0.80
00:00 to 07:59	1.24	[0.85–1.81]	0.25

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.t006



**Fig 2.** Kaplan–Meier curves of ICU survival according to the time and source of admission. Comparison of ICU survival of patients admitted during on-hours and off-hours (a) and of patients admitted from 08:00 to 17:59, 18:00 to 23:59, and 00:00 to 07:59 (b). Comparison of ICU survival of patients admitted during weekdays according to time period of admission (c). Comparison of ICU survival according to the source of admission (d). LOSicu: length of stay in the ICU.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168548.g002

on weekends as compared to those admitted on weekdays. While some studies showed the weekend impact [13, 21], others highlighted the effect of night-time admission [15,29]. The meta-analysis performed by Cavallazzi et al. [5] indicated that an increased risk of death was associated with weekends but not with night-time admissions. By analysing 1,106 ICU patients, Abella and colleagues [21] showed indeed that hospital mortality was independently associated with off-hours admission. However, in the subgroup of off-hours patients they found that ICU admission on weekends or non-working days, compared to daily night shifts, was independently associated with hospital mortality with an odd ratio at 2.30 (95%CI 1.23-4.30). In contrast, other investigators did not observe any increased risk of mortality associated with ICU admissions neither on weekends nor on nights [16,19,22] and even a better outcome for patients admitted during off-hours [23]. These contradictory results may be explained by different definitions of off-hours, organisational model in both medical and paramedical staff, different availability of diagnosis and invasive therapeutic procedures. Nurses' staff organization, for example, is rarely reported precluding a correct appreciation [5] knowing that a correlation exists between the number of caregivers and prognosis [30–33]. Thus, our study was undertaken to evaluate the impact of on- and off-hours on mortality on a large and recent cohort taking into account to these confounding variables. An on-site senior intensivist staffed

PLOS ONE

our ICU 24-hours a day and 7 days a week. Nurse to patient ratio was maintained constant over time but without considering workload and fatigue in night work. Our definition of onand off-hours covered the presence and the number of intensivists and off-hours mainly stand for reduced medical staff. Diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, even the most complex. were available 24 hours a day. In these conditions, we found a higher mortality only for patients admitted during the second part of the night but this mortality was not associated to time period admission but rather with disease severity.

Obviously, the comparison of our results to previous studies is somewhat difficult since medical ICU organization varies from one country to another and even from one hospital to another within the same country. Ju and colleagues [15] conducted their study in a Chinese hospital in which medical staff included, during the night, only a non-specialized resident on-site while an intensivist was on the phone. Two French studies [23,34] described a medical organization close to ours: the multicenter study showed a protective, but negligible, effect of nightly admissions [23].

Whether the presence of an intensivist during off-hours or the unit organisation influences ICU mortality remains however questioned [35–40]. Indeed, it has been shown in academic high density ICUs that the presence of senior intensivists during night-time did not improve patient's survival [38,39]. The meta-analysis carried out by Wilcox et al. [41] showed a significant improvement on ICU survival with high intensity staffing versus low intensity staffing (no intensivist on coverage) (RR 0.81; 95% CI 0.68–0.96). However, on examining the 24-h intensivist model versus intensivist coverage only during the day shift, no decrease in mortality was found (RR 0.88; 95% CI 0.7–1.1). ICU survival would rather depend on organization during open hours: quality of care and the number of physicians working during daytime may influence prognosis of off-hours patients [42,43].

It is noteworthy that a majority of our patients (71%) was not admitted during open hours. Al Arabi et al. [22] and Luyt et al. [23] reported similar observations with a proportion of offhours admitted patients varying from 65 to 69%. In such ICUs, medical teams are used to support a work overload and mortality is not impacted. On the other hand, ICU teams, who carried out admissions mostly during open hours, observed a worse outcome of patients admitted during off-hours [14,16]. In addition, patient's severity criteria may differ according to onand off-hours admission [24,34]. Yet, severity and mortality have been found increased [14,15] or decreased [16,24] in patients admitted off-hours. We observed that patients admitted during the second part of the night experienced an increased mortality but also had a higher disease severity. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that this increased mortality rate depends on severity score but not on admission time. Wunsch et al. [19] have also observed, in a prospective cohort, an increased mortality among patients admitted overnight and weekends that disappeared after adjustment for illness severity. Our patients came preferentially from emergency unit during off-hours whereas they came more frequently from medical or surgical wards in open-hours, as reported by others [23,24]. Patients admitted during open-hours have a longer duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU LOS suggesting a greater severity of admissions from the ward as compared to those from emergency department [44,45]. Delay to ICU admission, which is over risky, would be longer for patients in the ward because they are often placed on hold when no bed is available [45-47]. On the other hand, early detection of potentially critical patients as done in ICUs without walls may lead to improved survival rates in patients from the ward [48, 49]. In a before-after study, Abella et al [49] demonstrated that the use of a proactive strategy, allowing intensivists to intervene outside the ICU for an early detection of patients at risk, induced a significant decrease in mortality of ICU patients admitted on week-ends and holidays.

Patient's outcome depends on the physician in charge and on its capacities that vary according to admission time. In 6 ICUs in France, it was found that age, ICU experience, and religious beliefs of intensivists were significantly associated with life-sustaining treatments [34]. Effectiveness and decision-making are probably less stringent during the night or week-ends; sleep deprivation and overwork diminished the medical staff's cognitive abilities [6,50–53]. Intensivists in French ICUs have to rest during at least 11 hours after night shift. Our intensivists followed these directives explaining, at least for a part, our results. Two large multicentre observational studies involving 49 and 143 ICUs have previously demonstrated no benefits of night-time intensivists, regardless of daytime staffing model [54,55]. Others studies showed however an improved ICU mortality with night-time physician staffing [38,56].

The ratio of the number of caregivers by period to the number of admissions should be taken into consideration. Admitting a high number of patients would reduce medical and paramedical time spent on each patient and increase workload and mortality [57,58]. Neuraz et al. [58] proposed, through a multicentre study, an evidence-based threshold of 5 patients to 2 nurses and 14 patients to one physician above which there was an increase in ICU mortality. In our study, such ratios were fulfilled. We noticed however that the most severe patients were admitted between 00:00 and 07:59, a time period when fatigue usually culminates [59]. However, it was also the period with the smallest number of admissions. Similarly, workload is slightly lower on weekends (especially at night), compared to the rest of the week. Decrease in activity could counterbalance the reduced medical staffing. An early detection of patients at risk in the hospital, like experimented in some ICUs "without walls", may be an innovative management in ICUs and may induce a significant improvement. Last, on- and off-hours, weekends and nights were differently defined in the various published reports. Weekend spans from Thursday to Friday in eastern countries like Saudi-Arabia [22] while it spans from Saturday to Sunday in the western countries.

This study has several limitations. First, it is a monocentric study. Since our organization is characterized by a "closed" activity within a high-density medical university structure as other units in our hospital, our results should not be extrapolated to community hospital units or to ICUs without on-site intensivist coverage. However, the single-centre nature of our study offers the advantage of no inter-centre organization variability. Second, mortality after ICU discharge has not been collected herein precluding any definite conclusions about the long-term impact of time of admission. Moreover, even if time of admission did not influence short outcome, its impact on others indicators, including adverse events, patient's well-being, mistakes, accidents and their side effects that deserve interest, may be altered. Unfortunately, such outcomes have not been collected in our work. Third, the studied population was mostly medical and our results may not be applied to surgical ICUs. Indeed, in the retrospective cohort reported by Esminger and colleagues [18], weekend ICU admissions were associated with increased hospital mortality only in the subgroup of patients admitted in the surgical ICU. Last, we excluded from our analysis patients with limitations on life support because such limitations were less commonly enacted during off-hours.

### Conclusion

Our study carried out in an ICU with an intensivist on site coverage indicates that time of admission did not influence mortality. Though admissions occur mostly during off-hours, the observed increased mortality was not related to admission during the night (00:00–07:59) but to patient illness severity after correction of confounding variables. Improved medical staffing during both on- and off-hours but also organisational ICU model including interprofessional care teams and ICU protocols may limit the potential harm effect of admissions out off-office hour's.

#### **Supporting Information**

**S1 Dataset. Dataset of all patients.** Dataset. (XLSX)

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: VB LL KK.

Data curation: VB KK PC LL NM NB AB.

Formal analysis: NM JES VB KK.

Investigation: VB LL PC LP NB AB DD JES KK.

Methodology: VB LL PC NM JES KK.

Project administration: KK VB DD.

Software: NM JES VB KK DD.

Supervision: KK.

Validation: KK VB.

Visualization: VB KK.

Writing - original draft: VB PC KK.

Writing – review & editing: VB LP NB AB DD JES KK.

#### References

- Guidelines for categorization of services for the critically ill patient. Task Force on Guidelines; Society of Critical Care Medicine. Crit. Care Med. 1991; 19:279–85. PMID: 1989764
- 2. Pearse RM, Rhodes A, Grounds RM. Clinical review: how to optimize management of high-risk surgical patients. Crit Care. 2004; 8:503–7. doi: 10.1186/cc2922 PMID: 15566623
- Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, Ressler J, Muzzin A, Knoblich B, et al. Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. N. Engl. J. Med. 2001; 345:1368–77. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa010307 PMID: 11794169
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. Intensive Care Med. 2013; 39:165–228. doi: 10.1007/s00134-012-2769-8 PMID: 23361625
- Cavallazzi R, Marik PE, Hirani A, Pachinburavan M, Vasu TS, Leiby BE. Association between time of admission to the ICU and mortality: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Chest. 2010; 138:68–75. doi: 10.1378/chest.09-3018 PMID: 20418364
- 6. Gaba DM, Howard SK. Patient safety: fatigue among clinicians and the safety of patients. N. Engl. J. Med. 2002; 347:1249–55. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa020846 PMID: 12393823
- Pronovost PJ, Angus DC, Dorman T, Robinson KA, Dremsizov TT, Young TL. Physician staffing patterns and clinical outcomes in critically ill patients: a systematic review. JAMA. 2002; 288:2151–62. PMID: 12413375
- Bell CM, Redelmeier DA. Mortality among patients admitted to hospitals on weekends as compared with weekdays. N. Engl. J. Med. 2001; 345:663–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa003376 PMID: 11547721
- Saposnik G, Baibergenova A, Bayer N, Hachinski V. Weekends: a dangerous time for having a stroke? Stroke J. Cereb. Circ. 2007; 38:1211–5.
- Kostis WJ, Demissie K, Marcella SW, Shao Y-H, Wilson AC, Moreyra AE, et al. Weekend versus weekday admission and mortality from myocardial infarction. N. Engl. J. Med. 2007; 356:1099–109. doi: <u>10</u>. <u>1056/NEJMoa063355</u> PMID: <u>17360988</u>

- Cram P, Hillis SL, Barnett M, Rosenthal GE. Effects of weekend admission and hospital teaching status on in-hospital mortality. Am. J. Med. 2004; 117:151–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2004.02.035 PMID: 15276592
- Vest-Hansen B, Riis AH, Sørensen HT, Christiansen CF. Out-of-hours and weekend admissions to Danish medical departments: admission rates and 30-day mortality for 20 common medical conditions. BMJ Open. 2015; 5:e006731. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006731 PMID: 25762233
- Uusaro A, Kari A, Ruokonen E. The effects of ICU admission and discharge times on mortality in Finland. Intensive Care Med. 2003; 29:2144–8. doi: 10.1007/s00134-003-2035-1 PMID: 14600808
- Kuijsten HAJM, Brinkman S, Meynaar IA, Spronk PE, van der Spoel JI, Bosman RJ, et al. Hospital mortality is associated with ICU admission time. Intensive Care Med. 2010; 36:1765–71. doi: <u>10.1007/</u> s00134-010-1918-1 PMID: 20549184
- Ju M-J, Tu G-W, Han Y, He H-Y, He Y-Z, Mao H-L, et al. Effect of admission time on mortality in an intensive care unit in Mainland China: a propensity score matching analysis. Crit. Care Lond. Engl. 2013; 17:R230.
- Laupland KB, Shahpori R, Kirkpatrick AW, Stelfox HT. Hospital mortality among adults admitted to and discharged from intensive care on weekends and evenings. J. Crit. Care. 2008; 23:317–24. doi: 10. 1016/j.jcrc.2007.09.001 PMID: 18725035
- 17. Barnett MJ, Kaboli PJ, Sirio CA, Rosenthal GE. Day of the week of intensive care admission and patient outcomes: a multisite regional evaluation. Med. Care. 2002; 40:530–9. PMID: 12021679
- Ensminger SA, Morales IJ, Peters SG, Keegan MT, Finkielman JD, Lymp JF, et al. The hospital mortality of patients admitted to the ICU on weekends. Chest. 2004; 126:1292–8. doi: <u>10.1378/chest.126.4</u>. 1292 PMID: 15486395
- Wunsch H, Mapstone J, Brady T, Hanks R, Rowan K. Hospital mortality associated with day and time of admission to intensive care units. Intensive Care Med. 2004; 30:895–901. doi: <u>10.1007/s00134-004-</u> 2170-3 PMID: 15007545
- Le Gall JR, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F. A new Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. JAMA. 1993; 270:2957–63. PMID: 8254858
- Abella A, Hermosa C, Enciso V, Torrejon I, Molina R, Salinas I, et al. Effect of the timing of admission up on patient prognosis in the Intensive Care Unit: on-hours versus off-hours. Med Intensiva. 2016; 40:26– 32. doi: 10.1016/j.medin.2014.11.009 PMID: 25682488
- Arabi Y, Alshimemeri A, Taher S. Weekend and weeknight admissions have the same outcome of weekday admissions to an intensive care unit with onsite intensivist coverage. Crit. Care Med. 2006; 34:605–11. PMID: 16521254
- Luyt C-E, Combes A, Aegerter P, Guidet B, Trouillet J-L, Gibert C, et al. Mortality among patients admitted to intensive care units during weekday day shifts compared with "off" hours. Crit. Care Med. 2007; 35:3–11. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000249832.36518.11 PMID: 17080000
- Morales IJ, Peters SG, Afessa B. Hospital mortality rate and length of stay in patients admitted at night to the intensive care unit. Crit. Care Med. 2003; 31:858–63. doi: <u>10.1097/01.CCM.0000055378.31408</u>. 26 PMID: <u>12626997</u>
- Sheu C-C, Tsai J-R, Hung J-Y, Yang C-J, Hung H-C, Chong I-W, et al. Admission time and outcomes of patients in a medical intensive care unit. Kaohsiung J. Med. Sci. 2007; 23:395–404. doi: 10.1016/ S0257-5655(07)70003-2 PMID: 17666306
- Meynaar IA, van der Spoel JI, Rommes JH, van Spreuwel-Verheijen M, Bosman RJ, Spronk PE. Off hour admission to an intensivist-led ICU is not associated with increased mortality. Crit. Care Lond. Engl. 2009; 13:R84.
- Arias Y, Taylor DS, Marcin JP. Association between evening admissions and higher mortality rates in the pediatric intensive care unit. Pediatrics. 2004; 113:e530–534. PMID: 15173533
- Numa A, Williams G, Awad J, Duffy B. After-hours admissions are not associated with increased riskadjusted mortality in pediatric intensive care. Intensive Care Med. 2008; 34:148–51. doi: <u>10.1007/</u> s00134-007-0904-8 PMID: 17943272
- Matsumura Y, Nakada T-A, Shinozaki K, Tagami T, Nomura T, Tahara Y, et al. Nighttime is associated with decreased survival and resuscitation efforts for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a prospective observational study. Crit. Care Lond. Engl. 2016; 20:141.
- Kane RL, Shamliyan TA, Mueller C, Duval S, Wilt TJ. The association of registered nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. Med. Care. 2007; 45:1195–204. doi: <u>10</u>. <u>1097/MLR.0b013e3181468ca3 PMID: 18007170</u>
- McCloskey JM. Nurse staffing and patient outcomes. Nurs. Outlook. 1998; 46:199–200. PMID: 9805336

- 32. Penoyer DA. Nurse staffing and patient outcomes in critical care: a concise review. Crit. Care Med. 2010; 38:1521–1528; quiz 1529. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181e47888 PMID: 20473146
- Needleman J, Buerhaus P, Pankratz VS, Leibson CL, Stevens SR, Harris M. Nurse staffing and inpatient hospital mortality. N. Engl. J. Med. 2011; 364:1037–45. doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMsa1001025</u> PMID: 21410372
- Garrouste-Orgeas M, Ben-Rehouma M, Darmon M, Ruckly S, Clec'h C, Adrie C, et al. ICU physicianbased determinants of life-sustaining therapy during nights and weekends: French multicenter study from the Outcomerea Research Group. Crit. Care Med. 2014; 42:2393–400. doi: <u>10.1097/CCM</u>. 000000000000523 PMID: 25126878
- Cartin-Ceba R, Bajwa EK. 24-hour on-site intensivist in the intensive care unit: yes. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2010; 181:1279–80. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201004-0676ED PMID: 20558637
- **36.** Levy MM. Intensivists at night: putting resources in the right place. Crit. Care Lond. Engl. 2013; 17:1008.
- Blunt MC, Burchett KR. Out-of-hours consultant cover and case-mix-adjusted mortality in intensive care. Lancet Lond. Engl. 2000; 356:735–6.
- Wallace DJ, Angus DC, Barnato AE, Kramer AA, Kahn JM. Nighttime intensivist staffing and mortality among critically ill patients. N. Engl. J. Med. 2012; 366:2093–101. doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMsa1201918</u> PMID: 22612639
- Kerlin MP, Small DS, Cooney E, Fuchs BD, Bellini LM, Mikkelsen ME, et al. A randomized trial of nighttime physician staffing in an intensive care unit. N. Engl. J. Med. 2013; 368:2201–9. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1302854 PMID: 23688301
- **40.** Reriani M, Biehl M, Sloan JA, Malinchoc M, Gajic O. Effect of 24-hour mandatory vs on-demand critical care specialist presence on long-term survival and quality of life of critically ill patients in the intensive care unit of a teaching hospital. J. Crit. Care. 2012; 27:421.e1–7.
- 41. Wilcox ME, Chong CAKY, Niven DJ, Rubenfeld GD, Rowan KM, Wunsch H, et al. Do intensivist staffing patterns influence hospital mortality following ICU admission? A systematic review and meta-analyses. Crit. Care Med. 2013; 41:2253–74. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318292313a PMID: 23921275
- 42. Gajic O, Afessa B, Hanson AC, Krpata T, Yilmaz M, Mohamed SF, et al. Effect of 24-hour mandatory versus on-demand critical care specialist presence on quality of care and family and provider satisfaction in the intensive care unit of a teaching hospital. Crit. Care Med. 2008; 36:36–44. doi: 10.1097/01. CCM.0000297887.84347.85 PMID: 18007270
- **43.** Reineck LA, Wallace DJ, Barnato AE, Kahn JM. Nighttime intensivist staffing and the timing of death among ICU decedents: a retrospective cohort study. Crit. Care Lond. Engl. 2013; 17:R216.
- 44. Molina JAD, Seow E, Heng BH, Chong WF, Ho B. Outcomes of direct and indirect medical intensive care unit admissions from the emergency department of an acute care hospital: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2014; 4:e005553. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005553 PMID: 25431221
- Goldhill DR, McNarry AF, Hadjianastassiou VG, Tekkis PP. The longer patients are in hospital before Intensive Care admission the higher their mortality. Intensive Care Med. 2004; 30:1908–13. doi: <u>10</u>. 1007/s00134-004-2386-2 PMID: 15278266
- **46.** Stelfox HT, Hemmelgarn BR, Bagshaw SM, Gao S, Doig CJ, Nijssen-Jordan C, et al. Intensive care unit bed availability and outcomes for hospitalized patients with sudden clinical deterioration. Arch. Intern. Med. 2012; 172:467–74. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2011.2315 PMID: 22412076
- Harris S, Singer M, Rowan K, Sanderson C. Delay to admission to critical care and mortality among deteriorating ward patients in UK hospitals: a multicentre, prospective, observational cohort study. Lancet Lond. Engl. 2015; 385 Suppl 1:S40.
- Churpek MM, Yuen TC, Winslow C, Robicsek AA, Meltzer DO, Gibbons RD, et al. Multicenter development and validation of a risk stratification tool for ward patients. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2014; 190:649–55. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201406-1022OC PMID: 25089847
- 49. Abella A, Enciso V, Torrejon I, Hermosa C, Mozo T, Molina R, et al. Effect upon mortality of the extension to holidays and weekends of the 3ICU without walls" project. A before-after study. Med Intensiva. 2016; 40:273–9. doi: 10.1016/j.medin.2015.09.001 PMID: 26547480
- Lockley SW, Cronin JW, Evans EE, Cade BE, Lee CJ, Landrigan CP, et al. Effect of reducing interns' weekly work hours on sleep and attentional failures. N. Engl. J. Med. 2004; 351:1829–37. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa041404 PMID: 15509816
- Barger LK, Ayas NT, Cade BE, Cronin JW, Rosner B, Speizer FE, et al. Impact of extended-duration shifts on medical errors, adverse events, and attentional failures. PLoS Med. 2006; 3:e487. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pmed.0030487 PMID: 17194188
- McCall TB. The impact of long working hours on resident physicians. N. Engl. J. Med. 1988; 318:775–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198803243181210 PMID: 3347227

- Michtalik HJ, Yeh H-C, Pronovost PJ, Brotman DJ. Impact of attending physician workload on patient care: a survey of hospitalists. JAMA Intern. Med. 2013; 173:375–7.
- Costa DK, Wallace DJ, Kahn JM. The Association Between Daytime Intensivist Physician Staffing and Mortality in the Context of Other ICU Organizational Practices: A Multicenter Cohort Study. Crit. Care Med. 2015; 43:2275–82. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000001259 PMID: 26308426
- Kerlin MP, Harhay MO, Kahn JM, Halpern SD. Nighttime intensivist staffing, mortality, and limits on life support: a retrospective cohort study. Chest. 2015; 147:951–8. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-0501 PMID: 25321489
- 56. Kajdacsy-Balla Amaral AC, Barros BS, Barros CCPP, Innes C, Pinto R, Rubenfeld GD. Nighttime cross-coverage is associated with decreased intensive care unit mortality. A single-center study. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2014; 189:1395–401. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201312-2181OC PMID: 24779652
- 57. Tarnow-Mordi WO, Hau C, Warden A, Shearer AJ. Hospital mortality in relation to staff workload: a 4year study in an adult intensive-care unit. Lancet Lond. Engl. 2000; 356:185–9.
- Neuraz A, Guérin C, Payet C, Polazzi S, Aubrun F, Dailler F, et al. Patient Mortality Is Associated With Staff Resources and Workload in the ICU: A Multicenter Observational Study. Crit. Care Med. 2015; 43:1587–94. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000001015 PMID: 25867907
- Olson EJ, Drage LA, Auger RR. Sleep deprivation, physician performance, and patient safety. Chest. 2009; 136:1389–96. doi: 10.1378/chest.08-1952 PMID: 19892678