

# Fecal ESBL Screening: Are We Ready for This Information?

## Sarah E. Turbett<sup>1,2</sup> and Michael K. Mansour<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston

## (See the Major Article by Karanika et al on pages 310-8.)

Keywords. ESBL; infection control; fecal colonization; Enterobacteriaceae; screening.

Over the past several years, infections with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-PE) have become an increasing concern in US healthcare settings, with an estimated 140 000 hospital-acquired ESBL-PE infections occurring each year [1]. Infections with these highly resistant bacteria are associated with higher observed mortality rates as well as hospital costs compared with infections with lessresistant organisms [1, 2]. Given the rising prevalence of these dangerous and costly ESBL-PE infections, a better understanding of the risk factors for their development is necessary to determine appropriate reduction and prevention strategies in hospital settings.

One suggested risk factor for the development of ESBL-PE infection is gut colonization with these organisms. In this issue of *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Karanika, Karantanos, and colleagues [3] describe a retrospective metaanalysis of 66 studies that they performed in order to estimate the prevalence of ESBL-PE colonization in healthy individuals, with a focus on identifying risk factors for colonization. The authors report a global prevalence of ESBL-PE fecal colonization of 14%, with significantly higher

Clinical Infectious Diseases<sup>®</sup> 2016;63(3):319–21 © The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail journals.permissions@oup.com. D0I: 10.1093/cid/ciw288 rates in parts of Africa, East Asia, and India [3]. Additional important risk factors identified for colonization included international travel and recent antibiotic use [3]. To date, this manuscript represents the largest analysis of the prevalence of ESBL-PE colonization and its associated risk factors. The authors' conclusions provide important insights into the phenomenon of rising gram-negative resistance and raise further questions about the potential role of infection control and antimicrobial stewardship to thwart the spread of multidrug-resistant bacteria.

This study underscores several issues pertaining to ESBL-PE colonization and its potential sequelae. First, is ESBL-PE colonization a real risk factor for subsequent infection? Prior studies do indeed suggest a true association between colonization with resistant organisms and the development of infection [4-6]. For example, nasal colonization with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is associated with an increased risk of MRSA infection [5]. Few studies have linked the role of ESBL-PE fecal colonization with the development of infection. A recent cohort study performed by Cornejo-Juarez and colleagues [7] in patients with hematologic malignancies revealed that patients with fecal ESBL-PE colonization were 3.5 times more likely to develop ESBL-PE bacteremia compared with those not colonized. Although mortality was similar among ESBL-PE colonized and noncolonized individuals, the presence of fecal ESBL-PE was associated with longer hospitalizations, a

reduced time to death, and higher hospital costs [7]. These findings contrast a similar study performed by Arnan and colleagues [8] in which no correlation was identified between ESBL-PE fecal colonization and infection in a neutropenic population. To our knowledge, no study has been performed to investigate an association between ESBL-PE fecal colonization and infection in nonhematologic patient populations. As the implementation of infection control practices can be costly and time consuming, a better understanding of the predictive value of ESBL-PE colonization to infection with these organisms is needed before standardized screening protocols can be considered.

Second, we need to ascertain the utility of screening procedures in the prevention of transmission. Most hospitals use active surveillance programs to identify multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) such as MRSA and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) in certain high-risk patient populations. However, outcomes that evaluate the utility of these programs remain mixed, with some studies showing a clear reduction in MDRO transmission and others showing no benefit from these initiatives [9, 10]. Less is known regarding the utility of active surveillance screening for ESBL-PE colonization, although a few studies have started to address this question. Troche and colleagues [11] reported a significant reduction in ESBL-PE transmission in a surgical intensive care unit through the use of active surveillance cultures and selective gut decolonization, while Gardam and colleagues [12] found no benefit to

Received 21 April 2016; accepted 23 April 2016; published online 3 May 2016.

Correspondence: M. K. Mansour, Jackson Bldg 1328A, Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit St, Boston, MA 02114 (mkmansour@mgh.harvard.edu).

routine screening. Given these conflicting results, larger studies are required before active ESBL-PE surveillance can be recommended. Given the costs and logistics of active surveillance screening and isolation precautions, a more targeted research focus using these initiatives in the highest risk populations such as the critically ill, immunosuppressed, and those with significant antibiotic exposure may increase the potential value of these protocols. Most important is emphasis of appropriate hand hygiene as the most effective intervention to reduce MDRO transmission [13].

Karanika, Karantanos, and colleagues provide further evidence of the link between international travel and the development of ESBL-PE colonization in healthy individuals [3]. As active surveillance screening is often performed for other MDRO organisms in high-risk groups, these results raise the question of whether fecal screening for ESBL-PE colonization should be performed in patients with a history of travel, specifically to areas with high ESBL prevalence. The global sites that constitute a high prevalence rate would need to be identified as well as how other potential risk factors including duration of travel and travel-related antibiotic use inform the decision to screen [14]. The timing of screening from date of high-risk travel would also need to be considered as ESBL-PE colonization can persist for up to 1 year [15].

Finally, treatment options for ESBL-PEcolonized patients are unknown. Given the high prevalence of fecal ESBL-PE reported by Karanika, Karantanos, and colleagues, the role of decolonization as a way to reduce transmission and infection is an intriguing concept. Multiple decolonization strategies have been studied with regard to MRSA and VRE colonization. Overall, the results have been disappointing, prompting the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to discourage the use of decolonization protocols as part of routine care [16]. Several studies that evaluated the specific role of gut decolonization with poorly absorbable antibiotics such as colistin, rifaximin, polymixin, neomycin, and erythromycin for asymptomatic ESBL-PE carriage failed to show successful rate reductions following treatment [11, 17, 18]. These studies were limited by small sample sizes as well as variable decolonization regimens, making it difficult to draw significant conclusions regarding the utility of antibiotic decolonization for ESBL-PE carriage. More recently, fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) has become an increasingly popular and effective treatment method for *Clostridium difficile* infection [19]. This concept of "resetting" the gut microbiome as a way to eradicate pathogenic gut bacteria provides a potential mechanism by which asymptomatic fecal colonization with MDRO organisms could be curtailed. A recent case report from Crum-Cianflone and colleagues [20] describes this phenomenon, with a significant reduction in MDRO colonization seen in a critically ill patient after FMT for recurrent C. difficile colitis. Other case reports reveal similar findings, demonstrating the potential role of FMT as a decolonization strategy; however, formal studies are needed to accurately measure the impact on ESBL-PE carriage [21].

In summary, the current findings of Karanika, Karantanos, and colleagues firmly establish the growing worldwide burden of ESBL-PE fecal colonization. Future questions to be addressed include the true impact of colonization on infection as well as the need for active surveillance screening given the controversial nature of this practice with other MDRO bacteria. Finally, the role of gut decolonization strategies or possibly FMT to limit transmission and infection represent intriguing potential therapeutic interventions. Most importantly, strict adherence to hand hygiene protocols should be emphasized in an attempt to reduce transmission.

## Notes

*Financial support.* M. K. M. is supported in part by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIH K08 AI110665).

**Potential conflict of interest.** Both authors: No reported conflicts. Both authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

#### References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2013. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/ pdf/ar-threats-2013-508.pdf. Accessed 9 May 2016.
- Melzer M, Petersen I. Mortality following bacteraemic infection caused by extended spectrum betalactamase (ESBL) producing *E. coli* compared to non-ESBL producing *E. coli*. J Infect 2007; 55:254–9.
- Karanika S, Karantanos T, Arvanitis M, Grigoras C, Mylonakis T. Fecal colonization with extendedspectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae and risk factors among healthy individuals: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63:310–8.
- Karanika S, Kinamon T, Grigoras C, Milonakis E. Colonization with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and risk for infection among asymptomatic athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis **2016**; 63:195–204.
- Davis K, Stewart JJ, Crouch HK, Florez CE, Hospenthal DR. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) nares colonization at hospital admission and its effect on subsequent MRSA infection. Clin Infect Dis **2004**; 39:776–82.
- Tischendorf J, de Avila RA, Safdar N. Risk of infection following colonization with carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae: a systematic review. Am J Infect Control 2016; 44:539–43.
- Cornejo-Juarez P, Suarez-Cuenca JA, Volkow-Fernandez P, et al. Fecal ESBL *Escherichia coli* carriage as a risk factor for bacteremia in patients with hematological malignancies. Support Care Cancer 2016; 24:253–9.
- Arnan M, Gudiol C, Calatayud L, et al. Risk factors for, and clinical relevance of, faecal extendedspectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* (ESBL-EC) carriage in neutropenic patients with haematological malignancies. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis **2011**; 30:355–60.
- Huskins WC, Huckabee CM, O'Grady NP, et al. Intervention to reduce transmission of resistant bacteria in intensive care. N Engl J Med 2011; 364:1407–18.
- Ostrowsky BE, Trick WE, Sohn AH, et al. Control of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus in health care facilities in a region. N Engl J Med 2001; 344: 1427–33.
- Troche G, Toly LM, Guibert M, Zazzo J-F. Detection and treatment of antibiotic-resistant bacterial carriage in a surgical intensive care unit: a 6-year prospective study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2005; 26:161–5.
- Gardam MA, Burrows LL, Kus JV, et al. Is surveillance for multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae an effective infection control strategy in the absence of an outbreak. J Infect Dis 2002; 186:1754–60.
- Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, et al. Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. Lancet 2000; 356:1307–12.
- 14. Kuenzli E, Jaeger VK, Frei R, et al. High colonization rates of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL)producing *Escherichia coli* in Swiss travellers to South Asia-a prospective observational multicentre cohort study looking at epidemiology, microbiology and risk factors. BMC Infect Dis **2014**; 14:1–10.

- Titelman E, Hasan CM, Iversen A, et al. Faecal carriage of extended-spectrum beta-lactamaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae is common 12 months after infection and is related to strain factors. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014; 20:O508–15.
- Siegal JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, Chiarello L; the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Management of multidrugresistant organisms in healthcare settings, 2006. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/ guidelines/MDROGuideline2006.pdf. Accessed 9 May 2016.
- 17. Huttner B, Haustein T, Uckay I, et al. Decolonization of intestinal carriage of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae with oral colistin and neomycin: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. J Antimicrob Chemother **2013**; 68:2375–82.
- Rieg S, Kupper MF, de With K, Serr A, Bohnert JA, Kern WV. Intestinal decolonization of Enterobacteriaceae producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL): a retrospective observational study in patients at risk for infection and a brief review of the literature. BMC Infect Dis 2015; 15:475.
- Bagdasarian N, Rao K, Malani PN. Diagnosis and treatment of *Clostridium difficile* in adults: a systematic review. JAMA 2015; 313:398–408.
- Crum-Cianflone NF, Sullivan E, Ballon-Landa G. Fecal microbiota transplantation and successful resolution of multidrug-resistant-organism colonization. J Clin Microbiol 2015; 53:1986–9.
- Singh R, van Nood E, Nieuwdorp M, et al. Donor feces infusion for eradication of extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* in a patient with end stage renal disease. Clin Microbiol Infect **2014**; 20:O977–8.



Figure



About Clinical Infectious Diseases			Purchase	
Editorial Board			Recommend to your Library	
Author Guidelines			Advertising and Corporate Services	
Facebook			Journals Career Network	
Twitter				
Online ISSN 1537-6591				
Print ISSN 2058-4838				
Copyright to 2007 Investigat Disea	are society of America			
About Us	Connect	Resources	Explore	Oxford University Press is a department of the University of
Contact Us	Join Our Mailing List	Authors	Shop OUP Academic	Outland. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in second scholarship, and observing how hit bigs and doubt.
Camera	OUPblog	Librarians	Oxford Dictionaries	OVEOPD
Help	Twitter	Societies	Oxford Index	UNIVERSITY FRAME
Access & Purchase	Facebook	Sponsors & Advertisers	Epigeum	
Rights & Permissions	YouTube	Press & Media	OUP Worldwide	
Open Access	Tumble	Agents	University of Oxford	
Copyright 6: 2027 Oxford University Press Privacy Policy Cookle Policy Legal Notices Site Map Accessibility Get Adobe Reader				

and ingust of resistances in obtaines, Clin Mitcl 2020; [2]: 1110 - 11. Congristion Could Read Handmal Second Mitcles Soverlikes (NMS). System report, data summary from January 1929 Brough June 204, issued Oxdaer 2004, Am JMSC Control 2004; [2]: 478-B. Counder Paster 9. Other Amazer and Ama

6 Schwaber KJ, Naxon-Vinezia S, Kaye KS, Ben-Ami R, Schwartz D, Carmoli Y. Clinical and economic impact of bacteremia with extended spectrum-beta-lactamase-produc Enterobacteriacues. Attimiceb Agents Chemother 2006; 50: 1257 - 62.

0	astrointestinal Colonization with Vancomycin-
5	lesistant Enterococci
	Multinational Survey of Risk Factors for
- 1	nfection with Extended-Spectrum
- 4	S-Lactamase-Producing Enterobacteriaceae in
	ionhospitalized Patients
- 1	nflux of Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase
	-Producing Enterobacteriaceae into the
	lospital
	asended-spectrum p-Lactamases
	Related articles in
	ooge schour
	Related articles in PubMed
	mett or posymyson u-containing regiments on
	and function for the treatment of carbonenem.