

# Corticosteroids for Community-Acquired Pneumonia

## Overstated Benefits and Understated Risks



Grant Waterer, MBBS, PhD, FCCP; and Mark L. Metersky, MD, FCCP

CHEST 2019; 156(6):1049-1053

**KEY WORDS:** community-acquired pneumonia; corticosteroids

At a recent international clinical meeting, we presented the case of an elderly woman with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), clearly sick enough to require hospitalization but not meeting any criteria for being classified as “severe” and clearly not needing consideration of intensive care. Using an audience response system, nearly one-half of those in the audience, predominantly pulmonologists, indicated they would routinely give such a patient corticosteroids at a dose of 50 mg of prednisolone per day. This response is extremely disturbing because the routine use of systemic corticosteroids in such a patient is way beyond what the available evidence supports and shows significant overestimation of the benefit and underestimation of the risk of such an approach. In this commentary, we outline the current evidence base for corticosteroids in CAP in the hope that clinicians will reassess what has become a potentially dangerous practice.

It is understandable why clinicians might wish to use unproven therapy for patients with CAP. Despite improvements in the outcomes of patients with CAP during the

last two decades,<sup>1,2</sup> CAP continues to exact a tremendous toll of morbidity and mortality.<sup>3</sup> Because most in-hospital CAP deaths are not related to lapses in the quality of care,<sup>4</sup> we will not improve outcomes further by giving more appropriate antibiotics or giving them faster. There is a good pathophysiologic basis for believing that if we can modulate the body’s inflammatory response to infection, we can improve CAP outcomes.

Inflammation drives sepsis and septic shock, and contributes to other adverse outcomes, including cardiovascular events.<sup>5,6</sup> Because corticosteroids are some of the most potent antiinflammatory agents we have, and they have shown benefit in pneumococcal meningitis,<sup>7</sup> it is reasonable to test the hypothesis that they might improve CAP outcomes.

A number of small studies have attempted to assess the utility of corticosteroids in CAP, and there are now more published meta-analyses<sup>8-19</sup> than there are primary studies. The general, but not universal, consensus of these meta-analyses has been that glucocorticoids reduce mortality in severe CAP but not in nonsevere CAP. One of the

**ABBREVIATIONS:** ATS = American Thoracic Society; CAP = community-acquired pneumonia

**AFFILIATIONS:** From the Department of Medicine and Pharmacology (Dr Waterer), University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia; and the Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine (Dr Metersky), University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT.

**CORRESPONDENCE TO:** Grant Waterer, MBBS, PhD, FCCP, University of Western Australia, Level 4 MRF Bldg, Royal Perth Hospital, GPO Box X2213, Perth 6847, Australia; e-mail: [grant.waterer@uwa.edu.au](mailto:grant.waterer@uwa.edu.au)

Copyright © 2019 American College of Chest Physicians. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.06.017>

most recent and most rigorously performed of the meta-analyses, published by the Cochrane group,<sup>12</sup> reported a risk ratio for mortality of 0.58 (95% CI, 0.40-0.84) associated with corticosteroid use for severe CAP. There was no evidence of benefit in patients with nonsevere CAP (risk ratio, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.45-2.00). Despite the improved mortality reported in patients with severe CAP, it is, however, critically important that clinicians understand the limitations of the evidence base for glucocorticoids in CAP and how the meta-analyses have failed to properly critique the studies included. Perhaps even more importantly, clinicians should be aware that there is no credible evidence of improved outcomes in patients with nonsevere CAP (patients like the one described in the first paragraph of this commentary).

The major driver of a mortality advantage in all the meta-analyses is Nafae et al.<sup>20</sup> This study, published in the *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis* in 2013, was a single-center, single-blind trial in adults with CAP. Sixty patients were randomized to receive corticosteroids and 20 to receive placebo. The authors reported a mortality benefit in the steroid group (6.7% vs 31.6%;  $P < .05$ ). There are, however, two very significant problems with this study. Although the paper states that randomization was stratified according to severity, no details of the stratification are provided, and severity details are generally lacking. More importantly, although the authors report no significant differences in baseline characteristics between the groups, re-analysis of the table provided (assuming a normal distribution given that they provide t-scores) shows a very significant difference in the degree of renal impairment at randomization in the placebo group compared with the corticosteroid group: creatinine, mean  $\pm$  SD,  $1.5 \pm 0.8$  mg/dL vs  $1.14 \pm 0.5$  ( $P = .02$ ); urea,  $41.8 \pm 19.5$  mg/dL vs  $31.4 \pm 14.2$  mg/dL ( $P = 0.01$ ), respectively. It is hardly surprising that a group of patients with normal renal function at enrollment did better than a group with significant renal impairment.

There are also significant problems with imbalance at baseline with a second study, Sabry and Omar.<sup>21</sup> Eighty patients were randomized on a 1:1 basis in this multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in adults with severe CAP according to American Thoracic Society (ATS) criteria.<sup>22</sup> First, mortality was measured at day eight, not at end of hospitalization, demonstrating a statistically nonsignificant trend toward lower mortality in the steroid group (38 vs 34;  $P = 0.3$ ). Second, although the authors report no significant differences in the patient groups at baseline, their table shows 34 of 40

patients in the placebo group requiring mechanical ventilation at baseline (85%), compared with only 26 of the 40 patients in the steroid group (65%). The authors report the  $P$  value as .144; however, by  $\chi^2$  testing it is .04, and by the Fisher exact test it is .07. With 20% more patients requiring mechanical ventilation at study entry, any trend to improved mortality must be highly suspect.

The only study other than Nafae et al.<sup>20</sup> to show a mortality benefit for steroids is Confalonieri et al.<sup>23</sup> This multisite trial included patients with severe CAP according to the 1993 ATS criteria.<sup>24</sup> The trial was terminated early following randomization of 46 patients (23 in each group) due to a significant difference in mortality (7 [30%] vs 0;  $P = .009$ ). This paper has been identified as a significant outlier by a number of authors, including one meta-analysis.<sup>10,25</sup> In particular, the zero mortality in the steroid group is not remotely likely to be reproducible. Differences in clinical care such as greater use of noninvasive ventilation at baseline in the steroid group (3 vs 8;  $P = .03$ ) and the group sequential trial design, which is prone to type I errors, are potential explanations. The same study design produced an apparent mortality benefit of corticosteroids in persistent ARDS<sup>26</sup> subsequently not reproduced in a larger, conventional randomized controlled trial.<sup>27</sup> More pertinently, the study by Torres et al.<sup>28</sup> (discussed later) adopted a very similar patient group yet found no significant difference in mortality and nothing comparable to the effect reported by Confalonieri et al.<sup>23</sup>

Because the majority of studies addressing the use of corticosteroids in CAP are small and therefore underpowered, the use of meta-analysis is the most appropriate way to search for an outcome signal. Indeed, eight of the nine studies included in most of the meta-analyses, including the Cochrane meta-analysis,<sup>12</sup> reported a numerically higher survival in the corticosteroid-treated patients with severe CAP. The only study that did not report a numerically lower mortality with corticosteroid treatment ("severe" CAP defined post hoc) was the study by Blum et al.<sup>29</sup> However, among the patients defined as "severe" in this analysis, the mortality in the placebo group was only 7%, indicative of a nonsevere population in which corticosteroids would not be expected to provide benefit.

As described earlier, however, several of the included studies have methodologic flaws and evidence of allocation imbalance, and grouping them in a meta-analysis does not magically remove their shortcomings. This does not necessarily mean the conclusions of the

meta-analyses are wrong; it means simply that the strength of any conclusions drawn from the data we have must be considered exceedingly weak, far below the level that should be required to drive a change in clinical practice.

Even without a mortality benefit, an improvement in other clinical outcomes may be very beneficial from a patient or economic viewpoint. If we look at the other studies, what have they shown of clinical relevance? The largest and most methodologically rigorous study in severe CAP was conducted by Torres et al.<sup>28</sup> This multicenter trial included patients with severe CAP according to the 2007 ATS criteria<sup>22</sup> and a high inflammatory response as defined by a C-reactive protein level > 150 mg/L. A total of 120 patients were randomized in the study (61, steroid; 59, placebo). The primary outcome was treatment failure, defined according to a collection of outcomes, and was less common in the steroid group than in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.14-0.87). However, the treatment failure outcome was driven almost entirely by patients in the steroid group having chest radiography between 72 and 120 hours' postadmission that showed less progression from baseline. The clinical relevance of better-looking chest radiographs when there was no difference in mortality, septic shock, organ failure, time to clinical stability, or length of stay is unclear.

To summarize all the remaining studies, the only positive clinically important results are that steroids have been associated with a reduced duration of antibiotic therapy<sup>30</sup> and a reduced length of hospital stay in the steroid group.<sup>29,31</sup> Analysis of these studies, however, shows that length of hospital admission was > 7 days, several days longer than would be expected in the United States or Australia, again raising the question of relevance. Faster normalization of temperature is frequently reported<sup>29,30,32,33</sup>; this finding is not surprising given the antipyretic effect of steroids, which also explains small improvements in time to clinical stability.

On the positive side for corticosteroids, a recent retrospective analysis of 758 patients with CAP found that patients treated with systemic corticosteroids (32%) seemed to have a lower risk of myocardial infarction during their inpatient stay (0.42 vs 0.89 event per 100 person-days).<sup>34</sup> Existing prospective randomized studies have not addressed this end point. Effective prevention of acute myocardial injury in patients with CAP is now an area of major concern and limited data, with only one

small randomized trial of aspirin 300 mg/d for 1 month showing benefit<sup>35</sup> and a larger randomized trial of aspirin 100 mg/d showing no benefit.<sup>36</sup> If corticosteroids did have a benefit in this area, it would be significant clinically, but this topic needs proper study of the risks and benefits.

As for adverse events in these trials, only Snijders et al<sup>32</sup> reported more clinical failures in the steroid group, especially those with pneumococcal disease. It is interesting that a subsequent analysis of one of the studies that found an improvement in time to clinical stability with steroids<sup>29</sup> also reported that this benefit was not seen in patients with pneumococcal disease.<sup>37</sup> The only other significant side effect that reportedly occurred in most studies was hyperglycemia, which although not associated with adverse outcomes in these small studies, is known to be associated with worse outcomes in larger studies of sepsis.<sup>38</sup>

There are three significant concerns with respect to other potential adverse effects of steroids. First, there is a reasonable amount of observational data suggesting that steroid use in the setting of influenza may be associated with significantly greater mortality.<sup>39</sup> A recent analysis of patients from the Veterans Affairs system using propensity scoring to adjust for confounders also concluded that administration of corticosteroids was associated with a much higher mortality in patients with severe pneumonia due to influenza.<sup>40</sup> Second, there is evidence that even a short duration of steroid therapy is associated with significant complications in the following 90 days, including higher rates of sepsis, pulmonary emboli, and fractures.<sup>41</sup> Although not specific to pneumonia, these data underline the point that steroids are not benign drugs; to show the adverse impact, however, larger studies with longer periods of follow-up are needed.<sup>42</sup> Third, there is general concern about hyperglycemia, seen in several of the trials, and its known correlation with poorer outcomes in sepsis.

In summary, it is possible that corticosteroid therapy might be of benefit in a small subset of patients with severe CAP, but at present this group has not been defined, and even severe CAP was defined variably in the relevant studies. Proper benefit-risk analysis will require longer term follow-up than performed in most of the relevant studies, as well as evaluation of potential corticosteroid-related complications; we have yet to see studies designed to do this. Additional data are expected from the Veterans Affairs Extended Steroids in CAP trial, but the results have not yet been published, despite

enrollment being completed nearly 3 years ago. What is very clear is that use of corticosteroids outside of those with disease severe enough to require intensive care is clearly not supported by any published data, and the risk of harm from doing so is not insignificant. Of course, patients with CAP who have validated indications for corticosteroid use, such as acute exacerbation of COPD, should receive them. However, clinicians who have been convinced to routinely use corticosteroids in CAP need to re-evaluate their practice.

## Acknowledgments

**Financial/nonfinancial disclosures:** None declared.

## References

- Daniel P, Woodhead M, Welham S, McKeever TM, Lim WS. British Thoracic Society. Mortality reduction in adult community-acquired pneumonia in the UK (2009-2014): results from the British Thoracic Society audit programme. *Thorax*. 2016;71(11):1061-1063.
- Simonetti AF, Garcia-Vidal C, Viasus D, et al. Declining mortality among hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2016;22(6):567.e1-567.e7.
- GBD 2015 LRI Collaborators. Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of lower respiratory tract infections in 195 countries: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2017;17(11):1133-1161.
- Waterer GW, Self WH, Courtney DM, et al. In-hospital deaths among adults with community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest*. 2018;154(3):628-635.
- Restrepo MI, Reyes LF. Pneumonia as a cardiovascular disease. *Respirology*. 2018;23(3):250-259.
- Jobs A, Simon R, de Waha S, et al. Pneumonia and inflammation in acute decompensated heart failure: a registry-based analysis of 1939 patients. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2018;7(4):362-370.
- de Gans J, van de Beek D. European Dexamethasone in Adulthood Bacterial Meningitis Study I. Dexamethasone in adults with bacterial meningitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;347(20):1549-1556.
- Horita N, Otsuka T, Haranaga S, et al. Adjunctive systemic corticosteroids for hospitalized community-acquired pneumonia: systematic review and meta-analysis 2015 update. *Sci Rep*. 2015;5:14061.
- Chen LP, Chen JH, Chen Y, Wu C, Yang XH. Efficacy and safety of glucocorticoids in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World J Emerg Med*. 2015;6(3):172-178.
- Siemieniuk RA, Meade MO, Alonso-Coello P, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;163(7):519-528.
- Wu WF, Fang Q, He GJ. Efficacy of corticosteroid treatment for severe community-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2018;36(2):179-184.
- Stern A, Skalsky K, Avni T, Carrara E, Leibovici L, Paul M. Corticosteroids for pneumonia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;12:CD007720.
- Bi J, Yang J, Wang Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of adjunctive corticosteroids therapy for severe community-acquired pneumonia in adults: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(11):e0165942.
- Tian XL, Jiang M, Sun XF, Zhan YZ, Wang SB, Kang SS. The indications for glucocorticoids in treating community-acquired pneumonia in adults: a meta-analysis [in Chinese]. *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi*. 2016;39(4):280-285.
- Wan YD, Sun TW, Liu ZQ, Zhang SG, Wang LX, Kan QC. Efficacy and safety of corticosteroids for community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest*. 2016;149(1):209-219.
- Yang JW, Fan LC, Miao XY, et al. Corticosteroids for the treatment of human infection with influenza virus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2015;21(10):956-963.
- Cheng M, Pan ZY, Yang J, Gao YD. Corticosteroid therapy for severe community-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Respir Care*. 2014;59(4):557-563.
- Nie W, Zhang Y, Cheng J, Xiu Q. Corticosteroids in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in adults: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):e47926.
- Briel M, Spoorenberg SMC, Snijders D, et al. Corticosteroids in patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia: systematic review and individual patient data metaanalysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;66(3):346-354.
- Nafae R, Ragab M, Amany F, Rashed S. Adjuvant role of corticosteroids in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberculosis*. 2013;62:439-445.
- Sabry NA, Omar EE. Corticosteroids and ICU course of community acquired pneumonia in Egyptian settings. *Pharmacol Pharmacy*. 2011;2:73-81.
- Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007;44(suppl 2):S27-S72.
- Confalonieri M, Urbino R, Potena A, et al. Hydrocortisone infusion for severe community-acquired pneumonia: a preliminary randomized study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2005;171(3):242-248.
- Niederman MS, Bass JB Jr, Campbell GD, et al. Guidelines for the initial management of adults with community-acquired pneumonia: diagnosis, assessment of severity, and initial antimicrobial therapy. American Thoracic Society. Medical Section of the American Lung Association. *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1993;148(5):1418-1426.
- Chalmers JD. Corticosteroids for community-acquired pneumonia: a critical view of the evidence. *Eur Respir J*. 2016;48(4):984-986.
- Meduri GU, Headley AS, Golden E, et al. Effect of prolonged methylprednisolone therapy in unresolving acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1998;280(2):159-165.
- Steinberg KP, Hudson LD, Goodman RB, et al. Efficacy and safety of corticosteroids for persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354(16):1671-1684.
- Torres A, Sibila O, Ferrer M, et al. Effect of corticosteroids on treatment failure among hospitalized patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia and high inflammatory response: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2015;313(7):677-686.
- Blum CA, Nigro N, Briel M, et al. Adjunct prednisone therapy for patients with community-acquired pneumonia: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9977):1511-1518.
- Mikami K, Suzuki M, Kitagawa H, et al. Efficacy of corticosteroids in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization. *Lung*. 2007;185(5):249-255.
- Meijvis SC, Hardeman H, Remmelts HH, et al. Dexamethasone and length of hospital stay in patients with community-acquired pneumonia: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9782):2023-2030.
- Snijders D, Daniels JM, de Graaff CS, van der Werf TS, Boersma WG. Efficacy of corticosteroids in community-acquired pneumonia: a randomized double-blinded clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2010;181(9):975-982.
- Fernandez-Serrano S, Dorca J, Garcia-Vidal C, et al. Effect of corticosteroids on the clinical course of community-acquired pneumonia: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care*. 2011;15(2):R96.

34. Cangemi R, Falcone M, Taliani G, et al. Corticosteroid use and incident myocardial infarction in adults hospitalized for community-acquired pneumonia. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2019;16(1):91-98.
35. Oz F, Gul S, Kaya MG, et al. Does aspirin use prevent acute coronary syndrome in patients with pneumonia: multicenter prospective randomized trial. *Coronary Artery Dis*. 2013;24(3):231-237.
36. Cangemi R, Casciaro M, Rossi E, et al. Platelet activation is associated with myocardial infarction in patients with pneumonia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64(18):1917-1925.
37. Wirz SA, Blum CA, Schuetz P, et al. Pathogen- and antibiotic-specific effects of prednisone in community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J*. 2016;48(4):1150-1159.
38. Thompson BT. Glucose control in sepsis. *Clin Chest Med*. 2008;29(4):713-720. x.
39. Rodrigo C, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Lim WS. Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;3:CD010406.
40. Moreno G, Rodriguez A, Reyes LF, et al. Corticosteroid treatment in critically ill patients with severe influenza pneumonia: a propensity score matching study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2018;44(9):1470-1482.
41. Waljee AK, Rogers MA, Lin P, et al. Short term use of oral corticosteroids and related harms among adults in the United States: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2017;357:j1415.
42. Baskar V, Sum CF, Lim SC. Prednisone for community-acquired pneumonia: not yet time. *Lancet*. 2015;386(9992):431.