ANESTHESIOLOGY

Blood Purification and Mortality in Sepsis and Septic Shock

A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis of Randomized Trials

Alessandro Putzu, M.D., Raoul Schorer, M.D., Juan Carlos Lopez-Delgado, M.D., Ph.D., Tiziano Cassina, M.D., Giovanni Landoni, M.D.

ANESTHESIOLOGY 2019; XXX:00-00

EDITOR'S PERSPECTIVE

What We Already Know about This Topic:

- Among patients with sepsis or septic shock, a variety of extracorporeal blood purification techniques are available
- Individual existing trials evaluating these options are underpowered to provide clear evidence

What This Paper Tells Us That Is New

- Meta-analysis of very low-quality randomized controlled trial evidence demonstrates a potential benefit of hemoperfusion, hemofiltration, or plasmapheresis
- Additional high-quality trials demonstrating benefit in modern clinical practice are needed before recommending these therapies

Today, sepsis remains one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality in the intensive care unit. Despite recent advancement in intensive care unit and sepsis management, mortality still remains high.¹⁻⁴

The pathogenesis of sepsis involves many complex cellular and biochemical interactions between leukocytes, platelets, endothelial cells, and the complement system that trigger an inflammatory response.⁵ Inflammation is caused by the production of pro- and antiinflammatory mediators, such as cytokines, in the presence of infection and/or bacterial toxins, and the imbalance between these mediators or

ABSTRACT

Background: Sepsis and septic shock are severe inflammatory conditions related to high morbidity and mortality. We performed a systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized trials to assess whether extracorporeal blood purification reduces mortality in this setting.

Methods: Electronic databases were searched for pertinent studies up to January 2019. We included randomized controlled trials on the use of hemoperfusion, hemofiltration without a renal replacement purpose, and plasmapheresis as a blood purification technique in comparison to conventional therapy in adult patients with sepsis and septic shock. The primary outcome was mortality at the longest follow-up available. We calculated relative risks and 95% Cls. The grading of recommendations assessment, development and evaluation methodology for the certainty of evidence was used.

Results: Thirty-seven trials with 2,499 patients were included in the meta-analysis. Hemoperfusion was associated with lower mortality compared to conventional therapy (relative risk = 0.88 [95% CI, 0.78 to 0.98], P = 0.02, very low certainty evidence). Low risk of bias trials on polymyxin B immobilized filter hemoperfusion showed no mortality difference *versus* control (relative risk = 1.14 [95% CI, 0.96 to 1.36], P = 0.12, moderate certainty evidence), while recent trials found an increased mortality (relative risk = 1.22 [95% CI, 1.03 to 1.45], P = 0.02, low certainty evidence); trials performed in the United States and Europe had no significant difference in mortality (relative risk = 1.13 [95% CI, 0.96 to 1.34], P = 0.15), while trials performed in Asia had a positive treatment effect (relative risk = 0.57 [95% CI, 0.47 to 0.69], P < 0.001). Hemofiltration (relative risk = 0.79 [95% CI, 0.63 to 1.00], P = 0.05, very low certainty evidence) and plasmapheresis (relative risk = 0.63 [95% CI, 0.42 to 0.96], P = 0.03, very low certainty evidence) were associated with a lower mortality.

Conclusions: Very low-quality randomized evidence demonstrates that the use of hemoperfusion, hemofiltration, or plasmapheresis may reduce mortality in sepsis or septic shock. Existing evidence of moderate quality and certainty does not provide any support for a difference in mortality using polymyxin **B** hemoperfusion. Further high-quality randomized trials are needed before systematic implementation of these therapies in clinical practice.

(ANESTHESIOLOGY 2019; XXX:00-00)

their excessive production may lead to multiorgan failure due to a prolonged or inadequate systemic inflammatory response syndrome.^{5,6}

Extracorporeal blood purification techniques have been proposed as adjunctive therapy in sepsis. These techniques are based on the principle that removal and modulation of blood pro- and antiinflammatory mediators or bacterial toxins (or both) could attenuate the sepsis-related massive

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are available in both the HTML and PDF versions of this article. Links to the digital files are provided in the HTML text of this article on the Journal's Web site (www.anesthesiology.org).

Submitted for publication September 26, 2018. Accepted for publication April 23, 2019. From the Division of Anesthesiology, Department of Anesthesiology, Pharmacology, Intensive Care, and Emergency Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland (A.P., R.S.); the Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Intensive Care Department, and the Biomedical Investigation Institute of Bellvitge, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain (J.C.L.-D.); the Department of Cardiovascular Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Cardiocentro Ticino, Lugano, Switzerland (T.C.); the Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy (G.L.); and Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy (G.L.).

Copyright © 2019, the American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Anesthesiology 2019; XXX:00–00. DOI: 10.1097/ALN.00000000002820

XXX 2019

1

systemic inflammatory response, reducing morbidity and mortality.^{7,8} Several different extracorporeal techniques have been studied for this purpose.

Hemoperfusion involves the placement of a sorbent cartridge in direct contact with blood *via* an extracorporeal circuit. The removal characteristics of hemoperfusion are dependent on the different types of sorbent used and could also target high-molecular-weight molecules, usually not captured by conventional hemofilters. The most studied therapy is polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion with Toraymyxin (Toray Industries Ltd., Japan), that could capture circulating bacterial endotoxin⁹ and modulate the inflammatory response.¹⁰ Another device is the <u>CytoSorb</u> (CytoSorbents Corporation, USA), a novel filter potentially able to remove both <u>pro</u>-inflammatory and antiinflammatory cytokines.¹¹

Renal replacement devices such as hemofiltration or hemodiafiltration could be used to remove part of the inflammatory mediators and toxins in septic patients without renal indication for kidney replacement therapy, by employing standard or special filters with adsorptive properties.¹² Limited data are available on plasmapheresis, a technique based on plasma replacement with fresh frozen plasma or albumin,¹² that has the potential to remove inflammatory cytokines and restore deficient plasma proteins.

Despite the large number of available techniques, actual evidence is scarce, and these therapies have not entered into daily clinical practice around the world yet. Several small trials were published on various devices, and the most comprehensive meta-analysis summarizing the evidence on blood purification is outdated.¹³ Some more recent meta-analyses focusing on polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion^{14,15} or hemofiltration¹⁶ did not include some relevant trials nor the final results of the largest randomized study performed on the topic so far.¹⁷ Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis of randomized control trials in order to determine whether extracorporeal blood purification decreased mortality in patients with sepsis and septic shock.

Materials and Methods

The current systematic review was conducted in compliance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis) guidelines¹⁸ (Supplemental Digital Content, table S1, http://links. lww.com/ALN/B977) and Cochrane methodology¹⁹ and according to a prepublished protocol (PROSPERO database, CRD42018104643).

Search Strategy

Two investigators (A.P. and R.S.) independently searched PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of clinical trials, and Embase up to January 1, 2019, for relevant articles (Supplemental Digital Content, table S2, http://links.lww. com/ALN/B977). The search strategy aimed to include any randomized study performed with any type of extracorporeal blood purification technique compared to conventional therapy in adult critically ill patients with sepsis and septic shock. Abstracts from recent international conferences were searched for additional studies. In addition, we hand-scanned references of retrieved articles and pertinent reviews to identify other eligible trials (backward snowballing).

Study Selection

References obtained from searches were first independently examined at the abstract level by two authors (A.P. and R.S.) and then collected as full-text articles if potentially relevant. Eligible studies met the following PICOS criteria: (1) Population: adult critically ill patients with sepsis with or without septic shock; (2) Intervention: any extracorporeal blood purification technique (hemoperfusion, renal replacement therapy techniques, plasmapheresis); (3) Comparison intervention: conventional therapy; (4) Outcome: mortality at longest follow-up available; and (5) Study design: randomized controlled trial. The exclusion criteria were blood purification for renal failure indication at randomization, trials with overlapping populations with a previously included article (e.g., manuscripts with different follow-up or subanalyses of a previously published trial), and pediatric studies. Two authors (A.P. and R.S.) independently assessed selected studies for the final analysis, with disagreements resolved by consensus with a third author (G.L.). If the article did not include data on mortality or was not full-text, the corresponding author was contacted for further data. No language restrictions were imposed.

Data Abstraction

One author (A.P.) extracted relevant information from each selected study. These data were checked by another author (R.S.). Disagreement was resolved by consensus with a third author (G.L.). We specifically extracted potential sources of significant clinical heterogeneity (*e.g.*, study design, clinical setting, inclusion and exclusion criteria, blood purification regimen).

The primary endpoint of this review was mortality at the longest follow-up available, and the secondary endpoint was mortality at 28 to 30 days.

Quality Assessment

Two authors (A.P. and R.S.) independently assessed the internal validity of each included trial according to the Cochrane Collaboration methods.^{19,20} We assessed the risk of bias associated with the random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, completeness of outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias. The other bias domain included the classic items reported by the "Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of

Interventions"¹⁹ but also the presence of an intention-totreat analysis, sample size calculation, and ethical approval of the trial. If one or more of the domains were judged as having a high or unclear risk of bias, we classified the trial as having a high risk of bias. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants and personnel seemed difficult and was therefore not judged as crucial for bias assessment. We evaluated the potential risk of bias by applying a rating of "Low," "High," or "Unclear" to each study.

Two authors (A.P. and R.S.) independently reviewed the presence of authors' possible conflict of interest and the funding source for each study, then rated each trial as of "Low," "High," or "Unclear" risk regarding those specific points.

The certainty of the body of evidence was assessed using the grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation framework.^{21,22} The grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation framework characterizes the certainty of a body of evidence on the basis of study limitations, imprecision, inconsistency, indirectness, and other considerations.

Statistical Analysis

Individual trial and summary results were reported as relative risk with 95% CI. We used a random-effects model except in cases where few trials dominated the available evidence or where significant publication bias was present, as random-effects meta-analysis applied in these contexts may give inappropriately high weight to smaller studies. Statistical heterogeneity was explored by the Cochran Q statistic and characterized using the I² metric. Publication bias was assessed by visually inspecting the funnel plot for the primary outcome. Statistical significance was set at P = 0.05. The meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager (RevMan, version 5.3; The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2014).

The primary analysis was stratified by blood purification technique: hemoperfusion, hemofiltration, hemoperfusion combined with hemofiltration, or plasmapheresis. Hemoperfusion subgroup analyses including trials on polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion or hemoperfusion with other devices were carried out. To explore the sources of heterogeneity, we performed some subgroup analyses: (1) low risk of bias *versus* unclear/high risk of bias trials; (2) trials conducted in Asia *versus* Europe and America; (3) trials from the Nakamura group *versus* other trials; and (4) trials published after 2010 *versus* older trials.

To explore the relationship between treatment effect and disease severity, we performed various analyses: (1) a random-effects meta-regression on the APACHE II (Acute Physiology, Age, Chronic Health Evaluation II) score,²³ sepsis-related organ failure assessment score,²⁴ and control group mortality;¹⁴ (2) subgroup analyses according to conventional therapy group mortality: low-risk group (mortality rate less than 30%), intermediate-risk group (30 to 60%), and high-risk group (greater than 60%).¹⁴ We also performed a meta-regression for age to investigate a possible influence on outcome estimates. Finally, sensitivity analyses were performed by analyzing the data with a fixed or random effects model and using other summary statistics.

We performed a predefined random-effects trial sequential analysis,^{25–27} with the intent of maintaining an overall 5% risk of type I error and a 10% risk of type II error. We assumed a relative risk reduction of 15% and derived the control event proportion from the actual dataset. The resulting required information size was further diversity (D²)-adjusted. In case of D² = 0 we performed a sensitivity analysis assuming a D² = 25%. We used the trial sequential analysis software (TSAViewer [Computer program], version 0.9.5.5 Beta, Copenhagen Trial Unit, Center for Clinical Intervention Research, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2016). Deviations from the initial protocol are reported in the supplement (Supplemental Digital Content, eMethods 1, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B977).

Results

Search Results and Study Characteristics

The search strategy identified 6,933 citations and, after exclusion of inadequate reports (Supplemental Digital Content, table S3, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B977), 37 trials with 2,499 patients were included in the meta-analysis (fig. 1).^{17,28-63}

The characteristics of the included studies are shown in table 1 and in the supplement (Supplemental Digital Content, tables S4–S6, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B977). Two trials had four treatment arms.^{43,58} Twenty trials used a hemoperfusion technique, 13 used hemofiltration or hemodiafiltration, 4 trials combined hemofiltration with hemoperfusion, and 2 trials used plasma exchange. In three cases we received further information from corresponding authors.^{17,59,62}

Three trials were judged to be at low risk of bias,^{17,30,46} 20 at unclear risk, and 14 at high risk (Supplemental Digital Content, figs. S1 and S2, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B977). The grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation assessment is reported in table S7 in the Supplemental Digital Content (http://links.lww.com/ALN/ALN/B977).

Hemoperfusion Techniques

Hemoperfusion (20 trials and 1,548 patients), which comprises various techniques differing among other things on the presence or absence of polymyxin B in the treatment regimen, was associated with a lower mortality compared to the control group (relative risk = 0.87 [95% CI, 0.78 to 0.98], P = 0.02, trial sequential analysis inconclusive, very low-certainty evidence) the analysis was limited by publication bias, small trial effects, and high heterogeneity (Supplemental Digital Content, figs S3-S5 and table S8, http://links. lww.com/ALN/B977). Subanalyses are reported in the



supplement (Supplemental Digital Content, figs. S6—S9 and (relative ris

eResults 1, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B977).

Polymyxin B Immobilized Fiber Column Hemoperfusion. Polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion (13 trials and 1,163 patients) was associated with a lower mortality at longest follow-up available compared to control (relative risk = 0.87 [95% CI, 0.77 to 0.98], P = 0.03, very low-certainty evidence), although the analysis was limited by very high heterogeneity (I² = 74%, $P_{heterogeneity} < 0.001$) (fig. 2). No significant difference in 30-day mortality was found (Supplemental Digital Content, fig. S9, http://links. lww.com/ALN/B977).

Low risk of bias trials (three trials and 745 patients) found no difference in mortality with polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion *versus* control

(relative risk = 1.14 [95% CI, 0.96 to 1.36], P = 0.12, moderate-certainty evidence; fig. 2). Recent trials published after 2010 (three trials and 740 patients) showed that polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion was associated with higher mortality than conventional therapy (relative risk = 1.22 [95% CI, 1.03 to 1.45], P = 0.02, $I^2 = 0\%$, low-certainty evidence), while trials published before 2011 were associated with a mortality benefit (relative risk = 0.58 [95% CI, 0.49 to 0.69], P < 0.001, $I^2 = 8\%$; $P_{groups} < 0.001$). Studies conducted in Asia (seven trials in Japan and one in Thailand, with a total of 367 patients) showed that polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion decreased mortality (relative risk = 0.62 [95% CI, 0.52 to 0.75], P < 0.001, $I^2 = 57\%$, $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.02$), while aggregate data from trials conducted in the United States and Europe (five trials and 796

Table 1. Trials Characteristics

Trial	Country	Sample Size	Major Inclusion Criteria	Blood Purification Technique	Treatment Duration	Control Group Mortality	Risk of Bias
Hemoperfusion							
Polymyxin B-immobilized	filter column	i hemoper	fusion				
Cantaluppi 2008	Italy	16	Sepsis with positive culture for Gram- negative bacteria	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	38%	Unclear
Cruz 2009	Italy	64	Severe sepsis or septic shock from an abdominal source	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	67%	Low
Dellinger 2018	USA and Canada	449	Septic shock and an high endotoxin activity assay level	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	42%	Low
Nakamura 1999	Japan	50	Septic shock	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h distance	70%	Unclear
Nakamura 2002(a)	Japan	18	Sepsis and trauma	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	78%	Unclear
Nakamura 2002(b)	Japan	14	Sepsis	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	86%	Unclear
Nakamura 2003(a)	Japan	20	Sepsis and MRSA-associated glomerulonephritis	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2h at 24-h interval	80%	Unclear
Nakamura 2003(b)	Japan	60	MRSA sepsis	PMX-HP	2 sessions of 2h at 24-h	64%	Unclear
Nemoto 2001 Payen 2015	Japan France	98 232	Sepsis, severe sepsis, or septic shock Septic shock from and abdominal source	PMX-HP PMX-HP	1 or 2 sessions of 4 h 2 sessions of 1.5 h at 22-24-b interval	89% 24%	Unclear Low
Srisawat 2018	Thailand	59	Severe sepsis or septic shock, high endo- toxin activity assay level, mostly under	РМХ-НР	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	50%	High
Suzuki 2002	Japan	48	Septic shock	PMX-HP	1 HP session of 4 h, then 1 CVVHDF session until 24 h	75%	Unclear
Vincent 2005	Europe	35	Severe sepsis or septic shock from an intraabdominal source	PMX-HP	1 session of 2 h	28%	Unclear
Other hemoperfusion devi	ces						
Hawchar 2019	Hungary	20	Septic shock of medical origin	HP with CytoSorb	1 session of 24 h	20%	High
Huang 2010	China	44	Severe sepsis or septic shock	HP with HA330 resin cartridge (Lizhu Industries, China)	3 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	55%	Unclear
Huang 2013	China	46	Severe sepsis or septic shock with acute lung injury from extrapulmonary source	HP with HA330 resin cartridge	3 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	67%	High
Reinhart 2004	Europe	143	Severe sepsis or septic shock	HP with Matisse EN500 endotoxin adsorber (Fresenius HemoCare Adsorber Technology GmbH, Germany).	A daily session for the first 4 d	25%	High
Schädler 2017	Germany	97	Severe sepsis or septic shock and ALI/ ARDS	HP with CytoSorb	1 daily session of 6 h up to 7 d	26%	High
Shum 2014	China	15	Septic shock from an intra-abdominal source	HP with Alteco endotoxin hemoadsorber (Alteco Medical AB, Sweden)	2 sessions of 2 h at 24-h interval	25%	High
Zheng 2017	China	20	Sepsis, severe sepsis, or septic shock	HP with Adsorba 300 filter (manufac- turer not reported)	1 HP session of 2.5 h	80%	Unclear
Hemofiltration							
Chung 2017	USA	37	Septic shock and burn	CVVH	nr	57%	Unclear
Cole 2002	nr	24	Severe sepsis with end-organ dysfunction or septic shock	CVVH with AN69 Filtral 12 filter (Hospal, France)	1 session of 2 d	33%	Unclear
Guo 2017	China	22	Severe sepsis or septic shock	CVVH with AN69 filter	1 session of 2 d	45%	Unclear
Han 2011	China	45	Severe sepsis	CVVH with AN69 filter	1 session of 3 d	41%	Unclear
Jing 2015	China	97	Severe sepsis or septic shock	CVVH	1 session of at least 3 d	37%	High
						(Co	ontinued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Trial Country		Sample Size	e Major Inclusion Criteria	Blood Purification Technique	Treatment Duration	Control Group Mortality	Risk of Bias
Meng 2016	1g 2016 China 56 Septic shock and ARDS		CVVH with AN69 filter (Gambro Industries, France)	1 session of 3 d	32%	High	
Payen 2009	France	76	Severe sepsis or septic shock	CVVH with Duraflo II filter (Edwards Lifesciences, USA)	1 session of at least 4 d	44%	Unclear
Peng 2010	China	22	Severe sepsis	CVVH with AN69 filter	1 session of 3 d	18%	Unclear
Quenot 2015	France	60	Septic shock	CVVH	1 session of 2 d	48%	High
Sander 1997	Germany	26	Severe sepsis or septic shock	CVVH with AN69 Multiflow 60 filter (Hospal, France)	1 session of at least 2 d	92%	High
Wang 2009	China	89	Septic shock	CVVH	1 session of 7 d	17	Unclear
Xu 2014	China	22	Sepsis and burn	CVVHDF	1 session of 12 h	18%	Unclear
Zheng 2017	China	20	Sepsis, severe sepsis, or septic shock	CVVH with M100 set (manufacturer not reported)	1 session of 24 h	80%	Unclear
Combined hemofilt	ration and he	emoperf	usion				
Hassan 2013	Malaysia	23	Severe sepsis or septic shock	CPFA with DF-140 (Infomed, Switzerland)	1 session of 24 h or until clinical improvement	83%	High
Livigni 2014	Italy	184	Septic shock	CPFA with Lynda (Bellco, Italy)	5 session of at least 10 h, in 5 consecutive days	49%	Unclear
Peng 2005	China	20	Sepsis and burn	CVVH with AN69 Multiflow-60 filter (manufacturer not reported) + PMX-HP	nr	20%	Unclear
Zheng 2017	China	20	Sepsis, severe sepsis, or septic shock	CVVH with M100 filter + HP with Adsorba-300 (manufacturers not reported)	1 CWH-session of 24 h and 1 HP session of 2.5 h	80%	Unclear
Plasmanheresis				- /			
Busund 2002	Russia	106	Severe sepsis or septic shock	na	2 sessions of about 2 h	54%	High
Reeves 1999	Australia	22	Severe sepsis	na	1 session of 36 h	46%	Unclear

ALI, acute lung injury; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; CWH, continuous veno-venous hemofiltration; CWHDF, continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration; CPFA, coupled plasma filtration adsorption; HP, hemoperfusion; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; PMX, polymyxin B–immobilized fiber column; na, not applicable; nr, not reported.

patients) found no difference (relative risk = 1.11 [95% CI, 0.94 to 1.32], P = 0.21, $I^2 = 50\%$, $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.09$), ($P_{groups} < 0.001$). Similarly, when excluding trials performed in Japan by the Nakamura group (five trials and 162 overall patients), polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion was associated with no difference in mortality compared to conventional therapy (relative risk = 0.98 [95% CI, 0.86 to 1.12], P = 0.80; Supplemental Digital Content, figs. S10-S13, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B977).

Hemoperfusion with Other Devices. Hemoperfusion with devices other than polymyxin B-immobilized filter column (seven trials and 385 patients) was not associated with a difference in mortality compared to conventional therapy (relative risk = 0.81 [95% CI, 0.53 to 1.21], P = 0.30, very low-certainty evidence). The

hemoperfusion devices included were Adsorba-300 filter (one trial, relative risk = 0.50 [95% CI, 0.22 to 1.14], P = 0.10); Alteco endotoxin hemoadsorber (one trial, relative risk = 0.57 [95% CI, 0.06 to 5.03], P = 0.61); CytoSorb (two trials, relative risk = 0.94 [95% CI, 0.14 to 6.49], P = 0.95); HA330 resin cartridge (two trials, relative risk = 0.61 [95% CI, 0.31 to 1.19], P = 0.15); and Matisse EN 500 endotoxin adsorber (one trial, relative risk = 1.13 [95% CI, 0.66 to 1.96], P = 0.65; Supplemental Digital Content, fig. S3, http://links.lww. com/ALN/B977).

Hemofiltration Techniques

The use of hemofiltration with a blood purification aim was associated with lower mortality compared to control



Fig. 2. Forest plot of the relative risk of mortality at longest follow up available with polymyxin B-immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion. Various subanalyses are also reported. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; PMX-HP, polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion.

(relative risk = 0.79 [95% CI, 0.63, 1.00], P = 0.05, trial sequential analysis inconclusive, very low-certainty evidence) in 13 trials and 596 patients without acute kidney injury requiring renal replacement therapy (fig. 3 and Supplemental Digital Content, fig. S14, http://links.lww. com/ALN/B977). On subgroup analysis, hemofiltration was not associated with a difference in mortality in trials conducted in Europe and the United States (relative risk = 0.94 [95% CI, 0.74 to 1.19], P = 0.61, $I^2 = 0\%$, five trials and 223 patients) but was associated with a decrease in mortality in trials conducted in Asia (relative

risk = 0.58 [95% CI, 0.40 to 0.82], P = 0.002, $I^2 = 0\%$, eight trials and 373 patients; $P_{groups} = 0.02$; other analyses are reported in the supplement (Supplemental Digital Content, figs. S15-S18, table S9 and eResults 2, http://links.lww.com/ALN/B977).

Combined Hemofiltration and Hemoperfusion Techniques

The association of hemoperfusion and hemofiltration was not associated with a significant difference in mortality compared to control (relative risk = 0.63 [95% CI, 0.36 to 1.13], P = 0.12, trial sequential analysis inconclusive, very



Fig. 3. Forest plot of the relative risk of mortality at the longest follow-up available with hemofiltration, combined hemofiltration and hemoperfusion, or plasmapheresis. Blood purif., blood purification; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

low-certainty evidence) in four trials including a total of 247 patients without acute kidney injury requiring renal replacement therapy (fig. 3).

Plasmapheresis Techniques

Plasmapheresis was associated with a lower mortality compared to standard treatment (relative risk = 0.63 [95% CI, 0.42 to 0.96], P = 0.03, trial sequential analysis inconclusive, very low-certainty evidence) with two trials and 128 patients included (fig. 3).

Discussion

We performed a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis on the mortality effects of blood purification with extracorporeal techniques in sepsis. The certainty of evidence underlying the use of blood purification therapies in sepsis is very low, and does not support their systematic use in patients with sepsis with or without septic shock.

Hemoperfusion

A variety of hemoperfusion techniques exists. Only a few randomized clinical trials were published on hemoperfusion techniques other than polymyxin B-immobilized filter column (e.g., CytoSorb, Alteco endotoxin hemoadsorber), suggesting the need for further clinical trials. However, polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion emerged as a promising therapy in septic shock with elevated endotoxin levels, and several studies were published on the topic in the past 20 yr. This technique consists of using a sorbent cartridge containing fibers coated with polymyxin B, an antibiotic with high affinity for lipopolysaccharide.⁹ Lipopolysaccharide is a cell wall component in Gram-negative bacteria that acts as an endotoxin by stimulating the production of inflammatory mediators by macrophages in a dose-dependent way and enhancing the inflammatory response.9,64 Endotoxemia seems to be more pronounced when tissue hypoperfusion is present and lipopolysaccharide blood levels seem to correlate with sepsis severity.9,65 Promising results in

pilot studies showed improvement in inflammatory mediators,10 cardiac and renal dysfunction,56 hemodynamics, organ dysfunction, and 28-day mortality³⁰ in patients with abdominal septic shock. All these promising findings, together with the significant increase in arterial pressure after therapy initiation,^{15,17,30} made polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion an attractive therapy for clinicians. Conversely, recent large high-quality trials such as the EUPHRATES (Evaluating the Use of Polymyxin B Hemoperfusion in a Randomized Controlled trial of Adults Treated for Endotoxemia and Septic Shock)17 and the ABDO-MIX (Effects of Hemoperfusion With a Polymyxin B Membrane in Peritonitis With Septic Shock) group⁴⁶ trials yielded inconclusive results and reported a nonsignificant increase in mortality with polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion at the longest follow-up assessed.

The EUPHRATES trial, which is the largest and highest-quality randomized clinical trial performed so far, randomized 450 patients with septic shock and a high endotoxin activity assay level to two sessions of polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion of 90 to 120 min at a 24h distance or to a sham treatment aiming at reducing 28-day mortality. The trial found <u>no significant difference</u> in the primary endpoint in the overall population or in the higher disease severity subgroup.¹⁷

Our meta-analysis including 13 trials on polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion is the largest and most comprehensive to date. Recently, some meta-analyses14,15,66 on polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion have appeared but failed to include some old and new randomized clinical trials. A meta-analysis concluded that this therapy may reduce mortality in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock in high disease severity subgroups based upon the aggregate analysis of 12 nonrandomized trials and 5 small randomized clinical trials representing a very low-quality evidence.14 Two other meta-analyses respectively including only six and seven randomized clinical trials concluded that only low-quality evidence supported polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion for mortality reduction in sepsis.^{15,66} Since the release of **EUPHRATES** and other trials, a more comprehensive analysis was made possible. The positive results previously reported regarding polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion were driven by small randomized clinical trials conducted in Asia of low methodologic quality. Interestingly, when limiting the analysis to trials published after 2010 and including the two largest randomized clinical trials performed on the topic,^{17,46} polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion is associated with a higher mortality rate at the longest follow-up available. This together with inconclusive results on trial sequential analysis suggests that the current aggregate randomized evidence cannot consistently refute potential positive or detrimental effects on mortality. These findings

do not support the use of polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion in sepsis and septic shock.

Hemofiltration

The use of hemofiltration techniques as a blood purification treatment in patients without renal failure has also been suggested, with controversial results and insufficient evidence to recommend its use outside of experimental clinical settings.^{16,67} High-volume hemofiltration, further increasing plasma exchanges, was also investigated with limited results in patients with or without renal failure.^{29,68} We found a positive survival trend associated to hemofiltration, although those results are driven by small, low-quality randomized trials, and further investigation is therefore warranted.

Plasmapheresis

The first randomized clinical trial to ever address plasmapheresis as a blood purification technique reported a decrease in the intensity of acute-phase response.⁵⁰ A second randomized clinical trial with a larger sample population found an absolute mortality risk reduction of 20.5%.²⁸ Despite those promising results, the evidence is still too weak to recommend the use of plasmapheresis for blood purification in sepsis.⁶⁹

Disease Severity

Previous meta-analyses found that hemoperfusion was associated with a large positive effect in trials with a control group mortality rate greater than 60%, suggesting that hemoperfusion could be useful in the setting of higher disease severity.^{14,66} Our study yielded similar findings and also found a trend toward increased mortality in the lower disease severity subgroup (mortality less than 30%). Metaregressions on APACHE II and sepsis-related organ failure assessment scores, both predictors of sepsis mortality, did not find any significant trend supporting those findings. Furthermore, most trials with a greater than 60% control group mortality are at unclear/high risk of bias, are small in size, and were conducted in Asia. In the EUPHRATES trial, the per-protocol subgroup analysis with high disease severity, including patients with a Multiple Organ Dysfunction Score greater than 9 at randomization and a control group 1-year mortality rate of 50%, was inconclusive and did not suggest any trend favoring polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion.17

Those inconsistencies make a beneficial effect of hemoperfusion or polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion in high-disease-severity patients unlikely. This specific question merits further investigation.

Future Directions

Current randomized evidence cannot support the use of extracorporeal blood purification techniques; further

trials are warranted before systemic implementation of these techniques. Furthermore, an increase in mortality related to extracorporeal therapies should not be excluded. Some randomized clinical trials describe a trend toward higher mortality with polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion⁴⁶ or CytoSorb-HP⁵⁴, for example. Numerically higher adverse events with polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion^{17,46} and greater disease severity with hemofiltration⁴⁵ were also reported. Our meta-analysis found an increased mortality at the longest follow-up available with polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion in a post hoc subgroup analysis including only the trials published after 2010. The unspecific removal of cytokines may remove mediators necessary to the function of the immune system, eventually provoking a deleterious outcome. Furthermore, the complex interaction between extracorporeal devices and inflammatory systems, micronutrients,⁷⁰ trace elements, electrolytes, and antibiotics levels and activity remain uninvestigated. Only few studies assessed the impact of those therapies on antibiotics, the only proven therapy in sepsis. A recent study on in vitro removal of antiinfective agents by CytoSorb-HP showed that all tested antibiotics were adsorbed by the cartridge in substantial amounts.⁷¹ The authors speculated that an additional dose within the first hours of treatment and therapeutic drug monitoring should be considered in this population.⁷¹ Similarly, an *in vitro* study assessing the effects of polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion on nine antibiotics reported adsorption of linezolid, suggesting a necessity for the monitoring of blood antimicrobial concentrations during polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion.^{72,73} A larger literature is present on hemofiltration, suggesting an increased antibiotic clearance with these devices.74-76

Strengths and Limitations

We performed a comprehensive meta-analysis on blood purification techniques in sepsis and septic shock, which represents an important update to the literature in comparison to previous meta-analyses.¹³⁻¹⁶ Limitations of this study may appear similar to those of previous meta-analyses. Most included studies are small in size and at unclear or high risk of bias. Some studies assessed technical feasibility, but side effects were rarely reported, and a systematic assessment of adverse events is warranted in future trials. Heterogeneity in sepsis management, blood purification regimens (e.g., modality, hemofiltration volume, duration of the session, filter and cartridge change, and so forth), and populations across different centers is evident, but we made an attempt at an exploration through several subanalyses in order to further assess the clinical potential of blood purification modalities in sepsis. The positive treatment effect found in trials conducted in Asia was also reported elsewhere13 and could be explained by publication bias, small studies effect, low methodologic quality, or a higher burden of disease as suggested by the high control group mortality. Furthermore, seven polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion trials from Japan were performed before 2005, and the progress in conventional therapy management and outcome in the past years could have diluted or cancelled the beneficial effects of this treatment.

Conclusions

Very low-quality randomized evidence demonstrates that the use of hemoperfusion, hemofiltration, or plasmapheresis may reduce mortality in sepsis or septic shock. Moderatecertainty evidence supports that polymyxin B immobilized fiber column hemoperfusion is not associated with any significant difference in mortality in comparison to conventional treatment regimen. Detrimental effects on survival could not be excluded by aggregate randomized evidence. Further high-quality randomized controlled trials adequately powered for mortality are needed to assess the real impact of blood purification techniques before such therapies can be systematically implemented in clinical practice.

Research Support

Support was provided solely from institutional and/or departmental sources.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Correspondence

Address correspondence to Dr. Landoni: Department of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Ospedale San Raffaele, Via Olgettina 60, Milano, 20132 Italy. landoni.giovanni@hsr.it. Information on purchasing reprints may be found at www.anesthesiology.org or on the masthead page at the beginning of this issue. ANESTHESIOLOGY's articles are made freely accessible to all readers, for personal use only, 6 months from the cover date of the issue.

References

- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, Bellomo R, Bernard GR, Chiche JD, Coopersmith CM, Hotchkiss RS, Levy MM, Marshall JC, Martin GS, Opal SM, Rubenfeld GD, van der Poll T, Vincent JL, Angus DC: The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA 2016; 315:801–10
- Gaieski DF, Edwards JM, Kallan MJ, Carr BG: Benchmarking the incidence and mortality of severe sepsis in the United States. Crit Care Med 2013; 41:1167–74

- 3. Kaukonen KM, Bailey M, Suzuki S, Pilcher D, Bellomo R: Mortality related to severe sepsis and septic shock among critically ill patients in Australia and New Zealand, 2000-2012. JAMA 2014; 311:1308–16
- Vincent JL, Marshall JC, Namendys-Silva SA, François B, Martin-Loeches I, Lipman J, Reinhart K, Antonelli M, Pickkers P, Njimi H, Jimenez E, Sakr Y; ICON investigators: Assessment of the worldwide burden of critical illness: The Intensive Care Over Nations (ICON) audit. Lancet Respir Med 2014; 2:380–6
- 5. Angus DC, van der Poll T: Severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med 2013; 369:840–51
- Jaffer U, Wade RG, Gourlay T: Cytokines in the systemic inflammatory response syndrome: A review. HSR Proc Intensive Care Cardiovasc Anesth 2010; 2:161–75
- Villa G, Neri M, Bellomo R, Cerda J, Gaudio AR De, Rosa S De, Garzotto F, Honore PM, Kellum J, Lorenzin A, Payen D, Ricci Z, Samoni S, Vincent J-L, Wendon J, Zaccaria M, Ronco C, Nomenclature Standardization Initiative (NSI) Alliance: Nomenclature for renal replacement therapy and blood purification techniques in critically ill patients: Practical applications. Crit Care 2016; 20:283
- Rimmelé T, Kellum JA: High-volume hemofiltration in the intensive care unit: A blood purification therapy. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2012; 116:1377–87
- 9. Ronco C, Klein DJ: Polymyxin B hemoperfusion: A mechanistic perspective. Crit Care 2014; 18:309
- Kanesaka S, Sasaki J, Kuzume M, Narihara K, Takahashi Y: Effect of direct hemoperfusion using polymyxin B immobilized fiber on inflammatory mediators in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. Int J Artif Organs 2008; 31:891–7
- 11. Poli EC, Rimmelé T, Schneider AG: Hemoadsorption with CytoSorb®. Intensive Care Med 2019; 45:236–9
- 12. Rimmel T, Kellum JA: Clinical review: Blood purification for sepsis. Crit Care 2011; 15:205
- 13. Zhou F, Peng Z, Murugan R, Kellum JA: Blood purification and mortality in sepsis: A meta-analysis of randomized trials. Crit Care Med 2013; 41:2209–20
- 14. Chang T, Tu YK, Lee CT, Chao A, Huang CH, Wang MJ, Yeh YC: Effects of polymyxin B hemoperfusion on mortality in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock: A systemic review, meta-analysis update, and disease severity subgroup meta-analysis. Crit Care Med 2017; 45:e858–64
- 15. Fujii T, Ganeko R, Kataoka Y, Furukawa TA, Featherstone R, Doi K, Vincent JL, Pasero D, Robert R, Ronco C, Bagshaw SM: Polymyxin B-immobilized hemoperfusion and mortality in critically ill adult patients with sepsis/septic shock: A systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. Intensive Care Med 2018; 44:167–78

- 16. Putzu A, Fang MX, Boscolo Berto M, Belletti A, Cabrini L, Cassina T, Landoni G: Blood purification with continuous veno-venous hemofiltration in patients with sepsis or ARDS: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Minerva Anestesiol 2017; 83:867–77
- Dellinger RP, Bagshaw SM, Antonelli M, Foster DM, Klein DJ, Marshall JC, Palevsky PM, Weisberg LS, Schorr CA, Trzeciak S, Walker PM; EUPHRATES Trial Investigators: Effect of targeted polymyxin B hemoperfusion on 28-day mortality in patients with septic shock and elevated endotoxin level: The EUPHRATES randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2018; 320:1455–63
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D: The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. BMJ 2009; 339:b2700
- Higgins JPT, Green S (eds): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available at: http://handbook.cochrane.org. Accessed May 27, 2019
- 20. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA; Cochrane Bias Methods Group; Cochrane Statistical Methods Group: The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011; 343:d5928
- 21. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ; GRADE Working Group: GRADE: An emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008; 336:924–6
- 22. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, Norris S, Falck-Ytter Y, Glasziou P, DeBeer H, Jaeschke R, Rind D, Meerpohl J, Dahm P, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64:383–94
- 23. Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, Zimmerman JE: APACHE II: A severity of disease classification system. Crit Care Med 1985; 13:818–29
- 24. Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, Willatts S, De Mendonça A, Bruining H, Reinhart CK, Suter PM, Thijs LG: The SOFA (Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/ failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-Related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Intensive Care Med 1996; 22:707–10
- 25. Brok J, Thorlund K, Wetterslev J, Gluud C: Apparently conclusive meta-analyses may be inconclusive–Trial sequential analysis adjustment of random error risk due to repetitive testing of accumulating data in apparently

conclusive neonatal meta-analyses. Int J Epidemiol 2009; 38:287–98

- 26. Thorlund K, Devereaux PJ, Wetterslev J, Guyatt G, Ioannidis JP, Thabane L, Gluud LL, Als-Nielsen B, Gluud C: Can trial sequential monitoring boundaries reduce spurious inferences from meta-analyses? Int J Epidemiol 2009; 38:276–86
- 27. Thorlund K, Engstrøm J, Wetterslev J, Brok J, Imberger G, Gluud C: User Manual for Trial Sequential Analysis (TSA). Copenhagen, Denmark, Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention Research, 2011. Available at: http://www.ctu.dk/tsa.Accessed May 27, 2019
- 28. Busund R, Koukline V, Utrobin U, Nedashkovsky E: Plasmapheresis in severe sepsis and septic shock: A prospective, randomised, controlled trial. Intensive Care Med 2002; 28:1434–9
- 29. Chung KK, Coates EC, Smith DJ Jr, Karlnoski RA, Hickerson WL, Arnold-Ross AL, Mosier MJ, Halerz M, Sprague AM, Mullins RF, Caruso DM, Albrecht M, Arnoldo BD, Burris AM, Taylor SL, Wolf SE; Randomized controlled Evaluation of high-volume hemofiltration in adult burn patients with Septic shoCk and acUte kidnEy injury (RESCUE) Investigators: High-volume hemofiltration in adult burn patients with septic shock and acute kidney injury: A multicenter randomized controlled trial. Crit Care 2017; 21:289
- 30. Cruz DN, Antonelli M, Fumagalli R, Foltran F, Brienza N, Donati A, Malcangi V, Petrini F, Volta G, Bobbio Pallavicini FM, Rottoli F, Giunta F, Ronco C: Early use of polymyxin B hemoperfusion in abdominal septic shock: The EUPHAS randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2009; 301:2445–52
- Cole L, Bellomo R, Hart G, Journois D, Davenport P, Tipping P, Ronco C: A phase II randomized, controlled trial of continuous hemofiltration in sepsis. Crit Care Med 2002; 30:100–6
- 32. Guo J, Tao W, Tang D, Zhang J: Th17/regulatory T cell imbalance in sepsis patients with multiple organ dysfunction syndrome: Attenuated by high-volume hemofiltration. Int J Artif Organs 2017; 40:607–14
- 33. Hassan J, Cader RA, Kong NC, Mohd M, Rahman AR, Hod R: Coupled plasma filtration adsorption (CPFA) plus continuous veno-venous haemofiltration (CVVH) *versus* CVVH alone as an adjunctive therapy in the treatment of sepsis. EXCLI J 2013; 12:681–92
- 34. Huang Z, Wang SR, Yang ZL, Liu JY: Effect on extrapulmonary sepsis-induced acute lung injury by hemoperfusion with neutral microporous resin column. Ther Apher Dial 2013; 17:454–61
- 35. Huang Z, Wang SR, Su W, Liu JY: Removal of humoral mediators and the effect on the survival of septic patients by hemoperfusion with neutral microporous resin column. Ther Apher Dial 2010; 14:596–602

- 36. Jing F, Wang J, Li M, Chu YF, Jiang JJ, Ding M, Wang YP, Wang CT, Ren HS: The influence of high volume hemofiltration on extra vascular lung water and alveolar-arterial oxygen pressure difference in patients with severe sepsis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2015; 19:3792–800
- 37. Livigni S, Bertolini G, Rossi C, Ferrari F, Giardino M, Pozzato M, Remuzzi G; GiViTI: Gruppo Italiano per la Valutazione degli Interventi in Terapia Intensiva (Italian Group for the Evaluation of Interventions in Intensive Care Medicine) is an independent collaboration network of Italian Intensive Care units: Efficacy of coupled plasma filtration adsorption (CPFA) in patients with septic shock: A multicenter randomised controlled clinical trial. BMJ Open 2014; 4:e003536
- Nakamura T, Ebihara I, Shoji H, Ushiyama C, Suzuki S, Koide H: Treatment with polymyxin B-immobilized fiber reduces platelet activation in septic shock patients: Decrease in plasma levels of soluble P-selectin, platelet factor 4 and beta-thromboglobulin. Inflamm Res 1999; 48:171–5
- 39. Meng JB, Lai ZZ, Xu XJ, Ji CL, Hu MH, Zhang G: Effects of early continuous venovenous hemofiltration on E-selectin, hemodynamic stability, and ventilatory function in patients with septic-shock-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome. Biomed Res Int 2016; 2016;7463130
- 40. Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Suzuki Y, Shoji H, Shimada N, Koide H: Hemoperfusion with polymyxin B immobilized fibers for urinary albumin excretion in septic patients with trauma. ASAIO J 2002; 48:244–8
- Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Shoji H, Koide H: Effects of hemoperfusion on serum cardiac troponin T concentrations using polymyxin B-immobilized fibers in septic patients undergoing hemodialysis. ASAIO J 2002; 48:41–4
- 42. Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Suzuki Y, Osada S, Inoue T, Shoji H, Hara M, Shimada N, Koide H: Hemoperfusion with polymyxin B-immobilized fiber in septic patients with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus-associated glomerulonephritis. Nephron Clin Pract 2003; 94:c33–9
- 43. Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Suzuki Y, Inoue T, Shoji H, Shimada N, Koide H: Combination therapy with polymyxin B-immobilized fibre haemoperfusion and teicoplanin for sepsis due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Hosp Infect 2003; 53:58–63
- 44. Nemoto H, Nakamoto H, Okada H, Sugahara S, Moriwaki K, Arai M, Kanno Y, Suzuki H: Newly developed immobilized polymyxin B fibers improve the survival of patients with sepsis. Blood Purif 2001; 19:361–8; discussion 368–9
- 45. Payen D, Mateo J, Cavaillon JM, Fraisse F, Floriot C, Vicaut E; Hemofiltration and Sepsis Group of the Collège National de Réanimation et de Médecine

d'Urgence des Hôpitaux extra-Universitaires: Impact of continuous venovenous hemofiltration on organ failure during the early phase of severe sepsis: A randomized controlled trial. Crit Care Med 2009; 37:803–10

- 46. Payen DM, Guilhot J, Launey Y, Lukaszewicz AC, Kaaki M, Veber B, Pottecher J, Joannes-Boyau O, Martin-Lefevre L, Jabaudon M, Mimoz O, Coudroy R, Ferrandière M, Kipnis E, Vela C, Chevallier S, Mallat J, Robert R; ABDOMIX Group: Early use of polymyxin B hemoperfusion in patients with septic shock due to peritonitis: A multicenter randomized control trial. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41:975–84
- Peng Y, Yuan Z, Li H: Removal of inflammatory cytokines and endotoxin by veno-venous continuous renal replacement therapy for burned patients with sepsis. Burns 2005; 31:623–8
- 48. Peng Z, Pai P, Hong-Bao L, Rong L, Han-Min W, Chen H: The impacts of continuous veno-venous hemofiltration on plasma cytokines and monocyte human leukocyte antigen-DR expression in septic patients. Cytokine 2010; 50:186–91
- Quenot JP, Binquet C, Vinsonneau C, Barbar SD, Vinault S, Deckert V, Lemaire S, Hassain AA, Bruyère R, Souweine B, Lagrost L, Adrie C: Very high volume hemofiltration with the Cascade system in septic shock patients. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41:2111–20
- 50. Reeves JH, Butt WW, Shann F, Layton JE, Stewart A, Waring PM, Presneill JJ: Continuous plasmafiltration in sepsis syndrome. Plasmafiltration in Sepsis Study Group. Crit Care Med 1999; 27:2096–104
- 51. Reinhart K, Meier-Hellmann A, Beale R, Forst H, Boehm D, Willatts S, Rothe KF, Adolph M, Hoffmann JE, Boehme M, Bredle DL; EASy-Study Group: Open randomized phase II trial of an extracorporeal endotoxin adsorber in suspected Gram-negative sepsis. Crit Care Med 2004; 32:1662–8
- 52. Sander A, Armbruster W, Sander B, Daul AE, Lange R, Peters J: Hemofiltration increases IL-6 clearance in early systemic inflammatory response syndrome but does not alter IL-6 and TNF alpha plasma concentrations. Intensive Care Med 1997; 23:878–84
- 53. Shum HP, Leung YW, Lam SM, Chan KC, Yan WW: Alteco endotoxin hemoadsorption in Gram-negative septic shock patients. Indian J Crit Care Med 2014; 18:783–8
- 54. Schädler D, Pausch C, Heise D, Meier-Hellmann A, Brederlau J, Weiler N, Marx G, Putensen C, Spies C, Jörres A, Quintel M, Engel C, Kellum JA, Kuhlmann MK: The effect of a novel extracorporeal cytokine hemoadsorption device on IL-6 elimination in septic patients: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS One 2017; 12:e0187015
- 55. Suzuki H, Nemoto H, Nakamoto H, Okada H, Sugahara S, Kanno Y, Moriwaki K: Continuous

hemodiafiltration with polymyxin-B immobilized fiber is effective in patients with sepsis syndrome and acute renal failure. Ther Apher 2002; 6:234–40

- 56. Vincent JL, Laterre PF, Cohen J, Burchardi H, Bruining H, Lerma FA, Wittebole X, De Backer D, Brett S, Marzo D, Nakamura H, John S: A pilot-controlled study of a polymyxin B-immobilized hemoperfusion cartridge in patients with severe sepsis secondary to intra-abdominal infection. Shock 2005; 23:400–5
- 57. Xu C, Fan K, Xie L, Chen W, Wang L: Evaluation of optimized continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration therapy efficiency in severe burn patients with sepsis. Burns Trauma 2014; 2:125–9
- Zheng S, Weng Q, Wu W, Ding G: Blood purification treatment initiated at the time of sepsis diagnosis effectively attenuates serum HMGB1 upregulation and improves patient prognosis. Exp Ther Med 2017; 14:3029–35
- Hawchar F, László I, Öveges N, Trásy D, Ondrik Z, Molnar Z: Extracorporeal cytokine adsorption in septic shock: A proof of concept randomized, controlled pilot study. J Crit Care 2019; 49:172–8
- 60. Han SS, Sun T, Li Z, Jia LZ, Shang QM, Wang XZ: [Effect of continuous blood purification on endothelial cell function in patients with severe sepsis]. Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue 2011; 23:81–4
- 61. Wang CT, Ren HS, Jiang JJ, Zhang JC, Meng M, Yu JB, Chu YF, Ding M: [Study the effects of high-volume hemofiltration and fluid resuscitation on removing blood lactic acid and pro-inflammatory cytokines in patients with refractory septic shock]. Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue 2009; 21:421–4
- 62. Cantaluppi V, Assenzio B, Pasero D, Romanazzi GM, Pacitti A, Lanfranco G, Puntorieri V, Martin EL, Mascia L, Monti G, Casella G, Segoloni GP, Camussi G, Ranieri VM: Polymyxin-B hemoperfusion inactivates circulating proapoptotic factors. Intensive Care Med 2008; 34:1638–45
- 63. Srisawat N, Tungsanga S, Lumlertgul N, Komaenthammasophon C, Peerapornratana S, Thamrongsat N, Tiranathanagul K, Praditpornsilpa K, Eiam-Ong S, Tungsanga K, Kellum JA: The effect of polymyxin B hemoperfusion on modulation of human leukocyte antigen DR in severe sepsis patients. Crit Care 2018; 22:279
- 64. Casey LC, Balk RA, Bone RC: Plasma cytokine and endotoxin levels correlate with survival in patients with the sepsis syndrome. Ann Intern Med 1993; 119:771–8
- 65. Marshall JC, Foster D, Vincent JL, Cook DJ, Cohen J, Dellinger RP, Opal S, Abraham E, Brett SJ, Smith T, Mehta S, Derzko A, Romaschin A; MEDIC study: Diagnostic and prognostic implications of endotoxemia in critical illness: Results of the MEDIC study. J Infect Dis 2004; 190:527–34

- 66. Terayama T, Yamakawa K, Umemura Y, Aihara M, Fujimi S: Polymyxin B hemoperfusion for sepsis and septic shock: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2017; 18:225–33
- Vriese AS De, Colardyn FA, Philippé JJ, Vanholder RC, Sutter JH De, Lameire NH, H. DV, Lameire NH: Cytokine removal during continuous hemofiltration in septic patients. J Am Soc Nephrol 1999; 10:846–53
- Borthwick EMJ, Hill CJ, Rabindranath KS, Maxwell AP, McAuley DF, Blackwood B: High-volume haemofiltration for sepsis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 1:CD008075
- 69. Rimmer E, Houston BL, Kumar A, Abou-Setta AM, Friesen C, Marshall JC, Rock G, Turgeon AF, Cook DJ, Houston DS, Zarychanski R: The efficacy and safety of plasma exchange in patients with sepsis and septic shock: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2014; 18:699
- Berger MM, Shenkin A, Revelly JP, Roberts E, Cayeux MC, Baines M, Chioléro RL: Copper, selenium, zinc, and thiamine balances during continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration in critically ill patients. Am J Clin Nutr 2004; 80:410–6
- 71. König C, Röhr AC, Frey OR, Brinkmann A, Roberts JA, Wichmann D, Braune S, Kluge S, Nierhaus A: In vitro removal of anti-infective agents by a novel

cytokine adsorbent system. Int J Artif Organs. 2019; 42:57–64

- 72. Shimokawa K, Takakuwa R, Wada Y, Yamazaki N, Ishii F: Adsorption of various antimicrobial agents to endotoxin removal polymyxin-B immobilized fiber (Toraymyxin®). Part 2: Adsorption of two drugs to Toraymyxin PMX-20R cartridges. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 2013; 101:350–2
- 73. Shimokawa K, Takakuwa R, Taya K, Wada Y, Yamazaki N, Murata M, Hirata K, Masuno T, Yokota H, Ishii F: Adsorption of various antimicrobial agents to endotoxin removal polymyxin-B immobilized fiber (Toraymyxin®). Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 2012; 90:58–61
- 74. Roberts DM, Roberts JA, Roberts MS, Liu X, Nair P, Cole L, Lipman J, Bellomo R; RENAL Replacement Therapy Study Investigators: Variability of antibiotic concentrations in critically ill patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy: A multicentre pharmacokinetic study. Crit Care Med 2012; 40:1523–8
- 75. Kielstein JT, Burkhardt O: Dosing of antibiotics in critically ill patients undergoing renal replacement therapy. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 2011; 12:2015–9
- 76. Sime FB, Roberts MS, Peake SL, Lipman J, Roberts JA: Does beta-lactam pharmacokinetic variability in critically ill patients justify therapeutic drug monitoring? A systematic review. Ann Intensive Care 2012; 2:35

Original research article

In vitro removal of anti-infective agents by a novel cytokine adsorbent system

The International Journal of Artificial Organs

The International Journal of Artificial Organs 2019, Vol. 42(2) 57-64 © The Author(s) 2018 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0391398818812601 journals.sagepub.com/home/jao



Christina König^{1,2}, Anka C Röhr³, Otto R Frey³, Alexander Brinkmann⁴, Jason A Roberts^{5,6,7}, Dominic Wichmann¹, Stephan Braune¹, Stefan Kluge¹ and Axel Nierhaus¹

Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study is to describe the in vitro adsorption of anti-infective drugs onto an extracorporeal cytokine adsorber.

Methods: Various anti-infective drugs (β -lactams, quinolones, aminoglycosides, glycopeptides, azole antimycotics) were prepared in normal saline 0.9% and human albumin 5%, and pumped through a cytokine cartridge (CytoSorb[®]; CytoSorbents Corporation, Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA) at a flow rate of 1.2 L/h for 1.5 h. In addition, meropenem and ciprofloxacin were dissolved in reconstituted blood and run through a CytoSorb cartridge, which was integrated into a continuous renal replacement therapy circuit with a flow rate of 2L/h for 18h. Samples from the solution, preand post-filter, were quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection and fluorescence polarisation immunoassay.

Results: Observed mean clearance of the drugs in normal saline was 1.22 ± 0.07 L/h. In human albumin, clearance was 1.29 ± 0.08 L/h. In reconstituted blood, clearance of meropenem decreased from 5.4 to 1.4 L/h and for ciprofloxacin from 6.3 to 4.3 L/h within the first 1.5 h because of early drug adsorption. Continuous renal replacement therapy clearance measured without CytoSorb was stable at 2 and 1.7 L/h, respectively. Approximately 400 mg of meropenem and 300 mg of ciprofloxacin had been adsorbed by CytoSorb, suggesting that these amounts are the maximum adsorptive capacity for these drugs.

Conclusion: In these settings, all tested drugs were adsorbed by the cartridge in relevant amounts. The identified maximum adsorptive capacity and the rapid decline in concentration during the first 1.5 h of CytoSorb use suggest that the administration of an additional dose within the first hours of CytoSorb treatment may be reasonable. In addition, early therapeutic drug monitoring should be considered.

Keywords

Antibiotic, pharmacokinetic, cytokine adsorber, extracorporeal elimination

Date received: 26 April 2018; accepted: 23 October 2018

Department of Intensive Care Medicine, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

²Hospital Pharmacy, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

6Centre for Clinical Research, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia ⁷Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Corresponding author:

Christina König, Department of Intensive Care Medicine, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Martinistraße 52, Hamburg 20251, Germany. Email: ch.koenig@uke.de

³Hospital Pharmacy, Heidenheim General Hospital, Heidenheim, Germany

⁴Department of Anaesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Heidenheim General Hospital, Heidenheim, Germany

⁵Centre for Translational Anti-Infective Pharmacodynamics, School of Pharmacy, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Recently, novel cytokine adsorber systems have been developed as a treatment for the 'cytokine storm' that occurs during sepsis and septic shock.^{1,2} These systems are designed for the elimination of middle molecular weight substances like cytokines and mediators. The CytoSorb® adsorber cartridge (CytoSorbents Corporation, Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA) is filled with a porous adsorbent made of polymer beads, which are designed to adsorb molecules from 10,000 to 50,000 Da. Adsorption is achieved through hydrophobic interactions of molecules and the lipophilic surface of the inner channels and pores of the beads.³ The filter can be used as a stand-alone device or in combination with continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). Due to its non-specific adsorptive mechanism, elimination of anti-infective drugs through the cytokine adsorber is possible, even though they usually have a molecular size <2000 Da. After regulatory approval for clinical use, cytokine adsorbers are now increasingly used in critically ill patients with sepsis and septic shock, resulting in various case reports.4-7 In addition, the international CytoSorb register with over 170 centres participating was implemented to record the use and efficacy of cytokine adsorber systems under real-life conditions.8 However, to date, there is limited data showing the efficacy and effectiveness for clinical outcome parameters. Little is known about pharmacokinetics of anti-infective agents with concomitant use of cytokine adsorptive systems such as CytoSorb. Therefore, the aim of this in vitro study was to evaluate the adsorption capacity of CytoSorb in aqueous solutions and reconstituted blood for commonly used anti-infectives such as vancomycin, gentamicin, meropenem, flucloxacillin, piperacillin, ciprofloxacin, rifampicin, fluconazole and voriconazole.

Materials and methods

The adsorptive capacity of the cytokine adsorbent system CytoSorb was tested using three different experimental settings. Adsorptive capacity for all anti-infective drugs was investigated in an aqueous medium using normal saline (NaCl 0.9%) solution. Moreover, human albumin (HA) 5% was used as a carrier to investigate the effect of plasma-protein binding (PB) on drug clearance (CL). During the experiments, the cytokine adsorber was macroscopically inspected for colour changes. Finally, for meropenem and ciprofloxacin, reconstituted blood was used to determine the potential influence of erythrocytes and potential saturation effects on the CL of these two drugs.

Normal saline and human albumin solutions

Standardised concentrations of vancomycin (40 mg/L), gentamicin (20 mg/L), meropenem (20 mg/L), ciprofloxacin



Figure 1. Experimental setup for normal saline and human albumin solutions (adapted from CytoSorbents Europe GmbH).

(15 mg/L), piperacillin (80 mg/L), flucloxacillin (80 mg/L), voriconazole (10 mg/L), rifampicin (10 mg/L) and fluconazole (40 mg/L) were used. All anti-infective drugs were dissolved in a total of 1000 mL NaCl 0.9% and 500 mL HA 5%. These solutions were pumped through the cytokine filter at a flow rate of 1.2 L/h with an infusion pump (Infusomat Space[®]; B. Braun Melsungen, Germany). To ensure drug mixing, the solutions were stirred (190 r/min) continuously throughout the experiments. To avoid recirculation, inlet and outlet of the circulation were fixed to opposing sides of the reservoir (Figure 1). During a circulation time of 1.5 h, samples of the solutions as well as post- and pre-filter samples were obtained. Samples were taken at 0, 5, 20, 35, 55, 75 and 95 min and then stored at -80° C until analysis.

Reconstituted blood

Reconstituted blood (2.9L) was obtained by mixing matched plasma and erythrocyte concentrates to achieve a haematocrit of 23%. To avoid clotting, heparin was added to the circuit via continuous infusion of 10,000 I.U./50 mL NaCl 0.9% with a flow rate of 5 mL/h. The Erlenmeyer flask was primed with heparin (10,000 I.U. heparin/50 mL NaCl 0.9%) prior to the start of the experiment. Meropenem and ciprofloxacin were added to the blood solution to achieve a concentration of 16 and 2.5 mg/L, respectively, and infused continuously (meropenem, 192 mg/h; ciprofloxacin, 30 mg/h) during the experiment. Both solutions were run through the CytoSorb cartridge built into a CRRT system (multiFiltrate®; haemofilter AV 600S, Fresenius Medical Care, Bad Homburg, Germany) in continous venovenous haemodialysis (CVVHD) mode with a blood flow of 12 L/h and a dialysate flow of 2 L/h (Figure 2). Samples were taken from pre- and post-cytokine adsorber and



Figure 2. Experimental setup for reconstituted blood using CytoSorb and continous veno-venous hemodialysis (CVVHD) (adapted from CytoSorbents Europe GmbH).

dialysis filter, as well as from the dialysate over a sampling time of 18h. Samples were taken at 0.5, 1.5, 2.6, 3.6, 4.6, 5.6, 6.6, 8.6, 10.6, 14.5 und 18.3 h after starting the circuit.

To ensure drug mixing and to avoid clotting, the solutions were kept at 38°C and stirred (190 r/min) continuously throughout the experiments. All samples were immediately centrifuged (3000 r/min, 10 min, 25°C); plasma was separated and then stored at -80°C until analysis.

Analytical quantification

Vancomycin and gentamicin concentrations were analysed with fluorescence polarisation immunoassay (Axsym; Abbott Diagnostics, Lake Forest, IL, USA). All other drug concentrations were analysed with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with ultraviolet (UV) detection. Assay validation was performed according to the FDA Guidance for Industry for biochemical method validation using calibration standards and quality controls.⁹ Assay performance was previously reported by Roehr et al.¹⁰ Reconstituted blood samples were centrifuged. HA and serum samples were treated with acetonitrile and methanol (1:1) for protein precipitation and centrifuged at 3000 r/min for 10 min prior to analysis. The supernatant was transferred and used for drug quantification.

Pharmacokinetic calculations

Pharmacokinetic parameters of half-life $(t_{1/2})$ and CL of each drug were calculated using descriptive methods and assuming first-order kinetics and a one-compartment model. The elimination constant (k_e) was determined by the slope of the regression line of concentrations and was used for the estimation of drug $t_{1/2}$ via $t_{1/2} = \ln(2)/k_e$. CL was calculated as follows: $CL = \ln(2) \times Vd/t_{1/2}$, with Vd being the volume of the solution in litre (L). Adsorption in the reconstituted blood setting was calculated as follows: Adsorption=Dose added (mg) – (Concentration (mg/L) × Total fluid volume (L)).

To determine the amount eliminated via CRRT and CytoSorb, calculation was as follows

Amount in CytoSorb cartridge (mg) = total amount infused (mg) - (amount in dialysate (mg) + amount in reconstituted blood (mg))

For comparison, similar equations were used to investigate and determine the kinetic properties of renal replacement therapies in clinical data.¹¹

Results

All experiments were performed under stable conditions without technical problems. The cytokine cartridge was perfused without interruptions. Haematocrit (23%) and pH (7.4) of reconstituted blood remained stable throughout the experiment. There were no signs of blood stasis and no clotting occurred.

Normal saline solutions

There was a steep decrease of all drug concentrations during the first 20 min of the experiment. After this time, no drug was detectable in post-filter samples of the saline solutions except for gentamicin, which was detectable in

Drug	t _{1/2} NaCl (min)	CL NaCl (L/h)	t _{1/2} HA (min)	CL HA (L/h)	Log P	PB (%)	Da
Vancomycin	24.49	1.19	22.80	1.28	-3.1	55	1449
Gentamicin	25.67	1.13	23.34	1.25	-3.1	10	477
Meropenem	22.00	1.32	22.65	1.29	-0.6	5	383
Ciprofloxacin	22.07	1.32	23.42	1.24	0.28	30	331
Piperacillin	22.58	1.29	20.27	1.44	0.3	20	517
Flucloxacillin	24.93	1.17	24.07	1.20	2.58	97	453
Voriconazole	24.58	1.18	20.69	1.41	I	60	349
Fluconazole	25.96	1.12	23.82	1.22	0.4	10	306
Mean	24.40	1.22	21.67	1.29	_	_	_
SD	1.39	0.07	2.35	0.08	-	-	-

Table I. Pharmacokinetic parameters determined in HA 5% and NaCl 0.9% and general chemical properties.

HA: human albumin; NaCl: normal saline; CL: clearance in L/h; t10: half-life in minutes (min); PB: protein binding; SD: standard deviation; Da: Dalton.

 Table 2. Pre- and post-CytoSorb drug concentrations in NaCl 0.9%.

Time (min)	Meropenem (mg/L)		Ciprofloxacin (mg/L)		Piperacillin (mg/L)		Flucloxacillin (mg/L)		Gentamicin (mg/L)		Vancomycin (mg/L)		Voriconazole (mg/L)		Fluconazole (mg/L)	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
0	20.00		15.00		80.00		80.00		23.48		35.54		10.00		40.00	
5	17.47	15.1	12.87	14.0	66.11	4.0	61.02	n.a.	21.5	5.9	30.12	0.0	7.06	0.4	43.91	2.4
20	11.27	0.0	8.34	0.0	39.67	0.2	37.45	3.7	19.23	4.4	25.65	0.2	4.56	0.0	19.39	0.8
35	7.15	0.0	5.22	0.0	24.97	0.4	22.73	0.0	15.62	3.2	22.97	0.4	2.52	0.0	13.28	0.7
55	3.91	0.0	2.82	0.0	13.73	0.3	14.39	0.0	9.58	1.5	15.61	0.7	1.65	0.0	7.73	0.9
75	1.89	0.0	1.44	0.0	7.65	0.3	9.86	0.0	8.68	1.1	12.43	0.9	1.18	0.0	4.80	1.1
95	1.02	0.0	0.76	0.0	4.23	0.3	0.00	0.0	7.65	0.9	10.38	1.4	0.00	0.0	3.00	0.7
Drug removed (%)	95		94		94		100		67		70		100		92	

the post-filter samples for 35 min after the start of the experiment (Table 1).

Observed $t_{1/2}$ and apparent CL in normal saline were similar across the observed drugs. For example, meropenem, ciprofloxacin and fluconazole showed a $t_{1/2}$ of 21.07, 22.29 and 22.73 min, and apparent CL values of 1.29, 1.32 and 1.28 L/h, respectively. However, rifampicin showed a lower $t_{1/2}$ of only 15 min resulting in a higher CL of 2.05 L/h. The mean observed drug CL and $t_{1/2}$ in normal saline was 1.22 ± 0.07 L/h and 24.40 ± 1.39 min, respectively (Table 1). The decrease in drug concentration in pre-filter samples followed first-order kinetics. After 1.5 h perfusion of the cytokine adsorber, concentrations of the antibiotics meropenem, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, piperacillin and flucloxacillin were very low or not further detectable (Table 2). The antimycotics voriconazole and fluconazole also showed low concentrations with 0 and 3 mg/L, respectively.

Rifampicin was completely adsorbed within the first 15 min of the experiment. This was accompanied with a visible colour change from white to red of the adsorber cartridge.

Human albumin solutions

Within the first 60 min of the investigation, the decrease in drug concentration followed first-order kinetics. Observed $t_{1/2}$ and CL were homogeneous among the anti-infective drugs. For example, meropenem, ciprofloxacin and fluconazole showed a $t_{1/2}$ of 22.65, 23.42 and 23.82 min, respectively. The corresponding CL values were 1.29, 1.24 and 1.22 L/h, respectively. The mean CL and $t_{1/2}$ in HA were 1.29 ± 0.08 L/h and 21.67 ± 2.35 min, respectively (Table 1). Antibiotic and antimycotic concentrations fell rapidly within the first 20 min. After approximately 1 h, there was no further drug removal for flucloxacillin, voriconazole, meropenem and ciprofloxacin. In contrast, vancomycin, gentamicin, fluconazole and piperacillin were steadily removed throughout the experimental period (Table 3).

Since no rising in concentrations was measured at the end of both experiments, no redistribution could be detected for all of the investigated drugs.

Reconstituted blood

Within the first 1.5 h, the cytokine adsorber CL (L/h) for ciprofloxacin decreased from 6.3 to 4.9 L/h with an estimated

Time (min)	Meropenem (mg/L)		Ciprofloxacin (mg/L)		Piperacillin (mg/L)		Flucloxacillin (mg/L)		Gentamicin (mg/L)		Vancomycin (mg/L)		Voriconazole (mg/L)		Fluconazole (mg/L)	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
0	20.0		15.00		80.00		80.00		10.52		38.33		10.00		20.00	
5	11.6	1.2	8.48	0.5	88.41	4.7	n.a.	0.0	9.07	4.2	30.66	0.9	9.84	0.0	22.22	3.8
20	7.3	1.5	5.04	0.2	80.86	5.4	n.a.	0.0	6.95	1.3	20.22	0.7	n.a.	0.0	16.28	3.4
35	4.3	1.9	2.58	0.2	37.24	4.5	18.12	0.0	4.28	0.7	12.02	0.5	7.72	0.0	10.05	2.4
55	3.4	2.2	1.60	0.2	23.86	0.0	18.92	0.0	2.26	0.3	6.40	1.0	1.24	0.0	6.14	2.2
75	3.3	3.2	0.90	0.2	12.11	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.9	0.3	3.46	1.0	0.00	0.0	3.35	0.8
95	3.1	3.3	0.90	0.2	7.25	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.77	0.23	2.22	1.0	0.00	0.0	1.59	0.7
Drug removed (%)	85		99		90		100		99		95		100		92	

Table 3. Pre- and post-CytoSorb drug concentrations in HA 5%.

HA: human albumin.



Figure 3. Ciprofloxacin (a) and meropenem (b) clearance (CL) under CytoSorb and continous veno-venous haemodialysis (CVVHD).

 $t_{1/2}$ of 28 min (Figure 3(a)). For meropenem cytokine adsorber, CL decreased from 5.4 to 1.4L/h with a $t_{1/2}$ of 34 min (Figure 3(b)). Significant removal of meropenem and ciprofloxacin occurred within the first 1.5 h of cytokine adsorber use. Within the first 0.5 h, a decrease in meropenem concentration of approximately 45% and for ciprofloxacin of approximately 52% occurred, measured by pre- and post-cytokine cartridge levels.

After 4h, there were no significant changes in meropenem concentrations across pre- and post-cytokine adsorber samples, whereas significant changes in concentration of ciprofloxacin could be measured for up to 10 h while using the cytokine cartridge (Table 4). During the total observation period of 18 h, 394 mg of meropenem and 284 mg of ciprofloxacin were adsorbed by the cytokine cartridge. In contrast to that, 2870 mg of meropenem and 235 mg of ciprofloxacin were removed by continous veno-venous haemodialysis (CVVHD).

The mean dialysis CLs (L/h) of meropenem and ciprofloxacin were 2.0 and 1.7, respectively (Figure 3(a) and (b)). They remained stable throughout the experiment and no saturation effects were observed.

Discussion

During the experiment, commonly used antibiotics and antimycotic drugs for the treatment of bacterial and fungal infections were investigated. The substances showed a wide range in PB (0%-97%),¹² lipophilicity (log P -3.1-2.7)¹³ as well as in molecular weight (306-1449 Da).¹² In contrast to the previous investigation by Reiter et al.,14 where initial drug concentrations were very high, for example, vancomycin 1048 mg/L or gentamicin 153 mg/L, we chose initial drug concentrations according to those achieved therapeutically, for example, gentamicin 20 mg/L and vancomycin 40 mg/L, representing observed serum concentrations in humans. We observed a considerable high apparent adsorptive CL of anti-infective compounds during the first 20 min of the use of the cytokine adsorber in HA and normal saline. This is in accordance to the findings of Reiter et al.14 who also found a high adsorption of vancomycin, gentamicin and teicoplanin during the first 15 min of treatment with a cytokine adsorber. Comparing the adsorption results in HA and normal saline showed no significant deviation within the CL values. Even though several drugs such as flucloxacillin show a high PB of up to 97%,¹⁵ no major effect of PB could be identified. In critically ill patients, lower serum albumin concentrations with less

Time	MER (mg/L)	MER (mg/L)	CIP (mg/L)	CIP (mg/L)
(h)	Pre-CytoSorb	Post-CytoSorb	Pre-CytoSorb	Post-CytoSorb
0	19.89	_	2.29	_
0.5	28.22	15.43	3.14	1.50
1.5	47.09	41.48	4.26	2.53
2.6	70.50	66.50	5.06	3.47
3.6	82.56	81.97	5.63	4.04
4.6	87.53	88.55	6.34	4.87
5.6	91.88	88.38	7.43	5.76
6.6	97.06	97.43	8.42	7.00
8.6	94.05	95.14	9.71	8.87
10.6	99.59	94.93	10.76	9.58
14.5	96.75	91.18	10.01	11.82
18.3	95.66	105.43	15.10	16.25

Table 4. Pre- and post-CytoSorb concentrations of meropener	n (MER) and ciprofloxacin (CIP)) in the reconstituted blood setting.
---	---------------------------------	---------------------------------------

than 35 g/L are frequently observed.¹⁶ However, even when using higher albumin concentrations of 50 g/L (5%), representing more potential binding sites for anti-infective agents, no significant differences in CL during the first hour of the experiment could be identified. Taking a closer look to the concentrations, it becomes obvious that some drug concentrations in normal saline fall more rapidly than in albumin and vice versa. Therefore, further studies are needed to confirm which physicochemical characteristics of drugs are relevant for the adsorption on cytokine cartridges. But this suggests that the adsorber is potentially able to eliminate even substances with a high PB. This might be due to a potentially higher binding affinity between the anti-infective drugs and the cytokine adsorber than between drug and albumin.

In the aqueous solution setting rather low flow rates (1.2 L/h) were used, this was due to the use of an infusion pump and its maximum flow rate. This flow rate results in a long contact time between drug and sorbent surface, potentially leading to a higher adsorption ratio. In clinical practice, much higher blood flow rates (9–12 L/h) are used, especially in combination with CRRT resulting in a shorter contact time. Therefore, our results might have overestimated the adsorbent capacity for anti-infective drugs in aqueous solutions. This also indicates that only experiments using blood would be relevant for clinical practice.

Furthermore, high drug elimination rates were also observed in the reconstituted blood experiment. The initial drug CL by CytoSorb was 5.4 L/h for meropenem, which was higher than reported for CRRT in clinical data (approximately 3 L/h).¹⁷ The mean CRRT CL for meropenem and ciprofloxacin of 2.0 and 1.7 L/h, respectively, corresponds to the selected dialysate flow of 2 L/h, which is in accordance with the findings of Roehr et al.¹⁰ who found CLs of 1.9 for meropenem and 1.7 L/h for ciprofloxacin during CRRT.

During the whole experiment using reconstituted blood, approximately 300 mg of ciprofloxacin and 400 mg of

meropenem were eliminated over 18h via CytoSorb. However, since the CL was significantly lower after 1.5 h, the largest share of meropenem and ciprofloxacin removal occurred early after initiation of CytoSorb. Therefore, higher doses of anti-infective drugs for the first hours of therapy with CytoSorb might be advisable to saturate drug binding to the adsorber and achieve adequate plasma concentrations according to their pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic targets and break points for susceptible bacteria.¹⁸ Timely and optimal drug exposure could be maintained effectively through routine therapeutic drug monitoring.¹⁹

For all in vitro experiments, maximum adsorption occurs within the first 60 min after the installation of the CytoSorb System. Irrespective of the carrier solution, a very high initial drug loss could be observed in all experiments. Since a combination of several anti-infective drugs was tested in one solution, this might not represent the clinical setting where less substances are used simultaneously. The presence of many drugs potentially binding to the cytokine adsorber might have influenced its binding capacity. Therefore, a potential underestimation of the adsorptive capacity is possible but might not be relevant because of the high overall CL. In septic shock and especially in recurrent sepsis episodes, often multi-drug resistant gram-negative pathogens are present (e.g. Pseudomonas spp.). Therefore, our unit commonly uses empirical combination therapy containing double Gram-negative coverage with meropenem and ciprofloxacin until culture results are available. Thus, we focussed on meropenem and ciprofloxacin in our investigation. Therefore, further studies are needed to confirm similar behaviour under CytoSorb therapy.

Limitations

Due to the experimental setting, there are several limitations of these in vitro results. The results from the aqueous and HA solutions cannot be extrapolated to critically ill patients. Furthermore, the solutions do not contain cellular components such as erythrocytes, platelets and human proteins, which may influence the adsorptive capacity of the CytoSorb cartridge and apparent drug CL. To partially compensate this effect, reconstituted blood composed of plasma and erythrocyte concentrates was used at physiologically relevant concentrations. However, platelets and other proteins such as alpha-1 globulins, alpha-2 globulins and immunoglobulins were not present. The haematocrit of 23% was relatively low, but this is not uncommon in critically ill patients.²⁰ A higher proportion of cellular components in vitro and in vivo may decrease the adsorptive capacity by blocking the surface of the polymer beads in the cartridge. Moreover, we have not studied a possible release of anti-infectives from a fully saturated system. With using a continuous infusion method, we created a fully saturated system, shown by the accumulation of meropenem and ciprofloxacin levels. But the accumulation ratio of anti-infectives was nearly equivalent to the infusion ratio, and therefore desorption of meropenem and ciprofloxacin seems less likely. Furthermore, we used small volumes (2.9L reconstituted blood) and drug amounts to achieve pharmacokinetically relevant concentrations which are different drug amounts which are present in a clinical scenario. Therefore, the time course for decreasing concentrations of drug as it binds to the adsorber may be slower in clinical practice. This was confirmed by the experiment in reconstituted blood, where we quantified the amount of drug able to be adsorbed by the cartridge.

Conclusion

The results of our in vitro experiments show that all investigated drugs are adsorbed to the surface of the CytoSorb adsorber and are removed by the combined CRRT system to varying but clinically relevant degrees. Anti-infective drugs are removed from the circulation by CytoSorb in a non-linear fashion as opposed to CRRT. In contrast to CRRT where drugs are removed in a continuous fashion, the use of CytoSorb appeared to saturate the adsorbent surface leading to a reduction in CL over time. For meropenem and ciprofloxacin, we found that the initial adsorptive capacity corresponded to a single dose of approximately 400 and 300 mg, respectively, meaning that this represents the maximum amount of drug that could be adsorbed. This suggests that the administration of an additional dose within the first hours of initiation of the cytokine adsorber may be reasonable. Moreover, with each routine change of the cytokine adsorber system after 8, 12 or 24h, an extra dose of meropenem and ciprofloxacin should be considered to maintain optimal drug exposure. To optimise anti-infective drug dosing in septic patients treated with CytoSorb and CRRT, further studies are needed to investigate the in vivo effect of extracorporeal

blood purification systems on the pharmacokinetics of these drugs. Most importantly, our results emphasise the importance of early therapeutic drug monitoring for patients not only on renal replacement therapy but also on cytokine adsorber therapy to avoid underdosing of antiinfective drugs.

Acknowledgements

C.K. made substantial contribution to conception, study design, sample analysis, data collection, data analysis and interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript and approved the final version to be published. A.C.R. made substantial contribution to conception, study design and revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version to be published. J.A.R. made substantial contribution in revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version to be published. O.R.F. made substantial contribution to study design, data collection sample analysis and revising the manuscript for intellectual content, and approved the final version to be published. A.B. made substantial contribution to conception, study design and data collection, sample analysis and drafting, and revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version to be published. A.N. made substantial contribution to conception, study design and data collection, sample analysis and drafting, and revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version to be published. D.W. made substantial contribution to conception, study design, drafting and revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version to be published. S.B. made substantial contribution in revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version to be published. S.K. made substantial contribution to conception, study design and data collection, sample analysis, and drafting and revising the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version to be published.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: J.A.R. is funded by a Practitioner Fellowship from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (APP1117065) and would like to acknowledge funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia for a Project Grant (APP1044941) and Centre for Research Excellence (APP1099452).

References

- Kellum JA, Venkataraman R, Powner D, et al. Feasibility study of cytokine removal by hemoadsorption in brain-dead humans. *Crit Care Med* 2008; 36: 268–272.
- Peng Z-Y, Carter MJ and Kellum JA. Effects of hemoadsorption on cytokine removal and short-term survival in septic rats. *Crit Care Med* 2008; 36: 1573–1577.

- Honore PM, Jacobs R, Joannes-Boyau O, et al. Newly designed CRRT membranes for sepsis and SIRS – a pragmatic approach for bedside intensivists summarizing the more recent advances: a systematic structured review. *ASAIO* 2013; 59: 99–106.
- 4. Basu R, Pathak S, Goyal J, et al. Use of a novel hemoadsorption device for cytokine removal as adjuvant therapy in a patient with septic shock with multi-organ dysfunction: a case study. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2014; 18: 822–824.
- Bruenger F, Kizner L, Weile J, et al. First successful combination of ECMO with cytokine removal therapy in cardiogenic septic shock: a case report. *Int J Artif Organs* 2015; 38: 113–116.
- Houschyar KS, Pyles MN, Rein S, et al. Continuous hemoadsorption with a cytokine adsorber during sepsis – a review of the literature. *Int J Artif Organs* 2017; 40: 205–211.
- Träger K, Schütz C, Fischer G, et al. Cytokine reduction in the setting of an ARDS-associated inflammatory response with multiple organ failure. *Case Rep Crit Care* 2016; 2016: 9852073.
- Friesecke S, Träger K, Schittek GA, et al. International registry on the use of the CytoSorb[®] adsorber in ICU patients: study protocol and preliminary results. *Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed* 2017: 1–9.
- 9. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administation, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Center for Veterinary Medicine. Bioanalytical Method Validation Guidance for Industry, 2018.
- Roehr AC, Frey OR, Koeberer A, et al. Anti-infective drugs during continuous hemodialysis – using the bench to learn what to do at the bedside. *Int J Artif Organs* 2015; 38: 17–22.

- Kielstein JT, Czock D, Schöpke T, et al. Pharmacokinetics and total elimination of meropenem and vancomycin in intensive care unit patients undergoing extended daily dialysis. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34: 51–56.
- 12. Ashley C, Dunleavy A and Wheeler DC (eds). *The renal drug handbook: the ultimate prescribing guide for renal practitioners.* 4th ed. London: Radcliffe Publishing Ltd., 2014.
- National Center for Biotechnology Information. The PubChem Compound Database, https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/ (accessed 19 November 2018).
- Reiter K, Bordoni V, Dall'Olio G, et al. In vitro removal of therapeutic drugs with a novel adsorbent system. *Blood Purif* 2002; 20: 380–388.
- Røder BL, Frimodt-Møller N, Espersen F, et al. Dicloxacillin and flucloxacillin: pharmacokinetics, protein binding and serum bactericidal titers in healthy subjects after oral administration. *Infection* 1995; 23: 107–112.
- Nicholson JP, Wolmarans MR and Park GR. The role of albumin in critical illness. *Br J Anaesth* 2000; 85: 599–610.
- 17. Ulldemolins M, Soy D, Llaurado-Serra M, et al. Meropenem population pharmacokinetics in critically ill patients with septic shock and continuous renal replacement therapy: influence of residual diuresis on dose requirements. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015; 59: 5520–5528.
- Sörgel F, Höhl R, Glaser R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antibiotics in intensive care. *Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed* 2017; 112: 11–23.
- Brinkmann A, Röhr AC, Köberer A, et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring and individual dosing of antibiotics during sepsis: modern or just 'trendy'? *Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed* 2018; 113: 82–93.
- 20. Lelubre C and Vincent J-L. Red blood cell transfusion in the critically ill patient. *Ann Intensive Care* 2011; 1: 43.