

Human serum albumin, systemic inflammation, and cirrhosis

Vicente Arroyo^{1,2,*}, Rita García-Martinez², Xavier Salvatella³

¹Liver Unit, Hospital Clinic, Centre Esther Koplowitz, IDIBAPS, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ²EASL-Cronic Liver Failure Consortium, Fundació Clinic, Barcelona, Spain; ³ICREA and BSC-CRG-IRB Research Programme in Computational Biology, IRB Barcelona (IRB), Barcelona, Spain

Summary

Human serum albumin (HSA) is one of the most frequent treatments in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Prevention of paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction, prevention of type-1 HRS associated with bacterial infections, and treatment of type-1 hepatorenal syndrome are the main indications. In these indications treatment with HSA is associated with improvement in survival. Albumin is a stable and very flexible molecule with a heart shape, 585 residues, and three domains of similar size, each one containing two sub-domains. Many of the physiological functions of HSA rely on its ability to bind an extremely wide range of endogenous and exogenous ligands, to increase their solubility in plasma, to transport them to specific tissues and organs, or to dispose of them when they are toxic. The chemical structure of albumin can be altered by some specific processes (oxidation, glycation) leading to rapid clearance and catabolism. An outstanding feature of HSA is its capacity to bind lipopolysaccharide and other bacterial products (lipoteichoic acid and peptidoglycan), reactive oxygen species, nitric oxide and other nitrogen reactive species, and prostaglandins. Binding to NO and prostaglandins are reversible, so they can be transferred to other molecules at different sites from their synthesis. Through these functions, HSA modulates the inflammatory reaction. Decompensated cirrhosis is a disease associated systemic inflammation, which plays an important role in the pathogenesis of organ or system dysfunction/failure. Although, the beneficial effects of HAS have been traditionally attributed to plasma

E-mail address: varroyo@clinic.ub.es (V. Arroyo).

Abbreviations: HSA, human serum albumin; HRS, hepatorenal; PICD, paracentesisinduced circulatory dysfunction; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; RCT, randomized controlled trial; LPS, lipopolisaccharide; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; PAMPs, pathogen-associated molecular paterns; DAMPs, damaged-associated molecular paterns; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RNS, reactive nitrogen species; HMA, human-mercapto-albumin; NMA, non-mercapto-albumin; NO, nitric oxide; CRP, c-reactive protein; RAS, renin-angiotensin system; SNS, sympathetic nervous system; ADH, antidiuretic hormone; PGs, prostaglandins; BDK, bradikinin; ACLF, acute-on-chronic liver failure; TNF α , tumor necrosis factor α .



Journal of Hepatology **2014** vol. 61 | 396–407

volume expansion, they could also relate to its effects modulating systemic and organ inflammation.

© 2014 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

Human serum albumin (HSA) and the management of decompensated cirrhosis: Background and current indications

History

Diuretics, **antibiotics**, and **HSA** are the most frequently used **treatments** for the management of patients with **cirrhosis**. According to the CANONIC study database [1], a prospective European investigation in 1348 patients with decompensated cirrhosis, HSA was indicated in 60% of the patients during hospital admission (Table 1).

The history of HSA started in 1940, when a long-term stable substitute of blood was required by the US military authorities to treat shock on the battlefield during the World War II [2]. It was used for first time in December 1941 in seven severely burned sailors after the attack on Pearl Harbor. At that period, the association of portal hypertension, hypoalbuminemia, and ascites was already known. Not surprisingly, ascites was one of the first indications of HSA. Three studies published between **1946** and **1949** assessing the effect of short- and long-term i.v. infusion of HSA in cirrhotic patients with ascites defined the first indications of HSA in cirrhosis [3-5]. Serum albumin concentration and urine volume increased in most patients. Peripheral edema also improved. However, only some patients showed improvement of ascites. First indication of HSA was, therefore, hypoalbuminemia in patients treated by frequent paracentesis. The introduction of spironolactone and furosemide in the early **1960**'s and the article by Hecker and Sherlock [6] first describing hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) lead to great changes in the management of ascites. The concept that paracentesis could be followed by rapid reformation of ascites and renal failure extended rapidly through the medical community and therapeutic paracentesis was formally proscribed. Only in 10% of patients not responding to diuretics (refractory ascites) was HSA prescribed to increase the plasma volume and diuretic effect [7]. In the 1970's LeVeen designed the first peritoneo-venous shunt [8]. It consisted in a multi-perforated intra-peritoneal tube connected to a unidirectional valve and to a second tube that subcutaneously reached the superior vena cava through the internal

Keywords: Cirrhosis; Human serum albumin; Paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction; Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; Hepatorenal syndrome; Systemic inflammation; Organ inflammation; Oxidative stress; Decompensated cirrhosis; Acute-on-chronic liver failure.

Received 26 February 2014; received in revised form 4 April 2014; accepted 6 April 2014

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Centre Esther Koplowitz, Roselló 153, 08036 Barcelona, Spain. Tel.: +34 93 2271724; fax: +34 93 3129403.

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY

Table 1. Use of albumin in patients with acute decompensation of cirrhosis in Europe. Results from the CANONIC study database.

	Patients with hospitalization follow-up*	Patients receiving albumin during hospitalization
Patients (n)	699	425 (60.8%)
Bacterial infections (n)	398 (56.9%)	152 (38.2%)
SBP (n)	107 (15.3%)	53 (49.5%)
Non-SBP infections (n)	291 (41.6%)	65 (22.3%)
Paracentesis (n)	291 (41.6%)	225 (77.3%)
<5 L (n)	158 (22.6%)	107 (67.7%)
5-9 L (n)	137 (19.5%)	123 (89.8%)
>9 L (n)	55 (7.8%)	51 (92.7%)
HRS (n)	179 (25.6%)	75 (41.9%)

*The CANONIC study was made in 1348 patients. The table only includes patients with hospitalization follow-up. There were patients with more than one complication requiring albumin administration.

jugular vein. The positive abdominal pressure and the negative intra-thoracic pressure facilitated the opening of the valve and the continuous passage of ascites into the circulation. LeVeen shunt was widely used in the management of refractory ascites for more than a decade. Following the introduction of LeVeen shunt, HSA disappeared from the therapeutic armamentarium of cirrhosis for more than a decade.

Current indications of albumin

Management of ascites

In 1988 a Spaniard inter-hospital group [9] demonstrated that paracentesis, if performed in association to HSA, was an effective and safe therapy of ascites. They compared repeated large volume paracentesis (4 liters/day) associated with HSA (8 g per liter of ascitic fluid removed) vs. diuretics. The incidence of renal impairment, hyponatremia and encephalopathy was significantly lower in the paracentesis group. No significant change in plasma renin activity was observed indicating no impairment in effective blood volume. Survival probability was similar in both groups. In a second investigation, total paracentesis (complete removal of ascites in only one tap) associated with HSA was also found to be safe [10]. Treatment of ascites was therefore considerably simplified. Instead of requiring 2-4 weeks in hospital to compensate a tense ascites with diuretics, patients could be managed by paracentesis in a single day hospitalization regime [11]. Paracentesis associated with HSA was subsequently compared to peritoneovenous shunting in patients with refractory ascites [12]. Peritoneo-venous shunting was superior to paracentesis in the long-term control of ascites. However, due to the high rate of complications associated with the prosthesis, the total time in hospital and the probability of survival was similar with both treatments. Based on these data, paracentesis plus HSA was considered the treatment of choice for tense ascites.

When paracentesis is performed without HSA or if HSA is substituted by <u>synthetic plasma expanders</u>, a high proportion of patients develop marked activation of the renin-angiotensin system, a feature known as paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction (PICD) [13–15]. The prevalence of PICD in patients not receiving volume expansion is 70%. In patients receiving dextran or polygeline it is also high (37.8%). PICD is due to an accentuation of the arterial vasodilation already present in cirrhosis and a lack of appropriated cardiac response [16–18] (Fig. 1). PICD, although asymptomatic, is a serious complication. It is not spontaneously reversible and is associated with shorter time to hospital readmission, higher incidence of renal failure, and shorter probability of survival [15]. A recent meta-analysis (17 trials, 1,225 patients) comparing HSA vs. alternative treatments (no volume expansion, synthetic plasma expanders or vasoconstrictors) has shown that HSA significantly reduces the incidence of PICD and mortality [19].

Prevention of type-1 **HRS** associated with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP)

Despite infection resolution, 20–40% of patients with SBP develop type-1 HRS in relation to arterial vasodilation, acute impairment in cardiac function, and compensatory activation of the reninangiotensin and sympathetic nervous systems [20-23] (Table 2). Type 1 HRS also develops in cirrhotic patients with other type of bacterial infections although the prevalence is lower [24–26]. The reason why SBP is such a frequent precipitating event of type-1 HRS is multifactorial. First, an exaggerated inflammatory response to sepsis occurs in patients with cirrhosis and ascites with an increase in plasma levels of cytokines 20-fold greater than in individuals without cirrhosis [26]. This feature has also been observed in experimental animals in which doses of bacterial endotoxin that do not produce any change in systemic hemodynamics in healthy rats, induce arterial hypotension and increase the plasma levels of cytokines by 100-fold in rats with cirrhosis and ascites [27]. Second, the inflammatory response to bacterial infection persists for a longer duration in cirrhosis. Finally, patients with cirrhosis and ascites already have severe impairment in cardio-circulatory and renal function, which predispose these patients to further deterioration in organ function [28]. In support to this contention, patients with SBP who have increased serum creatinine concentration or dilutional hyponatremia prior to infection, and those with intense inflammatory response (high concentration of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, tumor-necrosis-factor alpha and interleukin-6 in plasma, and ascitic fluid) are at high risk of developing type-1 HRS [23,29,30]. If untreated, type-1 HRS in patients with SBP is associated with a mortality rate approaching 100% [31].

In 1999 we reported the use of HSA (1.5 g/kg b.wt. at infection diagnosis and 1 g/kg b.wt. at the third day) in SBP [31]. HSA prevented cardiovascular dysfunction and this was associated with a dramatic decrease in the prevalence of type 1 HRS and hospital mortality (10% in the HSA group and 30% in the control group). A recent meta-analysis has confirmed these findings [32].

Treatment of type-1 HR

The use of vasopressin analogs and HSA for the treatment of type-1 HRS was based on two features. First, arterial vasodilation in cirrhosis occurs in the splanchnic circulation and vasopressin analogs act preferentially in this area [28]. Second, studies using



Fig. 1. Paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction in patients not receiving HSA. Relationship to changes in systemic vascular resistance. (A) Plasma renin activity before and after therapeutic paracentesis in cirrhotic patients with ascites not receiving HSA. Plasma renin activity increased in most patients indicating the development of paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction [13]. (B) Relationship between changes in systemic vascular resistance and of plasma renin activity. An inverse relationship was observed indicating that circulatory dysfunction is related to arterial vasodilation [17].

Table 2. Effect of treatment with vasoconstrictors (ornipressin and terlipressin) and i.v. albumin in type-1 HRS (n = 15).*

Table 3. Cardiovascular hemodynamics in 8 patients developing HRS after spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.*

Baseline n = 15)	Day 7 (n = 9)	Day 14 (n = 7)
70 ± 8	77 ± 9	79 ± 12
15 ± 15	2 ± 3	1 ± 1
1257 ± 938	550 ± 410	316 ± 161
3±1	2 ± 1	1 ± 1
9 ± 1	<mark>25</mark> ± 2.5	<mark>41</mark> ± 1.5
	Baseline n = 15) 0 ± 8 5 ± 15 257 ± 938 5 ± 1 ± 1	Baseline Day 7 n = 15) (n = 9) 0 ± 8 77 ± 9 5 ± 15 2 ± 3 257 ± 938 550 ± 410 ± 1 2 ± 1 ± 1 25 ± 2.5

*Data obtained from [34,35].

MAP, mean arterial pressure; PRA, plasma renin activity; NE, plasma norepinephrine concentration.

head-out water immersion, a procedure that expands the central blood volume, and vasoconstrictors showed that improvement in renal function in cirrhosis only occurs when both procedures are applied simultaneously [33]. In our first study we used ornipressin and HSA [1 g/kg b.wt. on the first day followed by 20 to 40 g/ day] [34]. A remarkably improvement in circulatory function was observed with rapid suppression of the renin-angiotensin and sympathetic nervous systems, progressive normalization in serum creatinine and increase in renal perfusion and GFR (Table 3). Because treatment was associated with ischemic events in some patients, the study was repeated using i.v. terlipressin (1–2 mg/4–6 h) with identical results but no ischemic complications [35].

Many studies have been subsequently published on the use of terlipressin and albumin for HRS and their main conclusions are the following: (1) Terlipressin plus HSA reverses type-1 HRS (serum creatinine <1.5 mg/dl) in <u>40–65% of patients</u> [36–38]. In less than 20% of responders type-1 HRS recurs after discontinuation of treatment but it may reverse again following retreatment. (2) The rate of response may increase if terlipressin is given by continuous infusion [39]. (3) Predictors of response include low baseline serum creatinine (<5 mg/dl) and bilirubin (<10 mg/dl), and increase in mean arterial pressure (>5 mm/Hg) within the first 3 days of treatment [40-42]. (4) Reversal of HRS is associated with improvement in survival [43,44]. (5) Noradrenaline is as effective as terlipressin [45–47]. (6) Reversal of type 1 HRS is significantly lower if terlipressin is given without HSA [48]. (7) Type-1 HRS may reverse with HSA alone [49–51]. (8) Patients with ongoing bacterial infections should be treated as soon as type-1 HRS is diagnosed [52]. (9) Treatment is not indicated in type-2 HRS because HRS recurrence is the rule [53].

	At SBP diagnosis	Following SBP resolution	p value
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	1.3 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.4	<0.02
BUN (mg/dl)	37.4 ± 9.5	81.2 ± 25.2	<0.02
MAP (mmHg)	83 ± 7	73 ± 8	<0.02
PRA (ng/ml.h)	18 ± 11	28 ± 12	<0.02
NE (pg/ml)	797 ± 226	1290 ± 415	<0.02
SVR (dyn.s.cm⁻⁵)	1137 ± 220	1268 ± 320	n.s.
CO (L/min)	5.7 ± 0.9	4.6 ± 0.7	<0.02
RAP (mmHg)	3.0 ± 3.0	4.6 ± 2.7	n.s.
PCWP (mmHg)	5.7 ± 4.0	7.4 ± 2.6	n.s.
HR (bpm)	93 ± 13	87 ± 9	n.s.

*Obtained from [21].

Mean time elapsed between measurement was 6 days.

BUN, blood urea nitrogen; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PRA, plasma renin activity; NE, plasma norepinephrine concentration; SVR, systemic vascular resistance; CO, cardiac output; RAP, right atrial pressure; PCWP, pulmonary capillary wedged pressure; HR, heart rate.

Other potential indications for albumin in cirrhosis

There are four studies assessing long-term administration of HSA in cirrhosis with ascites. The rationale behind these investigations is that long-term improvement of circulatory function may prevent acute complications of cirrhosis, including recurrence of ascites, HRS, encephalopathy, and bacterial infections. The first investigation was a comparative study in 17 patients, 9 receiving between 184 to 558 g of HSA within 6 months [54]. All patients in the HSA group survived for more than 2 years. In the control group mortality rate was 30%. The second study was a randomized controlled trial (RCT) in 126 patients hospitalized for ascites [55]. Half of the patients received diuretics and half diuretics plus HSA [12.5 g/day during hospitalization, 25 g/week during the first year and 25 g/ 2 weeks during the second and third year]. Cumulative incidence of acute complications and hospital admission was significantly lower in patients receiving albumin. There were no significant differences in survival. Two other studies are still ongoing. The MUCH study (ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier: NTC00839358) is a RCT comparing standard therapy vs. standard therapy, HSA (40 g every 2 weeks) and midodrine (an oral α -adrenergic agonist) in 190 patients with ascites. The main end-points of the study are incidence of complications and survival. The ANSWER study (ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier: NTC01288794) is a RCT in 400 patients with ascites comparing standard therapy *vs.* standard therapy plus HSA (40 g twice weekly in the first two weeks and once weekly for one year). The main end points are mortality and incidence of refractory ascites.

The use of HSA for the management of non-SBP bacterial infections is an increasing indication in Europe (Table 1). There is data that these patients also develop type-1 HRS [25,22]. On the other hand a recent RCT in 110 patients (INFECIR-1 Study) showed that HSA [1.5 g/kg b.wt. at infection diagnosis and 1 g/kg b.wt. at the third day] has beneficial effects on renal and circulatory function [56]. A trend for better prognosis in patients receiving HAS was also observed. A second RCT (INFECIR-2 study, ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier: NTC02034279) has been started by the EASL Chronic Liver Failure Consortium; 512 patients with non SBP bacterial infections and high risk of type-1 HRS and death will be included.

Key Points 1

- HSA is an extracellular hearth-shaped three-domain protein of intermediate size (6.8×10⁴ g/mol) that is synthesized in the liver and is the most abundant protein in plasma. It is a protein of remarkable solubility (50 mg/ml) owing to its high content (30%) in charged residues (39 Asp, 60 Glu, 58 Lys, 23 Arg) and performs a wide range of functions ranging from regulating oncotic pressure to scavenging reactive oxygen species. Both the amount and quality of HSA are important for health
- The structure of HSA, solved at high resolution in 1992, is stabilized by a remarkably large (17) number of intra-domain disulfide bonds that render the individual domains, that are of similar size and structure, very stable. Domains I and III, which are lobes in the structure, can fold autonomously but domain II, which is the core of the structure of HSA, cannot. This structural coupling provides a framework for the allosteric regulation of HSA, whereby the binding of ligands or chemical modifications in one domain generates a signal that can propagate to a different domain
- At the molecular level the most striking feature of HSA is its ability to bind to a wide range of ligands, which is intimately linked with one of its physiological roles, to transport relatively hydrophobic species that would otherwise not be soluble in plasma. This includes small molecules such as hormones, fatty acids, bilirubin and heme as well as metals such as Cu, Fe and Hg but also more complex substances such as lipopolysaccharide. In addition to endogenous ligands HSA can also bind to exogenous ligands such as drugs, greatly contributing to their bioavailability
- HSA can be modified irreversibly by non-enzymatic glycosylation of Lys side chains, mainly Lys⁵²⁵, as well as by oxidation, mainly of the only free Cys residue in the protein, Cys³⁴. The former occurs in diabetic patients whereas the latter is frequent in acute on chronic liver failure. Although the mechanisms by which these chemical modifications alter the structural properties of HSA are not known there is substantial evidence that they alter the physiological functions of the protein, presumably by collective changes in its structure

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY

Chemistry and physiology of HSA

Molecular structure and chemical properties

HSA is a multi-domain protein stabilized by 17 disulfide bonds that confer a remarkable stability to its structure [57]. In addition to the 34 cysteine residues involved in disulfide bonds HSA also possesses a free cysteine (Cys-34) that is relatively solventexposed (Fig. 2) and plays an important role in its antioxidant activity [58,59]. The structure of HSA at low resolution (6 Å) was first solved in 1989 [60] and a high resolution atomic structure (2.8 Å) was reported in 1992 [57]. These revealed this protein to be composed of three domains of similar size (residues 1–197, 198–381, and 381–585) arranged in a heart shape and formed by eight α -helices. Owing to their structural similarity the domains can be divided in sub-domains (IA, IB, IIA, IIB, IIIA, and IIIB) that have functional relevance as they define the binding sites of many HSA ligands (Fig. 2) [61].

Due to the presence of a substantial number of intra-domain disulfide bonds the domains of HSA have significant stability. Domains I and III are particularly stable and a construct containing domains I and II [1–381] as well as a construct containing domains II and III [198–585] fold autonomously by adopting the same structure that they have in the full-length protein [62,63]. In spite of its large size and owing to its remarkable stability and its relatively simple structure, where residues that are connected by disulfides or in contact are not particularly distant in sequence, HSA can be refolded from the fully reduced state unassisted by molecular chaperones [64,65]. This greatly facilitates its synthesis in the laboratory and the study of its structural and biophysical properties [62].

Again owing to its relatively simple structure and modular nature HSA appears to be a very flexible protein. According to



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of human serum albumin. Crystal structure (pdb code 1e7i) of HSA with an indication of the its subdomains (IA, IB, IIA, IIB, IIIA an IIIB), of the N and C termini, of Sudlow's sites I and II and of the seven LCFA binding sites (FA1 to FA7). The heavy atoms of the side chain of residue Cys-34 are shown as purple spheres. LCFA binding sites also bind prostaglandins. Cys-34 binds ROS and RNS, including NO [61].

various experimental approaches the heart shape that the protein adopts in the crystal is likely to be only one in the range of interdomain orientations that HSA samples show in solution. Experiments monitoring the rate of exchange between exchangeable hydrogens and water have shown these to be particularly labile, indicating that in addition to inter-domain motions HSA undergoes local unfolding events where the hydrogen bonds that stabilize its secondary structure are transiently disrupted [66].

Many of the physiological functions of HSA rely on its ability to reversibly bind to an extremely wide range of ligands to increase their solubility in plasma, to transport them to specific tissues or organs or to dispose of them when they are toxic. The structures of HSA bound to various of these substrates have been determined by X-ray crystallography, revealing that on many occasions the protein must experience substantial structural changes to accommodate the ligand and therefore strongly suggesting a functional role for its high flexibility. Although many binding sites for ligands have been reported in HSA the most important sites are commonly known as Sudlow's sites I and II (Fig. 2) which are found in subdomains IIA and IIIA, respectively.

Long chain fatty acids (LCFAs) are intermediates in lipid metabolism that circulate in plasma both in soluble form as well as associated with HSA. According to crystallographic studies they can bind up to seven sites in HSA (FA1 to FA7) including both Sudlow's sites, with site I corresponding to FA7 and site II corresponding to FA3 and FA4 [67]. It is however likely that many of these sites are secondary and therefore do not play an important role in LCFA binding in physiological conditions, where it is thought that up to two LCFA molecules, bound to Sudlow's site I, are bound to HSA at a given time.

Drugs such as warfarin, indomethacin, ibuprofen, diazepam are another heavily studied class of HSA ligands. Similarly to what is the case for LCFAs these molecules can bind to various sites in HSA and, although they tend to mainly interact with Sudlow's sites I and II, this can vary depending on the relative concentration of competing ligands and on the allosteric effects discussed below [68]. That these and other drugs can compete for HSA binding sites for their transport in plasma emphasizes the importance of understanding the physicochemical basis of their interaction with HSA [69].

Given that HSA is a flexible protein that can bind to various substrates in different sites there have been suggestions that it is an allosteric protein, i.e., that its affinity for specific ligands can depend on whether a second ligand is occupying a different binding site. Specific examples of such a behavior, among others, include an allosteric coupling between the binding sites of heme that binds to FA1 in sub-domain IB, and the drug warfarin, that binds to Sudlow's site I [70]. The structural, dynamical, and thermodynamic coupling between domains occurring in HSA is highly suggestive of this possibility as these are sufficient conditions to give rise to allosteric binding [71].

Given its high stability HSA has a long half-life in plasma, of about 19 days [2]. During this relatively long time its chemical structure can be altered by oxidation as well as by non-enzymatic glycosylation, among other irreversible modifications. The accumulation of chemically altered HSA has been linked to specific pathologies such as cirrhosis [72] and diabetes [73]. The highly flexible nature of this protein and the growing body of evidence, suggesting that the various binding sites that contribute to HSA function are strongly coupled, suggests that such chemical alterations can have functional consequences. In this scenario

Key Points 2

- The total extracellular pool of albumin of a 70 kg b.wt. healthy man is <u>360 g</u> which represents 3.2 x 10²¹ molecules of albumin. The weight of the extracellular pool of albumin is similar to the total liver protein weight. <u>66</u>% of the extracellular albumin is in the interstitial space and <u>33</u>% in the intravascular space. The transfer of albumin from the intravascular to the interstitial space (transcapillary escape rate of albumin) is <u>4-5% per hour</u> and approximately the same percentage is returned to the intravascular compartment throughout the <u>lymphatic</u> system; <u>80</u>% of the extravascular pool is in the muscle and <u>skin</u>
- Albumin is synthesized only by the hepatocytes and it is not stored, rather the protein is rapidly secreted (synthesis occurs within 2-3 minutes and secretion within 25 minutes). Each hepatocyte synthesizes approximately 15 x 10⁶ albumin molecules per minute which represents a total hepatic synthesis of 13-14
 g.(3.5% of the total albumin pool) per day of newly synthesized albumin entering the intravascular space. An equivalent mass of albumin is catabolized. The half life of albumin is 19 days. An average molecule, therefore, leaves the circulation every 23 h, which means approximately 28 trips from and back to the circulation during its lifetime, and makes around 15,000 trips around the circulation
- The plasma concentration of albumin (35-45 g/L) and the total albumin pool are regulated by changes in albumin gene transcription and in albumin catabolism. The most important physiological factor regulating synthesis is the plasma concentration of albumin. Hypoalbuminemia stimulates albumin synthesis. Conversely, albumin infusion depresses albumin synthesis. The administration of other macromolecules (dextrans) has similar effects pointed to the colloid osmotic pressure as the function on which this regulation is based. Albumin catabolism is regulated by the total albumin pool. A decrease in albumin pool leads to a reduced albumin catabolism. Changes in albumin synthesis are less pronounced than changes in catabolism

In patients with decompensated cirrhosis, albumin metabolism is much more complex than in healthy subjects, since there are additional mechanisms affecting synthesis and catabolism. For example, systemic inflammation is associated to a marked fall in albumin synthesis, a process that is mediated by cytokines (IL-6 and TNF α). On the other hand, structural changes of the albumin molecule related to specific binding of ligands (i.e., glucose, reactive oxygen or nitrogen species) are associated to rapid degradation and catabolism of the modified molecules. Since these processes are present in patients with decompensated cirrhosis or ACLF, albumin replacement requires high doses in these patients. In fact, the amount of albumin given to a patient of 70 kg b.wt. with SBP (1.5 g/kg b.wt. at infection diagnosis and 1 g/kg b.wt. at the third day) represents 48.6% of the total extracellular pool of albumin

the oxidation or glycosylation of specific positions in the surface of HSA would cause structural changes that could propagate to different regions of structure either by concerted conformational changes or through local changes in the stability known to give rise to long range effects in simpler systems.

The best studied irreversible alteration of the structure of HSA is the oxidation by reactive oxygen species of Cys-34 from mercapto-albumin, which has the native thiol group, to non-mercapto-albumin, where the Cys side chain is involved in a disulfide bond with either glutathion or another HSA molecule [58,59]. The reaction can proceed further to the sulfinic and sulfonic acid derivatives of the side chain. An obvious consequence of this reaction is a decrease in the anti-oxidant properties of HSA, that rely on the thiol form of Cys-34, but there is also evidence that it can indirectly lead to decreases in other functions of HAS, such as its ability to bind drugs in Sudlow's sites [72,74].

Physiology

Synthesis and metabolism

Albumin is synthesized by the liver and rapidly released to the intravascular compartment [2]. The total amount of albumin in humans is approximately 360 g, 120 being in the intravascular and 240 in the extravascular compartment. Intravascular albumin is constantly being exchanged (4-5%/h) through the endothelium with the extravascular pool. In organs having sinusoids or capillaries with fenestrated endothelium albumin can pass through the large capillary gaps. In the remaining capillaries with continuous endothelium, albumin is transported by an active transcytotic mechanism mediated by the gp60 receptor (albondin) [75-78]. There is a second group of receptors (gp18 and gp30) expressed in many tissues that governs degradation of albumin [78-81]. These surface cell receptors shows 1000-fold higher affinity for chemically modified albumin (i.e., oxidized albumin). Once internalized, this modified albumin is degraded. Finally, a third type of albumin receptor (FcRn) that rescues albumin from lysosomal degradation contributes to extend the albumin half life [78,82-84].

Physiology

The effects of HSA rely in the following features: an appropriated molecular mass and negative charge generate high oncotic pressure; a high solubility in water and density of binding sites make the protein an ideal vehicle to transport water-insoluble substances; the effects of active exogenous and endogenous substances are modulated following binding to HSA; the high extracellular concentration of HSA magnified its biological actions.

Among the substances transported, fatty acids stand up. HSA is the main fatty acid binding protein in the extracellular space. It carries fatty acids from the intestines to the liver and from the liver to muscle and to and from adipose tissues [85,86]. Transportation of unconjugated bilirubin from the spleen or bone marrow to the liver is the best example of albumin transportation of a water insoluble endogenous metabolite to its elimination site [87]. Other endogenous substances that bind to albumin are the eicosanoids, bile acids, steroids, hematin, tiroxin, vitamin D, and folate [2,88]. Among the exogenous molecules transported by albumin there is a wide variety of drugs [2,69,89]. In addition to drug solubility, albumin binding decreases toxicity and increases drug half-life [69]. Drug binding to HSA can be affected

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY

by other drugs that compete with specific sites in the molecule. As a consequence, an increase in the free fraction may lead to changes in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Drugalbumin binding may be also altered in diseased states, including liver and renal diseases [58,90,91], due to the increase in endogenous substances that compete for binding sites, but pharmacokinetic consequences of this does rarely cause important effects [92,93].

An outstanding feature of HSA is its capacity to bind proinflammatory substances and mediators of inflammation. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), lipoteichoic acid, and peptidoglycan are surface components of gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria that activate the innate immune system through Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and induce inflammation. HSA binds these molecules by electrostatic and hydrofobic forces [94–97]. Cell activation by LPS requires an ordered interaction with several host proteins including LPS-binding protein, CD14 and co-receptor MD-2. Recent studies showed that albumin may also participate in LPS presentation to TLR4 facilitating disaggregation of LPS-Lipid A polymers and donation of monomers to CD14 [98–100]. This suggests that albumin promotes the inflammatory response. In contrast, LPS-albumin complexes are much less effective in immune activation than endotoxin-CD14 complexes [100]. Giving the abundance of albumin and the lower relative cell activation capacity of the LPS-albumin complex, albumin could play a role in moderating the inflammatory response to bacterial infections. Therefore, albumin could play a dual role either stimulating or moderating immune cells activation depending on pathophysiological conditions [98,100].

Systemic inflammatory response can be triggered by antigens derived from bacteria (Pathogens-Associated Molecular Patterns, PAMPs) or by intrinsic factors released into the circulation as a result of trauma or cell injury (Damaged Associated Molecular Patterns, DAMPs). Specialized receptors of the innate immune system recognized these factors and release inflammatory mediators among which cytokines, and reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen species (RNS) are the most important [101,102]. Generation of ROS and RNS (superoxide, nitric oxide, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radical, peroxynitrite, hypochlorous acid, nitrogen dioxide radical, hydroperoxide radical, and peroxyl radical) by neutrophils, macrophages, and endothelial cells, which represent the innate immune system, is the first line of defense against sepsis [103–105]. The aim of the modification of the redox state in plasma and extracellular fluid is to destroy the bacteria by energy depletion and oxidative damage of lipids, proteins, and DNA. This extracellular oxidative "burst" however may be transferred into mitochondria leading to cell dysfunction and organ failure [103]. Extracellular fluid and the mitochondria dispose of antioxidant systems to prevent excessive oxidative damage [103–105]. HSA is the main extracellular defense against oxidative stress. It provides 80% of extracellular thiols, which are potent scavengers of ROS and RNS [60,106,107]. The glutathione system is the most abundant mitochondrial antioxidant. Intracellular albumin catabolism represents a source of sulfur-containing amino acids for the synthesis of glutathione. Albumin therefore modulates the intracellular levels of this antioxidant system [108].

Two mechanisms account for the antioxidant effect of HSA. The first is related to its capacity to bind and inactivate free metals such as cooper and iron, which catalyse the formation of aggressive ROS [109,110]. HSA-bound bilirubin inhibits lipid peroxidation and represents an indirect antioxidant effect [111]. The

second and most important mechanism is related to the capacity of HSA to trap free radicals. Two-thirds of the HSA molecules exist in a reduced form with a free thiol group in the Cys-34 residue. Working as a free radical scavenger, the Cys-34 residue is able to trap multiple ROS and RNS [60,107]. As indicated, in physiological conditions the Cys-34 residue exists in two different forms, namely human-mercapto-albumin (HMA; reduced) in which the thiol group is in the free state and human non-mercapto albumin (NMA; oxidized) [60,107,112]. In this later form the thiol group may be present as a disulfide that is formed reversibly with Cys or glutathione or as sulfinic or sulfonic acid that is formed irreversibly. Irreversible albumin oxidation is associated with a loss of function and rapid degradation. Cys-34 is a good indicator for evaluating oxidative stress in the systemic circulation [60,107]. HSA contains six methionine residues which can also be oxidized [112,113].

Under nitrosative stress by nitric oxide (NO) or other nitrosylating agents, mercaptalbumin can be converted in nitroso-HSA. This is a reversible reaction, so that NO can be transferred to other molecules [114,115]. HSA also binds arachidonic acid, prostaglandins, thomboxanes, and leukotrienes [116–120]. HSA has two-sided effects on eicosanoids. In some cases the protein, which has intrinsic enzymatic activity, catalyzes their synthesis or degradation. In others (i.e., PGI2, thromboxanes, and leukotrienes) it stabilizes the molecule by delaying hydrolysis. HSA, therefore, functions as storage, carrier, and supplier of NO and eicosanoids to target sites to initiate physiological actions (vasodilation and inhibition of platelet aggregation) and as protector agents to diminish harmful biological effects if produced in too large amounts. By these mechanisms HSA modulates endothelial function and inflammation.

Decompensated cirrhosis is associated with systemic inflammation

Three major features characterize decompensated cirrhosis. The first is multi-organ dysfunction. The second is a systemic inflammatory reaction with increased plasma and ascitic fluid concentration of cytokines and C-reactive protein (CRP) [121–129]. Finally, the third is an increased systemic oxidative stress with a high levels of oxidized HSA and of other markers of oxidative stress [58,72]. Systemic inflammation, oxidative stress, and organ dysfunction are moderate in patients with decompensated cirrhosis and severe in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) [1,130] (Fig. 3). Translocation of bacterial products (i.e., lipopolysaccharide, bacterial DNA) or of viable organisms from the intestinal lumen to the circulation due to quantitative and qualitative changes in gut microbiota, impairment in intestinal mucosal barrier, increased epithelial permeability, and impaired intestinal immunity, are important mechanisms of systemic inflammation in cirrhosis [1,23,26,130,131]. However, systemic inflammation may also occur in response to acute liver injury (i.e., acute alcoholic hepatitis) or other mechanisms [1].

Closed interactions exist between bacterial translocation, local inflammation, and cardiovascular dysfunction in decompensated cirrhosis (Fig. 3). Activation of the intestinal immune system by bacterial translocation causes local release of NO and other vasodilators leading to the characteristic hyperdynamic circulation of cirrhosis and in more advanced stages, effective hypovolemia, activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), sympathetic nervous systems (SNS) and antidiuretic hormone (ADH), and ascites formation [28,130–134]. On the other hand, the activated sympathetic nervous system induces changes in the gut microbiota and impairs intestinal immunity, thus producing a vicious circle promoting the progression cardiovascular dysfunction [131]. A slow but progressive impairment of left ventricular function and cardiac output also develops in decompensated cirrhosis and contributes to circulatory dysfunction [135,136]. Recent data suggest that impairment in cardiac function in experimental cirrhosis is related to inflammation, tumor-necrosis factor α (TNF α)-related activation of inducible NO-synthase and oxidative stress in the cardiac tissue [137].

ACLF is characterized by acute development of organ failure(s) (liver, renal, brain, coagulation, circulation, respiration) in patients with compensated or decompensated cirrhosis [1]. ACLF develops in the setting of severe systemic inflammatory reaction due to bacterial infection, acute alcoholic hepatitis or other precipitating events [1,23]. The number of organ failures correlates directly with the degree of systemic inflammation (Fig. 4) [1]. Therefore, whereas systemic inflammation is chronic and moderate in decompensated cirrhosis, it is acute and severe in ACLF (Fig. 4). The mechanism of organ failure in ACLF is complex (Fig. 3). Acute impairment in cardiovascular function leading to intense organ hypoperfusion is a major feature [21]. However recent studies in sepsis suggest that extension of systemic inflammation to organs leading to abnormal distribution of blood flow within the microcirculation and cell dysfunction related to mitochondrial oxidative stress are also important mechanisms [138,139].

Bacterial infection is a **frequent precipitating** event of hepatic encephalopathy. Peripheral inflammation may affect cerebral function through afferent vagal nerves activated by cytokines at the site of inflammation, by lipopolysaccharide or cytokines that interact to brain in areas lacking the blood-brain-barrier or by diffusion to the brain of endothelial mediators [140–144]. Activation of microglia and synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the brain have also been demonstrated in experimental models of liver failure [141]. Circulatory dysfunction in patients with systemic inflammation reduces brain perfusion [145]. Finally, systemic inflammation increases the inhibitory effect of ammonia in brain function [146,147]. There are marked differences in hepatic encephalopathy between patients with decompensated cirrhosis and patients with ACLF [148,149]. In the first group hepatic encephalopathy is of low grade and diuretics the most frequent precipitating event. In contrast, hepatic encephalopathy in ACLF is severe and bacterial infections or acute liver injury the main precipitating factor. Organ dysfunction in cirrhosis therefore varies according to the mechanism and degree of systemic inflammation.

HSA may have therapeutic effects unrelated to volume expansion

Considering the potential role of systemic inflammation in cirrhosis and the effect of HSA modulating the innate immune response and oxidative stress, it is rational to suggest that some effects of HSA (i.e., prevention and treatment of type-1 HRS) might be related to these features. Indeed, there are many steps in the process of acute decompensation of cirrhosis and ACLF that could be influenced by HSA (Fig. 3). There are three studies supporting this contention.

DECOMPENSATED CIRRHOSIS ACUTE-ON-CHRONIC LIVER FAILURE Acute release of PAMPs (i.e., sepsis) or DAMPS Impairment of intestinal mucosal barrier and local immunity (i.e., acute-on-chronic liver injury) in compensated or decompensated cirrhosis ¥ Bacterial translocation Excessive to submucosa inflammatory reaction Local inflammation. Release of vaso-Severe acute systemic active mediators (NO, PGs, BDK) inflammation and oxidative stress Moderate chronic Splanchnic svstemic inflammatio arterial vasodilation Arterial vasodilation, Extension of inflammation and severe left ventricular dysfunction; oxidative stress to organs; decrease in cardiac output decreased organ tolerance Increased circulating cytokines Worsening of Circulatory and systemic oxidative stress bacterial translocation dysfunction Stimulation of Extension of Cell Organ RAS, SNS, ADH hypoperfusion dysfunction flammation to organ ACUTE DECOMPENSATION ACUTE ORGAN AND ORGAN DYSFUNCTION FAILURE **ACUTE-ON-CHRONIC LIVER** Ascites **Renal dysfunction** FAILURE Type-2 hepatorenal syndrome Liver failure **Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy** Encephalopathy **Renal failure** Adrenal dysfunction Cerebral failure **Circulatory failure Respiratory failure Coagulation failure**

Ē





Fig. 4. Relationship between systemic inflammation and ACLF grade. Leukocyte count and C-reactive protein in patients with decompensated cirrhosis (no ACLF) and in patients with ACLF-1 (renal failure or single non renal organ failure associated with renal dysfunction or moderate hepatic encephalopathy; for further explanation see reference [1], ACLF-2 [2] organ failures) and ACLF-3 [3] or more organ failures. Normal values of C-reactive protein are below 5 mg/L. **p* <0.05; ***p* <0.001 with respect to no ACLF. Results obtained from the CANONIC data-base [1].

Key Points 3

- HSA is one of the most frequent treatments in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Prevention of paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction, prevention of type-1 HRS associated to SBP and treatment of type-1 hepatorenal syndrome are the main indications. In patients with these indications HSA improves survival
- The effects of HSA rely on the following features: its relatively large molecular mass and the negative charge of the molecule generate high oncotic pressure; a high solubility in water and density of binding sites make the protein an ideal vehicle to transport water-insoluble substances; the effects of exogenous and endogenous substances are modulated following binding to HSA; the high extracellular concentration of HSA magnifies its biological actions
- Decompensated cirrhosis is associated to moderate and chronic systemic inflammation, which plays an important role in the pathogenesis of acute decompensation and organ/system dysfunction. Systemic inflammation is related to translocation of bacterial products from the intestinal lumen to the submucosal space and the systemic circulation
- In contrast, systemic inflammation in patients with ACLF, a syndrome characterized by decompensated cirrhosis and organ failure(s), is <u>acute</u>, <u>severe</u> and frequently related to <u>spontaneous</u> <u>bacterial</u> infections (spontaneous <u>bacteremia</u> and <u>SBP</u>) due to translocation of <u>viable bacteria</u>. Systemic inflammation in ACLF may be also due to other types of infection and acute liver injury (i.e., acute alcoholic hepatitis)
- The therapeutic action of HSA in cirrhosis is probably related not only to expansion of the plasma volume but also to a modulation of systemic and organ inflammation

Two studies compared the systemic hemodynamic changes in uncomplicated patients with SBP treated with albumin or hydroxyethyl starch [150,151]. Circulatory function only improved in patients receiving albumin. An increase in left ventricular function, cardiac output, and peripheral vascular resistance was observed, indicating a simultaneous effect of HSA in the heart and in the peripheral circulation. An effect of HSA modulating the cardiac and endothelial effect NO was proposed to explain the different circulatory response on the basis of distinct changes in the plasma levels of NO metabolites and von Willebrand Factor between groups [152–154].

The third investigation was performed in cirrhotic rats with ascites [135]. Plasma volume expansion with HSA but not with hydroxyethyl starch improved left ventricular function *ex vivo*. This effect was related to a normalization of activated protein expression of TNF α and inducible NO synthase, MAD(P)H-oxidase activity and nuclear translocation of NF- κ B in cardiac tissue, indicating a decrease of heart inflammation and oxidative stress.

Conflict of interest

VA has a grant from Grifols. RG and XS declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript. The EASL Chronic Liver Failure Consortium has an unrestricted grant from Grifols.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Esther Koplowitz Foundation for its support.

References

- Moreau R, Jalan R, Ginès P, Pavesi M, Angeli P, Cordoba J, et al. Acute-onchronic liver failure is a distinct syndrome that develops in patients with acute decompensation of cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2013;144:1426–1437.
- [2] Peters Jr T. All about albumin. Biochemistry and medical applications. Inc San Diego: Academic Press; 1966.
- [3] Thorn GW, Armstrong Jr SH, Davenport VD. Chemical, clinical, and immunological studies on the products of human plasma fractionation. XXXI. The use of salt-poor concentrated human serum albumin solution in the treatment of hepatic cirrhosis. J Clin Invest 1946;25:304–323.
- [4] Kunkel HG, Labby DH, Ahrens EH, Shank RE, Hoagland CL. The use of concentrated human serum albumin in the treatment of cirrhosis of the liver. J Clin Invest 1948;27:305–319.
- [5] Faloon WW, Eckhardt RD, Lynch Murphy T, Cooper AM, Davidson CS. An evaluation of human serum albumin in the treatment of cirrhosis of the liver. J Clin Invest 1949;28:583–594.
- [6] Hecker R, Sherlock S. Electrolyte and circulatory changes in terminal liver failure. Lancet 1956;271:1121–1125.
- [7] Traverso H, Vesin P, Combrisson A, Besson P, Cattan R. Intravenous albumin loading in ascitic cirrhosis. Biological study. Sem Hop 1964;40:17–20.
- [8] Wapnick S, Grosberg S, Kinney M, Azzara V, LeVeen HH. Renal failure in ascites secondary to hepatic, renal, and pancreatic disease. Treatment with a LeVeen peritoneovenous shunt. Arch Surg 1978;113:581–585.
- [9] Ginès P, Arroyo V, Quintero E, Planas R, Bory F, Cabrera J, et al. Comparison of paracentesis and diuretics in the treatment of cirrhotics with tense ascites. Results of a randomized study. Gastroenterology 1987;93:234–241.
- [10] Titó L, Ginès P, Arroyo V, Planas R, Panés J, Rimola A, et al. Total paracentesis associated with intravenous albumin management of patients with cirrhosis and ascites. Gastroenterology 1990;98:146–151.
- [11] Arroyo V, Ginès A, Saló J. A European survey on the treatment of ascites in cirrhosis. J Hepatol 1994;21:667–672.
- [12] Ginès P, Arroyo V, Vargas V, Planas R, Casafont F, Panés J, et al. Paracentesis with intravenous infusion of albumin as compared with peritoneovenous shunting in cirrhosis with refractory ascites. N Engl J Med 1991;325: 829–835.

- [13] Ginès P, Titó L, Arroyo V, Planas R, Panés J, Viver J, et al. Randomized comparative study of therapeutic paracentesis with and without intravenous albumin in cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 1988;94:1493–1502.
- [14] Planas R, Ginès P, Arroyo V, Llach J, Panés J, Vargas V, et al. Dextran-70 vs. albumin as plasma expanders in cirrhotic patients with tense ascites treated with total paracentesis. Results of a randomized study. Gastroenterology 1990;99:1736–1744.
- [15] Ginès A, Fernández-Esparrach G, Monescillo A, Vila C, Domènech E, Abecasis R, et al. Randomized trial comparing albumin, dextran 70, and polygeline in cirrhotic patients with ascites treated by paracentesis. Gastroenterology 1996;111:1002–1010.
- [16] Saló J, Ginès A, Ginès P, Piera C, Jiménez W, Guevara M, et al. Effect of therapeutic paracentesis on plasma volume and transvascular escape rate of albumin in patients with cirrhosis. J Hepatol 1997;27:645–653.
- [17] Ruiz-del-Arbol L, Monescillo A, Jimenéz W, Garcia-Plaza A, Arroyo V, Rodés J. Paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction: mechanism and effect on hepatic hemodynamics in cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 1997;113:579–586.
- [18] Vila MC, Solà R, Molina L, Andreu M, Coll S, Gana J, et al. Hemodynamic changes in patients developing effective hypovolemia after total paracentesis. J Hepatol 1998;28:639–645.
- [19] Bernardi M, Caraceni P, Navickis RJ, Wilkes MM. Albumin infusion in patients undergoing large-volume paracentesis: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Hepatology 2012;55:1172–1181.
- [20] Follo A, Llovet JM, Navasa M, Planas R, Forns X, Francitorra A, et al. Renal impairment after spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in cirrhosis: incidence, clinical course, predictive factors and prognosis. Hepatology 1994;20: 1495–1501.
- [21] Ruiz-del-Arbol L, Urman J, Fernández J, González M, Navasa M, Monescillo A, et al. Systemic, renal, and hepatic hemodynamic derangement in cirrhotic patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Hepatology 2003;38:1210–1218.
- [22] Fasolato S, Angeli P, Dallagnese L, Maresio G, Zola E, Mazza E, et al. Renal failure and bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis: epidemiology and clinical features. Hepatology 2007;45:223–229.
- [23] Navasa M, Follo A, Filella X, Jiménez W, Francitorra A, Planas R, et al. Tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-6 in spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in cirrhosis: relationship with the development of renal impairment and mortality. Hepatology 1998;27:1227–1232.
- [24] Terra C, Guevara M, Torre A, Gilabert R, Fernández J, Martín-Llahí M, et al. Renal failure in patients with cirrhosis and sepsis unrelated to spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: value of MELD score. Gastroenterology 2005;129: 1944–1953.
- [25] Pereira G, Guevara M, Fagundes C, Solá E, Rodríguez E, Fernández J, et al. Renal failure and hyponatremia in patients with cirrhosis and skin and soft tissue infection. A retrospective study. J Hepatol 2012;56:1040–1046.
- [26] Byl B, Roucloux I, Crusiaux A, Dupont E, Devière J. Tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin 6 plasma levels in infected cirrhotic patients. Gastroenterology 1993;104:1492–1497.
- [27] Ramírez MJ, Ibáñez A, Navasa M, Casals E, Morales-Ruiz M, Jiménez W, et al. High-density lipoproteins reduce the effect of endotoxin on cytokine production and systemic hemodynamics in cirrhotic rats with ascites. J Hepatol 2004;40:424–430.
- [28] Schrier RW, Arroyo V, Bernardi M, Epstein M, Henriksen JH, Rodés J. Peripheral arterial vasodilation hypothesis: a proposal for the initiation of renal sodium and water retention in cirrhosis. Hepatology 1988;8:1151–1157.
- [29] Ginès A, Escorsell A, Ginès P, Saló J, Jiménez W, Inglada L, et al. Incidence, predictive factors, and prognosis of the hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis with ascites. Gastroenterology 1993;105:229–236.
- [30] Fernández J, Navasa M, Planas R, Montoliu S, Monfort D, Soriano G, et al. Primary prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis delays hepatorenal syndrome and improves survival in cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2007;133:818–824.
- [31] Sort P, Navasa M, Arroyo V, Aldeguer X, Planas R, Ruiz-del-Arbol L, et al. Effect of intravenous albumin on renal impairment and mortality in patients with cirrhosis and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. N Engl J Med 1999;341:403–409.
- [32] Salerno F, Navickis RJ, Wilkes MM. Albumin infusion improves outcomes of patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013;11:123–130.
- [33] Shapiro MD, Nicholls KM, Groves BM, Kluge R, Chung HM, Bichet DG, et al. Interrelationship between cardiac output and vascular resistance as determinants of effective arterial blood volume in cirrhotic patients. Kidney Int 1985;28:206–211.
- [34] Guevara M, Ginès P, Fernández-Esparrach G, Sort P, Salmerón JM, Jiménez W, et al. Reversibility of hepatorenal syndrome by prolonged administra-

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY

tion of ornipressin and plasma volume expansion. Hepatology 1998;27:35-41.

- [35] Uriz J, Ginès P, Cárdenas A, Sort P, Jiménez W, Salmerón JM, et al. Terlipressin plus albumin infusion: an effective and safe therapy of hepatorenal syndrome. J Hepatol 2000;33:43–48.
- [36] Ginès P, Cárdenas A, Arroyo V, Rodés J. Management of cirrhosis and ascites. N Engl J Med 2004;350:1646–1654.
- [37] Garcia-Tsao G, Lim JK. Members of Veterans Affairs Hepatitis C Resource Center Program. Management and treatment of patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension: recommendations from the Department of Veterans Affairs Hepatitis C Resource Center Program and the National Hepatitis C Program. Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104:1802–1829.
- [38] Ginès P, Angeli P, Lenz K, Møller S, Moore K, Moreau R, et al. EASL clinical practice guidelines on the management of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2010;53:397–417.
- [39] Angeli P, Fasolato S, Cavallin M, Trotta E, Maresio G, Callegaro A, et al. Terlipressin given as continuous intravenous infusion vs. terlipressin given as intravenous boluses in the treatment of type 1 hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) in patients with cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2009;50:S73.
- [40] Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Garcia-Tsao G, Blei A, Carl D, Bexon AS, et al. Predictors of response to terlipressin plus albumin in hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) type 1: relationship of serum creatinine to hemodynamics. J Hepatol 2011;55:315–321.
- [41] Velez JC, Nietert PJ. Therapeutic response to vasoconstrictors in hepatorenal syndrome parallels increase in mean arterial pressure: a pooled analysis of clinical trials. Am J Kidney Dis 2011;58:928–938.
- [42] Nazar A, Pereira GH, Guevara M, Martín-Llahi M, Pepin MN, Marinelli M, et al. Predictors of response to therapy with terlipressin and albumin in patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. Hepatology 2010;51:219–226.
- [43] Moreau R, Durand F, Poynard T, Duhamel C, Cervoni JP, Ichaï P, et al. Terlipressin in patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome: a retrospective multicenter study. Gastroenterology 2002;122:923–930.
- [44] Arroyo V, Fernández J. Management of hepatorenal syndrome in patients with cirrhosis. Nat Rev Nephrol 2011;7:517–526.
- [45] Alessandria C, Ottobrelli A, Debernardi-Venon W, Todros L, Cerenzia MT, Martini S, et al. Noradrenalin vs. terlipressin in patients with hepatorenal syndrome: a prospective, randomized, unblinded, pilot study. J Hepatol 2007;47:499–505.
- [46] Sharma P, Kumar A, Shrama BC, Sarin SK. An open label, pilot, randomized controlled trial of noradrenaline vs. terlipressin in the treatment of type 1 hepatorenal syndrome and predictors of response. Am J Gastroenterol 2008;103:1689–1697.
- [47] Singh V, Ghosh S, Singh B, Kumar P, Sharma N, Bhalla A, et al. Noradrenaline vs. terlipressin in the treatment of hepatorenal syndrome: a randomized study. J Hepatol 2012;56:1293–1298.
- [48] Ortega R, Ginès P, Uriz J, Cárdenas A, Calahorra B, De Las Heras D, et al. Terlipressin therapy with and without albumin for patients with hepatorenal syndrome: results of a prospective, nonrandomized study. Hepatology 2002;36:941–948.
- [49] Martín-Llahí M, Pépin MN, Guevara M, Díaz F, Torre A, Monescillo A, et al. Terlipressin and albumin vs. albumin in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome: a randomized study. Gastroenterology 2008;134: 1352–1359.
- [50] Sanyal AJ, Boyer T, Garcia-Tsao G, Regenstein F, Rossaro L, Appenrodt B, et al. A randomized, prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of terlipressin for type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. Gastroenterology 2008;134: 1360–1368.
- [51] Péron JM, Bureau C, Gonzalez L, Garcia-Ricard F, de Soyres O, Dupuis E, et al. Treatment of hepatorenal syndrome as defined by the international ascites club by albumin and furosemide infusion according to the central venous pressure: a prospective pilot study. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100: 2702–2707.
- [52] Rodríguez E, Elia C, Solà E, Barreto R, Graupera I, Andrealli A, et al. Terlipressin and albumin for type-1 hepatorenal syndrome associated with sepsis. J Hepatol 2014;60:955–961, [pii: S0168-8278[14]00055-5, Epub ahead of print].
- [53] Alessandria C, Venon WD, Marzano A, Barletti C, Fadda M, Rizzetto M. Renal failure in cirrhotic patients: role of terlipressin in clinical approach to hepatorenal syndrome type 2. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2002;14: 1363–1368.
- [54] Tarao K, Iwamura K. Influence of long-term administration of serum albumin on the prognosis of liver cirrhosis in man. Tokai J Exp Clin Med 1983;8:71–78.

- [55] Gentilini P, Casini-Raggi V, Di Fiore G, Romanelli RG, Buzzelli G, Pinzani M, et al. Albumin improves the response to diuretics in patients with cirrhosis and ascites: results of a randomized, controlled trial. J Hepatol 1999;30: 639–645.
- [56] Guevara M, Terra C, Nazar A, Solà E, Fernández J, Pavesi M, et al. Albumin for bacterial infections other than spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in cirrhosis. A randomized, controlled study. J Hepatol 2012;57:759–765.
- [57] He XM, Carter DC. Atomic structure and chemistry of human serum albumin. Nature 1992;358:209–215.
- [58] Oettl K, Birner-Gruenberger R, Spindelboeck W, Stueger HP, Dorn L, Stadlbauer V. Oxidative albumin damage in chronic liver failure: relation to albumin binding capacity, liver dysfunction and survival. J Hepatol 2013;59:978–983.
- [59] Anraku M, Chuang VTG, Maruyama T, Otagiri M. Redox properties of serum albumin. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5465–5472.
- [60] Carter DC, He XM, Munson SH, Twigg PD, Gernert KM, Broom MB, et al. Three-dimensional structure of human serum albumin. Science 1989;244: 1195–1198.
- [61] Bhattacharya AA, Grüne T, Curry S. Crystallographic analysis reveals common modes of binding of medium and long-chain fatty acids to HSA. J Mol Biol 2000;303:721–732.
- [62] Mao H, Gunasekera AH, Fesik SW. Expression, refolding, and isotopic labeling of human serum albumin domains for NMR spectroscopy. Protein Expr Purif 2000;20:492–499.
- [63] Milojevic J, Melacini G. Stoichiometry and affinity of the human serum albumin-Alzheimer's Aβ peptide interactions. Biophys J 2011;100:183–192.
- [64] Burton SJ, Quirk AV, Wood PC. Refolding human serum albumin at relatively high protein concentration. Eur J Biochem 1989;179:379–387.
- [65] Chen Z, He Y, Shi B, Yang D. Human serum albumin from recombinant DNA technology: challenges and strategies. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830: 5515–5525.
- [66] Hvidt A, Wallevik K. Conformational changes in human serum albumin as revealed by hydrogen-deuterium exchange studies. J Biol Chem 1972;247: 1530–1535.
- [67] Bhattacharya AA, Grüne T, Curry S. Crystallographic analysis reveals common modes of binding of medium and long-chain fatty acids to human serum albumin. J Mol Biol 2000;303:721–732.
- [68] Ghuman J, Zunszain PA, Petitpas I, Bhattacharya AA, Otagiri M, Curry S. Structural basis of the drug-binding specificity of human serum albumin. J Mol Biol 2005;353:38–52.
- [69] Yamasaki K, Chuang VTG, Maruyama T, Otagiri M. Albumin-drug interaction and its clinical implication. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5435–5443.
- [70] Fanali G, Pariani G, Ascenzi P, Fasano M. Allosteric and binding properties of Asp1-Glu382 truncated recombinant human serum albumin – an optical and NMR spectroscopic investigation. FEBS J 2009;276:2241–2250.
- [71] Hilser VJ, Thompson EB. Structural dynamics, intrinsic disorder, and allostery in nuclear receptors as transcription factors. J Biol Chem 2011;286:39675–39682.
- [72] Jalan R, Schnurr K, Mookerjee RP, Sen S, Cheshire L, Hodges S, et al. Alterations in the functional capacity of albumin in patients with decompensated cirrhosis is associated with increased mortality. Hepatology 2009;50:555–564.
- [73] Furusyo N, Hayashi J. Glycated albumin and diabetes mellitus. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5509–5514.
- [74] Kawakami A, Kubota K, Yamada N, Tagami U, Takehana K, Sonaka I, et al. Identification and characterization of oxidized human serum albumin. A slight structural change impairs its ligand-binding and antioxidant functions. FEBS J 2006;273:3346–3357.
- [75] Schnitzer JE, Oh P. Albondin-mediated capillary permeability to albumin. Differential role of receptors in endothelial transcytosis and endocytosis of native and modified albumins. J Biol Chem 1994;269:6072–6082.
- [76] Minshall RD, Tiruppathi Ch, Vogel SM, Malik AB. Vesicle formation and trafficking in endothelial cells and regulation of endothelial barrier function. Histochem Cell Biol 2002;117:105–112.
- [77] Malik AB. Targeting endothelial cell surface receptors: novel mechanisms of microvascular endothelial barrier transport. J Med Sci 2009;2:13–17.
- [78] Sleep D, Cameron J, Evans LR. Albumin as a versatile platform for drug halflife extension. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5526–5534.
- [79] Ghinea N, Fixman A, Alexandru D, Popov D, Hasu M, Ghitescu L. Identification of albumin-binding proteins in capillary endothelial cells. J Cell Biol 1988;107:231–239.
- [80] Schnitzer JE, Sung A, Horvat R, Bravo J. Preferential interaction of albuminbinding proteins, gp30 and gp18, with conformationally modified albumins. Presence in many cells and tissues with a possible role in catabolism. J Biol Chem 1992;267:24544–24553.

- [81] Schnitzer JE, Bravo J. High affinity binding, endocytosis, and degradation of conformationally modified albumins. Potential role of gp30 and gp18 as novel scavenger receptors. J Biol Chem 1993;268:7562–7570.
- [82] Andersen JT, Daba MB, Berntzen G, Michaelsen TE, Sandlie I. Cross-species binding analyses of mouse and human neonatal Fc receptor show dramatic differences in immunoglobulin G and albumin binding. J Biol Chem 2010;285:4826–4836.
- [83] Andersen JT, Pehrson R, Tolmachev V, Daba MB, Abrahmsén L, Ekblad C. Extending half-life by indirect targeting of the neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn) using a minimal albumin binding domain. J Biol Chem 2011;286: 5234–5241.
- [84] Smith BJ, Popplewell A, Athwal D, Chapman AP, Heywood S, Shauna M, et al. Prolonged in vivo residence times of antibody fragments associated with albumin. Bioconjug Chem 2001;12:750–756.
- [85] Fujiwara S, Amisaki T. Fatty acid binding to serum albumin: molecular simulation approaches. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5427–5434.
- [86] Van der Vusse GJ. Albumin as fatty acid transporter. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 2009;24:300–307.
- [87] Petersen CE, Ha CE, Harohalli K, Feix JB, Bhagavan NV. A dynamic model for bilirubin binding to human serum albumin. J Biol Chem 2000;275: 20985–20995.
- [88] Ha CE, Bhagavan NV. Novel insights into the pleiotropic effects of human serum albumin in health and disease. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830: 5486–5493.
- [89] Wang ZM, Ho JX, Ruble JR, Rose J, Rüker F, Ellenburg M, et al. Structural studies of several clinically important oncology drugs in complex with human serum albumin. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5356–5374.
- [90] Klammt S, Wojak HJ, Mitzner A, Koball S, Rychly J, Reisinger EC, et al. Albumin-binding capacity (ABiC) is reduced in patients with chronic kidney disease along with an accumulation of protein-bound uraemic toxins. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2012;27:2377–2383.
- [91] Klammt S, Mitzner S, Stange J, Brinkmann B, Drewelow B, Emmrich J, et al. Albumin-binding function is reduced in patients with decompensated cirrhosis and correlates inversely with severity of liver disease assessed by model for end-stage liver disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;19: 257–263.
- [92] Fanali G, di Massi A, Trezza V, Marino M, Fasano M, Ascenzi P. Human serum albumin: from bench to bedside. Mol Aspects Med 2012;33: 209–290.
- [93] Smith DA, Di L, Kerns EH. The effect of plasma protein binding on in vivo efficacy: misconceptions in drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2010;9: 929–939.
- [94] Jurgens G, Muller M, Garidel P, Koch MH, Nakakubo H, Blume A, et al. Investigation into the interaction of recombinant human serum albumin with Re-lipopolysaccharide and lipid A. J Endotoxin Res 2002;8:115–126.
- [95] Fukui H. Relation of endotoxin, endotoxin binding proteins and macrophages to severe alcoholic liver injury and multiple organ failure. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2005;29:172S–179S.
- [96] Dziarski R. Cell-bound albumin is the 70-kDa peptidoglycan-, lipopolysaccharide-, and lipoteichoic acid-binding protein on lymphocytes and macrophages. J Biol Chem 1994;269:20431–20436.
- [97] David SA, Balaram P, Mathan VI. Characterization of the interaction of lipid A and lipopolysaccharide with human serum albumin: implications for an endotoxin carrier function for albumin. J Endotoxin Res 1995;2:99–106.
- [98] Gioannini TL, Zhang D, Teghanemt A, Weiss JP. An essential role for albumin in the interaction of endotoxin with lipopolysaccharide-binding protein and sCD14 and resultant cell activation. J Biol Chem 2002;277: 47818–47825.
- [99] Blomkalns AL, Stoll LL, Shaheen W, Romig-Martin SA, Dickson EW, Weintraub NL, et al. Low level bacterial endotoxin activates two distinct signaling pathways in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. J Inflamm (Lond) 2011;8:4.
- [100] Esparza GA, Teghanemt A, Zhang D, Gioannini TL, Weiss JP. Endotoxin{middle dot}albumin complexes transfer endotoxin monomers to MD-2 resulting in activation of TLR4. Innate Immun 2012;18:478–491.
- [101] Takeuchi O, Akira S. Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell 2010;140:805–820.
- [102] Chan JK, Roth J, Oppenheim JJ, Tracey KJ, Vogl T, Feldmann M, et al. Alarmins: awaiting a clinical response. J Clin Invest 2012;122:2711–2719.
- [103] Singer M. The role of mitochondrial dysfunction in sepsis-induced multiorgan failure. Virulence 2014;5:66–72.
- [104] Galley HF. Oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in sepsis. Br J Anaesth 2011;107:57–64.
- [105] Galley HF. Bench-to-bedside review: targeting antioxidants to mitochondria in sepsis. Crit Care 2010;14:230.

- JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY
- [106] Taverna M, Marie AL, Mira JP, Guidet B. Specific antioxidant properties of human serum albumin. Anaesth Intensive Care 2013;3:4.
- [107] Bruschi M, Candiano G, Santucci L, Ghiggeri GM. Oxidized albumin. The long way of a protein of uncertain function. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5473–5479.
- [108] Cantin AM, Paquette B, Richter M, Larivée P. Albumin-mediated regulation of cellular glutathione and nuclear factor kappa B activation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;162:1539–1546.
- [109] Bal W, Sokołowska M, Kurowska E, Faller P. Binding of transition metal ions to albumin: sites, affinities and rates. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830: 5444–5455.
- [110] Stohs SJ, Bagchi D. Oxidative mechanisms in the toxicity of metal ions. Free Radic Biol Med 1995;18:321–336.
- [111] Neuzil J, Stocker R. Free and albumin-bound bilirubin are efficient coantioxidants for alpha-tocopherol, inhibiting plasma and low density lipoprotein lipid peroxidation. J Biol Chem 1994;269:16712–16719.
- [112] Bourdon E, Loreau N, Lagrost L, Blache D. Differential effects of cysteine and methionine residues in the antioxidant activity of human serum albumin. Free Radic Res 2005;39:15–20.
- [113] Berlett BS, Stadtman ER. Protein oxidation in aging, disease, and oxidative stress. J Biol Chem 1997;272:20313–20316.
- [114] Oettl K, Marsche G. Redox state of human serum albumin in terms of cysteine-34 in health and disease. Methods Enzymol 2010;474:181–195.
- [115] Rafikova O, Rafikov R, Nudler E. Catalysis of S-nitrosothiols formation by serum albumin: the mechanism and implication in vascular control. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:5913–5918.
- [116] Fitzpatrick FA, Liggett WF, Wynalda MA. Albumin-eicosanoid interactions. A model system to determine their attributes and inhibition. J Biol Chem 1984;259:2722–2777.
- [117] Kragh-Hansen U. Molecular and practical aspects of the enzymatic properties of human serum albumin and of albumin-ligand complexes. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1830:5535–5544.
- [118] Yang J, Petersen CE, Ha CE, Bhagavan NV. Structural insights into human serum albumin-mediated prostaglandin catalysis. Protein Sci 2002;11: 538–545.
- [119] Wynalda MA, Fitzpatrick FA. Albumins stabilize prostaglandin I2. Prostaglandins 1980;20:853-861.
- [120] Folco G, Granström E, Kindahl H. Albumin stabilizes thromboxane A2. FEBS Lett 1977;82:321–324.
- [121] Le Moine O, Soupison T, Sogni P, Marchant A, Moreau R, Hadengue A, et al. Plasma endotoxin and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in the hyperkinetic state of cirrhosis. J Hepatol 1995;23:391–395.
- [122] Bac DJ, Pruimboom WM, Mulder PG, Zijlstra FJ, Wilson JH. High interleukin-6 production within the peritoneal cavity in decompensated cirrhosis and malignancy-related ascites. Liver 1995;15:265–270.
- [123] Odeh M, Sabo E, Srugo I, Oliven A. Serum levels of tumor necrosis factoralpha correlate with severity of hepatic encephalopathy due to chronic liver failure. Liver Int 2004;24:110–116.
- [124] Lee FY, Lu RH, Tsai YT, Lin HC, Hou MC, Li CP, et al. Plasma interleukin-6 levels in patients with cirrhosis. Relationship to endotoxemia, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and hyperdynamic circulation. Scand J Gastroenterol 1996;31:500–505.
- [125] Li CP, Lee FY, Tsai YT, Lin HC, Lu RH, Hou MC, et al. Plasma interleukin-8 levels in patients with post-hepatitic cirrhosis: relationship to severity of liver disease, portal hypertension and hyperdynamic circulation. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1996;11:635–640.
- [126] Tilg H, Wilmer A, Vogel W, Herold M, Nölchen B, Judmaier G, et al. Serum levels of cytokines in chronic liver diseases. Gastroenterology 1992;103: 264–274.
- [127] Genesca J, Gonzalez A, Segura R, Catalan R, Marti R, Varela E, et al. Interleukin-6, nitric oxide, and the clinical and hemodynamic alterations of patients with liver cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 1999;94:169–177.
- [128] Jain L, Sharma BC, Sharma P, Srivastava S, Agrawal A, Sarin SK. Serum endotoxin and inflammatory mediators in patients with cirrhosis and hepatic encephalopathy. Dig Liver Dis 2012;44:1027–1031.
- [129] Montoliu C, Piedrafita B, Serra MA, del Olmo JA, Urios A, Rodrigo JM, et al. IL-6 and IL-18 in blood may discriminate cirrhotic patients with and without minimal hepatic encephalopathy. J Clin Gastroenterol 2009;43: 272–279.
- [130] Albillos A, de la Hera A, González M, Moya JL, Calleja JL, Monserrat J, et al. Increased lipopolysaccharide binding protein in cirrhotic patients with marked immune and hemodynamic derangement. Hepatology 2003;37: 208–217.

- [131] Wiest R, Lawson M, Geuking M. Pathological bacterial translocation in liver cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2014;60:197–209.
- [132] Wiest R. Role of sympathetic nervous activity for bacterial translocation in advanced experimental liver cirrhosis. Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;34: 102–105.
- [133] Wiest R, Das S, Cadelina G, Garcia-Tsao G, Milstien S, Groszmann RJ. Bacterial translocation in cirrhotic rats stimulates eNOS-derived NO production and impairs mesenteric vascular contractility. J Clin Invest 1999;104:1223–1233.
- [134] Iwakiri Y, Groszmann RJ. The hyperdynamic circulation of chronic liver diseases: from the patient to the molecule. Hepatology 2006;43: S121–S131.
- [135] Ruiz-del-Arbol L, Monescillo A, Arocena C, Valer P, Ginès P, Moreira V, et al. Circulatory function and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. Hepatology 2005;42:439–447.
- [136] Krag A, Bendtsen F, Henriksen JH. S Møller2. Low cardiac output predicts development of hepatorenal syndrome and survival in patients with cirrhosis and ascites. Gut 2010;59:105–110.
- [137] Bortoluzzi A, Ceolotto G, Gola E, Sticca A, Bova S, Morando F, et al. Positive cardiac inotropic effect of albumin infusion in rodents with cirrhosis and ascites: molecular mechanisms. Hepatology 2013;57:266–276.
- [138] Gomez H, Ince C, De Backer D, Pickkers P, Payen D, Hotchkiss J, et al. A unified theory of sepsis-induced acute kidney injury: inflammation, microcirculatory dysfunction, bioenergetics, and the tubular cell adaptation to injury. Shock 2014;41:3–11.
- [139] Merx MW, Weber C. Sepsis and the heart. Circulation 2007;116:793-802.
- [140] Coltart I, Tranah TH, Shawcross DL. Inflammation and hepatic encephalopathy. Arch Biochem Biophys 2013;536:189–196.
- [141] Tranah TH, Vijay GK, Ryan JM, Shawcross DL. Systemic inflammation and ammonia in hepatic encephalopathy. Metab Brain Dis 2013;28:1–5.
- [142] Seyan AS, Hughes RD, Shawcross DL. Changing face of hepatic encephalopathy: role of inflammation and oxidative stress. World J Gastroenterol 2010;16:3347–3357.
- [143] Berg RM, Møller K, Bailey DM. Neuro-oxidative-nitrosative stress in sepsis. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2011;31:1532–1544.
- [144] Garcia-Martinez R, Cordoba J. Liver-induced inflammation hurts the brain. J Hepatol 2012;56:515–517.
- [145] Guevara M, Bru C, Ginès P, Fernández-Esparrach G, Sort P, Bataller R, et al. Increased cerebrovascular resistance in cirrhotic patients with ascites. Hepatology 1998;28:39–44.
- [146] Wright G, Davies NA, Shawcross DL, Hodges SJ, Zwingmann C, Brooks HF, et al. Endotoxemia produces coma and brain swelling in bile duct ligated rats. Hepatology 2007;45:1517–1526.
- [147] Shawcross DL, Davies NA, Williams R, Jalan R. Systemic inflammatory response exacerbates the neuropsychological effects of induced hyperammonemia in cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2004;40:247–254.
- [148] Shawcross DL, Sharifi Y, Canavan JB, Yeoman AD, Abeles RD, Taylor NJ, et al. Infection and systemic inflammation, not ammonia, are associated with Grade 3/4 hepatic encephalopathy, but not mortality in cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2011;54:640–649.
- [149] Cordoba J, Ventura-Cots M, Simón-Talero M, Amorós A, Pavesi M, Vilstrup H, et al. Characteristics, risk factors, and mortality of cirrhotic patients hospitalized for hepatic encephalopathy with and without acute-onchronic liver failure (ACLF). J Hepatol 2014;60:275–281.
- [150] Fernández J, Navasa M, Garcia-Pagan JC, G-Abraldes J, Jiménez W, Bosch J, et al. Effect of intravenous albumin on systemic and hepatic hemodynamics and vasoactive neurohormonal systems in patients with cirrhosis and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. J Hepatol 2004;41:384–390.
- [151] Fernández J, Monteagudo J, Bargallo X, Jiménez W, Bosch J, Arroyo V, et al. A randomized unblinded pilot study comparing albúmina vs. hydroxyethyl starch in spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Hepatology 2005;42:627–634.
- [152] Ferlitsch M, Reiberger T, Hoke M, Salzl P, Schwengerer B, Ulbrich G, et al. Von Willebrand factor as new noninvasive predictor of portal hypertension, decompensation and mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis. Hepatology 2012;56:1439–1447.
- [153] Albornoz L, Alvarez D, Otaso JC, Gadano A, Salviú J, Gerona S, et al. Von Willebrand factor could be an index of endothelial dysfunction in patients with cirrhosis: relationship to degree of liver failure and nitric oxide levels. J Hepatol 1999;30:451–455.
- [154] Ferro D, Quintarelli C, Lattuada A, Leo R, Alessandroni M, Mannucci PM, et al. High plasma levels of von Willebrand factor as a marker of endothelial perturbation in cirrhosis: relationship to endotoxemia. Hepatology 1996;23:1377–1383.