

## Pharmacological optimization of tissue perfusion

N. Mongardon, A. Dyson and M. Singer\*

*Bloomsbury Institute of Intensive Care Medicine, Wolfson Institute for Biomedical Research and Department of Medicine, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK*

*\*Corresponding author. E-mail: m.singer@ucl.ac.uk*

After fluid resuscitation, vasoactive drug treatment represents the major cornerstone for correcting any major impairment of the circulation. However, debate still rages as to the choice of agent, dose, timing, targets, and monitoring modalities that should optimally be used to benefit the patient yet, at the same time, minimize harm. This review highlights these areas and some new pharmacological agents that broaden our therapeutic options.

*Br J Anaesth* 2009

**Keywords:** arterial pressure, drug effects; cardiovascular system, effects; heart, cardiac output; oxygen, therapy; pharmacology, agonists adrenergic

Haemodynamic optimization is a daily preoccupation in the ward, emergency department, operating theatre, and critical care unit. Optimizing tissue perfusion does not simply mean improving arterial pressure, cardiac output, or both, but rather delivering oxygen from the lungs to the mitochondria in amounts adequate to sustain required metabolism. However, the wide range of available therapeutic options and haemodynamic endpoints, in addition to a relative dearth of compelling evidence as to best practice, drives impassioned debate and a variety of management stratagems. Outside the cardiac arrest/arrhythmia scenario, fluid resuscitation is universally accepted as the usual first step of haemodynamic optimization. However, the choice of fluid remains highly contentious. This particular topic is beyond the scope of our article, so the reader is referred to recent articles<sup>32 47 81 94 106</sup> arguing the various pros and cons and reviews.<sup>12 87</sup> This paper will focus on vasoactive treatments, in particular pressors and inotropes. However, rather than a drug-by-drug analysis, for which in-depth pharmacological descriptions have been reviewed elsewhere,<sup>45 53 104 119</sup> we shall concentrate on areas of continuing uncertainty. As much as selecting the 'best' agent, it is also incumbent to discuss how best use can be obtained from these drugs, recognizing they carry a multitude of often covert side-effects.

### Tissue perfusion: are we all talking the same language?

An adequate supply of oxygen and substrate to mitochondria that enables sufficient oxidative ATP production to match metabolic demands can be considered the absolute

priority of the circulation.<sup>112</sup> Consideration of the three components of the oxygen delivery equation (cardiac output, haemoglobin concentration, and arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation) allows the clinician to formulate an appropriate management response in the face of a specific cardiorespiratory problem. However, tissue oxygen delivery is a global measure and does not necessarily reflect changes occurring within an organ bed, nor whether the amount delivered is adequate for metabolic needs.

At the organ level, blood flow and perfusion pressure are controlled by extrinsic factors, including neurological (e.g. sympathetic innervation), biochemical (pH,  $P_{CO_2}$ , and  $P_{O_2}$ ), hormonal (renin–angiotensin system), and vasoactive mediators (e.g. nitric oxide and prostaglandins). Autoregulation represents intrinsic control, whereby afferent arteriolar tone changes as a result of modifications in perfusion pressure. However, organs do not behave in the same fashion with regard to flow dependency. The kidney, brain, and myocardium are considered to autoregulate themselves (i.e. their regional blood flow is held constant within an arterial pressure range). Consequently, below and above these thresholds, organs may encounter ischaemia or, conversely, a luxury perfusion that could potentially increase oedema formation and jeopardize perfusion.

Acute disease pathology can compromise normal complex physiological responses so conventional concepts such as autoregulation may not necessarily apply. For example, septic shock is associated with increased spatial and temporal microcirculatory heterogeneity,<sup>20</sup> whereas perfusion thresholds may be blunted.<sup>97</sup> Age and chronic diseases such as hypertension are also associated with circulatory remodelling. As a consequence, little is known

about the best perfusion pressure to target in an individual patient, particularly one who is critically ill.

### Which target, using what monitor?

Vital signs are the first available clinical indicators of well-being in the ward or emergency department. Low arterial pressure, tachycardia, impaired mental status, chest pain, delayed capillary refill, decreased urinary output, or both should trigger an urgent attempt to diagnose and correct the impaired circulation. Yet even the apparently simple goal of adequacy of arterial pressure is a matter of lively debate. On the basis of normal human physiology and animal studies,<sup>8 50</sup> a mean arterial pressure 65 mm Hg has been widely adopted as the minimum acceptable level.<sup>19</sup> However, this takes no account of the individual's pre-morbid arterial pressure, as described earlier. Acute renal insufficiency has been the most studied 'failure', owing to its easily accessed parameters. Although initial studies suggested benefit from maintaining higher arterial pressure levels, especially in previously hypertensive patients,<sup>21 22 37 84</sup> more recent reports demonstrate failure to improve clinical outcomes through this strategy.<sup>10 52</sup> Mean arterial pressures of 60 mm Hg (or even lower) could be adequate in most critically ill patients, as shown by Dünser and colleagues<sup>27</sup> in a retrospective study of patients in septic shock. The lower target did not worsen clinical outcomes, although kidney function was compromised to some extent. Thus, both global and regional circulations should be simultaneously considered, accepting the fact that current tools do not lend themselves to monitoring of regional vascular beds. Furthermore, there is a trade-off in terms of the potentially harmful effect of the increased dose of vasopressor needed to achieve higher values.

Arterial pulse pressure variation, an increasingly popular tool for guiding fluid responsiveness, is also subject to several crucial limitations that are frequently overlooked, including the requirement for large tidal volume,<sup>16</sup> and possible confounding by the concurrent use of PEEP, vasopressors,<sup>72</sup> and the presence of arrhythmias or any spontaneous breathing effort.

Similarly, the utility of central venous pressure (CVP) monitoring is increasingly questioned, because static measurements of filling pressure correlate poorly with right ventricular end-diastolic volumes, and are poorly predictive of fluid responsiveness unless the CVP is low (<5 mm Hg).<sup>82</sup> Furthermore, there is often a poor relationship between right and left heart filling pressures, both in the critically ill patient and those with chronic cardiorespiratory disease. More useful and valid information is obtained from assessing the dynamic response in CVP to a therapeutic intervention such as a fluid challenge.

Central venous oxygen saturation ( $Scv_{O_2}$ ) has recently gained attention as a monitoring modality, predominantly through the work of Rivers and colleagues<sup>85</sup> where

survival benefit was achieved through the use of a threshold  $Scv_{O_2}$  value of 70% as a primary target for the early resuscitation of septic patients. This variable reflects the balance between oxygen supply and demand to the upper body, as it is usually sampled from the superior vena cava. However,  $Scv_{O_2}$  does not necessarily reflect whole-body 'mixed' venous oxygen saturation measured in the pulmonary artery.<sup>111</sup> Increased values are often encountered in established sepsis, and may reflect increased microvascular shunting, metabolic shutdown with decreased utilization of oxygen, or both.<sup>86</sup>

A range of continuous/intermittent cardiac output monitoring devices are now available, ranging from highly invasive (the pulmonary artery catheter) to minimally invasive (e.g. thoracic bioimpedance and transthoracic echocardiography), with numerous technologies (e.g. oesophageal Doppler and calibrated/uncalibrated pulse contour analysis systems) sitting in between. Each and every technique carries its own inherent limitations, ranging from validity in specific population subsets, reliability, ease of use, cost, necessary expertise, and risk profile. As underlined by the various non-protocolized multicentre pulmonary artery catheter studies,<sup>40 41 92 99</sup> placement of the monitoring tool in itself does not directly affect outcomes. Even protocolized studies<sup>9 67</sup> failed to show outcome benefit in patients in established organ failure, though a number of perioperative studies have shown reductions in mortality, morbidity, and hospital stay through the use of stroke volume or oxygen delivery-targeted optimization.<sup>11 101</sup> Clearly, how and when the clinician uses the added information from flow monitoring dictates the degree of accrued benefit.

The final monitoring modality to consider relates to tools that can assess cellular well-being. This is the Holy Grail of tissue perfusion monitoring, though, as highlighted above, responses in different organ beds do vary. Specific biochemical markers are released on organ injury, such as troponin or B-type natriuretic peptide for the myocardium, and a variety of biomarkers for the kidney, including urinary interleukin-18, plasma cystatin C, and plasma and urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin.<sup>44 70 79 110</sup> At present, plasma lactate, arterial base deficit, and mixed venous oxygen saturation are the only biomarkers of whole-body tissue perfusion, and these are neither particularly specific nor, in some situations, sensitive. For example, hyperlactataemia may represent an exaggerated aerobic glycolysis with excess production of pyruvate that is stimulated by endogenous<sup>55</sup> or exogenous<sup>66</sup> catecholamines. A high lactate level should thus not be considered automatically as an indicator of a tissue oxygen debt mandating an increase in systemic oxygen transport.

### In which setting?

Context is crucial. For example, the inflammatory response, fluid losses, and capillary leak occurring both

during and after extensive major surgery will generally far exceed those seen during minor surgery. Likewise, a young, fit patient will generally tolerate a given insult far better than a patient with severe co-morbid illness and limited cardiorespiratory reserve. However, many controversies remain; for example, the desirability of restrictive vs liberal perioperative fluid regimens or the use of supranormal oxygen delivery targets.<sup>12 39 48</sup> All aspects of the patient, surgical procedure, illness, or both should be taken into account, including iatrogenic factors that affect oxygen delivery and consumption such as the use of blood transfusion, sedation, mechanical ventilation, and temperature modulation. For example, oxygen consumption ( $\text{VO}_2$ ) increases by 15% per 1°C increase in temperature. During cardiopulmonary bypass surgery, there is the added component of non-pulsatile flow affecting tissue perfusion.<sup>63 78</sup>

### To what benefit?

As described earlier, haemodynamic optimization has only been shown to be beneficial in selected populations. The concept of supranormal oxygen delivery was introduced by Shoemaker and colleagues<sup>95</sup> who proposed that supranormal values of oxygen transport and consumption could result in fewer postoperative complications. However, although this practice benefits high-risk surgical patients<sup>56 115 117</sup> and those presenting with early stage sepsis,<sup>85</sup> it proved singularly ineffective<sup>38</sup> or even harmful<sup>42</sup> in critically ill patients with established organ failure. This may relate simply to timing. The failed organs may have moved into a phase of metabolic shutdown as a consequence of prolonged inflammation and mitochondrial dysfunction,<sup>1</sup> and beyond the point whereby restoring perfusion could reverse the organ failure rapidly. In a retrospective analysis of their data, Hayes and colleagues<sup>43</sup> could identify specific patterns relating to eventual survival or non-survival, with non-survivors being unable to increase  $\text{VO}_2$  in response to aggressive augmentation of oxygen delivery.

### To what harm?

Vasopressors and inotropes are frequently used in serious and often life-threatening situations. Catecholamines and related compounds (e.g. phosphodiesterase inhibitors and dopaminergic drugs) have long been the mainstay of such treatment and have evolved as an integral part of patient management with relatively little validation of comparative safety and efficacy. The primacy of catecholamines has only been challenged in recent years, particularly with the utilization of agents from different classes, including vasopressin and its longer-acting analogue terlipressin and, the calcium sensitizer, levosimendan. Comparisons between these different agents will be drawn in a later section, but it is initially worth focusing on the harm these agents carry as these may have a significant deleterious impact on patient

survival. Although tachyarrhythmias and digital, cardiac, or mesenteric ischaemia are well-recognized side-effects of catecholamine therapies, there are many other equally, if not more, sinister complications.<sup>96</sup> These include increased myocardial work yet decreased metabolic efficiency, increased oxygen expenditure,<sup>29 30</sup> thermogenic effects,<sup>23 24</sup> and cellular injury. Indeed, a standard laboratory model to induce myocardial injury or damage is to infuse adrenergic or dopaminergic agents. Reactive oxygen species generation also increases with catecholamine administration. Neri and colleagues<sup>69</sup> showed that even short-term administration was associated with myocardial damage, cell death, an initial increase in cytokine production followed by a later decline, and reduced anti-oxidative defences. Increased superoxide radical production was also shown using norepinephrine in *ex vivo* heart preparations.<sup>89</sup> Catecholamines also have effects on the splanchnic circulation<sup>54 62</sup> that may lead to ileus, malabsorption, stress ulceration,<sup>98</sup> and deranged liver function.<sup>114</sup> Numerous studies have also demonstrated a thrombogenic effect through the use of adrenergic agents.<sup>113</sup>

Further pernicious effects of catecholamines include promotion of bacterial growth<sup>34–36 59 68</sup> and accelerated biofilm formation.<sup>59</sup> Combined with their immunomodulatory properties<sup>74–76 106 107 108 116</sup> that will vary depending on dose and duration, this will markedly increase the risk of nosocomial infection. Some studies suggest that norepinephrine also impairs the energy metabolism of human circulating monocytes, thus contributing to their dysfunction.<sup>7 58</sup>

Catecholamines will also induce insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia and stimulate lipolysis; these features are all detrimental to outcomes in critically ill patients.<sup>109</sup> Dopamine also has well-known hypophysial effects; even low 'renal' doses can reduce the blood concentrations of anterior pituitary-dependent hormones except cortisol (e.g. prolactin),<sup>4</sup> with consequent effects on immune function.<sup>105</sup>

Remarkably, there have been relatively few randomized, controlled studies of inotropes compared against non-inotropic medical management. In two studies, the use of different phosphodiesterase inhibitors resulted in worse outcomes.<sup>14 15</sup> A recent cohort revealed that perioperative use of dobutamine in cardiac surgery was independently associated with major cardiac morbidities<sup>31</sup> while analysis of a large registry of patients in decompensated heart failure also revealed worse outcomes in patients given catecholamines compared with nitrates, even after adjustment for illness severity.<sup>2</sup>

### Which drug?

Norepinephrine is currently recommended as the first-line catecholamine for use in septic shock<sup>19</sup> and haemorrhagic shock.<sup>18</sup> However, recent large-scale studies failed to

demonstrate any superiority (alone or in combination with dobutamine) over epinephrine, either in septic shock<sup>3</sup> or in ICU patient requiring vasopressor support for any reason.<sup>65 66</sup> There is also an increasing awareness of the potential harm of catecholamines<sup>96</sup> allied to studies in both animal models and patients suggesting that, paradoxically, beta-blockers can be used successfully to reduce sympathetic tone in sepsis.<sup>73 93</sup> A variety of options have emerged over the last decade that holds promise for the future.

Vasopressin's story began more than a decade ago with the recognition that low doses that would not affect healthy people caused a profound vasopressor effect in patients with inflammation. Numerous papers have reported its use in septic shock,<sup>28 46 51 80</sup> ischaemic and postcardiotomy cardiogenic shock,<sup>64</sup> vasodilatory shock,<sup>26</sup> and in unstable brain-dead patients.<sup>13</sup> Though the rationale for the exogenous use of this hormone is based on the concepts of relative vasopressin deficiency and altered baroreflex sensitivity, there does appear to be increased sensitization peripherally on V1 receptor-mediated calcium signalling in vascular smooth muscle.<sup>6</sup> Despite its endogenous role as an antidiuretic hormone (acting on renal V2 receptors), it improved urine output when given to septic shock patients.<sup>80</sup> The recent VASST study<sup>91</sup> comparing norepinephrine and vasopressin failed to demonstrate overall survival benefit from vasopressin; however, the *a priori* defined subset with less severe shock did show a statistically significant reduction in mortality. A recent retrospective analysis of the VASST study database suggested a beneficial synergy between vasopressin and corticosteroids.<sup>90</sup> An alternative to vasopressin is its synthetic, longer-acting analogue, terlipressin, which has greater specificity for the V1 receptor.<sup>77</sup> The main safety concerns regarding vasopressin and terlipressin relate to excessive vasoconstriction causing ischaemia of limb extremities, the heart, and the splanchnic circulation. However, the complication rate was similar to norepinephrine in the VASST study where only low doses of vasopressin were used.

Nitric oxide is produced in excess in sepsis and other shock states, including cardiogenic and haemorrhagic shock. This causes excessive vasodilatation, vascular hyporeactivity, and myocardial depression. A multicentre study of a non-specific NO synthase inhibitor, L-NMMA, in septic shock was terminated prematurely because of increased harm.<sup>57</sup> The same drug used in a multicentre study of cardiogenic shock showed no benefit over placebo.<sup>103</sup> At present, a phase III trial of pyridoxalated haemoglobin polyoxyethylene, a NO scavenger, is underway after promising results in a phase II trial.<sup>49</sup>

Levosimendan, the first of a new class of drug,<sup>100</sup> is a calcium sensitizer which increases stroke volume without a corresponding increase in cardiac work.<sup>17</sup> It also has a peripheral effect on opening ATP-sensitive potassium channels, causing vasodilation. Despite promising early studies in patients with decompensated heart failure,<sup>33 71 83</sup>

a more recent trial failed to show outcome benefit.<sup>61</sup> Importantly, levosimendan does enable ongoing use or introduction of beta-blockade into this patient population,<sup>60</sup> suggesting superiority of levosimendan over dobutamine. A preconditioning dose of levosimendan improved outcomes after cardiac surgery<sup>102</sup> while early studies report its successful use in myocardial depression,<sup>5</sup> splanchnic perfusion impairment,<sup>25</sup> or renal failure<sup>118</sup> related to sepsis. This likely relates to calcium desensitization being a major mechanism of cardiac dysfunction in sepsis.<sup>88</sup> While a generally safe agent, hypotension has been reported during initial bolus dose loading.

## Conclusion

Many areas of uncertainty still exist about how to best monitor tissue perfusion, what specific goals to target in individual patients, and how to manage them optimally with fluid and drugs. Our ever-increasing understanding of underlying pathophysiology, coupled with the development and investigation of novel techniques and drugs, will undoubtedly result in improved treatments and outcomes. In the meantime, we should remain cognizant of the potential harm of our current therapies.

## Funding

N.M. is funded by a grant from the 'Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale'. A.D. is supported by the Medical Research Council. M.S. sits on advisory boards for Ferring (terlipressin), Abbott/Orion (levosimendan), and Curacyte (PHP), and is co-applicant of a Wellcome Trust grant developing a novel DDAH-1 antagonist to modulate NO production in septic shock.

## References

- 1 Abraham E, Singer M. Mechanisms of sepsis-induced organ dysfunction. *Crit Care Med* 2007; **35**: 2408–16
- 2 Abraham WT, Adams KF, Fonarow GC, et al. In-hospital mortality in patients with acute decompensated heart failure requiring intravenous vasoactive medications: an analysis from the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; **46**: 57–64
- 3 Annane D, Vignon P, Renault A, et al. Norepinephrine plus dobutamine versus epinephrine alone for management of septic shock: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2007; **370**: 676–84
- 4 Bailey AR, Burchett KR. Effect of low-dose dopamine on serum concentrations of prolactin in critically ill patients. *Br J Anaesth* 1997; **78**: 97–9
- 5 Barraud D, Faivre V, Damy T, et al. Levosimendan restores both systolic and diastolic cardiac performance in lipopolysaccharide-treated rabbits: comparison with dobutamine and milrinone. *Crit Care Med* 2007; **35**: 1376–82
- 6 Barrett LK, Singer M, Clapp LH. Vasopressin: mechanisms of action on the vasculature in health and in septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2007; **35**: 33–40



- 7 Belikova I, Lukaszewicz AC, Faivre V, Damoiseil C, Singer M, Payen D. Oxygen consumption of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells in severe human sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 2007; **35**: 2702–8
- 8 Bersten AD, Holt AW. Vasoactive drugs and the importance of renal perfusion pressure. *New Horiz* 1995; **3**: 650–61
- 9 Binanay C, Califf RM, Hasselblad V, et al. Evaluation study of congestive heart failure and pulmonary artery catheterization effectiveness: the ESCAPE trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 2005; **294**: 1625–33
- 10 Bourgoin A, Leone M, Delmas A, Garnier F, Albanèse J, Martin C. Increasing mean arterial pressure in patients with septic shock: effects on oxygen variables and renal function. *Crit Care Med* 2005; **33**: 780–6
- 11 Bundgaard-Nielsen M, Holte K, Secher NH, Kehlet H. Monitoring of peri-operative fluid administration by individualized goal-directed therapy. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2007; **51**: 331–40
- 12 Chappell D, Jacob M, Hofmann-Kiefer K, Conzen P, Rehm M. A rational approach to perioperative fluid management. *Anesthesiology* 2008; **109**: 723–40
- 13 Chen JM, Cullinane S, Spanier TB, et al. Vasopressin deficiency and pressor hypersensitivity in hemodynamically unstable organ donors. *Circulation* 1999; **100**: 11244–6
- 14 Cohn JN, Goldstein SO, Greenberg BH, et al. A dose-dependent increase in mortality with vesnarinone among patients with severe heart failure. Vesnarinone Trial Investigators. *N Engl J Med* 1998; **339**: 1810–6
- 15 Cuffe MS, Califf RM, Adams KF, Jr, et al., Outcomes of a Prospective Trial of Intravenous Milrinone for Exacerbations of Chronic Heart Failure (OPTIME-CHF) Investigators. Short-term intravenous milrinone for acute exacerbation of chronic heart failure: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 2002; **287**: 1541–7
- 16 De Backer D, Heenen S, Piagnerelli M, Koch M, Vincent JL. Pulse pressure variations to predict fluid responsiveness: influence of tidal volume. *Intensive Care Med* 2005; **31**: 517–23
- 17 De Hert SG, Lorisomradee S, Cromheede S, Van der Linden PJ. The effects of levosimendan in cardiac surgery patients with poor left ventricular function. *Anesth Analg* 2007; **104**: 766–73
- 18 Deitch EA, Dayal SD. Intensive care unit management of the trauma patient. *Crit Care Med* 2006; **34**: 2294–301
- 19 Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Carlet JM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2008; **36**: 296–327
- 20 Den Uil CA, Klijn E, Lagrand VK, et al. The microcirculation in health and critical disease. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2008; **51**: 161–70
- 21 Desjars P, Pinaud M, Bugnon D, Tasseau F. Norepinephrine therapy has no deleterious renal effects in human septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 1989; **17**: 426–9
- 22 Desjars P, Pinaud M, Potel G, Tasseau F, Touze MD. A reappraisal of norepinephrine therapy in human septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 1987; **15**: 134–7
- 23 Dickerson RN, Roth-Yousey L. Medication effects on metabolic rate: a systematic review (part 1). *J Am Diet Assoc* 2005; **105**: 835–43
- 24 Dickerson RN, Roth-Yousey L. Medication effects on metabolic rate: a systematic review (part 2). *J Am Diet Assoc* 2005; **105**: 1002–9
- 25 Dubin A, Murias G, Sottile JP, et al. Effects of levosimendan and dobutamine in experimental acute endotoxemia: a preliminary controlled study. *Intensive Care Med* 2007; **33**: 485–94
- 26 Dünser MW, Mayr AJ, Ulmer H, et al. Arginine vasopressin in advanced vasodilatory shock: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Circulation* 2003; **107**: 2313–9
- 27 Dünser MW, Takala J, Ulmer H, et al. Arterial blood pressure during early sepsis and outcome. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; February 3 (Epub ahead of print)
- 28 Efrati O, Modan-Moses D, Vardi A, Matok I, Brazilay Z, Paret G. Intravenous arginine vasopressin in critically ill children: is it beneficial? *Shock* 2004; **22**: 213–7
- 29 Ensinger H, Geisser W, Brinkmann A, et al. Metabolic effects of norepinephrine and dobutamine in healthy volunteers. *Shock* 2002; **18**: 495–500
- 30 Ensinger H, Weichel T, Lindner KH, Grünert A, Ahnefeld FW. Effects of norepinephrine, epinephrine, and dopamine infusions on oxygen consumption in volunteers. *Crit Care Med* 1993; **21**: 1502–8
- 31 Fellahi JL, Parienti JJ, Hanouz JL, Plaud B, Riou B, Ouattara A. Perioperative use of dobutamine in cardiac surgery and adverse cardiac outcome: propensity-adjusted analyses. *Anesthesiology* 2008; **108**: 979–87
- 32 Finfer S, Bellomo R, Boyce N, et al. A comparison of albumin and saline for fluid resuscitation in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **350**: 2247–56
- 33 Follath F, Cleland JG, Just H, et al. Efficacy and safety of intravenous levosimendan compared with dobutamine in severe low-output heart failure (the LIDO study): a randomised double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2002; **360**: 196–202
- 34 Freestone PP, Haigh RD, Williams PH, Lyte M. Involvement of enterobactin in norepinephrine-mediated iron supply from transferrin to enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2003; **222**: 39–43
- 35 Freestone PP, Lyte M, Neal CP, Maggs AF, Haigh RD, Williams PH. The mammalian neuroendocrine hormone norepinephrine supplies iron for bacterial growth in the presence of transferrin or lactoferrin. *J Bacteriol* 2000; **182**: 6091–8
- 36 Freestone PP, Williams PH, Haigh RD, Maggs AF, Neal CP, Lyte M. Growth stimulation of intestinal commensal *Escherichia coli* by catecholamines: a possible contributory factor in trauma-induced sepsis. *Shock* 2002; **18**: 465–70
- 37 Fukuoka T, Nishimura M, Imanaka H, Taenaka N, Yoshiya I, Takezawa J. Effects of norepinephrine on renal function in septic patients with normal and elevated serum lactate levels. *Crit Care Med* 1989; **17**: 1104–7
- 38 Gattinoni L, Brazzi L, Pelosi P, et al. A trial of goal-oriented hemodynamic therapy in critically ill patients. SvO<sub>2</sub> Collaborative Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995; **333**: 1025–32
- 39 Grocott MP, Mythen MG, Gan TJ. Perioperative fluid management and clinical outcomes in adults. *Anesth Analg* 2005; **100**: 1093–106
- 40 Harvey S, Harrison DA, Singer M, et al., PAC-Man study collaboration. Assessment of the clinical effectiveness of pulmonary artery catheters in management of patients in intensive care (PAC-Man): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005; **366**: 472–7
- 41 Harvey SE, Welch CA, Harrison DA, Rowan KM, Singer M. Post hoc insights from PAC-Man—the U.K. pulmonary artery catheter trial. *Crit Care Med* 2008; **36**: 1714–21
- 42 Hayes MA, Timmins AC, Yau EH, Palazzo M, Hinds CJ, Watson D. Elevation of systemic oxygen delivery in the treatment of critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 1994; **330**: 1717–22
- 43 Hayes MA, Timmins AC, Yau EH, Palazzo M, Watson D, Hinds CJ. Oxygen transport patterns in patients with sepsis syndrome or septic shock: influence of treatment and relationship to outcome. *Crit Care Med* 1997; **5**: 926–36
- 44 Herget-Rosenthal S, Marggraf G, Hüsing J, et al. Early detection of acute renal failure by serum cystatin C. *Kidney Int* 2004; **66**: 1115–22

- 45 Hollenberg SM. Vasopressor support in septic shock. *Chest* 2007; **132**: 1678–87
- 46 Holmes CL, Walley KR, Chittock DR, Lehman T, Russell JA. The effects of vasopressin on hemodynamics and renal function in severe septic shock: a case series. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; **27**: 1416–21
- 47 Holte K, Foss NB, Andersen J, *et al.* Liberal or restrictive fluid administration in fast-track colonic surgery: a randomized, double-blind study. *Br J Anaesth* 2007; **99**: 500–8
- 48 Joshi GP. Intraoperative fluid restriction improves outcome after major elective gastrointestinal surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2005; **101**: 601–5
- 49 Kinasevitz GT, Privalle CT, Imm A, *et al.* Multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled study of the nitric oxide scavenger pyridoxalated hemoglobin polyoxyethylene in distributive shock. *Crit Care Med* 2008; **36**: 1999–2007
- 50 Kirchheim HR, Ehmke H, Hackenthal E, Löwe W, Persson P. Autoregulation of renal blood flow, glomerular filtration rate and renin release in conscious dogs. *Pflügers Arch* 1987; **410**: 441–9
- 51 Landry DW, Levin HR, Gallant EM, *et al.* Vasopressin deficiency contributes to the vasodilation of septic shock. *Circulation* 1997; **95**: 1122–5
- 52 LeDoux D, Astiz ME, Carpati CM, Rackow EC. Effects of perfusion pressure on tissue perfusion in septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2000; **28**: 2729–32
- 53 Leone M, Martin C. Vasopressor use in septic shock: an update. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2008; **21**: 141–7
- 54 Levy B, Bollaert PE, Charpentier C, *et al.* Comparison of norepinephrine and dobutamine to epinephrine for hemodynamics, lactate metabolism, and gastric tonometric variables in septic shock: a prospective, randomized study. *Intensive Care Med* 1997; **23**: 282–7
- 55 Levy B, Gibot S, Franck P, Cravoisy A, Bollaert PE. Relation between muscle  $\text{Na}^+\text{K}^+$  ATPase activity and raised lactate concentrations in septic shock: a prospective study. *Lancet* 2005; **365**: 871–5
- 56 Lobo SM, Salgado PF, Castillo VG, *et al.* Effects of maximizing oxygen delivery on morbidity and mortality in high-risk surgical patients. *Crit Care Med* 2000; **28**: 3396–404
- 57 López A, Lorente JA, Steingrub J, *et al.* Multiple-center, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of the nitric oxide synthase inhibitor 546C88: effect on survival in patients with septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2004; **32**: 21–30
- 58 Lünemann JD, Buttgerit F, Tripmacher R, Baerwald CG, Burmester GR, Krause A. Norepinephrine inhibits energy metabolism of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells via adrenergic receptors. *Biosci Rep* 2001; **21**: 627–35
- 59 Lyte M, Freestone PP, Neal CP, *et al.* Stimulation of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* growth and biofilm formation by catecholamine inotropes. *Lancet* 2003; **361**: 130–5
- 60 Mebazaa A, Nieminen MS, Filippatos GS, *et al.* Levosimendan vs. dobutamine: outcomes for acute heart failure patients on  $\beta$ -blockers in SURVIVE. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009; **11**: 304–11
- 61 Mebazaa A, Nieminen MS, Packer M, *et al.* Levosimendan vs dobutamine for patients with acute decompensated heart failure: the SURVIVE Randomized Trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 2007; **297**: 1883–91
- 62 Meier-Hellmann A, Reinhart K, Bredle DL, Specht M, Spies CD, Hannemann L. Epinephrine impairs splanchnic perfusion in septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 1997; **25**: 399–404
- 63 Merry A. What blood pressure is appropriate for cardiopulmonary bypass and how to get it. *J Extra Corpor Technol* 2006; **38**: 69–71
- 64 Morales DL, Gregg D, Helman DN, *et al.* Arginine vasopressin in the treatment of 50 patients with postcardiotomy vasodilatory shock. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000; **69**: 102–6
- 65 Müllner M, Urbanek B, Havel C, Losert H, Waechter F, Gamper G. Vasopressors for shock. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; **3**: CD003709
- 66 Myburgh JA, Higgins A, Jovanovska A, Lipman J, Ramakrishnan N, Santamaria J, CAT Study investigators. A comparison of epinephrine and norepinephrine in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2008; **34**: 2226–34
- 67 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, *et al.* Pulmonary-artery versus central venous catheter to guide treatment of acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **354**: 2213–24
- 68 Neal CP, Freestone PP, Maggs AF, Haigh RD, Williams PH, Lyte M. Catecholamine inotropes as growth factors for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and other coagulase-negative staphylococci. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2001; **194**: 163–9
- 69 Neri M, Cerretani D, Fiaschi AI, *et al.* Correlation between cardiac oxidative stress and myocardial pathology due to acute and chronic norepinephrine administration in rats. *J Cell Mol Med* 2007; **11**: 156–70
- 70 Nickolas TL, O'Rourke MJ, Yang J, *et al.* Sensitivity and specificity of a single emergency department measurement of urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin for diagnosing acute kidney injury. *Ann Intern Med* 2008; **148**: 810–9
- 71 Nieminen MS, Böhm M, Cowie MR, *et al.* Executive summary of the guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of acute heart failure: the Task Force on Acute Heart Failure of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J* 2005; **26**: 384–416
- 72 Nouri S, Elatrous S, Dimassi S, *et al.* Effects of norepinephrine on static and dynamic preload indicators in experimental hemorrhagic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2005; **33**: 2339–43
- 73 Novotny NM, Lahm T, Markel TA, *et al.* Beta-blockers in sepsis: reexamining the evidence. *Shock* 2009; **31**: 113–9
- 74 Oberbeck R. Catecholamines: physiological immunomodulators during health and illness. *Curr Med Chem* 2006; **13**: 1979–89
- 75 Oberbeck R, Schmitz D, Schüler M, Wilsenack K, Schedlowski M, Exton M. Dopexamine and cellular immune functions during systemic inflammation. *Immunobiology* 2004; **208**: 429–38
- 76 Oberbeck R, Schmitz D, Wilsenack K, *et al.* Dopamine affects cellular immune functions during polymicrobial sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 2006; **32**: 731–9
- 77 O'Brien A, Clapp L, Singer M. Terlipressin for norepinephrine-resistant septic shock. *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 1209–10
- 78 Onorati F, Santarpino G, Presta P, *et al.* Pulsatile perfusion with intra-aortic balloon pumping ameliorates whole body response to cardiopulmonary bypass in the elderly. *Crit Care Med* 2009; **37**: 902–11
- 79 Parikh CR, Jani A, Melnikov VY, Faubel S, Edelstein CL. Urinary interleukin-18 is a marker of human acute tubular necrosis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004; **43**: 405–14
- 80 Patel BM, Chittock DR, Russell JA, Walley KR. Beneficial effects of short-term vasopressin infusion during severe septic shock. *Anesthesiology* 2002; **96**: 576–82
- 81 Perel P, Roberts I. Colloids versus crystalloids for fluid resuscitation in critically ill patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007; **17**: CD000567
- 82 Pinsky MR, Teboul JL. Assessment of indices of preload and volume responsiveness. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2005; **11**: 235–9

- 83 Raja SG, Rayen BS. Levosimendan in cardiac surgery: current best available evidence. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006; **81**: 1536–46
- 84 Redl-Wenzl EM, Armbruster C, Edelmann G, et al. The effects of norepinephrine on hemodynamics and renal function in severe septic shock states. *Intensive Care Med* 1993; **19**: 151–4
- 85 Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, et al. Early Goal-Directed Therapy Collaborative Group. Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **345**: 1368–77
- 86 Rivers EP, Ander DS, Powell D. Central venous oxygen saturation monitoring in the critically ill patient. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2001; **7**: 204–11
- 87 Rizoli SB. Crystalloids and colloids in trauma resuscitation: a brief overview of the current debate. *J Trauma* 2003; **54**: S82–8
- 88 Rudiger A, Singer M. Mechanisms of sepsis-induced cardiac dysfunction. *Crit Care Med* 2007; **35**: 1599–608
- 89 Rump AF, Rösen R, Klaus W. Cardioprotection by superoxide dismutase: a catecholamine-dependent process? *Anesth Analg* 1993; **76**: 239–46
- 90 Russell JA, Walley KR, Gordon AC, et al. Interaction of vasopressin infusion, corticosteroid treatment, and mortality of septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2009; **37**: 811–8
- 91 Russell JA, Walley KR, Singer J, et al. Vasopressin versus norepinephrine infusion in patients with septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **358**: 877–87
- 92 Sandham JD, Hull RD, Brant RF, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of the use of pulmonary-artery catheters in high-risk surgical patients. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **348**: 5–14
- 93 Schmittinger CA, Dünser MW, Haller M, et al. Combined milrinone and enteral metoprolol therapy in patients with septic myocardial depression. *Crit Care* 2008; **12**: R99
- 94 Schortgen F, Girou E, Deye N, Brochard L, CRYCO Study Group. The risk associated with hyperoncotic colloids in patients with shock. *Intensive Care Med* 2008; **34**: 2157–68
- 95 Shoemaker WC, Appel PL, Waxman K, Schwartz S, Chang P. Clinical trial of survivors' cardiorespiratory patterns as therapeutic goals in critically ill postoperative patients. *Crit Care Med* 1982; **10**: 398–403
- 96 Singer M. Catecholamine treatment for shock—equally good or bad? *Lancet* 2007; **370**: 636–7
- 97 Sladen RN, Landry D. Renal blood flow regulation, autoregulation, and vasomotor nephropathy. *Anesthesiol Clin North Am* 2000; **18**: 791–807
- 98 Tamion F, Richard V, Lyoumi S, et al. Gut ischemia and mesenteric synthesis of inflammatory cytokines after hemorrhagic or endotoxic shock. *Am J Physiol* 1997; **273**: 314–21
- 99 The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, et al. Pulmonary-artery versus central venous catheter to guide treatment of acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **354**: 2213–24
- 100 Toller WG, Stranz C. Levosimendan, a new inotropic and vasodilator agent. *Anesthesiology* 2006; **104**: 556–69
- 101 Tote SP, Grounds RM. Performing perioperative optimization of the high-risk surgical patient. *Br J Anaesth* 2006; **97**: 4–11
- 102 Tritapepe L, De Santis V, Vitale D, et al. Preconditioning effects of levosimendan in coronary artery bypass grafting—a pilot study. *Br J Anaesth* 2006; **96**: 694–700
- 103 TRIUMPH Investigators Alexander JH, Reynolds HR, et al. Effect of tilarginine acetate in patients with acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock: the TRIUMPH randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 2007; **297**: 1657–66
- 104 Vallet B, Wiel E, Lebuffe G. Resuscitation from circulatory shock. In: Fink MP, Abraham E, Vincent JL, Kochanek PM, eds. *Textbook of Critical Care*, 5th Edn. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders, 2005; 905–10
- 105 Van den Berghe G, de Zegher F. Anterior pituitary function during critical illness and dopamine treatment. *Crit Care Med* 1996; **24**: 1580–90
- 106 Van der Heijden M, Verheij J, van Nieuw Amerongen GP, Groeneveld AB. Crystalloid or colloid fluid loading and pulmonary permeability, edema, and injury in septic and nonseptic critically ill patients with hypovolemia. *Crit Care Med* 2009; **37**: 1275–81
- 107 Van der Poll T, Coyle SM, Barbosa K, Braxton CC, Lowry SF. Epinephrine inhibits tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and potentiates interleukin 10 production during human endotoxemia. *J Clin Invest* 1996; **97**: 713–9
- 108 Van der Poll T, Jansen J, Endert E, Sauerwein HP, van Deventer SJ. Noradrenaline inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced tumor necrosis factor and interleukin 6 production in human whole blood. *Infect Immun* 1994; **62**: 2046–50
- 109 Vanhorebeek I, Langouche L, Van den Berghe G. Tight blood glucose control: what is the evidence? *Crit Care Med* 2007; **35**: S496–502
- 110 Villa P, Jiménez M, Soriano MC, Manzanares J, Casasnovas P. Serum cystatin C concentration as a marker of acute renal dysfunction in critically ill patients. *Crit Care* 2005; **9**: R139–43
- 111 Vincent JL. Does central venous oxygen saturation accurately reflect mixed venous oxygen saturation? Nothing is simple, unfortunately. *Intensive Care Med* 1992; **18**: 386–7
- 112 Vincent JL, De Backer D. Oxygen transport—the oxygen delivery controversy. *Intensive Care Med* 2004; **30**: 1990–6
- 113 Von Känel R, Dimsdale JE. Effects of sympathetic activation by adrenergic infusions on hemostasis *in vivo*. *Eur J Haematol* 2000; **65**: 357–69
- 114 Wang P, Tait SM, Chaudry IH. Sustained elevation of norepinephrine depresses hepatocellular function. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2000; **1535**: 36–44
- 115 Wilson J, Woods I, Fawcett J, et al. Reducing the risk of major elective surgery: randomised controlled trial of preoperative optimisation of oxygen delivery. *Br Med J* 1999; **318**: 1099–103
- 116 Woiciechowsky C, Schöning B, Lanksch WR, Volk HD, Döcke WD. Catecholamine-induced interleukin-10 release: a key mechanism in systemic immunodepression after brain injury. *Crit Care* 1999; **3**: R107–11
- 117 Yu M, Levy MM, Smith P, Takiguchi SA, Miyasaki A, Myers SA. Effect of maximizing oxygen delivery on morbidity and mortality rates in critically ill patients: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Crit Care Med* 1993; **21**: 830–8
- 118 Zager RA, Johnson AC, Lund S, Hanson SY, Abrass CK. Levosimendan protects against experimental endotoxemic acute renal failure. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2006; **290**: 1453–62
- 119 Zanotti Cavazzoni SL, Dellinger RP. Hemodynamic optimization of sepsis-induced tissue hypoperfusion. *Crit Care* 2006; **10**: S2