# Central venous oxygen saturation monitoring in the critically ill patient

Emanuel P. Rivers, MD, MPH,\*<sup>†</sup> Douglas S. Ander, MD,<sup>‡</sup> and Doris Powell, MD\*

In the initial treatment of a critically ill patient, blood pressure, heart rate, urine output, and central venous pressure guide resuscitative efforts. Despite normalization of these variables, global tissue hypoxia may still persist and has been implicated in the development of multiorgan failure and increased mortality. Definitive management includes intensive care unit admission, pulmonary artery catheterization using mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO<sub>2</sub>), and hemodynamic optimization. In the absence of or before definitive management, hemodynamic optimization can be performed using central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO<sub>o</sub>) as a surrogate. The physiology, technology, clinical uses, and rationale for ScvO<sub>2</sub> monitoring are reviewed, including issues regarding physiologic equivalence to SvO<sub>2</sub>. The clinical use of ScvO<sub>2</sub> monitoring, evidence-based outcome implications, and limitations of ScvO2 monitoring will also be examined. Curr Opin Crit Care 2001, 7:204-211 © 2001 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

Departments of \*Emergency Medicine and <sup>†</sup>Surgery, Henry Ford Health System, Case Western Reserve University, Detroit, Michigan, USA; and <sup>†</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

Correspondence to Emanuel P. Rivers, MD, MPH, Department of Emergency Medicine and Surgery, Henry Ford Hospital, 799 West Grand Boulevard, Detroit, MI 48202, USA; e-mail: erivers1@hfhs.org

Current Opinion in Critical Care 2001, 7:204-211

#### Abbreviations

DO <sub>2</sub>	oxygen delivery
EGDT	early goal-directed therapy
ScvO <sub>2</sub>	central venous oxygen saturation
SvO <sub>2</sub>	mixed venous oxygen saturation

ISSN 1070-5295 © 2001 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

Shock is defined as the presence of global tissue hypoxia secondary to an imbalance between systemic oxygen delivery (DO<sub>2</sub>) and demands. During initial patient management, physiologic variables such as blood pressure, heart rate, and urine output guide resuscitative efforts. Despite normalization of these variables, significant imbalances between DO<sub>2</sub> and demand result in global tissue hypoxia [1,2,3••]. This global tissue hypoxia, if left untreated, leads to anaerobic metabolism, lactate production, and oxygen debt. The magnitude and duration of oxygen debt have been implicated in the development of multisystem organ failure and increased mortality [4-6]. The current review examines the clinical utility of central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO<sub>2</sub>) as a surrogate for mixed venous oxygen saturation  $(SvO_2)$  in the care of critically ill patients.

## Physiology of mixed venous oxygen saturation

The normal range for  $SvO_{22}$  which reflects the balance between  $DO_2$  and demands, is 65 to 75% [7,8].  $SvO_2$ decreases when  $DO_2$  has been compromised or systemic oxygen demands have exceeded supply. When this compensatory mechanism is overwhelmed and  $SvO_2$  remains low, global tissue hypoxia and lactic acidosis ensues.  $SvO_2$  has been used for its prognostic significance as a predictor of hyperlactemia and death (compared with arterial oxygen saturation and cardiac output in patients with severe cardiac and pulmonary disease) [7,9]. The objective of the clinician is to determine which of these variables are deranged and to institute appropriate therapy. Figure 1 illustrates the variables that affect  $SvO_2$ .

### Technology of venous oximetry

By using infrared oximetry, which is based on reflection spectrophotometry,  $SvO_2$  can be monitored continuously. Light is transmitted into the blood, reflected off red blood cells, and read by a photo detector. The amount of light reflected at different wavelengths varies depending on the concentration of oxyhemoglobin and hemoglobin (Fig. 2). The catheter used to measure venous oxygen saturation can be a pulmonary artery catheter or a 16 to 22 cm central venous catheter capable of fluid or medication administration and pressure measurements.

#### Figure 1. Variables that affect mixed venous oxygen saturation



Central or mixed venous oxygen saturation can be decreased as a result of variables that increase systemic oxygen consumption or a decrease in systemic oxygen delivery; DO<sub>2</sub>, systemic oxygen delivery; Hg, mercury; PaO<sub>2</sub>, arterial oxygen pressure; VO<sub>2</sub>, systemic oxygen consumption.

# Clinical uses of mixed venous oxygen saturation monitoring

Used extensively in various clinical scenarios, SvO<sub>2</sub> has been shown to be superior to mean arterial pressure and heart rate in cardiac surgery patients [10]. Declines in SvO<sub>2</sub> preceded the onset of inadequate myocardial function [11], shock, or the development of arrhythmias, although vital signs were normal [12]. SvO<sub>2</sub> has been shown to have diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic use in the treatment of critically ill patients who have acute myocardial infarction [13,14] or general medical intensive care unit (ICU) conditions [15]; have undergone postoperative cardiovascular procedures [16••], vascular surgery [20,21], pediatric surgery [11], or lung transplantation [26]; have experienced trauma [17-19], septic shock [22,23], or cardiogenic shock [24,27]; or are neonates [25]. Although SvO<sub>2</sub> has not been shown to have outcome benefit as a goal-directed hemodynamic end-point in a heterogeneous group of patients after ICU admission [28], it has shown to decrease morbidity and health care-resource consumption in postoperative cardiovascular [16••] and trauma [17] patients. There is evidence that the timing of diagnostic and therapeutic intervention using this technology may be a critical outcome determinant that has not been addressed in prior studies [29••].

### Why monitor central venous oxygen saturation?

The time between the onset of critical illness and definitive ICU intervention can be significantly long and have outcome implications [30,31••,32]. Measurement of  $SvO_2$  requires placement of a pulmonary artery catheter, which may not be feasible early in resuscitation or in pediatric patients. However, central venous assess can be obtained in both ICU and non-ICU settings, which makes continuous  $ScvO_2$  monitoring a convenient surrogate for  $SvO_2$ . Up to <u>50%</u> of patients resuscitated from shock may have continued global tissue hypoxia (*ie*, increased lactate and decreased  $SevO_2$ ) even with the <u>normalization</u> of vital signs and <u>central venous pressure</u> [3••]. The ability to detect and resolve occult tissue hypoxia early in the course of patient care may have outcome benefit [16••,29••].

## Are mixed central venous oxygen saturation clinically equivalent?

The relation between SvO2 and ScvO2 obtained from the superior vena cava and right atria has been examined in animal and human models (Table 1). Superior vena cava ScvO<sub>2</sub> is slightly less than right atria ScvO<sub>2</sub> and more accurately reflects SvO<sub>2</sub> when patients are not in shock [33,34••]. <u>Right atria</u> saturations are <u>not</u> significantly different from SvO<sub>2</sub> whether or not in shock [33]. For patients in shock a consistent reversal of this relation occurs and superior vena cava ScvO<sub>2</sub> is always greater than SvO<sub>2</sub> [33]; the difference can range from 5 to 18% [33,34••]. Redistribution of blood flow away from the splenic, renal, and mesenteric bed toward the cerebral and coronary circulation, including more desaturated blood (< 30%) from the coronary sinus, contributes to this observation [33]. Thus, superior vena cava ScvO<sub>2</sub> consistently overestimates the true SvO<sub>2</sub> under shock conditions.

There has been considerable debate regarding whether  $\text{SevO}_2$  in a satisfactory substitute for  $\text{SvO}_2$ , particularly in ranges above 65% [35•,36–39]. Although the absolute values of  $\text{SevO}_2$  and  $\text{SvO}_2$  differ, studies have shown close tracking of the two sites across a wide range of hemodynamic conditions [40••]. Furthermore, the presence of a pathologically low  $\text{SevO}_2$  value (implying an even lower  $\text{SvO}_2$ ) is more clinically important than whether the values are equal. Goldman *et al.* [13] found that  $\text{SevO}_2$  less than 60% showed evidence of heart failure, shock, or a combination of the two. Hyperdynamic septic shock





The technology of spectrophotometry involves sending an infrared signal by fiberoptic transmission through the central venous catheter. A receiving fiber in the catheter detects reflected light off of hemoglobin in red blood cells to provide a continuous read-out of central venous oxygen saturation.

Study	Study design and subjects	Results	Conclusions
Reinhart <i>et al.</i> [40••]	Hypoxia, hemorrhage, and resuscitation in anes- thetized dogs.	The correlation coefficient (r) between $\text{SvO}_2$ and $\text{ScvO}_2$ was 0.97 with a mean difference of 2.7 ± 2.9% satura- tion. In each dog the changes in $\text{ScvO}_2$ closely paral- leled the changes in $\text{SvO}_2$ .	Although absolute values of $\text{ScvO}_2$ are not identical to $\text{SvO}_{21}$ close tracking of changes in the two sites across a wide range of hemodynamic conditions.
Scalea <i>et al.</i> [57]	Hemorrhage dog model.	Cardiac index and SvO <sub>2</sub> showed linearity as function of measure blood loss. SvO <sub>2</sub> mirrors ScvO <sub>2</sub> r values gener- ated by linear-regression anlaysis that ranged from 0.85–0.99 with a mean of 0.95 for SvO	$SvcO_2$ is a reliable substitute for $SvO_2$ in the hemorrhage shock model.
Baquero-Cano et al. [58]	Neonatal piglet sepsis model.	Significant correlation existed between $\text{SvO}_2$ and $\text{ScvO}_2$ ( $r^2 = 0.88$ ).	$ScvO_2$ can be a sure, efficient, and easy alternative for $SvO_2$ in neonatal patients
Schou <i>et al.</i> [59]	Hemodilution pig model.	The regression coefficient bwtween SvO <sub>2</sub> and ScvO <sub>2</sub> was 0.97 ( $r^2 = 0.93$ , bias -2.4 ± 5.8%) in the hemodiluted group and 0.99 ( $r^2 = 0.97$ , bias -3.0 ± 5.0%) in the control group.	During hemodilution, $ScvO_2$ monitoring may be as useful as $SvO_2$ monitoring.
Davies <i>et al.</i> [60]	Pig model of circulatory shock and chemically induced lung damage.	The difference between the overall means of right atria $ScvO_2$ and $SvO_2$ was 0.91% with a standard error of the estimate of 4.7%, a regression equation of right atria $SvO_2 = SvO_2$ (0.94 ± 2.4)PA $SvO_2$ , and a correlation coefficient of 0.94.	$SvO_2$ monitoring may be accomplished less invasively and at a lower cost with a right atrial catheter.
Shah <i>et al.</i> [61]	Hemorrhagic shock rat model.	Increasing the severity of shock progressively worsened the acidosis, with increased base deficit and lactate and deterioration in ScvO <sub>2</sub> . ScvO <sub>2</sub> predicted subsequent mortality. Lactate levels only predicted irreversibility in late severe shock.	$\text{ScvO}_2$ can be used to monitor the progression from the decompensated phase of hemorrhagic shock to irreversibility.
Lee <i>et al.</i> [33]	Non shock and shock (hemorrhagic, septic, and neurogenic) patients.	In shock, $ScvO_2$ was significantly greater than $SvO_2$ (r <sup>2</sup> = 0.73). The correlation between right atria $ScvO_2$ and $SvO_2$ was 0.95. Right atria $ScvO_2$ was more accurate in reflecting changes in $SvO2$ (0.81 vs 0.27).	$ScvO_2$ levels are consistently greater than those of $SvO_2$ in shock. Right atria $ScvO_2$ is superior to superior vena cava $SvO_2$ in reflecting $SvO_2$ .
Scheinman <i>et al.</i> [34••]	Critically ill patients	ScvO <sub>2</sub> levels in the superior vena cava are significantly greater than those of SvO <sub>2</sub> in shock (58 ± 13 vs 47.5 ± 15; (r = 0.55). Although the correlation decreases with the onset of shock, changes in ScvO <sub>2</sub> in shock reflect changes in SvO <sub>2</sub> (r = 0.90). ScvO2 from the right atria is similar to SvO <sub>2</sub> (49.2 ± 19 vs 49.2 ± 19; r = 0.96).	ScvO <sub>2</sub> levels are consistently greater than those of SvO <sub>2</sub> but there is a poor correlation with SvO <sub>2</sub> in heart failure of shock. although right atria SVO <sub>2</sub> levels more accurately replaceSvO <sub>2</sub> , superior vena ava ScvO <sub>2</sub> is helpful in monitor- instronds or changes in SuO
Goldman <i>et al.</i> [13]	Myocardial infarction patients.	Good correlation between ScvO <sub>2</sub> in wide range cardiac outputs.	ScvO <sub>2</sub> accurately reffecs $SvO_2$ . ScvO <sub>2</sub> < 60% is associated with heart failure or shock
Tahvanainen <i>et al.</i> [62]	Estimated the value of $ScvO_2$ as representative of real changes in pulmonary shunt $(Osp/Ot)$ , $SvO_2$ , and arteriovenous oxygen content difference $[C(a-v)O_2]$ during active phases of adult intensive care.	A significant positive correlation of the measured variables (and especially of the subsequent changes of these vari- ables) in individual patients between PA blood samples and both superior vena cava and right atrial blood samples ( $P < 0.001$ ).	ScrO <sub>2</sub> can replace $SvO_2$ for the afore- mentioned purposes. However, the exact value of $ScrO_2$ can olny be meausred from blood collected from the PA itself.
Faber [37]	Critically ill patients.	Although the proposed algorithm had a fairly high power of prediction, its merits in comparison to assuming simple proportionality between central venous and mixed venous oxygen content seemed marginal.	Because it is likely that the results so far are mathematically coupled, further prospective studies are necessary.
Herrera <i>et al.</i> [63]	Compared SvO <sub>2</sub> and ScvO <sub>2</sub> in 23 patients undergoing thoracic surgery	At baseline $SvO_2$ was > $SvcO_2$ and the mean difference was always < 0.9%. During surgery $SvcO_2$ was always > $SvO_2$ and the mean difference was < 1.3%. Simple linear correlation was significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) for each of the measurements and for the whole sample. Bias (0.2 and 0.7%) and its standard deviation (2.7 and 2.5%) between the two techniques were small and the differ- ences between all measurements were less than 5%.	For thoracic anesthesia in patients who are not good candidates for catheteri- zation of the pulmonary artery, $SvO_2$ may be substituted for $SvcO_2$ to monitor the balance of supply and demand.
Edwards <i>et al.</i> [35••]	Patients in severe circula- tory shock immediately on ICU admission.	Superior vena cava, right atria, and PA values were similar: $74 \pm 12.5\%$ , $70 \pm 13\%$ , and $71.3 \pm 12.7\%$ , respec- tively. However, the ranges and 95% confidence limits were -19.3 to +23.1% and -19.7 to +16.7%, respec- tively, and the 95% confidence limits were -18.4 to +24.2% and -18.6 to +17.3%. respectively.	SvO <sub>2</sub> is only reliably measured in samples taken from the PA.
Berridge [64]	Patients in the ICU with low, medium, and high cardiac indexes.	The correlation coefficients of the three groups were: low cardiac index, 0.95; mediaum cardac index; 0.88; and high cardiac index 0.95 ( $P < 0.001$ ).	These results suggest that $\text{ScvO}_2$ is a useful estimate of $\text{SvO}_2$ and that the influence of cardiac output on that estimate is minimal.

### Table 1. Studies comparing mixed venous oxygen saturation and central venous oxygen saturation

Study	Study design and subjects	Results	Conclusions
Kong <i>et al</i> . [65]	Patients with end-stage renal failure.	Cardiac index was lower in patients $2.45 \pm 0.42$ L/min/m <sup>3</sup> ) compared with the control subjects ( $3.74 \pm 0.17$ L/min/m <sup>3</sup> ). SvO <sub>2</sub> was 53 ± 8% and ScvO <sub>2</sub> (superior vena cava) was 57 ± 6%.	Venous oxygen saturations are similar in end-stage renal failure.
Wendt <i>et al.</i> [66]	Patients in the ICU.	The correlation of oxygen partial pressures was 0.687 and the correlation of the saturation reached 0.779. Thecalculation of venous admixture showed a correla- tion of 0.901.	$ScvO_2$ adequately reflects $SvO_2$ .
Emerman <i>et al.</i> [67]	Experimental cardiac-arrest dog model.	PO <sub>2</sub> , PCO <sub>2</sub> , and pH from the pulmonary artery samples were strongly correlated with those from the central venous (r = .93, .99, and .99, respectively) and from the femoral venous samples (r = .73, .93, and .97, respectively). There were no significant differences in the pulmonary artery, central, or femoral venous gases.	Femoral and central venous samples mirror true mixed venous blood gases from the PA and could be used in their place.
Martin <i>et al.</i> [36]	Continuous monitoring in critically ill patients with and without therapeutic interventions	Systematic error was 0.6% and 0.3% and variability was 10%. Differences were greater than or equal to 5% in 49% of values during periods of stability and in 50% of values during periods with therapeutic interventions. Correlation was $r = 0.48$ without and $r = 0.62$ with therapeutic interventions. changes n SvO <sub>2</sub> and SvcO <sub>2</sub> during periods without and with therapeutic interventions were $r = 0.70$ and $r = 0.77$ , respectively.	The present study indicates that ScvO <sub>2</sub> monitoring was not reliable in the study patients.
Martin <i>et al.</i> [68]	Open-chest CPR animal model.	The correlation between $\text{ScvO}_2$ and $\text{SvO}_2$ was 0.87 ( $P < 0.001$ ) before arrest but deteriorated at all times during CPR with values ranging from 0.1589 ( $P = -0.542$ ) to 0.5781 ( $P = 0.024$ ).	Although statistically significant at times, the correlation between $ScvO_2$ and $SvO_2$ during CPR is not consistently high enough to enable the routine substitution in this model.

Table 1. Studies comparing mixed venous oxygen saturation and central venous oxygen saturation (continued)

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ICU, intensive care unit; PA, pulmonary artery, ScvO<sub>2</sub>, central venous oxygen saturation; SvO<sub>2</sub>, mixed venous oxygen saturation.

ICU patients seldom exhibit  $\text{SvO}_2$  levels less than 60 to 65%, and sustained levels are associated with increased mortality [22,23]. Studies examining the clinical utility of  $\text{ScvO}_2$  early in the course of disease presentation routinely encounter values less than 50%, which are considered critical [3,29••,41•]. At these values, venous saturations are actually 5 to 18% lower in the pulmonary artery [33,42] and 15% lower in the splanchnic bed [43]. Thus, although not numerically equivalent, these range of values are pathologically equivalent [13] and associated with high mortality [9].

### Clinical uses of central venous oxygen saturation monitoring

Rady et al. [3••] found that 50% of critically ill patients presenting in shock who were resuscitated to normal vital signs continued to have increased lactate and abnormally low  $ScvO_2$  indicating anaerobic metabolism and oxygen debt. These patients required further interventions. Rady et al.'s study gave rise to the clinical use of  $ScvO_2$  in early management of cardiac arrest, the postresuscitation period, trauma and hemorrhage, severe heart failure, severe sepsis, and septic shock (Table 2).

### **Cardiac arrest**

Management of the cardiac-arrest patient by advanced cardiac life support guidelines includes physical examination (*ie*, palpation of a pulse) and electrocardiographic monitoring. ScvO<sub>2</sub> monitoring during cardiac arrest has been shown to be a diagnostic and therapeutic adjunct [44-46]. Cardiac-arrest patients routinely have ScvO<sub>2</sub> values of 5 to 20% during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Failure to reach ScvO<sub>2</sub> of at least 40% during the management of cardiac arrest is associated with a 100% mortality rate even when there is intermittent measurable blood pressure. ScvO<sub>2</sub> has been used to confirm the presence or absence of sustainable cardiac activity during electromechanical dissociation (EMD) or a pulseless idioventricular rhythm (where over 35% of patients have been shown to have spontaneous cardiac activity [psuedo-EMD]) [47]. If ScvO<sub>2</sub> is greater than 60%, return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) is likely and the pulse should be frequently rechecked if EMD is present. Between ScvO<sub>2</sub> values of 40% and 72%, there is a progressive increase in the rate of ROSC. When  $ScvO_2$  greater than  $\underline{72\%}$  is obtained, ROSC has likely occurred. Continuous ScvO<sub>2</sub> monitoring provides an objective measure to confirm the adequacy or inadequacy of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in providing DO<sub>2</sub>.

### Postresuscitation after cardiac arrest

In the immediate postresuscitation period, patients are frequently hemodynamically unstable and have a high frequency of re-arrest. Cuff and intraarterial pressures may be rendered insensitive in the measurement of

Study	Study design and subjects	Results	Conclusions
Ander <i>et al.</i> [41••]	Examined the use of lactic acid levels and $ScvO_2$ to stratify and treat patients with acutely decompensated end-stage chronic CHF who presented to the emergency department. The patents were divided into a high-lactic-acid group (n = 22), a low-lactic-acid group (n = 5), and a control group (stable patients presenting to a cardiol- ory clinic n = 17)	There was no statistical difference in vital signs or Killip and New York Heart Association criteria between the three groups. $\text{ScvO}_2$ was significantly lower in the high-lactic-acid group ( $32 \pm 12\%$ ) than in the normal-lactic-acid ( $51 \pm 13\%$ ) and control ( $60 \pm 6\%$ ) groups ( $P = 0.001$ ). After treat- ment there was a significant decrease in lactic acid ( $-3.65 \pm 3.65 \text{ mol/L}$ ) and an increase in $\text{ScvO}_2$ ( $32 \pm 13\%$ ) in the high-lactic-acid group compared with the normal-lactic-acid group ( $P = 0.001$ ).	A significant subset of patients with decompensated end-stage CHF present to the emergency department in occult shock and are clinically indistinguishable from patients with mildly decom- pensated or stable CHF. Once identified, these patients require aggressive alternative manage- ment and disposition.
Scalea <i>et al.</i> [51]	Patients had injury mechanisms that suggested blood loss but were deemed stable after initial evaluation.	Despite stable vital signs, 10 patients (39%) had ScvO <sub>2</sub> saturations < 65%. These patients had more serious injuries and significantly larger esti- mated blood losses, and required more transfu- sions than did those patients with ScvO <sub>2</sub> saturation > 65%. Linear regression analysis demonstrated the superiority of ScvO <sub>2</sub> saturation to predict blood loss ( $P < 0.005$ ) relative to any of the normally allowed parameters.	ScvO <sub>2</sub> saturation is a reliable and sensitive method for detecting blood loss. It is useful tool in the evaluation of acutely injured patients.
Kowalenko <i>et al.</i> [52]	Critically ill trauma and hemor- rhage patients.	Patients initially resuscitated to normal vital signs who had sustained decreases in $\text{ScvO}_2$ required acute interventions (procedures or surgery) compared with those who had normal $\text{ScvO}_2$ .	${\rm ScvO}_2$ is clinically useful in the treatment of trauma and hemorrhage.
Nakazawa <i>et al.</i> [46]	Compared end-tidal $CO_2$ monitor- ing with $ScvO_2$ monitoring for cardiac arrest patients.	During the complete stasis of systemic circulation, when defibrillation was done, ScvO <sub>2</sub> did not change, whereas ETCO <sub>2</sub> gradually decreased. However, the decrease in ScvO <sub>2</sub> temporally occurred when chest compression was resumed. ScvO <sub>2</sub> monitoring had great advantage to detect- ing peripheral tissue oxygenation. ScvO <sub>2</sub> seems to be no less accurate and reliable monitoring than capport during CPR procedures	ScvO <sub>2</sub> is as accurate and reliable a monitor as the capnogram during CPR procedures. Because the capnogram is noninvasively and easily used in cardiac arrest patients, ScvO <sub>2</sub> monitoring combined with the capnogram is the preferred method for assess- ing the afficacy of oppoing CPR
Rady <i>et al.</i> [3,69]	Critically ill patients in the emer- gency department admitted to the ICU	Additional therapy is required for 50% of critically ill patients to resolve global tissue hypoxia after initial resuscitation and hemodynamic stabilization in the emergency department to normal blood pressure and heart rate.	The measurement of $ScvO_2$ and lactate can be utilized to guide this phase of additional therapy in the emergency department.
Madsen <i>et al.</i> [70]	Compared ScvO <sub>2</sub> and CVP as indices of effective blood volume in humans.	CVP decreased from 3 (1–6) to 1 (–3–5) mm Hg ( $P$ = 0.05) but thereafter remained stable. In contrast, ScvO <sub>2</sub> showed a linear decrease with time from 0.75 (0.69–0.78) at rest to 0.60 (0.49–0.67) when	Reduced central blood volume is reflected more clearly with $ScvO_2$ than in CVP.
Rivers <i>et al.</i> [71•]	Out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest patients.	Patients ( $n = 100$ ) with return of spontaneous circula- tion (ROSC) had a higher initial mean, and maximal ScvO <sub>2</sub> than did those without ROSC. No patient attained ROSC without reaching an ScvO <sub>2</sub> of at least 30%. An ScvO <sub>2</sub> of greater than 72% was 100% predictive of ROSC.	Continuous central venous oxygen saturation monitoring can reliably indicate ROSC during CPR in humans.
Synder <i>et al.</i> [44]	In-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest patients.	Of 14 patient with an initial $PvO_2 > 37$ torr, 12 had ROSC and survived 24 hours; all of the 29 patients with a $PvO_2 < 31$ torr were nonsurvivors.	PvO <sub>2</sub> appears to be a reliable predictor of poor short-term outcome after in-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest and CPR.
Hirschl <i>et al.</i> [56]	Animal (rabbit) neonatal model.	Significant changes in $ScvO_2$ were seen with changes in $DO_2$ , peak inspiratory pressure, positive end-expiratory pressure, and progressive hypovolemia.	$ScvO_2$ monitoring may be a power- ful tool in the management of critically ill neonates.

CHF, congestive heart failure; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; CVP, central venous pressure;  $DO_2$ , oxygen delivery; ETCO<sub>2</sub>, end tidal carbon dioxide; ICU, intensive care unit;  $PvO_2$ , partial venous oxygen pressure;  $ScvO_2$ , central venous oxygen saturation;  $SvO_2$ , mixed venous oxygen saturation; ROSC, restoration of spontaneous circulation.

cardiac output or  $DO_2$  secondary to the high systemic vascular resistance of catecholamine therapy [1,45,48]. An abrupt or gradual decrease in  $ScvO_2$  (< 40–50%) indicates likelihood for re-arrest, whereas  $ScvO_2$  greater than 60 to 70% indicates hemodynamic stabil-

ity. A sustained extreme elevation of  $ScvO_2$  (> 80%) in the presence of a low  $DO_2$  carries a poor prognosis because it indicates an impairment of systemic oxygen consumption (*ie*, the inability of the tissues to use oxygen). This has been attributed to long periods of arrest and the use of large doses of vasopressors [49]. If this derangement is not corrected within the early postresuscitation period, the outcome is uniformly fatal [45].

#### Traumatic and hemorrhagic shock

The standards of Advanced Trauma Life Support focus on normalization of vital signs [50•]. Studies have shown that vital signs are <u>insensitive</u> end-points of resuscitation and outcome predictors in hemorrhage and trauma resuscitation [1,51]. Kowalenko *et al.* [52] and Scalea *et al.* [51] have shown that patients presenting with trauma and hemorrhage required additional resuscitation or surgical procedures when ScvO<sub>2</sub> remained less than 65%.

#### Occult cardiogenic shock in severe heart failure

Cardiogenic shock is characterized by decreased DO<sub>2</sub> and evidence of tissue hypoxia (eg, lactic acidosis, endorgan dysfunction) secondary to myocardial dysfunction [24]. This definition is most commonly seen in acute pump dysfunction (eg, acute myocardial infarction). In chronic severe heart failure, this presentation may be insidious. Ander et al. [41•] examined patients who presented with decompensated chronic severe heart failure (ejection fraction < 30%) who were stratified into normal and elevated lactate (> 2 mM/L) groups. There was a significant prevalence of "occult cardiogenic shock" with ScvO<sub>2</sub> ranging from 26.4 to 36.8% in the presence of normal vital signs. Using a goal-oriented approach of preload, after-load, contractility, coronary perfusion, and heart-rate optimization, these patients required additional therapy whereas their counterparts with normal lactate levels did not  $[41 \bullet \bullet]$ .

### Severe sepsis and septic shock: an outcome evaluation of early intervention

Previous studies have examined  $\text{SvO}_2$ -guided goaldirected therapy for severe sepsis and septic shock after ICU admission. In a study evaluating early goal-directed therapy (EGDT) using  $\text{ScvO}_2$  before ICU admission, patients presenting with severe sepsis and septic shock were randomized to 6 hours of EGDT or standard therapy before ICU admission. Patients in both groups were resuscitated to a central venous pressure greater than 8 mm Hg and mean arterial pressure greater than 65 mm Hg, but those in the treatment group were resuscitated to an  $\text{ScvO}_2$  greater than 70% using continuous  $\text{ScvO}_2$  monitoring. Serial end-points of resuscitation, physiologic and organ dysfunction scores, mortality rate, and health care-resource consumption were compared at 0, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 hours.

There were no significant differences in 0-hour variables between the EGDT and control group patients. Over the initial 72 hours, there was a higher central venous  $O_2$ saturation (65.4 ± 8.9% vs 57.1 ± 13%, P < 0.001), lower lactate  $(3.1 \pm 3.0 \text{ mmol/L} \text{ vs} 4.0 \pm 3.8 \text{ mmol/L}, P = 0.025)$ , lower base deficit (2.4  $\pm$  4.6 mEq/L vs 5.6  $\pm$  6.0 mEq/L, P < 0.001), and higher pH (7.39 ± 0.20 vs 7.35 ± 0.14, P = 0.01) for those in the EGDT group than for those in the control group. Valus for Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation Score-II, Simplified Acute Physiology Score-II, and Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndrome were lower for patients in the EGDT group at all time points than they were for those in the control group (all P < 0.001). In-hospital mortality was 26.1% versus 42.4% (P = 0.009) and 28-day mortality was 30.5% versus 48.2% (P = 0.008) for those in the EGDT group versus the control group, respectively. In survivors, duration of hospital stay and mechanical ventilation were 3.8 (P = 0.001) and 1.4 days (P = 0.001) less in the EGDT group than in the control group, respectively. Using ScvO<sub>2</sub> as a resuscitation end-point in addition to mean arterial pressure and central venous pressure provides significant outcome benefit for patients with severe sepsis and septic shock over standard therapy.

### Limitations and future questions

Despite studies questioning the value of  $SvO_2$  in treating ICU patients [45,53–55], considerable evidence suggests that  $ScvO_2$  has a beneficial role in the early management of critically ill patients and even neonates [25,56]. The ability to access this information earlier in the phases of critical illness is now a reality and further studies are now in progress to confirm that early recognition and treatment of deranged  $ScvO_2$  values have significant outcome benefit.

#### Conclusions

In the resuscitation of critically ill patients,  $\text{SevO}_2$  monitoring has been shown to be a better indicator of tissue oxygenation and derangement of cellular oxygen utilization than vital signs. When applied to the treatment of various shock states,  $\text{SevO}_2$  monitoring impacts therapeutic intervention; there is evidence that this may be of outcome benefit over conventional therapy, which uses vital signs and central venous pressure.

#### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- Of special interest
  Of outstanding interest
- Of outstanding interest
- Wo CC, Shoemaker WC, Appel PL, et al.: Unreliability of blood pressure and heart rate to evaluate cardiac output in emergency resuscitation and critical illness. Crit Care Med 1993, 21:218–223.
- Cortez A, Zito J, Lucas CE, et al.: Mechanism of inappropriate polyuria in septic patients. Arch Surg 1977, 112:471–476.
- Rady MY, Rivers EP, Nowak RM: Resuscitation of the critically ill in the ED:
  responses of blood pressure, heart rate, shock index, central venous

oxygen saturation, and lactate. Am J Emerg Med 1996, 14:218–225. This important publication established that persistent global tissue hypoxia often persists even with normalization of vitals signs as resuscitation end-points.

4 Beal AL, Cerra FB: Multiple organ failure syndrome in the 1990s: systemic inflammatory response and organ dysfunction. JAMA 1994, 271:226–233.

- 5 Bihari D, Smithies M, Gimson A, et al.: The effects of vasodilation with prostacyclin on oxygen delivery and uptake in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 1987, 317:397–403.
- 6 Bakker J, Gris P, Coffernils M, et al.: Serial blood lactate levels can predict the development of multiple organ failure following septic shock. Am J Surg 1996, 171:221–226.
- 7 Kandel G, Aberman A: Mixed venous oxygen saturation: its role in the assessment of the critically ill patient. Arch Intern Med 1983, 143:1400-1402.
- 8 Nelson LD: Continuous venous oximetry in surgical patients. Ann Surg 1986, 203:329–333.
- 9 Kasnitz P, Druger GL, Yorra F, et al.: Mixed venous oxygen tension and hyperlactatemia: survival in severe cardiopulmonary disease. JAMA 1976, 236:570–574.
- 10 Waller JL, Kaplan JA, Bauman DI, et al.: Clinical evaluation of a new fiberoptic catheter oximeter during cardiac surgery. Anesth Analg 1982, 61:676-679.
- 11 de la Rocha AG, Edmonds JF, Williams WG, et al.: Importance of mixed venous oxygen saturation in the care of critically ill patients. Can J Surg 1978, 21:227–229.
- 12 Krauss XH, Verdouw PD, Hughenholtz PG, et al.: On-line monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation after cardiothoracic surgery. Thorax 1975, 30:636–643.
- 13 Goldman RH, Klughaupt M, Metcalf T, et al.: Measurement of central venous oxygen saturation in patients with myocardial infarction. Circulation 1968, 38:941–946.
- 14 Muir AL, Kirby BJ, King AJ, et al.: Mixed venous oxygen saturation in relation to cardiac output in myocardial infarction. Br Med J 1970, 4:276–278.
- 15 Birman H, Haq A, Hew E, et al.: Continuous monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation in hemodynamically unstable patients. Chest 1984, 86:753-756.
- Polonen P, Ruokonen E, Hippelainen M, et al.: A prospective, randomized study of goal-oriented hemodynamic therapy in cardiac surgical patients. Anesth Analg 2000, 90:1052–1059.
- A positive-outcome study that used SvO<sub>2</sub> as an end-point of resuscitation.
- 17 Kremzar B, Spec-Marn A, Kompan L, et al.: Normal values of SvO<sub>2</sub> as therapeutic goal in patients with multiple injuries. Intensive Care Med 1997, 23:65–70.
- 18 Kazarian KK, Del Guercio LR: The use of mixed venous blood gas determinations in traumatic shock. Ann Emerg Med 1980, 9:179–182.
- 19 Rady MY: Patterns of oxygen transport in trauma and their relationship to outcome. Am J Emerg Med 1994. 12:107–112.
- 20 Powelson JA, Maini BS, Bishop RL, et al.: Continuous monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation during aortic operations. Crit Care Med 1992, 20:332–336.
- 21 Norwood SH, Nelson LD: Continuous monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation during aortofemoral bypass grafting. Am Surg 1986, 52:114-115.
- 22 Heiselman D, Jones J, Cannon L: Continuous monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation in septic shock. J Clin Monit 1986. 2:237–245.
- 23 Krafft P, Steltzer H, Hiesmayr M, et al.: Mixed venous oxygen saturation in critically ill septic shock patients: the role of defined events. Chest 1993, 103:900–906.
- 24 Edwards JD: Oxygen transport in cardiogenic and septic shock. Crit Care Med 1991, 19:658–663.
- 25 O'Connor TA, Hall RT: Mixed venous oxygenation in critically ill neonates. Crit Care Med 1994, 22:343–346.
- 26 Conacher ID, Paes ML: Mixed venous oxygen saturation during lung transplantation. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 1994, 8:671–674.
- 27 Creamer JE, Edwards JD, Nightingale P: Hemodynamic and oxygen transport variables in cardiogenic shock secondary to acute myocardial infarction, and response to treatment. Am J Cardiol 1990, 65:1297–1300.
- 28 Gattinoni L, Brazzi L, Pelosi P, et al.: A trial of goal-oriented hemodynamic therapy in critically ill patients: SvO<sub>2</sub> Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 1995, 333:1025–1032.
- Rivers EP, Nguyen HB, Havstad S, et al.: Early goal directed therapy in the
  treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock: an outcome evaluation [abstract]. Chest 2000, 118:87S.

The most recent study indicating improved outcomes with early intervention directed by  ${\rm ScvO}_2$  and one of the few sepsis outcome trials that has shown survival benefit.

- 30 Varon J, Fromm RE Jr, Levine RL: Emergency department procedures and length of stay for critically ill medical patients. Ann Emerg Med 1994, 23:546–549.
- Lundberg JS, Perl TM, Wiblin T, et al.: Septic shock: an analysis of outcomes for patients with onset in hospital wards versus intensive care units. Crit Care Med 1998, 26:1020–1024.

A study that examines the delay to definitive care and its relation to outcome for patients who develop shock in hospital wards.

- 32 Lefrant JY, Muller L, Bruelle P, et al.: Insertion time of the pulmonary artery catheter in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 2000, 28:355–359.
- 33 Lee J, Wright F, Barber R, et al.: Central venous oxygen saturation in shock: a study in man. Anesthesiology 1972, 36:472–478.
- Scheinman MM, Brown MA, Rapaport E: Critical assessment of use of central venous oxygen saturation as a mirror of mixed venous oxygen in severely ill cardiac patients. Circulation 1969, 40:165–172.
- A frequently referenced publication that compares SvO, with ScvO,.
- Edwards JD, Mayall RM: Importance of the sampling site for measurement
  of mixed venous oxygen saturation in shock. Crit Care Med 1998, 26:1356–1360.

A publication that needs to be read even though the patient population examined is not equivalent to this study.

- 36 Martin C, Auffray JP, Badetti C, et al.: Monitoring of central venous oxygen saturation versus mixed venous oxygen saturation in critically ill patients. Intensive Care Med 1992, 18:101–104.
- 37 Faber T: Central venous versus mixed venous oxygen content. Acta Anesthesiol Scand Suppl 1995, 107:33–36.
- 38 Dongre SS, McAslan TC, Shin B: Selection of the source of mixed venous blood samples in severely traumatized patients. Anesth Analg 1977, 56:527–532.
- 39 Rigg JD, Nightingale PN, Faragher EB: Influence of cardiac output on the correlation between mixed venous and central venous oxygen saturation. Br J Anaesth 1993, 71:459.
- Reinhart K, Rudolph T, Bredle DL, et al.: Comparison of central-venous to mixed-venous oxygen saturation during changes in oxygen supply/demand. Chest 1989, 95:1216–1221.

This important animal study showed that  $SvO_2$  and  $ScvO_2$  closely parallel each other in various pathologic states.

 Ander DS, Jaggi M, Rivers E, et al.: Undetected cardiogenic shock in patients with congestive heart failure presenting to the emergency department. Am J Cardiol 1998, 82:888–891.

An important publication about the severity of illness in patients who appear hemodynamically stable; highlights the severity of  $ScvO_2$  values found in the early stages of disease.

- 42 Glamann DB, Lange RA, Hillis LD: Incidence and significance of a "stepdown" in oxygen saturation from superior vena cava to pulmonary artery. Am J Cardiol 1991, 68:695–697.
- 43 Dahn MS, Lange MP, Jacobs LA: Central mixed and splanchnic venous oxygen saturation monitoring: intensive Care Med 1988, 14:373–378.
- 44 Snyder AB, Salloum LJ, Barone JE, et al.: Predicting short-term outcome of cardiopulmonary resuscitation using central venous oxygen tension measurements. Crit Care Med 1991, 19:111–113.
- 45 Rivers EP, Rady MY, Martin GB, et al.: Venous hyperoxia after cardiac arrest: characterization of a defect in systemic oxygen utilization. Chest 1992, 102:1787–1793.
- 46 Nakazawa K, Hikawa Y, Saitoh Y, et al.: Usefulness of central venous oxygen saturation monitoring during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a comparative case study with end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring. Intensive Care Med 1994, 20:450–451.
- 47 Paradis NA, Martin GB, Goetting MG, et al.: Aortic pressure during human cardiac arrest: identification of pseudo-electromechanical dissociation. Chest 1992, 101:123–128.
- 48 Ryan BP, Redmond AD, Edwards JD: When to stop resuscitation: the significance of cuff blood pressure. Arch Emerg Med 1991, 8:177–181.
- 49 Rivers EP, Wortsman J, Rady MY, et al.: The effect of the total cumulative epinephrine dose administered during human CPR on hemodynamic,

oxygen transport, and utilization variables in the postresuscitation period. Chest 1994, 106:1499-1507.

 Deakin CD, Low JL: Accuracy of the advanced trauma life support guidelines for predicting systolic blood pressure using carotid, femoral, and radial pulses: observational study. BMJ 2000, 321:673–674.

This article discusses how insensitive physical examination is in detecting shock and its severity.

- 51 Scalea TM, Hartnett RW, Duncan AO, et al.: Central venous oxygen saturation: a useful clinical tool in trauma patients. J Trauma 1990, 30:1539-1543.
- 52 Kowalenko T, Ander D, Hitchcock R, et al.: Continuous central venous oxygen saturation monitoring during the resuscitation of suspected hemorrhagic shock [abstract]. Acad Emergency Medicine 1994, 1:A69.
- 53 Jastremski MS, Chelluri L, Beney KM, et al.: Analysis of the effects of continuous on-line monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation on patient outcome and cost-effectiveness. Crit Care Med 1998, 17:148–153.
- 54 Rasanen J, Peltola K, Leijala M: Superior vena caval and mixed venous oxyhemoglobin saturations in children recovering from open heart surgery. J Clin Monit 1992, 8:44–49.
- 55 Boutros AR, Lee C: Value of continuous monitoring of mixed venous blood oxygen saturation in the management of critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 1986, 14:132–134.
- 56 Hirschl RB, Palmer P, Heiss KF, et al.: Evaluation of the right atrial venous oxygen saturation as a physiologic monitor in a neonatal model. J Pediatr Surg 1993, 28:901–905.
- 57 Scalea TM, Holman M, Fuortes M, et al.: Central venous blood oxygen saturation: an early, accurate measurement of volume during hemorrhage. J Trauma 1988, 28:725–732.
- 58 Baquero-Cano M, Sanchez-Luna M, Elorza-Fernandez MD, et al.: Oxygen transport and consumption and oxygen saturation in the right atrium in an experimental model of neonatal septic shock. Ann Esp Pediatr 1996;44:149–156.
- 59 Schou H, Perez de Sa V, Larsson A: Central and mixed venous blood oxygen correlate well during acute normovolemic hemodilution in anesthetized pigs Acta Anesthesiol Scand 1998, 42:172–7.

- **60** Davies GG, Mendenhall J, Symreng T: Measurement of right atrial oxygen saturation by fiberoptic oximetry accurately reflects mixed venous oxygen saturation in swine. J Clin Monit 1988, 4:99–102.
- 61 Shah NS, Kelly E, Billiar TR, et al.: Utility of clinical parameters of tissue oxygenation in a quantitative model of irreversible hemorrhagic shock. Shock 1998, 10:343–346.
- 62 Tahvanainen J, Meretoja O, Nikki P: Can central venous blood replace mixed venous blood samples? Crit Care Med 1982, 10:758–761.
- 63 Herrera A, Pajuelo A, Morano MJ, et al.: Comparison of oxygen saturations in mixed venous and central blood during thoracic anesthesia with selective single-lung ventilation. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 1993, 40:349–353.
- 64 Berridge JC: Influence of cardiac output on the correlation between mixed venous and central venous oxygen saturation. Br J Anaesth 1992, 69:409-410.
- 65 Kong CH, Thompson FD, Imms FJ: Cardiac output and oxygen uptake in patients with renal failure. Clin Sci 1990, 78:591–596.
- 66 Wendt M, Hachenberg T, Albert A, et al.: Mixed venous versus central venous oxygen saturation in intensive medicine. Anasth Intensivther Notfallmed 1990, 25:102–106.
- 67 Emerman CL, Pinchak AC, Hagen JF, et al.: A comparison of venous blood gases during cardiac arrest. Am J Emerg Med 1988, 6:580–583.
- 68 Martin GB, Carden DL, Nowak RM, et al.: Central venous and mixed venous oxygen saturation: comparison during canine open-chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Am J Emerg Med 1985, 3:495–497.
- 69 Rady MY, Rivers EP, Martin GB, et al.: Continuous central venous oximetry and shock index in the emergency department: use in the evaluation of clinical shock. Am J Emerg Med 1992, 10:538–541.
- 70 Madsen P, Iversen H, Secher NH: Central venous oxygen saturation during hypovolaemic shock in humans. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1993, 53:67–72.
- Rivers EP, Martin GB, Smithline H, et al.: The clinical implications of continuous central venous oxygen saturation during human CPR. Ann Emerg Med 1992, 21:1094–1101.

An article that examines the clinical utility of  ${\rm ScvO}_2$  monitoring during and after human cardiac arrest.