BJA

REVIEW ARTICLE

Sedation and regional anaesthesia in the adult patient

D. Höhener, S. Blumenthal and A. Borgeat*

Department of Anaesthesiology, Orthopedic University Clinic Balgrist, Forchstrasse 340, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland

*Corresponding author. E-mail: alain.borgeat@balgrist.ch

This review discusses sedation for regional anaesthesia in the adult population. The first section deals with general aspects of sedation and shows that the majority of patients receiving sedation for regional anaesthesia are satisfied and would choose it again. Methods of assessing the level of sedation are discussed with emphasis on clinical measures. The pharmacology of the drugs involved in sedation is discussed, with propofol and remifentanil appearing to be the combination of choice for sedation in regional anaesthesia. The techniques for administering sedation are discussed and replacement of the traditional repeated boluses or continuous infusion with pharmacokinetic and patient-controlled systems is supported. Patient satisfaction studies suggest that patient-controlled systems are preferred.

Regional anaesthesia is popular and offers several benefits to the patient. The top three from the patient's point of view are staying awake, early family contact, and early food intake.[21] This shows that patients are interested in postoperative landmarks[4 62] and their importance regarding patient satisfaction. For the anaesthetist, cardiovascular and respiratory stability, rapid postoperative recovery,[4] and preservation of protective airway reflexes are the most important advantages of regional anaesthesia. Some drawbacks are linked with regional anaesthesia techniques: pain at the puncture site,[62] fear of needles,[30] and recall of the procedure.[63] These factors stress the importance of sedation that offers analgesia, anxiolysis, and amnesia.

Sedation can be described as a continuum ranging from minimal sedation, such as anxiolysis, through to general anaesthesia.[2] In contrast to general anaesthesia, verbal contact is usually maintained or is possible when needed. The term 'conscious sedation' is used for sedation for therapeutic or diagnostic procedures, and 'monitored anaesthesia care' for sedation to supplement local or regional anaesthesia. Unfortunately, these terms are not consistently applied. Sedation is part of the general management of a patient receiving a regional block and being awake during the whole surgical procedure. The aims include general patient comfort, freedom from specific discomfort, and some amnesia for both the block procedure and the surgical operation, in order to meet the patient's preference and safety.

Sedation has been shown to increase patient satisfaction during regional anaesthesia[114] and may be considered as a means to increase the patient's acceptance of regional anaesthetic techniques. For surgery under regional anaesthesia, sedation is a valuable tool to make it more convenient for the patient, the anaesthetist, and the surgeon. This review focuses on sedation in regional anaesthesia in adult patients, including patient preference and satisfaction, current pharmacological research, and techniques of sedation.

General aspects

Patient satisfaction is important when dealing with interventions like pain management or sedation. It is usually assessed by a verbal rating scale from 0=completely dissatisfied to 10=completely satisfied. This is a subjective measure reflecting the ratio between expectation and occurrence of events. Patient satisfaction with sedation has been investigated widely and is generally very high.[104 114] In contrast, less is available on patient's preference. A recent study found that 13 of 98 (12%) patients with an upper limb block without sedation for hand surgery would like to be sedated for future similar surgery,[55] whereas in another study more than 90% of 169 patients receiving propofol or midazolam–fentanyl sedation for cosmetic surgery would opt for sedation rather than general anaesthesia for future surgery.[40] This may suggest that patients are generally satisfied with what they are offered, but satisfaction *per se* is a complex and multifactorial feeling and standard questionnaires may not take this into account.[56]

There are different indications for sedation or analgesia/sedation in the context of regional anaesthesia. First, an initial bolus or continuous infusion provides anxiolysis, as

around 50% of patients are anxious before receiving a regional block.[104] It is helpful to have a calm and cooperative patient during placement of the block and decreases from the response to needle puncture or electric stimulation. Additionally, sedation reduces usually postoperative recall, which is important for many patients,[63] but can be undesirable. The global tolerance of a regional block has been shown to be better with sedation than without.[54] Moreover, continuous sedation will help to increase comfort, especially during long surgery or uncomfortable positioning. This may increase the acceptance of regional anaesthesia. Around 5–10% of regional blocks are insufficient.[113] There are no controlled studies of sedation as a means of supplementing an incomplete block, but general anaesthesia is needed if an additional block or opioids do not improve analgesia.

Sedatives can help to decrease the requirement of opioid analgesics which contributes to the reduction of postoperative nausea and vomiting.[6 80] Finally, it has been shown that sedation allows the choice of a shorter anaesthetic method (e.g. local or regional anaesthesia *vs* spinal or general anaesthesia), which improves time to recovery and discharge.[81 84 113]

Sedation does involve some risks, especially induction of respiratory depression,[94] haemodynamic instability,[69] or uncontrolled movements.[66] The reported incidence of adverse effects is variable (Table 1) as different definitions of 'events' and different dosages and combinations of drugs are used. In a large study of 17 000 patients undergoing cataract surgery, the incidence of adverse effects, predominantly cardiovascular events, was significantly higher when i.v. sedatives were used compared with no sedation.[1 51]

Table 1 Side-effects and complications associated with sedation during regional anaesthesia

Number of patients	ASA	Type of surgery and anaesthesia	Sedative drugs	Minor adverse effects (desaturation, haemodynamic instability)	Severe adverse events	Comment	Reference
56	—	Plexus spinal/epidural anaesthesia	Midazolam titrated to OAA/S 3	Excitement or disinhibition: 2 (3.6%), apnoea: 4 (7.1%)	—	Some patients received additional fentanyl due to pain	64
35	I–III	Plexus or spinal anaesthesia	Propofol 0.1 mg kg^{-1} min^{-1}	Hypotension: 3 (9%), bradycardia: 2 (2%)			69
60		Gynaecological surgery spinal anaesthesia	Propofol titrated to OAA/S 3 or 4	Apnoea: 17 (28.3%)	Airway obstruction: 9 (15%)		75
63/62	I or II	Plexus or spinal anaesthesia	Propofol/remifentanil	Systolic arterial pressure <90 mm Hg: 1 (1.5%) / 7 (11.3%)	—	Drugs titrated down as haemodynamic instability begins	95
72	I–III	Plexus or spinal anaesthesia	Remifentanil 0.2 µg kg^{-1} min^{-1}	Hypotension: 1 (1%), bradycardia: 1 (1%)	-	Authors considered remifentanil dose as too high	69
15	I or II	Eye surgery, retrobulbar block	Remifentanil 0.03 µg kg^{-1}min^{-1}, propofol titrated to OAA/S 3	No hypotension or desaturation <96%	—		44
20/20	I or II	Eye surgery, retrobulbar block	Remifentanil 0.5 µg kg^{-1} or 1 µg kg^{-1}, propofol 0.5 mg kg^{-1}	Desaturation <90%, or ventilatory frequency <6 bpm, or apnoea >20 s: 1 (5%)/ 12 (60%)	—	—	85
117	—	Plexus spinal/epidural anaesthesia	Sevoflurane titrated to OAA/S 3	Excitement or disinhibition: 35 (30%), bradycardia: 1 (0.9%), apnoea: 6 (5.1%)	Laryngospasm: 1 (0.9%)	Some patients received additional fentanyl due to pain	46

Severe airway obstruction during arthroscopic shoulder surgery performed under interscalene block and sedation[13] [78] showed that, on the one hand, a lightly sedated patient was able to complain of discomfort whereas in a deeply sedated patient the recognition of severe airway obstruction can be delayed. However, increasing level of consciousness raises the incidence of postoperative recall[97] and the patient may be more agitated.

Patient factors

The dose requirements for sedative agents are decreased in elderly patients.[64 90] The risk of desaturation or haemodynamic instability is increased in patients >70 yr compared with younger patients.[41] Similar findings occurred in patients classified ASA III/IV or ASA I/II, but no gender differences were found.[42] Previously undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnoea was frequently observed in sedated patients.[96]

Elderly people are expected to be less anxious,[7 40] possibly because of their more extended anaesthetic experience. This suggests that the indications for sedation should be more restricted in the elderly population because of the increased risk of haemodynamic complications and the lower need for sedation. The influence of gender on anxiety is unclear with several studies finding that females are more anxious than males[7 40] and others not.[10] Further studies are needed to establish the influence of gender on sedation requirements.

Assessing the level of sedation

The modified Wilson scale (Table 2), a variant of the Ramsey[83] and Wilson[111] scales, has an inter-rater agreement of 84%[76] and is quick and simple to use in clinical practice. The observer's assessment of alertness/sedation (OAA/S) (Table 3), however, has an inter-rater agreement that varies between 85% and 96% depending on the level of sedation.[17] Although it has more items than other scales, it may be the best choice if precise assessment of sedation is required.

The visual analogue scale (VAS) can be used as a patient-based self-monitoring of sedation. A good overall correlation between patient and blinded observer scores was shown,[97] but there may be wide variation between the patients.[82] The VAS is only applicable within light sedation levels. Observer-based VAS shows an inter-rater

Table 2 Modified Wilson sedation scale

Score	Description
1	Oriented, eyes may be closed but can respond to 'Can you tell me your name?' 'Can you tell me where you are right now?'
2	Drowsy; eyes may be closed, arousable only to command: '(name), please open your eyes'.
3	Arousable to mild physical stimulation (earlobe tug)
4	Unarousable to mild physical stimulation

Table 3 Observer's assessment of alertness/sedation

Score	Sedation level	Responsiveness	Speech	Facial expression	Eyes
5	Alert	Responds readily to name	Normal	Normal	Clear, no ptosis
4	Light	Lethargic response to name	Mild slowing	Mild relaxation	Glazed or mild ptosis
3	Moderate	Response only after name is called loudly	Slurring or prominet slowing	Marked relaxation	Glazed and marked ptosis
2	Deep	Responds only after mild prodding or shaking	Few recognizable words	—	—
1	Deep sleep, unconscious	Does not respond to mild prodding or shaking	—	—	—

agreement that varies from 76% for deep sedation to 90% for light sedation.[17] Staff-based VAS is a quick, simple, and accurate tool for clinical use.

The use of BIS for monitoring conscious sedation is a topical subject. BIS has been reported to accurately predict loss of consciousness in several studies[34 52 101] dealing with general anaesthesia. With deeply sedated patients (OAA/S 2–3), a good correlation between the OAA/S and BIS was found using propofol[61] or a combination of fentanyl, midazolam, and propofol.[89] However, BIS is limited in its abilities to discriminate between different levels of light sedation,[47 72 82] although new technology seems to be better at filtering out EMG artifacts.

The effect on BIS of adding remifentanil to propofol sedation has been investigated in two studies,[99 101] one of which demonstrated a dose-related decrease in BIS.[99] Ketamine produced higher BIS levels than expected in one study,[67] but another study showed no influence.[72] A recent report described three cases of combined propofol–midazolam sedation where patients were only lightly sedated, but the BIS-index decreased to levels between 40 and 50 (range 0–100, <60 normally equates to unconsciousness) shortly after the start of the midazolam infusion.[105] In addition, the large inter-individual pharmacodynamic variability of sedative drugs did not allow a reliable scale to assess sedation with the use of BIS.

In deep sedation, BIS seems to be a useful tool for monitoring the level of sedation. However, with light sedation, for example, in a regional anaesthesia setting, BIS does not seem to be reliable. The combination of drugs further complicates the interpretation of the data gained due to the different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of each drug.

Studies with volunteers and clinical studies of patients with regional anaesthesia have evaluated auditory evoked potentials (AEP). It has been shown that mid-latency-AEP-index correlates well with sedation level and

is, in contrast to BIS, able to discriminate between all OAA/S-levels in patients sedated with propofol or midazolam.[31] A good correlation of late-latency-AEP with sedation level has been shown in patients sedated with propofol or propofol and remifentanil but not with remifentanil.[38] Until guidelines for its clinical use can be established, AEP as a measure of sedation levels is not ready for routine clinical practice.

Factors influencing the level of sedation

Several studies have shown that spinal[32 82] and epidural[22 103] anaesthesia can reduce anaesthetic requirements and induce sedation. A positive correlation between the depth of sedation and the extent of the block has been shown,[22 24 32] and sedation to be dose related for epidural anaesthesia with procaine, as assessed by VAS, BIS, and brain steam evoked potentials.[22] The peak sedation effect is usually detected 30–45 min after starting the block. The hypothesis explaining this effect is a decrease in afferent sensory input with consecutive inhibition of the reticulo-thalamo-cortical mechanisms. Systemic levels of lidocaine[48] or bupivacaine[103] do not seem to explain sedation. A second peak of sedation was seen in one study, with delayed rostral spread of anaesthetic proposed as a mechanism.[82] This second peak was not detected in other studies.[57]

The sedative effect can be enhanced by the addition of adrenaline.[115] Two mechanisms are suggested: augmentation of the local concentration of the anaesthetic through vasoconstriction and direct stimulation of central α_2-adrenoceptors by rostral spread of adrenaline. However, this mechanism is still unproven.

Listening to music is known to relax patients undergoing regional anaesthesia and has been shown to reduce the consumption of sedatives[116] and to decrease perioperative pain scores,[68] but not have any anxiolytic effect.[68] Patients' satisfaction was significantly higher when listening to music[116] and almost all the patients would choose music again in future for similar surgery.[68]

In the setting of regional anaesthesia, hypnosis has been used[26] to provide light sedation and amnesia. However, success of this technique was limited by the need of supplementary analgesics.[93] As the patient needs to relax and concentrate for the induction of hypnosis, most attempts for emergency operations failed and in elective cases for more than 1 h.[93] In the hands of an experienced specialist, hypnosis may be useful in suitable patients, and when sedatives are contraindiced.

Ideal pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of a sedative agent

The ideal pharmacokinetic properties of sedative agents include a rapid onset, easy titration, and high clearance. Pharmacodynamic factors are dependent on actions within the effect-site compartment, which is described by the constant k_{eo} expressing the time required for the blood and effect-site concentrations to equilibrate.[60 100] This constant varies for sedative agents and with the pharmacokinetic model used.[65 92] The time to peak effect (TPE) after bolus injection seems to be a better measure than k_{eo} for comparing drugs[70] as it is independent of the pharmacokinetic model used and the time-course of drug effect is more predictable.[100] Blood concentration, however, especially during non-steady-state, is a poor indicator of drug effect,[97] with calculated concentration in the effect compartment providing better results.[109]

Elimination half-life is of limited use in a multicompartment model.[92] Therefore, the context-sensitive half-time[45] has been introduced, defined as 'the time required for the plasma drug concentration to decline 50% after terminating the infusion … where context refers to infusion duration'. A short context-sensitive half-time and a high clearance are essential for rapid offset of sedation and fast recovery.

The ideal sedative agent should also have minimal side-effects, particularly a lack of haemodynamic impairment, respiratory depression, and thermoregulatory interference which may already be caused by a spinal block. Amnesic properties of a sedative agent may be useful during placement of a nerve block or if the patient has to remain in an awkward position for a long time during surgery. The patient may or may not view amnesia as an advantage and may prefer memory of the operation.

Premedication for sedation

Midazolam has suitable properties for premedication as it is anxiolytic,[102] provides good amnesia,[74 102] decreases propofol requirements,[74] but does not prolong the stay at the recovery room.[102] Recently, clonidine, which has good anxiolytic and sedative properties, has been used,[107] and several studies have found that oral clonidine decreases propofol consumption[28 37 75] and can lower the incidence of propofol-induced uncontrolled movements.[75] In contrast to midazolam, clonidine does not produce amnesia at the low dosages used for sedation.[75]

Propofol

A dose-related sedative effect has been demonstrated,[16 97] and non-dose-related anxiolysis as well.[97] Amnesia is proportional to the administered dose[97 104] but is incomplete and less effective than with midazolam.[44] The analgesic properties of propofol are known to be poor.[95] One of the main advantages of propofol is its pharmacokinetic profile, which leads to fast induction,[91] easy alteration of the sedation level,[87] and quick recovery.[111] Haemodynamic impairment, defined as decrease in arterial pressure and increased incidence of bradycardia is reported at infusion rates of 100–200 mg h^{-1} [69] and is similar if a spinal or

axillary block is used.[59] Haemodynamic stability was shown to be improved by adding low-dose ketamine.[29] The ventilatory response is reported to be reduced.[12 77] The incidence of nausea and vomiting after propofol infusion is generally low, and an antiemetic effect has been suggested.[14 97] Propofol is the nearest to an ideal agent for sedation during regional anaesthesia, because of its favourable pharmacokinetic profile, with rapid onset and offset.

Benzodiazepines

Of the currently available benzodiazepines, midazolam is the drug preferred for sedation due to its reasonably rapid on- and off-set time. It produces good sedation,[20 88] and excellent amnesia,[20 110 111 112] but depresses respiration and arterial pressure and has no specific analgesic properties.[20] When compared with propofol, the offset is significantly slower with midazolam.[110 111] Interestingly, it has been shown that midazolam causes significantly less sedation in patients with naturally red hair compared with others,[18] a change in the melanocortine system is postulated as part of the mechanism. Cases of paradoxical reaction have been reported,[66] with advanced age proposed as a predisposing factor.[66 106] The availability of flumazenil as specific antagonist[58] is an additional safety factor, although its elimination is faster than that of midazolam.

A majority of patients who had midazolam or propofol sedation for similar oral surgery on different occasions preferred midazolam to propofol,[87] but no comparable data are available from a regional anaesthesia setting.

Clonidine and dexmedetomidine

Clonidine and dexmedetomidine are α_2-adrenoreceptor agonists with anxiolytic and dose-related sedative properties. Clonidine provides good analgesia at high dosages (5 $\mu g \ kg^{-1}$ orally or 4 $\mu g \ kg^{-1} \ h^{-1}$ i.v.) without a depressant effect on respiration or inducing nausea or vomiting.[36 39] Significant anterograde amnesia was reported at high doses,[39] whereas retrograde amnesia is unusual.[39 75] The effect of clonidine on haemodynamic variables is controversial, with some authors suggesting no haemodynamic impairment in young and healthy patients,[39] whereas others reported decreased arterial pressure[9 107] and bradycardia.[27 87] A dosage of 1.5 $\mu g \ kg^{-1} \ h^{-1}$ was found to be clinically effective without significant haemodynamic impairment.[27] The distribution half-life of 1.2 h and an elimination half-life of 14.6 h indicate a slow on- and offset,[25] an important drawback necessitating administration well before surgery[9] and a possible delay in discharge after operation.

Both drugs interfere with thermoregulatory processes and decrease postoperative shivering.[19]

Dexmedetomidine provides dose-related sedation and prolongation of sensory block but causes significant haemodynamic impairment[19] and nausea and vomiting.[11] The pharmacokinetic profile with an elimination half-life of 2 h[11] indicates a fast offset which is the major advantage over clonidine, although neither of them has found its way into routine use for sedation in regional anaesthesia.

Ketamine

Low-dose ketamine was reported to provide weak sedation[29] but excellent analgesia.[8] It did not reduce propofol requirements,[29] but the addition of ketamine has a positive effect on haemodynamic stability and can counteract the propofol-induced respiratory depression[29] due to its sympathomimetic properties and central nervous system effects.[14] However, ketamine-induced dose-related nausea and vomiting and the offset of pharmacodynamic effect were prolonged at high dosages.[8 29] Bad dreams or hallucinations were not reported at sedative doses.

Sevoflurane

Sevoflurane produced good, dose-related sedation and had a faster recovery from sedation than midazolam.[46] It resulted in high patient acceptance and satisfaction, but was associated with patient excitement and with theatre pollution, which remains only within safety limits ($<$10 ppm) if a proper inhalation mask was used and the theatre was sufficiently ventilated.[43] The risks of pollution and patient excitement[33 46 47] make sevoflurane a 'second choice' for sedation. The large inter-individual pharmacodynamic of sevoflurane warrants slow titration to avoid severe respiratory depression.

Opioids

Among the opioids, remifentanil is a potent analgesic[59 69 95] with an excellent pharmacokinetic profile including a TPE of 1.5 min, pharmacodynamic offset of 5.8 (SD 1.8) min, short elimination half-life, and a time-independent context-sensitive half-time.[35 50] This is in contrast to alfentanil, sufentanil, and fentanyl which have longer context-sensitive half-times.[23 50] Respiratory depression has been reported to occur after single-use of remifentanil in a dose-related fashion above 0.2 $\mu g \ kg^{-1} \ min^{-1}$ or with 0.1 $\mu g \ kg^{-1} \ min^{-1}$ in combination with propofol.[71] Nausea and vomiting is frequent[59 95] as is pruritus.[5 69] Muscular rigidity is rare at usual dosages[69] but frequent at higher doses ($>$1 $\mu g \ kg^{-1} \ min^{-1}$).[23] Haemodynamic instability is rarely seen at the dosage used for conscious sedation.[95] In a meta-analysis of nine clinical trials, an infusion rate for remifentanil of 0.1 $\mu g \ kg^{-1} \ min^{-1}$ has been suggested as an optimal balance between side-effects and sedative effect.[94] Anxiolysis and amnesia are less effective than with propofol.[69 95]

Remifentanil has a definite[5] but poor[59 95] sedative effect. It produces more side-effects at comparable

sedative levels, especially respiratory depression.[95] It has been suggested that sedation is a 'side effect' of opioids[5] which act as adjuncts to sedative agents to provide better analgesia. This may be of interest for use during placement of blocks. This leads to the possible combined use of remifentanil and propofol, where, after block placement, the remifentanil infusion rate is decreased, as has been used in ophthalmology.[85] Further studies in regional anaesthesia are required to evaluate the optimal regime.

Techniques of sedation

Single or repeated bolus technique leads to an unstable blood and effect-site concentration profile with the consequence of adverse effects due to peak concentrations and variability of sedation level and haemodynamic instability.[66] A continuous infusion with initial bolus leads to rising blood concentrations over time,[53] [97] requiring repeated adjustment of the infusion rate to maintain a defined sedation level, which has to be considered especially for prolonged surgery. This problem is overcome by target-controlled infusion (TCI) where the administration is driven by microprocessor-controlled algorithms based on pharmacokinetic models.[108] The concentration of the sedative agent at the effect site is stabilized more quickly and can be maintained over time,[53] and the level of sedation can be changed and a new steady state reached easily.[16] [53]

Older and widely used algorithms use blood concentration as the target, with good results.[16] [98] Newer algorithms target the effect-site concentration, leading into a faster onset and better prediction of drug effect,[100] and are the subject of ongoing research.[70] [100] In our experience, an effect-site concentration of propofol of $0.4-0.8$ μg ml^{-1} and $0.5-1.0$ ng ml^{-1} for remifentanil produces a satisfactory level of sedation in most cases. However, slow titration is mandatory to cope with the inter-individual pharmacodynamic effect of each drug.

Advanced concepts and trends: patient-controlled sedation and patient-maintained sedation

In patient-controlled sedation (PCS), the patient has a button which is linked to the pump which gives a bolus of a sedative drug and allows the patient to titrate the sedation according to their need. To avoid oversedation, most PCS protocols have a lock-out period of $1-3$ min. Both propofol and midazolam have been used in this setting, but propofol offers less postoperative amnesia, faster onset of pharmacodynamic effect, and higher patient preference.[88] An initial bolus can be used to speed up induction, but as with any bolus-based concept, PCS may produce unwanted peak effects[49] and an unstable sedation profile. This could be avoided using a basal infusion giving the patient the option to have some boluses. The total consumption of propofol has been reported to be significantly

less with PCS compared with other regimens[15] and oversedation is rare if a suitable lock-out time is used.[86] There is no reported increase in adverse effects in studies comparing PCS with TCI or continuous infusion.[15] Patients' satisfaction is significantly higher with PCS sedation than with anaesthetist-administered sedation.[79] Patients who had a device provided but did not use it were more satisfied than patients without any sedation.[87] A majority of patients who had PCS or conventional sedation for two similar operations preferred self-administered sedation.[79]

In patient-maintained sedation (PMS), a TCI system is equipped with a demand button giving patients the option to increase the target concentration to their needs,[49] a variable lock-out period is defined to avoid excessive oversedation, but both inadequate sedation and oversedation[49] [73] have been reported. One of the main problems is the slow onset of sedation, but a recent protocol was able to improve this with initial bolus and implementation of effect-site target TCI algorithms.[3] A recent study comparing PCS and PMS in patients undergoing surgical extraction of third molar teeth found a strong preference for PMS.[86]

Patients' satisfaction clearly demonstrates that many of them are willing to be involved in their own treatment. Unfortunately, there are no studies of regional or spinal anaesthesia using PCS, with studies coming mainly from dental surgery or endoscopy.

PMS is still experimental and the optimal regimen has not yet been found. Patients' preference strongly recommends further research. It offers the unique combination of the advantages of both effect-site targeting and involving the patient into the procedure titrating the sedative effect on his needs.

Conclusion

The increased use of regional anaesthesia in recent years has led to an increased need for sedation during surgery in awake patients. Sedation is known to increase patient's acceptance of regional anaesthesia and to greatly improve patient wellbeing during the surgical procedure. A better knowledge of the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties of sedative drugs has made the use of sedation safer and more effective. The development of new modes of administration is ongoing and has improved the quality of sedation.

References

1 Ahmad S, Leavell ME, Fragen RJ, *et al.* Remifentanil versus alfentanil as analgesic adjuncts during placement of ophthalmologic nerve blocks. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 1999; **24**: 331–6

2 American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists. Practice guidelines for sedation and analgesia by non-anesthesiologists. *Anesthesiology* 2002; **96**: 1004–17

3 Anderson KJ, Leitch JA, Green JS, Kenny GN. Effect-site controlled patient maintained propofol sedation: a volunteer safety study. *Anaesthesia* 2005; **60**: 235−8

4 Asehnoune K, Albaladejo P, Smail N, *et al.* Information and anaesthesia: what does the patient desire? *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 2000; **19**: 577−81

5 Avramov MN, Smith I, White PF. Interactions between midazolam and remifentanil during monitored anaesthesia care. *Anesthesiology* 1996; **85**: 1283−9

6 Avramov MN, White PF. Use of alfentanil and propofol for outpatient monitored anaesthesia care: determining the optimal dosing regimen. *Anesth Analg* 1997; **85**: 566−72

7 Badner NH, Nielson WR, Munk S, Kwiatkowska C, Gelb AW. Preoperative anxiety: detection and contributing factors. *Can J Anaesth* 1990; **37**: 444−7

8 Badrinath S, Avramov MN, Shadrick M, Witt TR, Ivankovich AD. The use of a ketamine−propofol combination during monitored anaesthesia care. *Anesth Analg* 2000; **90**: 858−62

9 Beer GM, Spicher I, Seifert B, Emanuel B, Kompatscher P, Meyer VE. Oral premedication for operations on the face under local anaesthesia: a placebo-controlled double-blind trial. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2001; **108**: 637−43

10 Bergmann P, Huber S, Machler H, *et al.* The influence of medical information on the perioperative course of stress in cardiac surgery patients. *Anesth Analg* 2001; **93**: 1093−9

11 Bhana N, Goa KL, McClellan KJ. Dexmedetomidine. *Drugs* 2000; **59**: 263−8

12 Blouin RT, Seifert HA, Babenco HD, Conard PF, Gross JB. Propofol depresses the hypoxic ventilatory response during conscious sedation and isohypercapnia. *Anesthesiology* 1993; **79**: 1177−82

13 Blumenthal S, Nadig M, Gerber C, Borgeat A. Severe airway obstruction during arthroscopic shoulder surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2003; **99**: 1455−6

14 Borgeat A, Wilder-Smith OH, Saiah M, Rifat K. Subhypnotic doses of propofol possess direct antiemetic properties. *Anesth Analg* 1992; **74**: 539−41

15 Burns R, McCrae AF, Tiplady B. A comparison of target-controlled therapy with patient-controlled administration of propofol combined with midazolam for sedation during dental surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2003; **58**: 170−6

16 Casati A, Fanelli G, Casaletti E, Colnaghi E, Cedrati V, Torri G. Clinical assessment of target-controlled infusion of propofol during monitored anaesthesia care. *Can J Anaesth* 1999; **46**: 235−9

17 Chernik DA, Gillings D, Laine H, *et al.* Validity and reliability of the observer's assessment of alertness/sedation scale: study with intravenous midazolam. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1990; **10**: 244−51

18 Chua MV, Tsueda K, Doufas AG. Midazolam causes less sedation in volunteers with red hair. *Can J Anaesth* 2004; **51**: 25−30

19 Coskuner I, Tekin M, Kati I, *et al.* Effects of dexmedetomidine on the duration of anaesthesia and wakefulness in bupivacaine epidural block. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2007; **24**: 535−40

20 de Andres J, Bolinches R. Comparative study of propofol and midazolam for sedation in regional anaesthesia. *Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim* 1993; **40**: 354−9

21 De Andres J, Valia JC, Gil A, Bolinches R. Predictors of patient satisfaction with regional anaesthesia. *Reg Anesth* 1995; **20**: 498−505

22 Doufas AG, Wadhwa A, Shah YM, Lin CM, Haugh GS, Sessler DI. Block-dependent sedation during epidural anaesthesia is associated with delayed brainstem conduction. *Br J Anaesth* 2004; **93**: 228−34

23 Egan TD, Lemmens HJ, Fiset P, *et al.* The pharmacokinetics of the new short-acting opioid remifentanil (GI87084B) in healthy adult male volunteers. *Anesthesiology* 1993; **79**: 881−92

24 Ely EW, Truman B, Shintani A, *et al.* Monitoring sedation status over time in ICU patients: reliability and validity of the Richmond agitation-sedation scale (RASS). *JAMA* 2003; **289**: 2983−91

25 Fauler J, Verner L. The pharmacokinetics of clonidine in high dosage. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1993; **45**: 165−7

26 Faymonville ME, Defechereux T, Joris J, *et al.* Hypnosis and its application in surgery. *Rev Med Liege* 1998; **53**: 414−8

27 Filos KS, Patroni O, Goudas LC, Bosas O, Kassaras A, Gartaganis S. A dose−response study of orally administered clonidine as premedication in the elderly: evaluating hemodynamic safety. *Anesth Analg* 1993; **77**: 1185−92

28 Friedberg BL, Sigl JC. Clonidine premedication decreases propofol consumption during bispectral index (BIS) monitored propofol−ketamine technique for office-based surgery. *Dermatol Surg* 2000; **26**: 848−52

29 Frizelle HP, Duranteau J, Samii K. A comparison of propofol with a propofol−ketamine combination for sedation during spinal anaesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 1997; **84**: 1318−22

30 Gajraj NM, Sharma SK, Souter AJ, Pole Y, Sidawi JE. A survey of obstetric patients who refuse regional anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia* 1995; **50**: 740−1

31 Ge SJ, Zhuang XL, Wang YT, *et al.* Changes in the rapidly extracted auditory evoked potentials index and the bispectral index during sedation induced by propofol or midazolam under epidural block. *Br J Anaesth* 2002; **89**: 260−4

32 Gentili M, Huu PC, Enel D, Hollande J, Bonnet F. Sedation depends on the level of sensory block induced by spinal anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1998; **81**: 970−1

33 Girdler NM, Sterling PA. Investigation of nitrous oxide pollution arising from inhalational sedation for the extraction of teeth in child patients. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 1998; **8**: 93−102

34 Glass PS, Bloom M, Kearse L, Rosow C, Sebel P, Manberg P. Bispectral analysis measures sedation and memory effects of propofol, midazolam, isoflurane, and alfentanil in healthy volunteers. *Anesthesiology* 1997; **86**: 836−47

35 Glass PS, Hardman D, Kamiyama Y, *et al.* Preliminary pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of an ultra-short-acting opioid: remifentanil (GI87084B). *Anesth Analg* 1993; **77**: 1031−40

36 Grottke O, Muller J, Dietrich PJ, Krause TH, Wappler F. Comparison of premedication with clonidine and midazolam combined with TCI for orthopaedic shoulder surgery. *Anasthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther* 2003; **38**: 772−80

37 Guglielminotti J, Descraques C, Petitmaire S, Almenza L, Grenapin O, Mantz J. Effects of premedication on dose requirements for propofol: comparison of clonidine and hydroxyzine. *Br J Anaesth* 1998; **80**: 733−6

38 Haenggi M, Ypparila H, Takala J, *et al.* Measuring depth of sedation with auditory evoked potentials during controlled infusion of propofol and remifentanil in healthy volunteers. *Anesth Analg* 2004; **99**: 1728−36

39 Hall JE, Uhrich TD, Ebert TJ. Sedative, analgesic and cognitive effects of clonidine infusions in humans. *Br J Anaesth* 2001; **86**: 5−11

40 Hasen KV, Samartzis D, Casas LA, Mustoe TA. An outcome study comparing intravenous sedation with midazolam/fentanyl (conscious sedation) versus propofol infusion (deep sedation) for aesthetic surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2003; **112**: 1683−9

41 Heuss LT, Schnieper P, Drewe J, Pflimlin E, Beglinger C. Conscious sedation with propofol in elderly patients: a prospective evaluation. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003; **17**: 1493−501

42 Heuss LT, Schnieper P, Drewe J, Pflimlin E, Beglinger C. Safety of propofol for conscious sedation during endoscopic procedures in high-risk patients—a prospective, controlled study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003; **98**: 1751–7

43 Hoerauf KH, Hartmann T, Zavrski A, *et al*. Occupational exposure to sevoflurane during sedation of adult patients. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 1999; **72**: 174–7

44 Holas A, Krafft P, Marcovic M, Quehenberger F. Remifentanil, propofol or both for conscious sedation during eye surgery under regional anaesthesia. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 1999; **16**: 741–8

45 Hughes MA, Glass PS, Jacobs JR. Context-sensitive half-time in multicompartment pharmacokinetic models for intravenous anaesthetic drugs. *Anesthesiology* 1992; **76**: 334–41

46 Ibrahim AE, Ghoneim MM, Kharasch ED, *et al*. Speed of recovery and side-effect profile of sevoflurane sedation compared with midazolam. *Anesthesiology* 2001; **94**: 87–94

47 Ibrahim AE, Taraday JK, Kharasch ED. Bispectral index monitoring during sedation with sevoflurane, midazolam, and propofol. *Anesthesiology* 2001; **95**: 1151–9

48 Inagaki Y, Mashimo T, Kuzukawa A, Tsuda Y, Yoshiya I. Epidural lidocaine delays arousal from isoflurane anaesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 1994; **79**: 368–72

49 Irwin MG, Thompson N, Kenny GN. Patient-maintained propofol sedation. Assessment of a target-controlled infusion system. *Anaesthesia* 1997; **52**: 525–30

50 Kapila A, Glass PS, Jacobs JR, *et al*. Measured context-sensitive half-times of remifentanil and alfentanil. *Anesthesiology* 1995; **83**: 968–75

51 Katz J, Feldman MA, Bass EB, *et al*. Adverse intraoperative medical events and their association with anaesthesia management strategies in cataract surgery. *Ophthalmology* 2001; **108**: 1721–6

52 Kearse LA Jr, Rosow C, Zaslavsky A, Connors P, Dershwitz M, Denman W. Bispectral analysis of the electroencephalogram predicts conscious processing of information during propofol sedation and hypnosis. *Anesthesiology* 1998; **88**: 25–34

53 Kenny GN. Patient sedation: technical problems and developments. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 1996; **13**: 18–21

54 Kinirons BP, Bouaziz H, Paqueron X, *et al*. Sedation with sufentanil and midazolam decreases pain in patients undergoing upper limb surgery under multiple nerve block. *Anesth Analg* 2000; **90**: 1118–21

55 Koscielniak-Nielsen ZJ, Rotboll-Nielsen P, Rassmussen H. Patients' experiences with multiple stimulation axillary block for fast-track ambulatory hand surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2002; **46**: 789–93

56 Kryger ZB, Fine NA, Mustoe TA. The outcome of abdominoplasty performed under conscious sedation: six-year experience in 153 consecutive cases. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2004; **113**: 1807–17

57 Kurup V, Ramani R, Atanassoff PG. Sedation after spinal anaesthesia in elderly patients: a preliminary observational study with the PSA-4000. *Can J Anaesth* 2004; **51**: 562–5

58 Lauven PM, Schwilden H, Stoeckel H, Greenblatt DJ. The effects of a benzodiazepine antagonist Ro 15-1788 in the presence of stable concentrations of midazolam. *Anesthesiology* 1985; **63**: 61–4

59 Lauwers MH, Vanlersberghe C, Camu F. Comparison of remifentanil and propofol infusions for sedation during regional anaesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 1998; **23**: 64–70

60 Lim TA. A novel method of deriving the effect compartment equilibrium rate constant for propofol. *Br J Anaesth* 2003; **91**: 730–2

61 Liu J, Singh H, White PF. Electroencephalographic bispectral index correlates with intraoperative recall and depth of propofol-induced sedation. *Anesth Analg* 1997; **84**: 185–9

62 Lonsdale M, Hutchison GL. Patients' desire for information about anaesthesia. Scottish and Canadian attitudes. *Anaesthesia* 1991; **46**: 410–2

63 Macario A, Weinger M, Carney S, Kim A. Which clinical anaesthesia outcomes are important to avoid? The perspective of patients. *Anesth Analg* 1999; **89**: 652–8

64 Mackenzie N, Grant IS. Propofol for intravenous sedation. *Anaesthesia* 1987; **42**: 3–6

65 Marsh B, White M, Morton N, Kenny GN. Pharmacokinetic model driven infusion of propofol in children. *Br J Anaesth* 1991; **67**: 41–8

66 Martinez-Telleria A, Cano ME, Carlos R. Paradoxical reaction to midazolam after its use as a sedative in regional anaesthesia. *Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim* 1992; **39**: 379–80

67 McDermott NB, VanSickle T, Motas D, Friesen RH. Validation of the bispectral index monitor during conscious and deep sedation in children. *Anesth Analg* 2003; **97**: 39–43

68 Menegazzi JJ, Paris PM, Kersteen CH, Flynn B, Trautman DE. A randomized, controlled trial of the use of music during laceration repair. *Ann Emerg Med* 1991; **20**: 348–50

69 Mingus ML, Monk TG, Gold MI, Jenkins W, Roland C. Remifentanil versus propofol as adjuncts to regional anaesthesia. *J Clin Anesth* 1998; **10**: 46–53

70 Minto CF, Schnider TW, Gregg KM, Henthorn TK, Shafer SL. Using the time of maximum effect site concentration to combine pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Anesthesiology* 2003; **99**: 324–33

71 Moerman AT, Struys MM, Vereecke HE, Herregods LL, De Vos MM, Mortier EP. Remifentanil used to supplement propofol does not improve quality of sedation during spontaneous respiration. *J Clin Anesth* 2004; **16**: 237–43

72 Morse Z, Kaizu M, Sano K, Kanri T. BIS monitoring during midazolam and midazolam–ketamine conscious intravenous sedation for oral surgery. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2002; **94**: 420–4

73 Murdoch JA, Grant SA, Kenny GN. Safety of patient-maintained propofol sedation using a target-controlled system in healthy volunteers. *Br J Anaesth* 2000; **85**: 299–301

74 Nakagawa M, Mammoto T, Hazama A, *et al*. Midazolam premedication reduces propofol requirements for sedation during regional anaesthesia. *Can J Anaesth* 2000; **47**: 47–9

75 Nakagawa M, Mammoto T, Sakai T, Kishi Y, Mashimo T. Premedication modifies the quality of sedation with propofol during regional anaesthesia. *Can J Anaesth* 2001; **48**: 284–7

76 Nemethy M, Paroli L, Williams-Russo PG, Blanck TJ. Assessing sedation with regional anaesthesia: inter-rater agreement on a modified Wilson sedation scale. *Anesth Analg* 2002; **94**: 723–8

77 Nieuwenhuijs D, Sarton E, Teppema L, Dahan A. Propofol for monitored anaesthesia care: implications on hypoxic control of cardiorespiratory responses. *Anesthesiology* 2000; **92**: 46–54

78 Orebaugh SL. Life-threatening airway edema resulting from prolonged shoulder arthroscopy. *Anesthesiology* 2003; **99**: 1456–8

79 Osborne GA, Rudkin GE, Jarvis DA, Young IG, Barlow J, Leppard PI. Intra-operative patient-controlled sedation and patient attitude to control. A crossover comparison of patient preference for patient-controlled propofol and propofol by continuous infusion. *Anaesthesia* 1994; **49**: 287–92

80 Pavlin DJ, Coda B, Shen DD, *et al*. Effects of combining propofol and alfentanil on ventilation, analgesia, sedation, and emesis in human volunteers. *Anesthesiology* 1996; **84**: 23–37

81 Pavlin DJ, Rapp SE, Polissar NL, Malmgren JA, Koerschgen M, Keyes H. Factors affecting discharge time in adult outpatients. *Anesth Analg* 1998; **87**: 816–26

82 Pollock JE, Neal JM, Liu SS, Burkhead D, Polissar N. Sedation during spinal anaesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 2000; **93**: 728–34

83 Ramsay MA, Savege TM, Simpson BR, Goodwin R. Controlled sedation with alphaxalone–alphadolone. *Br Med J* 1974; **2**: 656–9

84 Read TE, Henry SE, Hovis RM, *et al*. Prospective evaluation of anaesthetic technique for anorectal surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2002; **45**: 1553–8

85 Rewari V, Madan R, Kaul HL, Kumar L. Remifentanil and propofol sedation for retrobulbar nerve block. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2002; **30**: 433–7

86 Rodrigo MR, Irwin MG, Tong CK, Yan SY. A randomised crossover comparison of patient-controlled sedation and patient-maintained sedation using propofol. *Anaesthesia* 2003; **58**: 333–8

87 Rodrigo MR, Jonsson E. Conscious sedation with propofol. *Br Dent J* 1989; **166**: 75–80

88 Rudkin GE, Osborne GA, Finn BP, Jarvis DA, Vickers D. Intra-operative patient-controlled sedation. Comparison of patient-controlled propofol with patient-controlled midazolam. *Anaesthesia* 1992; **47**: 376–81

89 Sandler NA, Sparks BS. The use of bispectral analysis in patients undergoing intravenous sedation for third molar extractions. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2000; **58**: 364–8

90 Schnider TW, Minto CF, Shafer SL, *et al*. The influence of age on propofol pharmacodynamics. *Anesthesiology* 1999; **90**: 1502–16

91 Schnider TW, Minto CF, Shafer SL, *et al*. The influence of age on propofol pharmacodynamics. *Anesthesiology* 1999; **90**: 1502–16

92 Schnider TW, Minto CF. Predictors of onset and offset of drug effect. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2001; **23**: 26–31

93 Schulz-Stubner S. Clinical hypnosis instead of drug-based sedation for procedures under regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2002; **27**: 622–3

94 Servin F, Desmonts JM, Watkins WD. Remifentanil as an analgesic adjunct in local/regional anaesthesia and in monitored anaesthesia care. *Anesth Analg* 1999; **89**: 28–32

95 Servin FS, Raeder JC, Merle JC, *et al*. Remifentanil sedation compared with propofol during regional anaesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2002; **46**: 309–15

96 Sharma VK, Galli W, Haber A, *et al*. Unexpected risks during administration of conscious sedation: previously undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; **139**: 707–8

97 Smith I, Monk TG, White PF, Ding Y. Propofol infusion during regional anaesthesia: sedative, amnestic, and anxiolytic properties. *Anesth Analg* 1994; **79**: 313–9

98 Souron V, Delaunay L, Bonner F. Sedation with target-controlled propofol infusion during shoulder surgery under interscalene brachial plexus block in the sitting position: report of a series of 140 patients. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2005; **22**: 853–7

99 Strachan AN, Edwards ND. Randomized placebo-controlled trial to assess the effect of remifentanil and propofol on bispectral index and sedation. *Br J Anaesth* 2000; **84**: 489–90

100 Struys MM, De Smet T, Depoorter B, *et al*. Comparison of plasma compartment versus two methods for effect compartment–controlled target-controlled infusion for propofol. *Anesthesiology* 2000; **92**: 399–406

101 Struys MM, Vereecke H, Moerman A, *et al*. Ability of the bispectral index, autoregressive modelling with exogenous input-derived auditory evoked potentials, and predicted propofol concentrations to measure patient responsiveness during anaesthesia with propofol and remifentanil. *Anesthesiology* 2003; **99**: 802–12

102 Taylor E, Ghouri AF, White PF. Midazolam in combination with propofol for sedation during local anaesthesia. *J Clin Anesth* 1992; **4**: 213–6

103 Tverskoy M, Shifrin V, Finger J, Fleyshman G, Kissin I. Effect of epidural bupivacaine block on midazolam hypnotic requirements. *Reg Anesth* 1996; **21**: 209–13

104 Villeret I, Laffon M, Ferrandiere M, Delerue D, Fusciardi J. Which propofol target concentration for ASA III elderly patients for conscious sedation combined with regional anaesthesia? *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 2003; **22**: 196–201

105 Vuyk J, Lichtenbelt BJ, Vieveen J, Dahan A, Engbers FH, Burm AG. Low bispectral index values in awake volunteers receiving a combination of propofol and midazolam. *Anesthesiology* 2004; **100**: 179–81

106 Weinbroum AA, Szold O, Ogorek D, Flaishon R. The midazolam-induced paradox phenomenon is reversible by flumazenil. Epidemiology, patient characteristics and review of the literature. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2001; **18**: 789–97

107 Weindler J, Kiefer RT, Rippa A, Wiech K, Ruprecht KW. Low-dose oral clonidine as premedication before intraocular surgery in retrobulbar anaesthesia. *Eur J Ophthalmol* 2000; **10**: 248–56

108 White M, Kenny GN. Intravenous propofol anaesthesia using a computerised infusion system. *Anaesthesia* 1990; **45**: 204–9

109 White M, Schenkels MJ, Engbers FH, *et al*. Effect-site modelling of propofol using auditory evoked potentials. *Br J Anaesth* 1999; **82**: 333–9

110 White PF, Negus JB. Sedative infusions during local and regional anaesthesia: a comparison of midazolam and propofol. *J Clin Anesth* 1991; **3**: 32–9

111 Wilson E, David A, MacKenzie N, Grant IS. Sedation during spinal anaesthesia: comparison of propofol and midazolam. *Br J Anaesth* 1990; **64**: 48–52

112 Wilson KE, Girdler NM, Welbury RR. Randomized, controlled, cross-over clinical trial comparing intravenous midazolam sedation with nitrous oxide sedation in children undergoing dental extractions. *Br J Anaesth* 2003; **91**: 850–6

113 Wresch KP. Analgesia and sedation to supplement incomplete regional anaesthesia (Review). *Anaesthesist* 1995; **44**: 580–7

114 Wu CL, Naqibuddin M, Fleisher LA. Measurement of patient satisfaction as an outcome of regional anesthesia and analgesia: a systematic review. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2001; **26**: 196–208

115 Yotsui T, Kitamura A, Ogawa R. Addition of epinephrine to intrathecal tetracaine augments depression of the bispectral index during intraoperative propofol sedation. *J Anesth* 2004; **18**: 147–50

116 Zhang XW, Fan Y, Manyande A, Tian YK, Yin P. Effects of music on target-controlled infusion of propofol requirements during combined spinal–epidural anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia* 2005; **60**: 990–4