

## Maternal Hemodynamic Monitoring in Obstetric Anesthesia

THE physiologic changes of pregnancy, including an initial gradual increase in cardiac output, followed by the development of increasing aortocaval compression in the third trimester, as well as comorbidities such as preeclampsia, have generated considerable research into maternal hemodynamics. The use of the pulmonary artery catheter allowed a better understanding of the physiology of the healthy parturient<sup>1</sup> and the hemodynamics of preeclampsia, including the effects of epidural analgesia in labor.<sup>2</sup> Early use of dye dilution techniques gave clinicians insight into the hemodynamic changes during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery.<sup>3</sup> In sharp contrast with these invasive measures is the use in the current issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY, by Langesæter *et al.*,<sup>4</sup> of minimally invasive pulse waveform analysis in the assessment of hemodynamic changes during this procedure.

Heart rate and blood pressure are appropriately used as surrogate markers of maternal cardiac output in all routine obstetric anesthesia deliveries, and in most of the clinically valuable obstetric anesthesia research to date. Intraarterial monitoring provides a useful indicator of beat-by-beat changes in unstable patients. During regional anesthesia for cesarean delivery, maintenance of baseline maternal blood pressure, using phenylephrine, has been shown to produce the closest to zero umbilical arterial base deficit, the currently accepted short-term marker of neonatal well-being.<sup>5</sup> This is despite the fact that phenylephrine, given in doses high enough to produce baroreceptor-mediated decreases in heart rate, probably depresses maternal cardiac output. The effectiveness of phenylephrine may be related to the limited susceptibility of the uterine artery to the vasoconstrictive effects of  $\alpha$  agonists in advanced pregnancy.<sup>5</sup> However, the maximum change in cardiac output has been shown to correlate better with uteroplacental blood flow than with upper arm blood pressure.<sup>6</sup> The maintenance of blood pressure and maternal cardiac output are therefore both important for maternal safety and comfort and for fetal well-being.

For clinical management and research purposes, there has been an increasing awareness of the potential com-

plications of invasive monitoring. In addition, the importance of the effects of fluid and vasopressor administration on flow, rather than on pressure, is now recognized in the nonobstetric population. In particular, central venous pressure and pulmonary wedge pressure are unlikely to predict the response to fluid administration, and pulse pressure variation and stroke volume variation may be better indicators of fluid resuscitation.<sup>7</sup> These factors have led to a resurgence of interest in minimally invasive techniques of cardiac output monitoring.

Noninvasive methods of cardiac output measurement used in obstetric anesthesia have provided valuable information on maternal and fetal well-being and hemodynamics in the critical care setting and during regional anesthesia for cesarean delivery. These techniques include transthoracic echocardiography,<sup>2</sup> transesophageal echocardiography, transesophageal, suprasternal aortic,<sup>6,8</sup> and uterine artery Doppler ultrasound techniques, and transthoracic and whole body electrical bioimpedance.<sup>9</sup> All of these methods have disadvantages, including expense, the requirement for user education, movement artifact, and, in the case of bioimpedance techniques, the potential for inaccuracy in terms of absolute cardiac output values in advanced pregnancy and in the presence of increased lung water. None provide beat-by-beat data.

Arterial pulse waveform analysis methods are attractive to the obstetric anesthesiologist in that they provide beat-by-beat assessment of cardiac output and could be used both in critical care monitoring (*e.g.*, in complicated severe preeclampsia) and for research purposes (*e.g.*, effects of fluids, vasopressors, and oxytocic drugs) in the laboring patient or during anesthesia. Of crucial importance in the acceptance of these monitors are the precision and reliability of the employed algorithm in following changes in cardiac output (including in the setting of rapidly changing systemic vascular resistance), and the ability to predict ventricular preload response, through the derivation of fluid responsive parameters. When interpreting published data, usually involving Bland and Altman's recommendation for the use of bias and precision statistics, the reader should bear in mind that in view of the  $\pm 10$ -20% accuracy of thermodilution, limits of agreement of up to  $\pm 30\%$  between the new and the accepted technique are generally regarded as acceptable.<sup>10</sup> Currently commercially available methods consist of calibrated devices (LiDCOplus [LiDCO, Cambridge, United Kingdom] and PiCCOplus [Pulsion Medical Systems, Munich, Germany]) and the uncalibrated Vigileo monitor (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA).<sup>11</sup>

The PulseCO algorithm used in the LiDCOplus monitor requires only a peripheral arterial and venous line and

This Editorial View accompanies the following article: Langesæter E, Rosseland LA, Stubhaug A: Continuous invasive blood pressure and cardiac output monitoring during cesarean delivery: A randomized, double-blind comparison of low-dose *versus* high-dose spinal anesthesia with intravenous phenylephrine or placebo infusion. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2008; 109:856-63.

Accepted for publication August 7, 2008. Both authors are currently conducting clinical research using the LiDCOplus monitor (LiDCO, Cambridge, United Kingdom) but have no financial interest in the manufacturing company or the equipment.

calculates stroke volume by analysis of the arterial blood pressure trace using a pulse power algorithm. This is a three-step process, involving initial transformation (compliance correction) of the arterial pressure into a volume-time waveform, followed by derivation of a nominal stroke volume and beat duration, using autocorrelation, and finally calibration/scaling of the stroke volume with a lithium indicator dilution curve measurement of cardiac output, which is comparable to intrapulmonary thermodilution.<sup>11</sup> It is important to note that the PulseCO pulse waveform algorithm described in 2001 used the first harmonic of the blood pressure waveform and related this mathematically to the cardiac output; this bears no relation to the commercially used algorithm.<sup>12</sup> Despite concerns regarding the ability of this method to respond to acute changes in systematic vascular resistance, it is noteworthy that this original algorithm was used successfully to examine the detailed time-based effects of a 5- $\mu$ g intravenous dose of epinephrine.<sup>13</sup>

Several publications have shown the ability of the subsequently commercially used pulse power algorithm to trend changes in stroke volume accurately, avoiding the requirement for frequent recalibration.<sup>14,15</sup> Three studies have demonstrated acceptable agreement<sup>10</sup> between the LiDCOplus-derived cardiac output and lithium dilution,<sup>15,16</sup> or thermodilution<sup>17</sup> in the setting of systemic vascular resistance changes of up to 200%. Only one published investigation in obstetric anesthesia has examined cardiac output trend changes during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery in patients with severe preeclampsia, as well as acute responses to phenylephrine and oxytocin.<sup>18</sup> This study elicited considerable debate,<sup>19</sup> but current knowledge suggests that this monitor is valuable in the setting of acute changes in systematic vascular resistance. Langesæter *et al.*<sup>4</sup> have used this technology in an attempt to demonstrate the optimal combination of spinal bupivacaine and sufentanil, and phenylephrine infusion, to preserve optimal maternal hemodynamics. In a meticulously conducted blinded study, they were able to show that a small dose of bupivacaine and opioid, in combination with a low-dose phenylephrine infusion, gave the best hemodynamic stability, with minimal maternal symptoms. Spinal anesthesia was associated with a decrease in blood pressure and, interestingly, an increase in cardiac output. Phenylephrine administered slowly intravenously was used to restore systemic vascular resistance and cardiac output toward baseline values. This work suggests that high doses of phenylephrine, inducing hypertension, baroreceptor-mediated bradycardia, and depression of maternal cardiac output, are unnecessary. The study makes a valuable contribution to the fine-tuning of the practice of spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery and to the understanding of hemodynamic responses to spinal anesthesia.

The LiDCOplus monitor has recently been shown to predict fluid responsiveness in mechanically ventilated

patients by providing reliable measurements of pulse pressure variation and stroke volume variation.<sup>7</sup> This attribute could be useful in the treatment of patients with complicated severe preeclampsia, in whom pulmonary artery catheterization has a significant complication rate.<sup>2</sup>

The recently developed PiCCO system uses an algorithm that analyzes the systolic component of the arterial waveform. The calibration method, transpulmonary thermodilution, requires cannulation of a proximal artery and a central vein, which is problematic for obstetric anesthesia research but may be feasible for critical care management. An acute increase in systemic vascular resistance induced by phenylephrine has resulted in increased bias when compared with thermodilution in cardiac surgical patients.<sup>20</sup>

The Vigileo monitor uses a specialized arterial transducer that is connected to a monitor that samples the pressure recording at a frequency of 100 Hz and analyzes waveform characteristics by a multivariate polynomial equation. Patient demographic characteristics are used to estimate interpatient variability and thereby reduce bias. It does not require calibration, and any artery can be used. There are currently few published comparative data. Moderate accuracy has been shown in comparisons with thermodilution. However, in cardiac surgical patients, the administration of phenylephrine has been shown to increase the cardiac output measurement using the Vigileo monitor when transpulmonary thermodilution showed a decrease in output.<sup>21</sup> This would suggest that the monitor may not be suitable for the study of rapid hemodynamic changes associated with obstetric anesthesia.

Heart rate and noninvasive blood pressure measurement, as well as communication with the awake patient during regional anesthesia, remain the most important monitors for the obstetric anesthesiologist. Pulmonary artery catheterization has only a limited application in the management of complex cardiac defects or multiple organ failure in the parturient. Less invasive cardiac output monitors will have an important role, both in the hemodynamic management of parturients with comorbidities and in research into the hemodynamics of healthy and critically ill mothers. The prediction of fluid responsiveness using clinical assessment and pulse waveform monitors may prove to be of greater value than the measurement of filling pressures.

**Robert A. Dyer, F.C.A.(S.A.), Michael F. James, Ph.D.** Department of Anesthesia, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. robert.dyer@uct.ac.za

## References

1. Clark SL, Cotton DB, Lee W, Bishop C, Hill T, Southwick J, Pivarnik J, Spillman T, DeVore GR, Phelan J: Central hemodynamic assessment of normal term pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989; 161:1439-42
2. Young P, Johanson R: Haemodynamic, invasive and echocardiographic monitoring in the hypertensive parturient. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2001; 15:605-22

3. Ueland K, Gills RE, Hansen JM: Maternal cardiovascular dynamics, I: Cesarean section under subarachnoid block anesthesia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1968; 100:42-54
4. Langesæter E, Rosseland LA, Stubhaug A: Continuous invasive blood pressure and cardiac output monitoring during cesarean delivery: A randomized, double-blind comparison of low-dose *versus* high-dose spinal anesthesia with intravenous phenylephrine or placebo infusion. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2008; 109:856-63
5. Macarthur ARE: Obstetric anesthesia controversies: Vasopressor choice for postspinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. *Int Anesthesiol Clin* 2007; 45:115-32
6. Robson SC, Boys RJ, Rodeck C, Morgan B: Maternal and fetal haemodynamic effects of spinal and extradural anaesthesia for elective caesarean section. *Br J Anaesth* 1992; 68:54-9
7. Belloni L, Pisano A, Natale A, Piccirillo MR, Piazza L, Ismeno G, De Martino G: Assessment of fluid-responsiveness parameters for off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery: A comparison among LiDCO, transesophageal echocardiography, and pulmonary artery catheter. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2008; 22:243-8
8. Bray JK, Fernando R, Patel NP, Columb MO: Suprasternal Doppler estimation of cardiac output: Standard *versus* sequential combined spinal epidural anesthesia for cesarean delivery. *Anesth Analg* 2006; 103:959-64
9. Tihtonen K, Koobi T, Yli-Hankala A, Huhtala H, Uotila J: Maternal haemodynamics in pre-eclampsia compared with normal pregnancy during caesarean delivery. *BJOG* 2006; 113:657-63
10. Critchley LA, Critchley JA: A meta-analysis of studies using bias and precision statistics to compare cardiac output measurement techniques. *J Clin Monit Comput* 1999; 15:85-91
11. Morgan P, Al Subaie N, Rhodes A: Minimally invasive cardiac output monitoring. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2008; 14:322-6
12. Linton N: Pulse contour analysis to estimate cardiac output. *Can J Anaesth* 2006; 53:850-1
13. Linton NW, Linton RA: Haemodynamic response to a small intravenous bolus injection of epinephrine in cardiac surgical patients. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2003; 20:298-304
14. de Wilde RB, Schreuder JJ, van den Berg PC, Jansen JR: An evaluation of cardiac output by five arterial pulse contour techniques during cardiac surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2007; 62:760-8
15. Pittman J, Bar-Yosef S, SumPing J, Sherwood M, Mark J: Continuous cardiac output monitoring with pulse contour analysis: A comparison with lithium indicator dilution cardiac output measurement. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33:2015-21
16. Hallowell GD, Corley KT: Use of lithium dilution and pulse contour analysis cardiac output determination in anaesthetized horses: A clinical evaluation. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2005; 32:201-11
17. Yamashita K, Nishiyama T, Yokoyama T, Abe H, Manabe M: Effects of vasodilation on cardiac output measured by PulseCO. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2007; 21:335-9
18. Dyer RA, Piercy JL, Reed AR, Lombard CJ, Schoeman LK, James MF: Hemodynamic changes associated with spinal anaesthesia for cesarean section in severe preeclampsia. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2008; 108:802-11
19. Pauca AL: Pressure wave analysis is useful to understand the pathophysiology of preeclampsia but perhaps not the rapid changes during cesarean delivery. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2008; 108:773-4
20. Rodig G, Prasser C, Keyl C, Liebold A, Hobbhahn J: Continuous cardiac output measurement: Pulse contour analysis *versus* thermodilution technique in cardiac surgical patients. *Br J Anaesth* 1999; 82:525-30
21. Lorsomradee S, Lorsomradee S, Cromheecke S, De Hert SG: Uncalibrated arterial pulse contour analysis *versus* continuous thermodilution technique: Effects of alterations in arterial waveform. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2007; 21:636-43

# Continuous Invasive Blood Pressure and Cardiac Output Monitoring during Cesarean Delivery

## A Randomized, Double-blind Comparison of Low-dose versus High-dose Spinal Anesthesia with Intravenous Phenylephrine or Placebo Infusion

Eldrid Langesæter, M.D.,\* Leiv Arne Rosseland, M.D., Ph.D.,\* Audun Stubhaug, M.D., Ph.D.†

**Background:** Prevention of hemodynamic instability during cesarean delivery during spinal anesthesia has been the aim of several studies. Noninvasive monitoring has been used in all previous studies. This is the first study in healthy pregnant women with continuous invasive recording of arterial blood pressure, cardiac output, and systemic vascular resistance. The aim of this randomized trial was to compare the effects of two different intrathecal doses of bupivacaine, with or without intravenous phenylephrine infusion, on cardiac output and systolic blood pressure.

**Methods:** In this double-blinded study, 80 healthy women scheduled to undergo elective cesarean delivery were randomly assigned to one of four different groups receiving 7 mg spinal bupivacaine with or without a concomitant low-dose infusion of phenylephrine ( $0.25 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ ) or 10 mg spinal bupivacaine with or without phenylephrine infusion. All patients had 4  $\mu\text{g}$  sufentanil added to the spinal solution and had cohydration with 750 ml saline, 0.9%.

**Results:** The low-dose spinal bupivacaine group with intravenous phenylephrine infusion was the most stable group regarding all hemodynamic variables. The authors found significant differences between this group and the group that was given the high dose of bupivacaine with intravenous placebo infusion regarding cardiac output ( $P = 0.005$ ), systemic vascular resistance ( $P < 0.0001$ ), and systolic blood pressure ( $P = 0.012$ ).

**Conclusions:** This study shows that low-dose bupivacaine (with sufentanil), combined with a low-dose infusion of phenylephrine and moderate cohydration, gives the best hemodynamic stability during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery.

ted.<sup>1</sup> Cyna *et al.*<sup>1</sup> concluded in the last Cochrane Review that no single method completely prevents hypotension during cesarean delivery. Most of the studies use a high dose of local anesthetic in the spinal solution, which may be the main cause of hypotension. Previous studies<sup>2,3</sup> have shown that reducing the dose reduces the incidence of hypotension. In 2005, Ngan Kee *et al.*<sup>4</sup> published a study that seemed to have solved the problem with only 1.9% hypotension. They used high doses of intravenous phenylephrine and a high volume of cohydration. The aim of the anesthetic regimen chosen should be to preserve hemodynamic stability of the patients, including uterine blood flow. All previous studies have used noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring, typically measuring blood pressure every minute or even less frequently. Invasive monitoring gives continuous measurements of blood pressure, systemic vascular resistance (SVR), and cardiac output (CO) and may increase our understanding of hemodynamic changes during spinal anesthesia and cesarean delivery.

The aims of this randomized controlled trial were to compare the effects of two different doses of bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia and the effects of prophylactic intravenous phenylephrine infusion compared with placebo on hemodynamic variables measured with invasive methods.

HYPOTENSION after subarachnoid block for cesarean delivery is common, and several different interventions for the prevention of hypotension have been investiga-

### Materials and Methods

The Ethical Committee of Southern Norway (Oslo, Norway) and the Norwegian Medicines Agency (Oslo, Norway) approved the study protocol. Healthy pregnant women at term with a singleton pregnancy scheduled to undergo elective cesarean delivery between August 2005 and April 2007 at the Birth Clinic, Rikshospitalet University Hospital (Oslo, Norway), were asked to participate. Patients were not eligible if they had preexisting or gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, cardiovascular or cerebrovascular disease, a height less than 160 cm or greater than 180 cm, prepregnancy body mass index greater than  $32 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , or contraindications to spinal anesthesia. All subjects gave written consent after oral and written information. One hundred twenty-nine women were asked to participate, 17 did not consent, and 32 were excluded for various reasons (fig. 1). The

◆ This article is featured in "This Month in Anesthesiology." Please see this issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY, page 9A.

◆ This article is accompanied by an Editorial View. Please see: Dyer RA, James MF: Maternal hemodynamic monitoring in obstetric anesthesia. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2008; 109:765–7.

\* Consultant, † Section Chief, Division of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Rikshospitalet University Hospital.

Received from the Division of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. Submitted for publication April 29, 2008. Accepted for publication June 25, 2008. Support was provided solely from institutional and/or departmental sources.

Address correspondence to Dr. Langesæter: Division of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, N-0027 Oslo, Norway. eldril.langesaeter@rikshospitalet.no. This article may be accessed for personal use at no charge through the Journal Web site, www.anesthesiology.org.




Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the trial procedure.

remaining 80 women were randomly assigned to one of the four treatment groups and included in the analyses.

This was a prospective, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled study comparing the hemodynamic effects of a high dose of spinal anesthesia with isobaric bupivacaine 10 mg (B10) with a low dose of 7 mg (B7), and the prophylactic effect of  $0.25 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  intravenous phenylephrine infusion (Phenyl) with placebo. Group 1 (B10/Phenyl) received spinal anesthesia with 10 mg isobaric bupivacaine,  $4 \mu\text{g}$  sufentanil, and 0.9% saline to a total volume of 3 ml, and a phenylephrine infusion of  $0.25 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  intravenously. Group 2 (B10/Placebo) had the same spinal anesthesia as group 1 and placebo infusion intravenously. Group 3 (B7/Phenyl) received spinal anesthesia with 7 mg isobaric bupivacaine,  $4 \mu\text{g}$  sufentanil, and 0.9% saline to a total volume of 3 ml, and a phenylephrine infusion of  $0.25 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  intravenously. Group 4 (B7/Placebo) had the same spinal anesthesia as group 3 and placebo infusion intravenously.

The primary outcome measures were group differences in systolic blood pressure (SBP) and CO. Secondary outcomes were group differences in SVR, mean arterial pressure, diastolic blood pressure, stroke volume,

heart rate, duration of motor block, nausea, and umbilical cord pH and base excess. In addition, we registered body mass index, sensory block, Apgar score at 1 and 5 min, operation time, induction time, and pruritus. Side effects were registered in all patients who were included, and an intention-to-treat analysis was performed.

The senior author, who was not involved in the handling of the drugs or the participants, performed the randomization in blocks of eight to four groups of equal size using a list of random numbers according to the Moses-Oakford algorithm.<sup>5</sup> Block size and randomization codes were not revealed to the investigators until all measurements and calculations had been entered into the database. To maintain blinding of both patients and examiner throughout the study, syringes for each patient were prepared in the morning of surgery by a doctor or nurse not involved in the treatment or assessment of the patients. The test drugs were prepared according to information in opaque, sealed envelopes marked with a randomization number only. A 50-ml syringe containing 30 ml phenylephrine or placebo, and a 10-ml syringe containing the spinal solution marked with the randomization number and neutral study information were delivered to the primary investigator in the operating theater. Unblinding of the investigators was tested by registering a guess at the treatment combination just after induction of spinal anesthesia and a second guess when the intravenous test drugs were stopped after 20 min.

The patients had no premedication or prehydration but were allowed to drink clear liquids up to 2 h before surgery. An 18-gauge intravenous cannula was inserted in each forearm. A 20-gauge arterial line was inserted in the radial artery after local skin infiltration with lidocaine. Standard monitoring with electrocardiography and pulse oximetry were attached. The LiDCOplus (LiDCO Ltd., Cambridge, United Kingdom) is a monitor providing continuous hemodynamic measurements. This new minimally invasive technique is based on two methods: a continuous arterial waveform analysis system (PulseCO) coupled to a single-point lithium indicator dilution calibration system (LiDCO). For the calibration (measuring actual CO),  $0.3 \text{ mmol}$  lithium chloride is injected through a peripheral line, and the lithium is detected by an external lithium ion-sensitive external electrode connected to a peripheral arterial line. The lithium dose has no pharmacologic effect on the woman or the fetus.<sup>6</sup> The LiDCOplus gives continuous blood pressure and a beat-to-beat measurement of CO, stroke volume, and SVR.<sup>7,8</sup>

Baseline measurements of hemodynamic variables in each patient were performed after calibration of CO. A 1-min mean value of every variable was calculated when the women had been lying supine with a wedge pillow beneath the right hip for 5 min.

With the patient in the right lateral position, spinal anesthesia was induced in the L2-L3 vertebra interspace

after skin infiltration with lidocaine. A Portex combination set (Portex, Smiths, United Kingdom) with an 18-gauge epidural needle and a 27-gauge pencil-point spinal needle was used for a combined spinal-epidural with a needle-through-needle technique. Three milliliters of the blinded spinal test drug solution (7 or 10 mg bupivacaine and 4  $\mu\text{g}$  sufentanil) was injected before inserting the epidural catheter 4 cm with the Tuohy needle opening facing cephalad. After securing the epidural catheter, the patient was turned back to the supine position with a wedge pillow under the right hip and a left lateral tilt of 15°. The upper sensory level of anesthesia was measured as loss of cold sensation (using alcohol 70% with chlorhexidine) and loss of tactile sensation (using a 50-g von Frey filament, No. 18, Aesthesiometer; Somedic, Hörby, Sweden) after 5 min. Failure to reach cold upper sensory level T8 was treated with epidural injection of 8 ml chloroprocaine, 30 mg/ml. Motor block duration was defined as the time from the induction of spinal anesthesia until the patient was able to perform a bilateral straight leg lift.

All of the women had a rapid infusion of 0.9% saline, 750 ml intravenously, when spinal anesthesia was induced. At the same time, the intravenous phenylephrine or the placebo infusion was started at 0.25  $\mu\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  using a syringe pump (IVAC P7000; Alaris, Basingstoke, United Kingdom). The intravenous infusion was ended after 20 min. Hypotension was defined as SBP less than 90 mmHg. A bolus of 30  $\mu\text{g}$  intravenous phenylephrine was given as rescue medication when SBP was less than 90 mmHg. If hypotension was combined with bradycardia (heart rate < 55 beats/min), a bolus of 5 mg ephedrine was given. According to our protocol, the phenylephrine or placebo infusion would be stopped if mean arterial blood pressure was greater than 120 mmHg. Oxygen was not routinely given unless the arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation decreased to less than 95% (pulse oximeter).

After delivery, 5 U oxytocin was injected as an intravenous bolus. Arterial and venous blood samples (pH, base excess, partial pressure of carbon dioxide, partial pressure of oxygen) were taken from the umbilical cord and analyzed with a Radiometer ABL 800 analyzer (Radiometer A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). Apgar scores after 1 and 5 min were recorded by the midwife.

#### Statistical Analysis

The main outcomes of this trial were SBP and CO after spinal anesthesia. With an SD of CO of 1.1 l/min (obtained from a pilot study), we needed to include 20 patients in each group to show a mean difference in CO of 1 l/min with 80% power and a significance level of 5% (SamplePower; SPSS, Chicago, IL).

We considered 15 mmHg as a clinically interesting mean difference in SBP between the groups. With an SD of 12.9 mmHg (obtained from a pilot study), we needed to include 17

patients in each group to show a mean difference in SBP of 15 mmHg with 80% power and a significance level of 5%.

The hemodynamic data were stored in the LiDCOplus monitor and downloaded as .csv files (text files) for each patient when the patient was discharged from the postoperative unit 2 h after surgery. Construction of the data set was performed using MatLab version R2007a (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). The beat-to-beat data set was transformed into average values every 10 s. Outliers, defined as extreme values and values more than 30% different from the two previous values, were considered erroneous and omitted from the data set. All data were constructed before breaking the randomization codes to ensure against biased handling of the data.

We used the linear mixed model in SPSS to analyze the changes in hemodynamic variables as a function of time in the four study groups. SPSS statistical program version 15.0 was used for analysis of all of the data. Treatment groups and time are treated as fixed factors, and baseline values are treated as a covariate. Use of rescue phenylephrine is included as a covariate in the analysis because we did not correct for use of rescue phenylephrine in the raw data. The same analysis was applied for the other variables as CO, SVR, mean arterial blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, and stroke volume. Normally distributed data are presented as mean and SD. Mean values with confidence intervals (CIs) for the 10-min period analyzed and mean maximum changes with CIs are presented for CO and SBP. Relative risks (RRs) for 20% and 30% reduction in SBP and RR for nausea are presented with CIs.

The shortest time from induction of spinal anesthesia to delivery was 11 min. The beat-to-beat data set obtained during the first minute contains a lot of disturbance because the patients were changing from the right lateral to the supine position. For this reason, and before breaking the randomization code, we decided to omit the first minute from the statistical analysis of the data from the first 11 min after spinal anesthesia.

## Results

The patient flow diagram is illustrated in figure 1. Patients' characteristics (table 1) and baseline hemodynamic variables (table 2) in the four groups were similar. The group differences in CO and SBP were statistically significant ( $P = 0.033$  and  $P = 0.049$ , respectively; table 3). Subsequent pairwise comparisons revealed that group 3 (B7/Phenyl), given spinal anesthesia with 7 mg bupivacaine in combination with a prophylactic intravenous phenylephrine infusion at 0.25  $\mu\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ , had significantly less hemodynamic changes compared with the other groups. These differences were most pronounced in the comparison between group 3 (B7/Phenyl) and group 2 (B10/Placebo), given spinal anesthesia

**Table 1. Patient Characteristics and Time**

	B10/Phenyl, n = 20	B10/Placebo, n = 20	B7/Phenyl, n = 20	B7/Placebo, n = 20	P Value
Indtime, min	18 (1)	17 (1)	18 (1)	18 (1)	0.608
Surgery, min	33 (3)	29 (1)	33 (3)	33 (3)	0.573
Age, y	34 (1)	35 (1)	34 (1)	34 (1)	0.958
GA, wk	38.5 (0.8)	38.5 (1.0)	38.3 (0.9)	38.8 (0.8)	0.261
Weight, kg	80.5 (2.4)	79.3 (2.5)	81.1 (1.9)	81.1 (2.7)	0.945
Height, m	1.70 (0.01)	1.69 (0.01)	1.69 (0.01)	1.69 (0.01)	0.707
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.7 (0.8)	27.8 (0.8)	28.7 (0.8)	28.3 (1.0)	0.839
BMIpre, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	22.6 (0.7)	22.6 (0.8)	23.5 (0.7)	23.1 (0.8)	0.834

Data are presented as mean (SEM).

BMI = body mass index; BMIpre = prepregnancy body mass index; GA = gestational age; Indtime = induction time (time from spinal anesthesia to delivery).

with 10 mg bupivacaine and intravenous placebo infusion. The mean CO and SBP for the period analyzed were 7.6 l/min (95% CI, 7.0–8.3 l/min) and 118 mmHg (95% CI, 112–124 mmHg) for group 2 (B10/Placebo), compared with 6.3 l/min (95% CI, 5.6–6.9 l/min) and 130 mmHg (95% CI, 123–136 mmHg) for group 3 (B7/Phenyl). The hemodynamic changes are shown in figure 2. Looking at CO, the figure shows that all four groups had a prominent increase. Group 2 (B10/Placebo) had a mean maximum increase of 59.7% (95% CI, 38.5–80.9%) from baseline, compared with a 32.8% increase (95% CI 20.8–44.9) in group 3 (B7/Phenyl). Looking at SBP, the figure shows that all four groups had a similar decrease in the first minutes. Then the group differences became prominent, with a mean decrease of 32.1% (95% CI, 25.6–38.6%) from baseline in group 2 (B10/Placebo) and a mean decrease of 16.8% (95% CI, 9.8–23.7%) in group 3 (B7/Phenyl) (table 4). RRs for 20% and 30% reduction in SBP between the two most different groups, 2 (B10/Placebo) and 3 (B7/Phenyl), were 2.5 (95% CI, 1.2–5.2) and 3.7 (95% CI, 1.2–11.3), respectively. RR for nausea between groups 2 and 3 was 4.3 (95% CI, 1.4–12.9). The numbers of patients given a rescue vasoactive drug, phenylephrine or ephedrine, because of clinically significant hypotension (SBP < 90 mmHg) were not statistically significant different between the treatment groups (table 5).

Comparing the phenylephrine groups (groups 1 and 3) with the placebo groups (groups 2 and 4), we found

statistically significantly lower CO ( $P = 0.009$ ) and heart rate ( $P = 0.004$ ) but not SBP ( $P = 0.331$ ) (fig. 3).

Comparing the high-dose bupivacaine groups (groups 1 and 2) with the low-dose bupivacaine groups (groups 3 and 4), we found statistically significant differences in SBP ( $P = 0.009$ ) but not in CO ( $P = 0.186$ ). RRs for 20% and 30% reduction in SBP between the high-dose groups and the low-dose groups were 1.6 (95% CI, 1.1–2.4) and 2.1 (95% CI, 1.4–4.1), respectively. RR for nausea was 2.4 (95% CI, 1.2–4.9).

There was a statistically significant difference between the four treatment groups in mean umbilical artery and vein base excess (table 6). The umbilical artery base excess was lower in the high-dose bupivacaine groups compared with the low-dose bupivacaine groups ( $P = 0.003$ ), whereas the corresponding difference in umbilical artery pH did not reach statistical significance ( $P = 0.09$ ). There were missing blood samples from 15 umbilical cords.

We found no group difference in maximum sensory block height at 5 min ( $P = 0.354$  for cold and  $P = 0.183$  for tactile sensation). Motor block duration was 99 min (95% CI, 84–114 min) in the low-dose groups compared with 140 min (95% CI, 124–156 min) in the high-dose groups ( $P < 0.0001$ ). One patient in the B10 groups ( $n = 40$ ) and 3 patients in the B7 groups ( $n = 40$ ) needed epidural supplementation with 30 mg/ml chloroprocaine, 8–20 ml. These patients were not included in analysis of the duration of motor block. No patients needed general anesthesia. Eleven patients (13.8%) had

**Table 2. Baselines of Hemodynamic Variables**

	B10/Phenyl, n = 20	B10/Placebo, n = 20	B7/Phenyl, n = 20	B7/Placebo, n = 20	P Value
CO, l/min	6.7 (0.3)	7.0 (0.4)	6.1 (0.3)	6.3 (0.3)	0.253
SVR, dyne · s · cm <sup>-5</sup>	1,120 (74)	1,098 (66)	1,244 (94)	1,145 (70)	0.553
SBP, mmHg	140 (3)	139 (4)	137 (4)	139 (4)	0.960
MAP, mmHg	94 (3)	95 (2)	94 (3)	91 (3)	0.693
DBP, mmHg	70 (3)	72 (2)	71 (3)	67 (2)	0.384
HR, beats/min	85 (3)	90 (4)	83 (3)	84 (4)	0.524
SV, ml	80 (4)	79 (5)	74 (3)	79 (6)	0.817

Values are presented as mean (SEM).

CO = cardiac output; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; HR = heart rate; MAP = mean arterial blood pressure; SBP = systolic blood pressure; SV = stroke volume; SVR = systemic vascular resistance.

**Table 3. Mean Differences (*P* Values) in Hemodynamic Variables in the Treatment Groups**

	Group	Time	Group × Time	Rescue
SBP	0.049*	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
CO	0.033†	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.204
MAP	0.001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
DBP	0.001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
SVR	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.469	0.046
HR	0.016	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.979
SV	0.154	<0.0001	<0.005	0.487

\* Comparing groups 2 and 3, *P* = 0.012. † Comparing groups 2 and 3, *P* = 0.005.

CO = cardiac output; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; HR = heart rate; MAP = mean arterial blood pressure; SBP = systolic blood pressure; SV = stroke volume; SVR = systemic vascular resistance.


no pruritus, 59 patients (73.8%) had little or moderate pruritus, and 9 patients (11.3%) had severe pruritus.

The investigators guessed the correct intervention of study group in only 22 of 80 patients after inducing spinal anesthesia and in 24 of 80 patients after finishing

the phenylephrine or placebo infusion. This documents a successful blinding.

## Discussion

This randomized controlled trial demonstrates that the hemodynamic instability due to spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia can be reduced by decreasing the intrathecal dose from 10 mg to 7 mg bupivacaine. We also document a significant effect of a continuous infusion of low-dose phenylephrine ( $0.25 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ ) compared with placebo. The patient group given low-dose spinal anesthesia and prophylactic phenylephrine (B7/Phenyl) had the least changes from baseline hemodynamic variables (fig. 2), and all of the hemodynamic variables were statistically significantly different compared with the high-dose group (B10/Placebo) receiving 10 mg bupivacaine and



**Fig. 2.** Mean differences in hemodynamic variables between the four treatment groups. (A) Cardiac output. (B) Systolic blood pressure. (C) Systemic vascular resistance. (D) Mean arterial pressure. Baseline is marked on the y label. SE for each group is marked as error bars.

**Table 4. Maximum Changes in Cardiac Output and Systolic Blood Pressure**

	B10/Phenyl, n = 20	B10/Placebo, n = 20	B7/Phenyl, n = 20	B7/Placebo, n = 20
CO, l/min				
Maximum	9.6 (8.7–10.6)	11.6 (8.8–14.4)	7.8 (7.3–8.3)	9.5 (8.4–10.6)
Change, l/min	3.0 (2.5–3.5)	4.6 (2.5–6.7)	1.7 (1.2–2.3)	3.2 (2.5–3.9)
% Change	45.2 (36.4–54.0)	59.7 (38.5–80.9)	32.8 (20.8–44.9)	51.5 (40.7–62.2)
Time, s	192 (142–242)	256 (177–335)	164 (111–216)	302 (201–402)
SBP, mmHg				
Minimum	101 (91–111)	94 (85–103)	114 (103–125)	103 (92–114)
Change, mmHg	39 (28–50)	45 (35–56)	24 (13–34)	37 (28–46)
% Change	27.5 (20.2–34.9)	32.1 (25.6–38.6)	16.8 (9.8–23.7)	26.6 (20.1–33.2)
Time, s	386 (297–475)	487 (416–558)	251 (157–344)	398 (302–493)

Values are presented as mean (95% confidence interval).

% Change = percentage change from baseline; CO = cardiac output; Maximum = mean maximum values in cardiac output; Minimum = mean minimum values in systolic blood pressure; SBP = systolic blood pressure; Time = time from spinal anesthesia to highest cardiac output or lowest systolic blood pressure.

placebo infusion. We found statistically significant differences in all hemodynamic variables except stroke volume between the four treatment groups. The hemodynamic curves showed an initial prominent decrease in SVR, and a concomitant increase in CO in all patients with a peak effect after approximately 3 min in the phenylephrine groups. In our study, the prophylactic phenylephrine infusion was started simultaneously with the intrathecal injection. To prevent the immediate hemodynamic changes, an additional initial bolus of phenylephrine at induction of spinal anesthesia may be a better approach. This can reduce the number of patients with clinically significant hypotension, reduce the need for rescue pressor drugs, and reduce hemodynamic instability.

A few previous studies of hypotension during cesarean delivery have focused on the intrathecal doses of local anesthetics. Ben-David *et al.*<sup>2</sup> compared 10 mg with 5 mg hypobaric bupivacaine and 25  $\mu$ g fentanyl and showed that the low dose resulted in less hypotension, vasopressor requirement, and nausea. In a randomized controlled trial comparing 9.5 and 6.5 mg hyperbaric bupivacaine, van de Velde *et al.*<sup>3</sup> showed that the incidence of hypotension and the number of patients requiring rescue pressor were significantly reduced in the low-dose group. In a recently published study, the authors found no differences in noninvasively measured SBP between 12 and 4.5 mg hyperbaric bupivacaine.<sup>9</sup>

In the current study, we found statistically significant group differences for several primary and secondary outcome measures. The use of invasive monitoring

made it possible to detect the immediate hemodynamic changes after spinal anesthesia. The vasodilatory effect of spinal anesthesia is expected, but the immediate effect on SVR and CO has not been shown before. These changes are of clinical relevance regarding regional anesthesia to pregnant women at risk (*i.e.*, cardiac disease) where prominent hemodynamic changes could be harmful and should be prevented.

We found that spinal anesthesia with 10 mg bupivacaine increases the risk of hypotension with an RR of 1.6 for 20% reduction of baseline SBP and an RR of 2.1 for 30% reduction of baseline SBP.


The risk of perioperative nausea, induced by hypotension, was also significantly higher in the groups given 10 mg bupivacaine compared with 7 mg (RR = 2.4). Three of 40 patients (7.5%) in the 7-mg bupivacaine group and 1 of 40 patients (2.5%) in the 10-mg group needed an epidural top-up. This trial was not powered to test whether this difference was of statistical significance. Using a combined spinal-epidural technique may be advisable when giving lower doses of bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia.

The efficacy and safety of prophylactic phenylephrine infusion during cesarean delivery has been thoroughly investigated.<sup>4,10–12</sup> We gave lower doses of phenylephrine than were used in a randomized controlled trial by Ngan Kee *et al.*<sup>4</sup> They found only 1.9% hypotension (defined as SBP < 80% of baseline), but 47% had reactive hypertension (defined as SBP > 120% of baseline). None of the patients in our trial had reactive hypertension. Our data show that there was a statistically significant lower heart rate and CO in the phenylephrine groups compared with the placebo groups, but all groups had an increase in heart rate and CO the first minutes (fig. 3). There was no statistically significant difference regarding stroke volume. Phenylephrine is an  $\alpha_1$  agonist that increases the blood pressure by increasing SVR. In addition, phenylephrine has a direct inotropic effect on the heart.<sup>13</sup> The fact that the phenylephrine groups had lower heart rate and CO than the placebo groups can be

**Table 5. Distribution of Rescue Pressor Drugs**

	B10/Phenyl, n = 20	B10/Placebo, n = 20	B7/Phenyl, n = 20	B7/Placebo, n = 20
Phenylephrine	8	11	4	8
Ephedrine	3	6	4	2

Data are presented as number of patients in each treatment group. One patient (group 3) received ephedrine only. All other patients were given phenylephrine first, before ephedrine.



**Fig. 3.** Mean differences in hemodynamic variables between the phenylephrine groups and the placebo groups the first 11 min after spinal anesthesia. (A) Cardiac output. (B) Systolic blood pressure. (C) Heart rate. (D) Stroke volume. Baseline is marked on the y label. SE for each group is marked as error bars.

explained by the arterioconstrictive effect of phenylephrine resulting in high SVR and therefore a simultaneous reduction of cardiac output. The approach of keeping the blood pressure at baseline by infusing high doses of phenylephrine might be questioned in daily clinically practice because of a negative effect on CO. Allowing 10–20% decrease in blood pressure, reducing the doses of bupivacaine, and thereby reducing the need for phenylephrine may be a better approach for hemodynamic stability during cesarean delivery.

The baseline values of hemodynamic variables measured in this study are in the same range as findings published by Clark *et al.*<sup>14</sup> using Swan-Ganz in 10 healthy pregnant women at gestational age 36–38 weeks. The LiDCOplus system has never been used systematically in healthy pregnant women. The use of LiDCOplus has been validated in other patient groups,<sup>15</sup>

but little has been published regarding its use in pregnant women.<sup>16,17</sup> This monitoring system, which is based on a pulse power analysis of the arterial blood pressure, gives reliable information about the rapid short-lasting changes in SBP, CO, and SVR after spinal anesthesia.

In conclusion, this study shows that low-dose bupivacaine (with sufentanil) combined with a low-dose infusion of phenylephrine and moderate cohydration, gives the best hemodynamic stability during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. This study supports the view that prophylactic phenylephrine infusion should be part of standard clinical practice during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery.

The authors thank Marte Olstad, M.Sc. (Statistician, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway), for supervising the statistical analysis and Tor H. Hauge,

**Table 6. Characteristics of the Newborn**

	B10/Phenyl, n = 20	B10/Placebo, n = 20	B7/Phenyl, n = 20	B7/Placebo, n = 20	P Value
Birth weight	3,514 (109)	3,484 (106)	3,483 (138)	3,457 (72)	0.964
Apgar 1 < 7	1	0	0	2	
Apgar 5 < 7	0	0	0	0	
UA					
pH	7.26 (0.01)	7.27 (0.02)	7.29 (0.01)	7.29 (0.02)	0.400
BE	-2.3 (0.6)	-3.0 (0.5)	-0.7 (0.4)	-1.4 (0.7)	0.039
Pco <sub>2</sub>	7.4 (0.3)	6.9 (0.3)	7.3 (0.2)	7.1 (0.3)	0.635
Po <sub>2</sub>	1.8 (0.1)	1.7 (0.2)	2.2 (0.1)	2.2 (0.2)	0.042
UV					
pH	7.33 (0.01)	7.33 (0.02)	7.35 (0.01)	7.33 (0.02)	0.515
BE	-2.3 (0.4)	-3.3 (0.5)	-1.3 (0.3)	-1.4 (0.6)	0.011
Pco <sub>2</sub>	6.1 (0.3)	5.5 (0.3)	5.8 (0.2)	6.1 (0.2)	0.258
Po <sub>2</sub>	3.2 (0.29)	3.0 (0.39)	3.6 (0.2)	3.0 (0.2)	0.120

Values are presented as mean (SEM). Apgar 1 and Apgar 5 are scored 1 and 5 min after delivery.

BE = base excess; Pco<sub>2</sub> = partial pressure of carbon dioxide; Po<sub>2</sub> = partial pressure of oxygen; UA = umbilical artery; UV = umbilical vein.

M.Sc. (Oslo, Norway), for data handling in MatLab. They also thank Mike S. Dodgson, M.D. (Consultant Anesthesiologist, Rikshospitalet University Hospital), for linguistic advices, and Kristin Villa, C.R.N.A. (Research Nurse, Rikshospitalet University Hospital), for practical assistance with the data collection.

## References

- Cyna AM, Andrew M, Emmett RS, Middleton P, Simmons SW: Techniques for preventing hypotension during spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; CD002251
- Ben-David B, Miller G, Gavriel R, Gurevitch A: Low-dose bupivacaine-fentanyl spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2000; 25:235-9
- Van de Velde M, Van Schaubroeck D, Jani J, Teunkens A, Missant C, Deprest J: Combined spinal-epidural anesthesia for cesarean delivery: Dose-dependent effects of hyperbaric bupivacaine on maternal hemodynamics. *Anesth Analg* 2006; 103:187-90
- Ngan Kee WD, Khaw KS, Ng FF: Prevention of hypotension during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery: An effective technique using combination phenylephrine infusion and crystalloid cohydration. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2005; 103:744-50
- Meinert C: *Clinical Trials: Design, Conduct and Analysis*. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1986, pp 97-9
- Linton RA, Jonas MM, Tibby SM, Murdoch IA, O'Brien TK, Linton NW, Band DM: Cardiac output measured by lithium dilution and transpulmonary thermolite in patients in a paediatric intensive care unit. *Intensive Care Med* 2000; 26:1507-11
- Pearse RM, Ikram K, Barry J: Equipment review: An appraisal of the LiDCO plus method of measuring cardiac output. *Crit Care* 2004; 8:190-5
- Jonas MM, Tanser SJ: Lithium dilution measurement of cardiac output and arterial pulse waveform analysis: An indicator dilution calibrated beat-by-beat system for continuous estimation of cardiac output. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2002; 8:257-61
- Bryson GL, Macneil R, Jeyaraj LM, Rosaeg OP: Small dose spinal bupivacaine for cesarean delivery does not reduce hypotension but accelerates motor recovery. *Can J Anaesth* 2007; 54:531-7
- Lee A, Ngan Kee WD, Gin T: A quantitative, systematic review of randomized controlled trials of ephedrine versus phenylephrine for the management of hypotension during spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 94:920-6
- Ngan Kee WD, Khaw KS, Ng FF: Comparison of phenylephrine infusion regimens for maintaining maternal blood pressure during spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section. *Br J Anaesth* 2004; 92:469-74
- Macarthur A, Riley ET: Obstetric anesthesia controversies: Vasopressor choice for postspinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. *Int Anesthesiol Clin* 2007; 45:115-32
- Skomedal T, Borthne K, Aass H, Geiran O, Osnes JB: Comparison between alpha-1 adrenoceptor-mediated and beta adrenoceptor-mediated inotropic components elicited by norepinephrine in failing human ventricular muscle. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1997; 280:721-9
- Clark SL, Cotton DB, Lee W, Bishop C, Hill T, Southwick J, Pivarnik J, Spillman T, DeVore GR, Phelan J: Central hemodynamic assessment of normal term pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989; 161:1439-42
- Jhanji S, Dawson J, Pearse RM: Cardiac output monitoring: Basic science and clinical application. *Anaesthesia* 2008; 63:172-81
- Dyer RA, Piercy JL, Reed AR, Lombard CJ, Schoeman LK, James MF: Hemodynamic changes associated with spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery in severe preeclampsia. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2008; 108:802-11
- Langesaeter E, Rosseland LA, Stubhaug A: Hemodynamic effects of oxytocin during cesarean delivery. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2006; 95:46-7