REVIEW ARTICLE

Prophylaxis of postoperative vomiting in children undergoing tonsillectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis

C. M. Bolton¹*, P. S. Myles², T. Nolan³ and J. A. Sterne⁴

¹Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Management, Royal Children's Hospital and Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Flemington Road Parkville, Melbourne, Australia 3052. ²Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Management, Alfred Hospital and Monash University, Melbourne, Australia 3004. ³School of Population Health, University of Melbourne, Australia 3010. ⁴Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Canynge Hall, Whiteladies Road, Bristol BS8 2PR, UK

*Corresponding author: Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Management, Royal Children's Hospital, Flemington Road Parkville, Melbourne, Victoria 3052, Australia. E-mail: chris.bolton@rch.org.au

Postoperative vomiting (POV) remains one of the commonest causes of significant morbidity after tonsillectomy in children. A variety of prophylactic anti-emetic interventions have been reported, but there has only been a limited systematic review in this patient group. A systematic search was performed by using Cochrane Controlled Trials Register, MEDLINE and EMBASE to identify doubleblind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials of prophylactic anti-emetic interventions in children undergoing tonsillectomy, with or without adenoidectomy. The outcome of interest was POV in the first 24 h. Summary estimates of the effect of each prophylactic anti-emetic strategy were derived using fixed effect meta-analysis. Where appropriate, dose-response effects were estimated using logistic regression and 22 articles were identified. Good evidence was found for the prophylactic anti-emetic effect of dexamethasone [odds ratio (OR) 0.23, 95% CI 0.16-0.33], and the serotinergic antagonists ondansetron (OR 0.36, 95% CI 0.29-0.46), granisetron (OR 0.11, 95% CI 0.06-0.19), tropisetron (OR 0.15, 95% CI 0.06–0.35) and dolasetron (OR 0.25, 95% CI 0.1–0.59). Metoclopramide was also found to be efficacious (OR 0.51, 95% CI 0.34-0.77). There is not sufficient evidence to suggest that dimenhydrinate, perphenazine or droperidol, in the doses studied, are efficacious, nor were gastric aspiration or acupuncture. In conclusion, dexamethasone and the anti-serotinergic agents appear to be the most effective agents for the prophylaxis for POV in children undergoing tonsillectomy.

Br J Anaesth 2006; 97: 593-604

Keywords: anaesthesia, paediatric; meta-analysis; surgery, otolaryngeal; vomiting, anti-emetics

Tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy remains one of the most frequently performed paediatric surgical procedures worldwide.^{58 62 91} Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is one of the most common, significant postoperative complications associated with this procedure.^{8 36} Without prophylaxis, more than 70% of children undergoing tonsillectomy will experience at least one episode of vomiting in the postoperative period.^{20 33 37 42} PONV has been reported to be the commonest cause of delayed discharge or overnight admission in day-case tonsillectomies.^{2 62} It has also been reported to be associated with an increased risk of bleeding, aspiration of gastric contents, dehydration and electrolyte disturbance.⁷⁰

A variety of different anti-emetic drugs and techniques have been described for the prophylactic control of PONV in this group. The aim of this study was to systematically review the currently available literature relating to interventions used to prophylactically reduce the incidence of postoperative vomiting (POV) in children after tonsillectomy, with or without adenoidectomy and where appropriate, perform a meta-analysis.

Methods

Searches of the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CCTR), MEDLINE and EMBASE were performed for the years 1966–September 2003. The search strategy used for the CCTR (Table 1) identified 49 potentially eligible studies. MEDLINE was also searched using the keywords such as child, tonsillectomy and POV. The search was re-run adding in each of the individual drugs or techniques to be reviewed (Table 2). In addition, the scientific abstracts of

Table 1 Search strategy for the Control	CTR
---	-----

Subject	headings
---------	----------

man cinia

#2. Tonsillectomy

#3. Postoperative vomiting

#4. Postoperative nausea and vomiting explode all trees (MeSH)

#5. #3 or #4

#6. #1 and #2 and #5

Table 2	Drugs	and	techniques	included	in	MEDLINE	search
---------	-------	-----	------------	----------	----	---------	--------

Drug or technique

Metoclopramide	
Dimenhydrinate	
Droperidol	
Perphenazine	
Ondansetron	
Granisetron	
Tropisetron	
Dolasetron	
Midazolam	
Dexamethasone	
Gastric aspiration	
Acupuncture	

meetings were reviewed in *Anesthesia and Analgesia, Anesthesiology* and the *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. Any other study included in the reference list of any of these publications but not already identified was also obtained. A further 43 studies were identified giving a total of 92 potentially eligible studies. Paper copies of each were retrieved for the final assessment of eligibility.

Eligible studies were restricted to randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trials available in English, that investigated the prophylactic reduction of POV in paediatric patients aged 18 yr or less, who had undergone tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy. Both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions were included. The outcome measure was POV in the first 24 h.

A systematic review and meta-analysis of papers investigating the anti-emetic effects of dexamethasone^{5 10 57 60 82 97 103} had recently been published.⁹¹ Two additional placebo-controlled studies of this intervention were identified^{4 19} and hence the meta-analysis was re-performed to include these studies.

All studies were reviewed by two authors (C.M.B. and P.S.M.) and agreement was reached regarding eligibility. All trials satisfying the inclusion criteria were included in the initial analysis. A sensitivity analysis was then performed which excluded trials with methodological flaws such as a significant loss-to-follow-up,³³ inappropriate early termination⁸⁷ and those trials whose protocols varied significantly from other studies.^{9 50 87} No other attempt was made to rank the selected studies according to their quality.

Analyses were conducted separately for each drug or intervention using Stata 8.1. For trials in which a drug was administered at more than one dose, the overall effect

 Table 3 Numbers needed to treat to benefit one child, according to the percentage of children who vomit in the absence of medication, and the odds ratio (OR) for the effect of the anti-emetic intervention

Percentage vomiting	OR for the effect of anti-emetic intervention								
	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	
30	46.2	22.4	14.4	10.5	8.1	6.5	5.4	4.5	
35	42.4	20.4	13.1	9.5	7.3	5.8	4.7	4.0	
40	40.0	19.2	12.2	8.8	6.7	5.3	4.3	3.5	
45	38.6	18.4	11.6	8.3	6.3	4.9	4.0	3.2	
50	38.0	18.0	11.3	8.0	6.0	4.7	3.7	3.0	
55	38.2	18.0	11.2	7.9	5.9	4.5	3.5	2.8	
60	39.2	18.3	11.4	7.9	5.8	4.4	3.5	2.7	
65	41.1	19.1	11.8	8.1	5.9	4.5	3.4	2.6	
70	44.3	20.5	12.5	8.6	6.2	4.6	3.5	2.6	
75	49.3	22.7	13.8	9.3	6.7	4.9	3.6	2.7	
80	57.5	26.3	15.8	10.6	7.5	5.4	3.9	2.8	

of the drug was derived by combining data from all groups receiving the drug. Fixed-effect summary odds ratios (ORs) for the effect of each drug on vomiting were derived using the Mantel–Haenszel method. The amount of between-trial heterogeneity was measured using the I^2 statistics⁸⁹ and heterogeneity was tested using the Q statistic. Evidence of publication and other bias was sought by plotting funnel plots according to the guidelines of Sterne and Egger⁸⁸ and using the regression test proposed by Egger and colleagues.¹⁸

Some trials had compared different doses of the same drug; therefore, standard methods for meta-analysis could not be used in analysis of dose–response effects. Instead, logistic regression was used to estimate dose–response effects of each drug in each trial. Logistic regression was also used to estimate the overall effect of each dose of each drug, across trials, by combining data across trials and including indicator variables for trials in the model. When there was evidence that the effect of a drug differed according to dose, logistic regression was used to estimate the summary OR per unit increase in dose, in each trial. These were then combined using fixed-effect meta-analysis. Table 3 has been included to allow ORs to be converted into NNT.

Results

Of the 92 studies reviewed, 22 met the inclusion criteria. Table 4 lists the characteristics of these studies. Individual study results and the results of the meta-analysis are given in Table 5. Excluded studies are listed in Appendix Table A1.

Pharmacological strategies

Metoclopramide

There were four studies^{20 33 69 87} testing the efficacy of metoclopramide (Table 4). All used i.v. administration, and the same definition of vomiting, with one exception⁸⁷

ntenti	
TT, i	
ıble; I	
pplic	
not aj	
N/A,	
ified;	
spec	
?, not	
netic;	
aesth	
eral aı	
, gene	
c; GA	
sthetic	
anae	
eneral	
cant g	
e relax	
nuscle	
GA, n	
; MR	
ctomy	
noide	
s, ade	
y; A'	
ectom	
onsill	
T's, t	
iteria.	
ion cri	
nclusi	
ying i	
satisf	tocol
udies	to-prc
1 of st	-guib-
riptior	accor
Desci	ATP.
ble 4	reat:
Tal	to-t

A review of 1	postoperative	vomiting	1n	children
---------------	---------------	----------	----	----------

Table 4 Description of st to-treat; ATP, according-1	udies satisfying inc to-protocol	lusion criteria. T's,	tonsillect	comy; A's, adenoidectomy;	MRGA, mu	ıscle relaxant general anaesth	netic; GA, general anaesthetic; ?, no	ot specified; N/A, n	ot applicable; ITT,	intention-
Intervention	Dose (mg kg ⁻¹)	Route of administration	и	Primary author	Age (yr)	Type of surgery and technique	Anaesthetic details	Definition of POV	Use of postop. opioids?	Type of analysis
Metoclopromide	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15\\ 0.5\\ 0.25, 0.5\\ 0.25\end{array}$	i.v. i.v. i.v.	102 239 200	Ferrari ²⁰ Furst ³³ Rose and Martin ⁶⁹ Stene ⁸⁷	1–15 2–12 2–12 2–12	T's with or without A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's	Non-standardized, non-MRGA Non-standardized, non-MRGA Non-standardized, MRGA Standardized MRGA	Vomit Vomit Vomit Retch or vomit	? No 2	ITT ATP ATP ATP
Dimenhydrinate Droperidol Perphenazine	0.5 0.075 0.07	i.v. i.v.	74 239 258	Hamid ³⁷ Furst ³³ Splinter and Roberts ⁸⁴	2^{-10}	T's with A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's	Standardized MRGA Non-standardized, non-MRGA Non-standardized GA	Retch or vomit Vomit Vomit	No ??	ATP ATP ATP
Ondansetron	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15	i.v. i.v. Oral i.v.	239 60 136 233	Furst ^{-3,2} Litman ⁵⁰ Splinter and Baxter ⁷⁹ Rose and Brenn ⁶⁸ Booo and Moniv69	2-12 >3 2-14 1.5-12	T's with or without A's T's with or without A's T's with A's T's with or without A's	Non-standardized, non-MRGA Standardized MRGA Non-standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA Non-donalized MRGA	Vomit ? Vomit Vomit	No No No No	ATP ATP ATP ATP
	0.15, 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.15 0.15		200 120 71 90 149	Stene ⁸⁷ Stene ⁸⁷ Moton ⁵³ Hamid ³⁷ Barst and Leiderman ⁷ Sukhani ⁹⁴	2^{-12} 2^{-12} 1^{-12} 2^{-10} 2^{-12}	Ts with or without A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's T's with A's T's with A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's	von-standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA Non-standardized GA Standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA	Voluti Retch or vomit Retch or vomit Retch or vomit Retch or vomit	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ATP ITT ATP ATP ATP
Granisetron Tropisetron	0.01 0.02, 0.04, 0.08 0.04 0.1	i.v. Oral i.v. i.v.	54 160 170 71	Carnahan ⁹ Fujii ²⁷ Fujii ³⁰ Ang ³ Lancen ⁴²	2-8 4-10 2-12	T's with A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's T's with or without A's	Standardized MRGA Standardized non-MRGA Standardized MRGA Non-standardized MRGA	? Retch or vomit Retch or vomit Betch or vomit	? No No No	ITT ITT ATP ATP
Dolasetron Midazolam Gastric aspiration P6 acupoint stimulation	0.5 0.075	 i.v. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	215 74 75 100 120	Suthani ⁹⁴ Splinter ⁸⁰ Jones ⁴³ Yentis ¹⁰⁷ Shenkman ⁷⁵ Rusy ⁷¹	2-14 1.5-14 2-11 2-11 2-12 4-18	Ts with or without A's T's with or without A's	standardized MRGA Non-standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA Standardized MRGA	Retch or vomit ? Vomit Retch or vomit Retch or vomit Vomit	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ATTA TTI TTI TTI TTI TTI

Table 5 Results of individual studies and of meta-analy-	sis
--	-----

Intervention	Primary author	Dose (mg kg ⁻¹)	No. who did not vomit	No. who did vomit	OR (95% CI)
Metoclopramide	Ferrari ²⁰	0	15	36	1 (ref.)
*		0.15	27	24	0.37 (0.16-0.84)
	Furst ³³	0	23	38	1 (ref.)
	50	0.5	25	34	0.82 (0.4-1.71)
	Rose ⁶⁹	0	20	20	1 (ref.)
		0.25	24	16	0.67 (0.31–1.44)
		0.5	33	7	0.21 (0.08-0.54)
	Stene	0	11	25	1 (ref.)
0 11 1 1 1 1		0.25	19	22	0.51 (0.2–1.3)
Overall estimate (heter	ogeneity $P=0.46, I^2=0\%$)				0.51 (0.34–0.77)
Sensitivity analysis	** • 137	0	0	26	0.39 (0.22-0.68)
Dimenhydrinate	Hamid	0	8	36	1 (ref.)
Duran ani dal	E	0.5	5	19	0.84 (0.20-3.7)
Droperidoi	Furst	0 075	25	38 36	1 (rel.)
Damhanazina	Splinter ⁸⁴	0.073	52	50	0.99 (0.44 - 2.22)
reiphenazine	Spiniter	0.07	52 75	55	1 (101.)
Ondensatron	Furst ³³	0.07	23	38	0.5 (0.31 - 0.62)
Olidaliseuoli	Tuist	0.15	25 45	16	1 (101.)
	Litmon ⁵⁰	0.13	45	22	0.22 (0.1-0.40)
	Littilali	0.15	23	7	0.11 (0.03 0.36)
	Splinter ⁷⁹	0.13	23 57	67	0.11 (0.03–0.50) 1 (ref.)
	Spiniter	0.1	66	43	0.55(0.33,0.03)
	Rose ⁶⁸	0.1	28	43	1 (ref)
	Rose	0.15	39	7	0.3 (0.11 - 0.81)
	Rose ⁶⁹	0.15	20	20	1 (ref)
	Rose	0.15	31	9	0.29 (0.12 - 0.69)
		0.3	37	3	0.29(0.12, 0.09) 0.08(0.02-0.28)
	Stene ⁸⁷	0	22	25	1 (ref)
	Stelle	0.15	32	11	0.3(0.12-0.74)
	Morton ⁵³	0	100	115	1 (ref)
		01	127	85	0.58(0.4-0.85)
	Hamid ³⁷	0	8	36	1 (ref.)
		0.1	15	10	0.15 (0.05–0.45)
	Barst ⁷	0	35	10	1 (ref.)
		0.1	42	3	0.25 (0.06–0.98)
	Sukhani ⁹⁴	0	46	54	1 (ref.)
		0.15	41	9	0.19 (0.08-0.43)
Overall estimate (heter	ogeneity P=0.013, I ² =57.2	.%)			0.36 (0.29-0.46)
Sensitivity analysis					0.41 (0.32-0.53)
Granisetron	Carnahan ⁹	0	8	18	1 (ref.)
		0.01	23	5	0.1 (0.03-0.35)
	Fujii ²⁵	0	10	24	1 (ref.)
		0.02	19	21	0.46 (0.18-1.21)
		0.04	34	6	0.07 (0.02-0.23)
		0.08	36	4	0.05 (0.01-0.16)
	Fujii ³⁰	0	14	44	1 (ref.)
		0.04	48	12	0.08 (0.03-0.19)
Overall estimate (heter	ogeneity $P=0.62, I^2=0\%$)				0.11 (0.06-0.18)
Sensitivity analysis					0.11 (0.06-0.19)
Tropisetron	Ang ³	0	8	15	1 (ref.)
	12	0.1	17	7	0.22 (0.06-0.75)
	Jensen ⁴²	0	4	32	1 (ref.)
		0.2	19	16	0.11 (0.03–0.36)
Overall estimate (heter	ogeneity $P=0.41, I^2=0\%$)				0.15 (0.06-0.35)
Dolasetron	Sukhani ⁹⁴	0	46	54	1 (ref.)
	a 4 80	0.5	38	11	0.25 (0.10-0.59)
Midazolam	Splinter	0	46	61	0.54
	• 43	0.075	63	45	(0.31-0.93)
Gastric aspiration	Jones	0	9	26	1 (ref.)
	×	Aspiration	6	33	1.9 (0.6–6.0)
Acupuncture	Yentis	NO	14	8	1 12 (0.24, 2.75)
	Chamber 75	Y es	14	9	1.13 (0.34–3.76)
	Snenkman	INO Vac	10	21 28	1
	D 1101/71	No	19	20	1.03 (0.47-2.32)
	Rusy	NU Vac	9	25	1
Overall estimate (h-t	$P_{-0.42} = 12^{-0.07}$	1 08	13	23	0.40 (0.10 - 1.29) 0.83 (0.49 1.42)
Overall estimate (neter	0 ogenenty $P=0.42, I = 0%)$				0.85 (0.48-1.43)



Fig 1 The effect of the dose of metoclopramide on the prevention of POV.

which also included retching in the definition. Drug doses ranged from 0.15 to 0.5 mg kg^{-1} given in single or divided doses. Only one⁸⁷ had a standardized anaesthetic technique. All studies dealt with children undergoing tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy and follow-up was for 24 h, except for that by Stene and colleagues⁸⁷ whose follow-up was for only 4 h. Only two studies established that there was no difference in the use of postoperative opioids.^{33 69} Stene and colleagues⁸⁷ terminated their trial early based on the results of a favourable interim analysis. One study reported a loss-to-follow-up/protocol violation rate of more than 20%.33 The summary OR for metoclopramide was 0.51 (95% CI 0.34-0.77). A sensitivity analysis excluding these two studies^{87 33} estimated that the OR was 0.39 (95% CI 0.22-0.68). There was no evidence of heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=0\%$). There was no evidence that the effect of metoclopramide varied according to dose (Fig. 1).

Dimenhydrinate

There was one placebo-controlled trial testing dimenhydrinate.³⁷ It had two interventional arms: dimenhydrinate 0.5 mg kg^{-1} i.v. and ondansetron 0.1 mg kg⁻¹ i.v. The trial was terminated early as a result of the occurrence of two significant concealed haemorrhages in the ondansetron group. An analysis was therefore performed after 74 children had been recruited. There was insufficient evidence to suggest that dimenhydrinate was more effective than placebo for the prophylactic control of POV (OR=0.84, 95% CI 0.20–3.65). Whilst the early termination of the trial for safety reasons significantly reduced the power of the study to detect a difference between the dimenhydrinate and placebo groups, it did not invalidate the analysis.

Droperidol

There was only one trial testing droperidol.³³ There was no evidence that droperidol was more effective than placebo (OR=0.99, 95% CI 0.44–2.22). As 20% of participants were lost to follow-up, and no data were presented as to how this

loss was distributed between groups, the results of this study are difficult to interpret.

Perphenazine

There was one trial assessing the efficacy of perphenazine.⁸⁴ Perphenazine was better at controlling POV than placebo (OR=0.50, 95% CI 0.31–0.82). Subgroup analysis did not suggest that this effect varied according to whether subjects received premedication or what type of induction was used.

Serotonin (5-HT₃) antagonists

Four serotinergic antagonists (ondansetron, granisetron, tropisetron and dolasetron) have been investigated for the control of POV in children after tonsillectomy.

Ondansetron

Ten trials met the inclusion criteria. The definition of POV differed between studies: some authors required the expulsion of gastric contents,^{33 68 69} while others also included retching.^{7 37 53 87 94} Two did not include a definition.^{50 79} Two studies only collected outcome data on the day of surgery.^{50 87} The dose of ondansetron used varied between 0.1 and 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ given in single or divided doses. One study investigated two different doses of i.v. ondansetron.⁶⁹ Most studies investigated the effect of i.v. administration; however, two studies used oral preparations.^{68 79} Postoperative opioid administration appeared to be similar between groups in seven studies, but this was not commented on in the other three.^{7 87 94} All but one study³⁷ included children having tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy.

The summary OR for the effect of ondansetron combined across all doses was 0.36 (95% CI 0.26–0.46). There was clear evidence of between-study heterogeneity (I^2 =57.2%, P=0.013). In a sensitivity analysis excluding three studies including Furst and colleagues³³ (excluded because 20% of participants were lost to follow-up), Litman and colleagues⁵⁰ (excluded because there were only 4 h of follow-up together with potential confounding because of unequal use of dexamethasone between study groups), and Stene and colleagues⁸⁷ (excluded because of an early termination of trial on the basis of the favourable result of an interim analysis), the summary OR was 0.41 (95% CI 0.32–0.53).

When evidence from all the trials was combined, there was clear evidence that the effect of ondansetron varied according to dose (Fig. 2). Therefore, we used logistic regression to estimate the OR per unit increase in dose in each study (Fig. 3). The summary OR per 0.1 mg kg⁻¹ increase in dose, using inverse-variance weighted fixed-effect meta-analysis, was 0.43 (95% CI 0.36–0.51). There was no evidence of heterogeneity in the dose–response effect of ondansetron (I^2 =10.4%, P=0.35), and no evidence that the effect of the i.v. preparation was more effective than the oral preparation. However, an asymmetrical funnel plot (Fig. 4) suggests that small studies tended to find larger dose–response effects of ondansetron (Egger's test, P<0.001).



Fig 2 The effect of the dose of ondansetron on the incidence of POV.



Fig 3 Forest plot showing the dose–response effect of ondansetron (OR per unit change in dose, estimated using logistic regression) together with the summary OR estimated using fixed-effect inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis.

Granisetron

There were three relevant trials.^{9 25 30} Vomiting was not defined in one⁹ and was considered to include retching in the other two. The follow-up period was for 24 h for all studies; however, the way the data are presented in one⁹ meant that only data during the day of surgery could be included in the analysis. One study used oral granisetron²⁵ and doses ranged from 10 to 80 μ g kg⁻¹ (oral or i.v.). In two studies there were no differences between groups in the use of opioids, but this was not considered in the other.⁹

There was clear evidence that administration of granisetron reduced the incidence of POV (OR=0.11, 95% CI 0.06–0.18). There was no evidence of between-study heterogeneity (l^2 =0%).

In a sensitivity analysis excluding the study by Carnahan and colleagues,⁹ because of the issues outlined above, the estimated effect was unchanged (OR=0.11, 95% CI 0.06–0.19). There was no evidence of a dose–response effect for granisetron (Fig. 5).

Tropisetron

Two trials met the inclusion criteria.^{3 42} Both used the same definition of vomiting, follow-up period and



Fig 4 A funnel plot of all studies investigating ondansetron showing evidence of 'small-study' bias.



Fig 5 The effect of the dose of granisetron on the prevention of POV.

route of administration. The doses used were 0.1 and 0.2 mg kg^{-1} , and the administration of postoperative opioids did not differ between intervention and control groups, but one⁴² did not use a standardized anaesthetic technique. The authors investigated the possibility of confounding as a result of this, but were unable to find any significant differences between the groups.

The summary OR for the effect of tropisetron on POV was 0.15 (95% CI 0.06–0.35). There was no evidence of heterogeneity between groups (I^2 =0%). Figure 6 shows that the effect of tropisetron appears to increase with dose.

Dolasetron

Only one published trial has tested the efficacy of dolasetron.⁹⁴ This was a small study using a standardized anaesthetic technique. Dolasetron had a clinically important treatment effect (OR=0.25, 95% CI 0.1–0.59). The use of postoperative opioids was not reported.

Pooled estimate for anti-serotinergic agents

We performed a pooled estimate of the overall effect of anti-serotinergic agents using the data from the most effective dose of each of the four drugs. The summary OR for anti-serotinergic agents combined was 0.12 (95% CI 0.07–0.20) using a fixed effect model. Although



Fig 6 The effect of the dose of tropisetron on the prevention of POV.

inter-study heterogeneity accounted for much of the variation seen (l^2 =45.6%), overall it did not reach a significant level (P=0.14).

Midazolam

We identified one trial that investigated the effect of midazolam.⁸⁰ No definition of vomiting was given. Neither the completeness of follow-up nor the differential use of postoperative opioids was commented on. The results indicated a modest reduction in the incidence of POV associated with the use of i.v. midazolam (OR=0.54; 95% CI 0.31–0.93).

Dexamethasone

Steward and colleagues⁹¹ published a meta-analysis of seven double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled trials of dexamethasone in 2001. Doses ranged from 0.15^{82} to 1 mg kg^{-1.56097} The summary RR calculated was 0.55 (95% CI 0.41–0.74). Two further trials⁴¹⁹ have been published as that would have met their inclusion criteria, and hence the meta-analysis for POV was re-performed. The dose used in both studies was 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ (maximum dose of 8 mg). The summary RR incorporating these studies was 0.48 (95% CI 0.40–0.57) while the summary OR was 0.23 (95% CI 0.16–0.33). There was no strong evidence of significant inter-study heterogeneity (*P*=0.24).

Non-pharmacological strategies

Gastric evacuation

Blood is known to be extremely irritant to the stomach and likely to induce vomiting. The evacuation of gastric contents under anaesthesia has been advocated as a means of reducing POV after ENT surgery.⁶¹ Only one trial investigating this phenomenon met the inclusion criteria.⁴³ The anaesthetic and surgical techniques were standardized, and no prophylactic anti-emetic agents were used. No reference

is made to the differential use of postoperative opioids between groups. Gastric evacuation was associated with an increase in the incidence of POV (OR=1.9, 95% CI 0.6-6.0), although the sample size limited the precision of the estimate. Neither the frequency of vomiting nor the need for rescue anti-emetics differed between the two groups.

Acupuncture

Three trials were identified.^{71 75 107} One¹⁰⁷ included only tonsillectomy patients whilst the other two studies included patients who may also have had adenoidectomy. One⁷¹ defined a vomit as producing gastric contents, whilst the other two also included retching. All studies used the P6 acupuncture site on the ventral surface of the lower forearm although there was variation in the mode of stimulation (Table 1). All studies used standardized anaesthetic techniques and there was no difference identified in the administration of postoperative opioids between groups.

The summary OR for acupuncture was 0.83 (95% CI 0.45–1.4). There was no evidence of heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=0\%$).

Discussion

The results of this systematic review indicate that there is good evidence that the anti-serotinergic agents ondansetron, granisetron and tropisetron are clinically effective, and that there is a dose–response effect. The evidence for dolasetron, although weaker, also suggests that it is a suitable agent for the prophylactic control of POV in this patient group. The results of the pooled anti-serotinergic analysis are consistent with the literature in other patient groups which indicate that this group of drugs are highly effective anti-emetic agents.^{47 63 72 74 105}

Meta-analysis of the studies investigating the effect of metoclopramide suggests that it is also an effective agent. The limited data on higher doses of metoclopramide does not support the hypothesis that higher doses have increased clinical efficacy. This conclusion should be interpreted with caution because of the small amount of available data. There are not enough data available to allow a conclusion to be made about the effects of both perphenazine and midazolam, nor the absence of effect for dimenhydrinate, droperidol, gastric aspiration and acupuncture. The inclusion of two further relevant studies into the published meta-analysis of dexamethasone^{91 92} gave results consistent with the original findings. This provides good evidence of the efficacy of dexamethasone for the prophylactic control of POV.

The use of POV as an outcome remains contentious. It has been proposed that it represents a surrogate endpoint that does not necessarily reflect what have been termed the 'true' endpoints of delayed recovery discharge, unplanned overnight admission and reduced patient satisfaction.²¹

The alternative viewpoint, however, defends its use by recognizing that many patients consider POV more undesirable than postoperative pain.¹⁰¹ POV is easily measured and almost universally used by researchers. This, together with the effect that POV has on patient and parent satisfaction supports its use as a primary outcome.^{16 34}

The incidence of POV in children undergoing tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy is sufficiently high (up to $70\%^{20\,33\,37\,42}$) to warrant the use of effective antiemetic prophylaxis rather than relying solely on rescue therapy.³⁵ The complexity of POV makes the identification and avoidance of relevant triggers, be they patient, surgical or anaesthetic, extremely difficult. This provides a strong argument for prophylaxis and hence the need for a systematic review of the literature.

By design, only double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled trials were included in this meta-analysis. Whilst the measurement of POV is unlikely to be significantly affected by reporter bias associated with a lack of blinding, no single-blind/unblinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trials were identified. The choice of a fixed effect model was justified in each analysis by calculating the heterogeneity between studies (I^2) and by a comparison with random effects model. Evidence of heterogeneity was found amongst the studies of ondansetron; however, much of this variation was subsequently found to be because of dose-variation. Variations between studies in terms of the definition of vomiting used, the route of administration and dose of drug used, the anaesthetic technique used, the surgery, the length and completeness of the follow-up, and postoperative analgesia were documented, and together with an assessment of the methodology, guided the exclusion of studies in the sensitivity analyses.

A decision was made to exclude the surgical details from the analysis. It is possible that the surgical technique could influence the incidence of POV, although there is no evidence to support or refute this hypothesis. The variable reporting of surgical details in the POV literature, which is almost entirely published by anaesthetists, further clouds the issue. It was assumed that the confounding influence of any of these variables was minimized by the process of randomization used in each study.

An assessment of the likelihood of publication bias was made by plotting funnel plots and using the regression test proposed by Egger and colleagues.¹⁸ Studies showing a significant treatment effect are more likely to be published than those with negative results.⁸⁹ Smaller studies require a larger treatment effect to reach statistical significance. They are consequently less likely to produce a positive result and hence more likely to be rejected by journals. The inclusion of published smaller studies in a systematic review is likely, therefore, to overestimate any real treatment effect. Larger studies on the other hand are more likely to be published even without a positive result by virtue of their size. The funnel plot for ondansetron was asymmetrical. This provides evidence of 'small study effects' and suggests that

the summary OR may have been overestimated. Funnel plot analysis was not used for any of the other drugs because of the limited number of studies available for analysis.

This systematic review focuses on single therapy for prophylaxis in an attempt to identify the efficacy of individual agents. The use of a single anti-emetic for prophylaxis in this patient group is however uncommon with many clinicians using steroids as adjuvant therapy. Despite this, little has been published about combined therapies.⁴¹

Conclusions

This systematic review and meta-analysis provides good evidence that dexamethasone and the serotinergic antagonists ondansetron, granisetron and tropisetron are clinically effective agents for the prophylactic control of POV in children after tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy. There is also evidence to suggest that metoclopramide is also an effective agent. Whilst there is some evidence that perphenazine and midazolam may be effective agents, this evidence has not been corroborated. There is currently insufficient evidence to suggest that dimenhydrinate, droperidol, gastric aspiration or acupuncture are clinically useful in this setting.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Research Scholarship Grants for the principal author from National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia and The Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists.

Appendix

Table A1 Studies excluded from the review. 1, not limited to children; 2, not double-blind, randomized and placebo-controlled; 3, insufficient data given; 4, not solely tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy; 5, not published in or translated into English; 6, either not an anti-emetic drug used or not administered prophylactically; 7, included in Steward's sytematic review of dexamethasone in children undergoing tonsillectomy⁹¹

Study (primary author)	Reason for exclusion
Anderson ²	2,6
Anderson ¹	2,6
Barst ⁶	2
Carithers ⁸	2
Chhibber ¹¹	2,6
Church ¹²	2
Cohn ¹³	2
Courtman ¹⁴	2
Culy ¹⁵	2
Dillier ¹⁷	5
Fujii ²³	4

Table A1 Continued

Study (primary author)	Reason for exclusion
Fuiji ²⁸	2
Fujii ²⁹	2
Fujii ³¹	2
Fujii ³²	2
Fujii ²²	$\frac{2}{4}$
Fujii ²⁴	т Д
Fujii ²⁶	
Guida ³⁶	2
Heatley ³⁸	2
Hellier ³⁹	2
Hengerer ⁴⁰	2
Helle ⁴¹	2
Holt Konomio ⁴⁴	2
Kallel va Voormou ⁴⁵	2
Kearney	6
Nermoue	<u>∠</u>
Lawnorn ⁴⁸	4
Lawnorn ¹²	5 5
Madadaki ²	5
Monniche ⁵²	2
Mukherjee	2,6
Nolan ⁵⁵	2
O'Flaherty ³⁰	6
Panarese ³⁸	2
Pandit ⁵⁹	6
Pappas ⁶⁰	7
Patel ⁶²	2
Roberts ⁶⁴	2
Romsing ⁶⁵	6
Rose ⁶⁶	2
Rose ⁶⁷	2
Shott ⁷⁶	2
Splinter ⁸⁶	4
Semple ⁷³	2.6
Smith ⁷⁷	2
Splinter ⁸⁵	2
Splinter ⁸³	2
Splinter ⁷⁸	2
Splinter ⁸¹	2
Steward ⁹⁰	2
Steward ⁹²	2
Steward ⁹¹	2
Stewart ⁹³	2
Sutherland ⁹⁵	2.6
Thomas ⁹⁶	2
Walker ¹⁰⁴	2
van den Berg ¹⁰⁰	- 1
van den Berg ⁹⁹	1
van den Berg ⁹⁸	<u>а</u>
Ved ¹⁰²	6
Watters ¹⁰⁶	6
Vallers Zatman ¹⁰⁸	0
Zathall Zastas ¹⁰⁹	ے د
Zestos	0

References

- I Anderson BJ, Pearce S, McGann JE, et al. Investigations using logistic regression models on the effect of the LMA on morphine induced vomiting after tonsillectomy. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2000; 10: 633–8
- 2 Anderson BJ, Ralph CJ, Stewart AVV, et al. The dose-effect relationship for morphine and vomiting after day-stay tonsillectomy in children. Anaesth Intensive Care 2000; 28: 155–60
- 3 Ang C, Habre W, Sims C. Tropisetron reduces vomiting after tonsillectomy in children. Br J Anaesth 1998; 80: 761–3
- 4 Aouad MT, Siddik SS, Rizk LB, et al. The effect of dexamethasone on postoperative vomiting after tonsillectomy. Anesth Analg 2001; 92: 636–40
- 5 April M, Callan N, Nowak D, Hausdorff M. The effect of intravenous dexamethasone in pediatric adenotonsillectomy. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1996; 122: 117–20
- 6 Barst SM, Markowitz A, Yossefy Y, et al. Propofol reduces the incidence of vomiting after tonsillectomy in children. Paediatr Anaesth 1995; 5: 249–52
- 7 Barst SM, Leiderman JU, Markowitz A, et al. Ondansetron with propofol reduces the incidence of emesis in children following tonsillectomy. Can J Anaesth 1999; 46: 359–62
- 8 Carithers JS, Gebhart DE, Williams JA. Postoperative risks of pediatric tonsilloadenoidectomy. *Laryngoscope* 1987; 97: 422-9
- 9 Carnahan D, Dato K, Hartsuff J. The safety and efficacy of granisetron in postoperative vomiting in pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy. AANA / 1997; 65: 154–9
- 10 Catlin F, Grimes W. The effect of steroid therapy on recovery in children. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1991; 117: 649–52
- II Chhibber AK, Lustik SJ, Thakur R, et al. Effects of anticholinergics on postoperative vomiting, recovery, and hospital stay in children undergoing tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy. Anesthesiology 1999; 90: 697–700
- 12 Church JJ. Is postoperative nausea and vomiting following tonsillectomy really a problem? [comment]. Anaesthesia 2002; 57: 1029–30
- Cohn AI. Acupuncture for postoperative nausea and vomiting prophylaxis: where's the point? [comment]. Anesthesiology 2002;
 97: 1038–9; author reply 9
- Courtman SP, Rawlings E, Carr AS. Masked bleeding posttonsillectomy with ondansetron. [comment]. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1999;
 9: 467
- 15 Culy CR BN, Plosker GL. ondansetron: a review of its use as an antiemetic in children. Paediatr Drugs 2001; 3: 441–79
- 16 Diez L. Assessing the willingness of parents to pay for reducing postoperative emesis in children. *Pharmacoeconomics* 1998; 13: 598–94
- 17 Dillier CM, Weiss M, Gerber AC. [Tropisetron for prevention of nausea and vomiting in children undergoing tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy]. Anaesthesist 2000; 49: 275–8
- 18 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. Br Med J 1997; 315: 629–34
- 19 Elhakim M, Ali NM, Rashed I, et al. Dexamethasone reduces postoperative vomiting and pain after pediatric tonsillectomy. Can | Anaesth 2003; 50: 392–7
- 20 Ferrari LR, Donlon JV. Metoclopramide reduces the incidence of vomiting after tonsillectomy in children. Anesth Analg 1992; 75: 351–4
- 21 Fisher D. Surrogate outcomes: meaningful not. Anesthesiology 1999; 90: 355-6

- 22 Fujii Y, Tanaka H, Toyooka H. Granisetron reduces vomiting after strabismus surgery and tonsillectomy in children. Can J Anaesth 1996; 43: 35–8
- 23 Fujii Y, Toyooka H, Tanaka H. Effective dose of granisetron for preventing postoperative emesis in children. *Can J Anaesth* 1996;
 43: 660–4
- 24 Fujii Y, Toyooka H, Tanaka H. Antiemetic efficacy of granisetron and metoclopramide in children undergoing ophthalmic or ENT surgery. Can J Anaesth 1996; 43: 1095–9
- 25 Fujii Y, Toyooka H, Tanaka H. Oral granisetron prevents postoperative vomiting in children. Br J Anaesth 1998; 81: 390–2
- 26 Fujii Y, Toyooka H, Tanaka H. A granisetron-droperidol combination prevents postoperative vomiting in children. Anesth Analg 1998; 87: 761–5
- 27 Fujii Y, Toyooka H, Tanaka H. Oral granisetron prevents postoperative vomiting in children. Br J Anaesth 1998; 81: 390–2
- Fujii Y, Saitoh Y, Tanaka H, Hidenori T. Preoperative oral antiemetics for reducing postoperative vomiting after tonsillectomy in children: granisetron versus perphenazine. *Anesth Analg* 1999;
 1298–301
- 29 Fujii Y, Saitoh Y, Tanaka H, Toyooka H. Anti-emetic efficacy of prophylactic granisetron compared with perphenazine for the prevention of post-operative vomiting in children. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 1999; 16: 304–7
- 30 Fujii Y, Saitoh Y, Tanaka H, Toyooka H. Prevention of postoperative vomiting with granisetron in paediatric patients with and without a history of motion sickness. [comment]. Paediatr Anaesth 1999; 9: 527–30
- 31 Fujii Y, Saitoh Y, Kobayashi N. Prevention of vomiting after tonsillectomy in children: granisetron versus ramosetron. *Laryngoscope* 2001; 111: 255–8
- 32 Fujii Y, Tanaka H. Comparison of granisetron, droperidol, and metoclopramide for prevention of postoperative vomiting in children with a history of motion sickness undergoing tonsillectomy. J Pediatr Surg 2001; 36: 460–2
- 33 Furst SR, Rodarte A. Prophylactic antiemetic treatment with ondansetron in children undergoing tonsillectomy. [comment]. *Anesthesiology* 1994; 81: 799–803
- 34 Gan T, Sloan F, Dear G. How much are patients willing to pay to avoid postoperative nausea and vomiting? Anesth Analg 2000; 92: 393–400
- 35 Gan TJ, Meyer T, Apfel CC, et al. Consensus guidelines for managing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg 2003; 97: 62–71
- 36 Guida RA, Mattucci KF. Tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy: an inpatient or outpatient procedure? *Laryngoscope* 1990; 100: 491-3
- 37 Hamid SK, Selby IR, Sikich N, Lerman J. Vomiting after adenotonsillectomy in children: a comparison of ondansetron, dimenhydrinate, and placebo. Anesth Analg 1998; 86: 496–500
- 38 Heatley DG. Perioperative intravenous steroid treatment and tonsillectomy. [comment]. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001; 127: 1007–8
- 39 Hellier WP, Knight J, Hern J, Waddell T. Day case paediatric tonsillectomy: a review of three years experience in a dedicated day case unit. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 1999; 24: 208–12
- 40 Hengerer AS. Do intravenous steroids play a role for tonsillectomy patients? [comment]. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001; 127: 1010
- **41** Holt R, Rask P, Coulthard KP, et al. Tropisetron plus dexamethasone is more effective than tropisetron alone for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in

children undergoing tonsillectomy. Paediatr Anaesth 2000; 10: 181–8

- 42 Jensen AB, Christiansen DB, Coulthard K, et al. Tropisetron reduces postoperative vomiting in children undergoing tonsillectomy. Paediatr Anaesth 2000; 10: 69–75
- 43 Jones JE, Tabaee A, Glasgold R, Gomillion MC. Efficacy of gastric aspiration in reducing posttonsillectomy vomiting. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001; 127: 980–4
- 44 Kanerva M, Tarkkila P, Pitkaranta A. Day-case tonsillectomy in children: parental attitudes and consultation rates. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2003; 67: 777–84
- 45 Kearney R, Mack C, Entwistle L. Withholding oral fluids from children undergoing day surgery reduces vomiting. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1998; 8: 331–6
- 46 Kermode J, Walker S, Webb I. Postoperative vomiting in children. Anaesth Intensive Care 1995; 23: 196–9
- Klockgether-Radke A, Neumann S, Neumann P, et al. Ondansetron, droperidol and their combination for the prevention of post-operative vomiting in children. Eur J Anaesthesiol 1997; 14: 362–7
- 48 Lawhorn CD, Bower C, Brown RE, Jr, et al. Ondansetron decreases postoperative vomiting in pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1996; 36: 99–108
- 49 Lawhorn CD, Kymer PJ, Stewart FC, et al. Ondansetron dose response curve in high-risk pediatric patients. J Clin Anesth 1997;
 9: 637–42
- 50 Litman RS, Wu CL, Catanzaro FA. Ondansetron decreases emesis after tonsillectomy in children. Anesth Analg 1994; 78: 478–81
- 51 Madadaki C, Laffon M, Lesage V, et al. Postoperative comfort in pediatric outpatient tonsillectomy. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 2002; 21: 767–74
- 52 Moiniche S, Romsing J, Dahl JB, Tramer MR. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and the risk of operative site bleeding after tonsillectomy: a quantitative systematic review. *Anesth Analg* 2003; 96: 68–77
- 53 Morton N, Camu F, Dorman T, et al. Ondansetron reduces nausea and vomiting after paediatric adenotonsillectomy. Paediatr Anaesth 1997; 7: 37–45
- 54 Mukherjee K, Esuvaranathan V, Streets C, et al. Adenotonsillectomy in children: a comparison of morphine and fentanyl for peri-operative analgesia. [comment] [erratum appears in Anaesthesia 2002; 57: 208]. Anaesthesia 2001; 56: 1193–7
- 55 Nolan J, Prosser DP. Prevention of postoperative vomiting with granisetron in paediatric patients with and without a history of motion sickness. [comment]. Paediatr Anaesth 2000; 10: 451–2
- 56 O'Flaherty JE, Lin CX. Does ketamine or magnesium affect posttonsillectomy pain in children? *Paediatr Anaesth* 2003; 13: 413–21
- 57 Ohlms LA, Wilder RT, Weston B. Use of intraoperative corticosteroids in pediatric tonsillectomy. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1995; 121: 737–42
- 58 Panarese A, Clarke RW, Yardley MP. Early post-operative morbidity following tonsillectomy in children: implications for day surgery. J Laryngol Otol 1999; 113: 1089–91
- 59 Pandit UA, Malviya S, Lewis IH. Vomiting after outpatient tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in children: the role of nitrous oxide. Anesth Analg 1995; 80: 230–3
- **60** Pappas AS, Sukhani R, Hotaling AJ, et al. The effect of preoperative dexamethasone on the immediate and delayed

postoperative morbidity in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. Anesth Analg 1998; 87: 57–61

- 61 Pasternak LR. Anesthetic considerations in otolaryngological and ophthalmological outpatient surgery. Int Anesthesiol Clin 1990; 28: 89–100
- 62 Patel RI, Hannallah RS. Anesthetic complications following pediatric ambulatory surgery: a 3-yr study. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: 1009–12
- 63 Paxton D, Taylor RH, Gallagher TM, Crean PM. Postoperative emesis following otoplasty in children. Anaesthesia 1995; 50: 1083–5
- 64 Roberts RG, Jones RM. Paediatric tonsillectomy and PONV—big little problem remains big! [comment]. Anaesthesia 2002; 57: 619–20
- 65 Romsing J, Ostergaard D, Walther-Larsen S, Valentin N. Analgesic efficacy and safety of preoperative versus postoperative ketorolac in paediatric tonsillectomy. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1998; 42: 770–5
- 66 Rose JB, Martin TM. Ondansetron or metoclopramide in children undergoing tonsillectomy. Anesthesiology 1995; 82: 1305–7
- 67 Rose JB, McCloskey JJ. Rapid intravenous administration of ondansetron or metoclopramide is not associated with cardiovascular compromise in children. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1995;
 5: 121–4
- 68 Rose JB, Brenn BR, Corddry DH, Thomas PC. Preoperative oral ondansetron for pediatric tonsillectomy. *Anesth Analg* 1996; 82: 558–62
- 69 Rose JB, Martin TM. Posttonsillectomy vomiting. Ondansetron or metoclopramide during paediatric tonsillectomy: are two doses better than one? *Paediatr Anaesth* 1996;
 6: 39–44
- 70 Rose JB, Watcha MF. Postoperative nausea and vomiting in paediatric patients. Br J Anaesth 1999; 83: 104–17
- 71 Rusy LM, Hoffman GM, Weisman SJ. Electroacupuncture prophylaxis of postoperative nausea and vomiting following pediatric tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy. [comment]. Anesthesiology 2002; 96: 300–5
- 72 Scuderi PE, Weaver RG, Jr, James RL, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled comparison of droperidol, ondansetron, and metoclopramide for the prevention of vomiting following outpatient strabismus surgery in children. *J Clin Anesth* 1997; 9: 551–8
- 73 Semple D, Russell S, Doyle E, Aldridge LM. Comparison of morphine sulphate and codeine phosphate in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1999; 9: 135–8
- 74 Shende D, Mandal NG. Efficacy of ondansetron and metoclopramide for preventing postoperative emesis following strabismus surgery in children. Anaesthesia 1997; 52: 496–500
- 75 Shenkman Z, Holzman RS, Kim C, et al. Acupressureacupuncture antiemetic prophylaxis in children undergoing tonsillectomy. Anesthesiology 1999; 90: 1311–16
- 76 Shott SR. Tonsillectomy and postoperative vomiting: Do steroids really work? [comment]. Acta Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001; 127: 1009–10
- 77 Smith BL, Manford ML. Postoperative vomiting after paediatric adenotonsillectomy. A survey of incidence following differing pre- and postoperative drugs. Br J Anaesth 1974; 46: 373–8
- 78 Splinter W, Roberts DJ. Prophylaxis for vomiting by children after tonsillectomy: dexamethasone versus perphenazine. Anesth Analg 1997; 85: 534–7

- 79 Splinter WM, Baxter MR, Gould HM, et al. Oral ondansetron decreases vomiting after tonsillectomy in children. Can J Anaesth 1995; 42: 277–80
- 80 Splinter WM, MacNeill HB, Menard EA, et al. Midazolam reduces vomiting after tonsillectomy in children. Can J Anaesth 1995; 42: 201–3
- 81 Splinter WM, Rhine EJ, Roberts DW, et al. Preoperative ketorolac increases bleeding after tonsillectomy in children. Can J Anaesth 1996; 43: 560–3
- 82 Splinter WM, Roberts DJ. Dexamethasone decreases vomiting by children after tonsillectomy. Anesth Analg 1996;
 83: 913–16
- 83 Splinter WM, Rhine EJ. Prophylactic antiemetics in children undergoing tonsillectomy: high-dose vs low-dose ondansetron. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1997; 7: 125–9 discussion 30
- 84 Splinter WM, Roberts DJ. Perphenazine decreases vomiting by children after tonsillectomy. Can J Anaesth 1997;
 44: 1308–10
- 85 Splinter WM, Rhine EJ. Prophylaxis for vomiting by children after tonsillectomy: ondansetron compared with perphenazine. [comment]. Br | Anaesth 1998; 80: 155–8
- 86 Splinter WM. Prevention of vomiting after strabismus surgery in children: dexamethasone alone versus dexamethasone plus low-dose ondansetron. [comment]. Paediatr Anaesth 2001; 11: 591–5
- 87 Stene FN, Seay RE, Young LA, et al. Prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of metoclopramide and ondansetron for prevention of posttonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy emesis. J Clin Anesth 1996; 8: 540–4
- 88 Sterne JAC, Egger M. Funnel plots for detecting bias in meta-analysis: guidelines on choice of axis. J Clin Epidemiol 2001; 54: 1046–55
- 89 Sterne JAC, Egger M, Davey Smith G. Investigating and dealing with publication and other biases in meta-analysis. *Br Med* J 2001; 323: 101–5
- 90 Steward DJ. Morbidity after day-case tonsillectomy in children. [comment]. Br J Anaesth 1998; 81: 989
- 91 Steward DL, Welge J, Myer CM. Do steroids reduce morbidity of tonsillectomy? Meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Laryngo-scope* 2001; 111: 1712–18
- 92 Steward DL, Welge JA, Myer CM. Steroids for improving recovery following tonsillectomy in children. *Cochrane Database* Syst Rev 2003: CD003997
- 93 Stewart PC, Baines DB, Dalton C. Paediatric day stay tonsillectomy service: development and audit. Anaesth Intensive Care 2002; 30: 641–6
- 94 Sukhani R, Pappas AL, Lurie J, et al. Ondansetron and dolasetron provide equivalent postoperative vomiting control after ambulatory tonsillectomy in dexamethasone-pretreated children. Anesth Analg 2002; 95: 1230–5, table of contents
- 95 Sutherland CJ, Montgomery JE, Kestin IG. A comparison of intramuscular tenoxicam with intramuscular morphine for pain relief following tonsillectomy in children. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1998; 8: 321–4
- 96 Thomas DL, Vaughan RS, Vickers MD, Mapleson WW. Comparison of temazepam elixir and trimeprazine syrup as oral premedication in children undergoing tonsillectomy and associated procedures. Br J Anaesth 1987; 59: 424–30
- 97 Tom LW, Templeton JJ, Thompson ME, Marsh RR. Dexamethasone in adenotonsillectomy. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1996; 37: 115–20
- 98 van den Berg AA. A comparison of ondansetron and prochlorperazine for the prevention of nausea and vomiting after tympanoplasty. Can J Anaesth 1996; 43: 939–45

- 99 van den Berg AA. Comparison of ondansetron and prochlorperazine for the prevention of nausea and vomiting after adenotonsillectomy. Br J Anaesth 1996; 76: 449–51
- 100 van den Berg AA, Montoya-Pelaez LF, Halliday EM, et al. Analgesia for adenotonsillectomy in children and young adults: a comparison of tramadol, pethidine and nalbuphine. Eur J Anaesth 1999; 16: 186–94
- 101 Van Wijk M, Smalhout B. A postoperative analysis of the patient's view of anaesthesia in a Netherlands' teaching hospital. *Anaesthesia* 1990; 45: 679–82
- 102 Ved SA, Walden TL, Montana J, et al. Vomiting and recovery after outpatient tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in children. Comparison of four anesthetic techniques using nitrous oxide with halothane or propofol. Anesthesiology 1996; 85: 4–10
- 103 Vosdoganis F, Baines DB. The effect of single dose intravenous dexamethasone in tonsillectomy in children. Anaesth Intensive Care 1999; 27: 489–92

- 104 Walker R, Dearlove OR, Aguilera I. Post tonsillectomy pain. [comment]. Paediatr Anaesth 1999; 9: 278–9
- 105 Watcha MF, Bras PJ, Cieslak GD, Pennant JH. The dose-response relationship of ondansetron in preventing postoperative emesis in pediatric patients undergoing ambulatory surgery. Anesthesiology 1995; 82: 47–52
- 106 Watters CH, Patterson CC, Mathews HM, Campbell W. Diclofenac sodium for post-tonsillectomy pain in children. Anaesthesia 1988; 43: 641–3
- 107 Yentis SM, Bissonnette B. P6 acupuncture and postoperative vomiting after tonsillectomy in children. [comment]. Br J Anaesth 1991; 67: 779–80
- 108 Zatman TF, Hall JE, Harmer M. Gastric residual volume in children: a study comparing efficiency of erythromycin and metoclopramide as prokinetic agents. Br J Anaesth 2001; 86: 869–71
- 109 Zestos MM, Carr AS, McAuliffe G, et al. Subhypnotic propofol does not treat postoperative vomiting in children after adenotonsillectomy. Can J Anaesth 1997; 44: 401–4