## Anesthesiology:

December 1998 - Volume 89 - Issue 6 - pp 1309-1310 Editorial Views

# Assessing Fluid Responsiveness by the Systolic Pressure Variation in Mechanically Ventilated Patients: Systolic Pressure Variation as a Guide to Fluid Therapy in Patients with Sepsis-induced Hypotension

### Perel, Azriel MD

#### **☐** Author Information

Associate Professor and Chairman; Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care; Sackler School of Medicine; Tel Aviv University; Sheba Medical Center; Tel Hashomer, Israel, 52621. perelao@shani.net

Accepted for publication August 31, 1998.

This Editorial View accompanies the following article: Tavernier B, Makhotine O, Lebuffe G, Dupont J, Scherpereel P: Systolic pressure variation as a guide to fluid therapy in patients with sepsis-induced hypotension. Anesthesiology 1998; 89:1313-21.

ACHIEVING optimal preload conditions is one of the most important therapeutic goals when circulatory failure is either present or imminent. To date, estimation of the preload status is performed mainly by the measurement of cardiac filling pressures or the left ventricular end-diastolic area using transesophageal echocardiography. In this issue of Anesthesiology, Tavernier et al. <sup>[1]</sup> report that, in patients with sepsis who require mechanical ventilation, the systolic pressure variation (SPV) predicts the response to volume loading better than the pulmonary artery occlusion pressure or the left ventricular end-diastolic area.

The SPV, the difference between the maximal and minimal values of the systolic blood pressure (SBP) during a mechanical breath, <sup>[2]</sup> has been shown to be a sensitive indicator of preload experimentally and clinically. <sup>[2-5]</sup> In normotensive anesthetized patients, the SPV, which is approximately 8-10 mmHg, is normally composed of two different segments: <sup>[2]</sup> (1) The delta down (dDown), a decrease of approximately 5-6 mmHg <sup>[3,4]</sup> in the SBP relative to the SBP during a short apnea, reflects the normally occurring transient inspiratory decrease

in venous return. (2) The delta up (dUp), an early inspiratory increase in the SBP of approximately 2-4 mmHg, reflects an augmentation of the stroke output mainly caused by increased left-sided preload. In the presence of congestive heart failure the dUp may be also caused by the afterload-reducing effect of the increased intrathoracic pressure.

During hypovolemia, the dDown may increase to 20 mmHg, being responsible for nearly all of the SPV. <sup>[2,4]</sup> As fluids are being administered, the dDown decreases, <sup>[1-5]</sup> whereas during hypervolemia or congestive heart failure, or both, it practically disappears. Therefore, in the presence of circulatory failure, the dDown can readily differentiate between inadequate preload and cardiac dysfunction. Moreover, the uniqueness of the dDown is its being a dynamic parameter of volume-responsiveness. A steep left ventricular function curve (volume-responsiveness) is associated with a large dDown, whereas a flat curve (nonresponsiveness) is associated with a small dDown. It is therefore that Tavernier et al. <sup>[1]</sup> and others <sup>[3,5]</sup> found the dDown to reflect the response to volume loading better than the static parameters of preload, such as the pulmonary artery occlusion pressure and the left ventricular end-diastolic area.

Although it is common clinical practice to interpret a high SPV value as a sign of hypovolemia, mere eyeballing of the arterial pressure curve can be misleading. This is true especially during congestive heart failure, when the SPV is composed mainly of a dUp, denoting stroke output augmentation. The introduction of a short apnea for the accurate determination of the dDown and dUp components is somewhat cumbersome clinically.

The SPV cannot be interpreted in the presence of irregular arrhythmias, although nodal rhythm may increase it because of the reduction of effective preload. In the presence of hypotension, the SPV and dDown should be expressed as percentages of the SBP value. The SPV is clinically meaningful only during controlled mechanical ventilation because spontaneous breathing has opposite cardiovascular effects and its variability precludes accurate SPV quantification. The SPV and dDown are directly affected by the magnitude of the tidal volume and can be greatly exaggerated in the presence of air-trapping or reduced chest-wall compliance. Decreased lung compliance should theoretically decrease SPV, although the effects of increased airway pressure and reduced airway transmission may cancel each other. Other factors that may theoretically influence the SPV are the arterial elastance and the presence of vasoactive drugs. However, Tavernier et al. <sup>[1]</sup> showed for the first time that the SPV is a useful parameter for a wide range of systemic vascular resistances and drug therapy.

Using the tidal volume as a challenge of the cardiovascular system enables the clinician to easily measure dynamic parameters that reflect volume status and predict the response to volume load. Such true linkage of ventilator and monitor should be automated in the future, possibly contributing to a reduced use of more invasive or expensive, or both, monitoring techniques.

Azriel Perel, M.D.

Associate Professor and Chairman; Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care; Sackler School of Medicine; Tel Aviv University; Sheba Medical Center; Tel Hashomer, Israel, 52621. perelao@shani.net

#### REFERENCES

1. Tavernier B, Makhotine O, Lebuffe G, Dupont J, Scherpereel P: Systolic pressure variation as a guide to fluid therapy in patients with sepsis-induced hypotension. Anesthesiology 1998; 89:1313-21

2. Perel A, Pizov R, Cotev S: Systolic blood pressure variation is a sensitive indicator of hypovolemia in ventilated dogs subjected to graded hemorrhage. Anesthesiology 1987; 67:498-502

3. Coriat P, Vrillon M, Perel A, Baron JF, LeBret F, Saada M, Viars P: A comparison of systolic blood pressure variations and echocardiographic estimates of end-diastolic left ventricular size in patients after aortic surgery. Anesth Analg 1994; 78:46-53

4. Rooke GA, Schwid HA, Shapira Y: The effect of graded hemorrhage and intravascular volume replacement on systolic pressure variation in humans during mechanical and spontaneous ventilation. Anesth Analg 1995; 80:925-32

5. Ornstein E, Eidelman LA, Drenger B, Elami A, Pizov R: Systolic pressure variation predicts the response to acute blood loss. J Clin Anesth 1998; 10:137-40

### **Keywords:**

Blood pressure measurement; editorial; heart function; heart disease.

© 1998 American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc.