

EDITORIALS



Tackling the Achilles' Heel of Hemodialysis

Wolfgang C. Winkelmayr, M.D., Sc.D.

More than 375,000 patients undergo long-term hemodialysis treatment in the United States, but the outcomes have remained abysmal, with the rate of death during the first year of hemodialysis therapy exceeding 20%. Although the development half a century ago of techniques for sustainable vascular access rendered long-term extracorporeal treatment feasible, vascular access remains the Achilles' heel of hemodialysis. The current options include arteriovenous fistulas, synthetic grafts, and central venous catheters, with a clear hierarchy among these options. Native arteriovenous fistulas — simple anastomoses of forearm arteries and veins — yield the best outcomes. Among otherwise similar patients, those with functioning dialysis fistulas live the longest and have the fewest infectious complications. Such fistulas, however, need to mature for several weeks or months until they can accommodate the blood flow necessary for dialysis, and many fistulas never mature sufficiently for adequate use. Synthetic vascular grafts can be used in patients whose native vessels may not support a fistula. These grafts can be used sooner than fistulas but carry higher risks of infection. Least desirable of all the options is the implantation of a permanent, usually cuffed, central venous hemodialysis catheter. Although central hemodialysis catheters can be inserted quickly and are available for use immediately, they are associated with particularly high rates of infection, hospitalization, and death. These catheters are prone to partial or total occlusion, which may lead to inadequate dialysis and missed dialysis sessions. As a result, maintaining central venous catheters is costly and burdensome to the patient. All in all, most clinicians agree that the use of central venous hemodialysis catheters should be avoided whenever possible.

There have been several initiatives aimed at increasing the number of patients in whom fistulas are used for hemodialysis. As a result, the use of fistulas has increased in recent years, predominantly replacing the use of synthetic grafts; in 2007, fistulas were used 55% of the time, and synthetic grafts 27% of the time.¹ Unfortunately, 18% of patients still use central venous catheters, either because they start dialysis without a functioning peripheral vascular access or because all suitable peripheral vessels have been exhausted over the course of years of hemodialysis treatment and frequent vascular-access failures. In 2008, a central venous catheter was used in 74% of the patients in the United States who were undergoing hemodialysis for the first time as outpatients.² Only 16% of patients had a maturing arteriovenous fistula or graft in place, strongly suggesting that more than half the patients initiating hemodialysis had to rely on cuffed central venous catheters for several months until a peripheral venous access could be established and would be available for use. The rates of associated serious infections during the first months of hemodialysis treatment have been exceedingly high — more than 200 hospitalizations for (systemic) vascular-access-related infections per 1000 patient-years in the first 6 months.³

For patients who must rely on a central venous catheter for hemodialysis treatment, the findings by Hemmelgarn et al. in this issue of the *Journal* provide important new evidence.⁴ A simple regimen in which recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) was used for sealing the two lumina of the dialysis catheter once a week (with heparin used for the other two treatments each week) was superior to a regimen in which heparin seals were used after all the treatments; the rate of access failure was halved,

and access-related infection was reduced by two thirds. Certainly, rt-PA is substantially more expensive than heparin, but the authors suggest that using this clinically superior strategy may also be cost-effective because of the complications it prevents. One caveat is that because of the small study size, the hard end points of access removal, access-related hospitalization including infectious complications, and death from any cause or from an infectious cause could not be conclusively assessed. However, the study outcomes were carefully selected and were as close a surrogate for a hard end point as we can find in this setting. Furthermore, the sample size was certainly too small to observe potential rare, but catastrophic, adverse events such as massive bleeding, though both heparin and rt-PA should be aspirated from the catheter lumen and discarded immediately before the catheter is used so that little to none of the anticoagulant substances left in the catheter when it was sealed after the previous hemodialysis treatment should reach the systemic circulation.

The evidence from this trial is exciting because the use of rt-PA is among the first strategies to show efficacy with respect to the end point of access failure. There are, however, several studies that have shown the superior efficacy of other strategies for sealing catheters, as compared with the use of heparin alone, with respect to vascular-access-related infectious outcomes⁵; such strategies include the use of antibiotics (e.g., gentamicin) and citrate solutions. It would be interesting to directly compare the rt-PA strategy used in the present study with some of the other approaches. The protocol by Hemmelgarn et al., however, is appealing in that it seems to kill two birds with one stone — namely, access failure and infection.

Given this new evidence, one can expect that dialysis providers will swiftly adopt the strategy of using rt-PA once a week. The once-weekly rt-PA strategy has the additional value of accessibility. Dialysis units already use rt-PA, although the use is based more on experience than on evidence, and can incorporate this proven treatment regimen in their standard-of-care protocols immediately. It is important to note, however, that rt-PA may invalidate certain laboratory measurements (e.g., parathyroid hormone and phosphate levels) when the blood used for those measurements is drawn through the central ve-

nous catheter, and so routine laboratory blood drawings should be scheduled accordingly.^{6,7}

The study by Hemmelgarn et al. and some other recent findings^{8,9} allow tempered optimism that we are now making some progress in providing quality evidence to improve the care of the vascular access in patients with chronic kidney disease who currently require dialysis. For others, increased screening for chronic kidney disease, routine reporting of estimated glomerular filtration rate, greater awareness of the possible presence of chronic kidney disease and of its complications among providers and affected patients, decreased use of the nondominant arm for blood drawings, and timely referral of appropriate patients to nephrologists may also improve the chances that arteriovenous fistulas can be created early enough to be available for hemodialysis when needed.¹⁰ But there is still a long and treacherous journey ahead until the day we might be able to say that vascular access used to be the Achilles' heel of hemodialysis.

Disclosure forms provided by the author are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

From the Division of Nephrology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA.

1. United States Renal Data System. Clinical indicators and preventive health. In: USRDS 2010 annual data report: atlas of end-stage renal disease and chronic kidney disease in the United States. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2010:287-300.
2. *Idem*. Patient characteristics. In: USRDS 2010 annual data report: atlas of end-stage renal disease and chronic kidney disease in the United States. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2010:267-76.
3. *Idem*. Emerging issues: hospital admissions for infection. In: USRDS 2010 annual data report: atlas of end-stage renal disease and chronic kidney disease in the United States. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2010:229-52.
4. Hemmelgarn BR, Moist LM, Lok CE, et al. Prevention of dialysis catheter malfunction with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:303-12.
5. Jaffer Y, Selby NM, Taal MW, Fluck RJ, McIntyre CW. A meta-analysis of hemodialysis catheter locking solutions in the prevention of catheter-related infection. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008;51:233-41.
6. Schiller B, Virk B, Blair M, Wong A, Moran J. Spurious hyperphosphatemia in patients on hemodialysis with catheters. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008;52:617-20.
7. Schiller B, Wong A, Blair M, Moran J. False low parathyroid hormone values secondary to sample contamination with the tissue plasminogen activator. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;24:2240-3.
8. Dember LM, Beck GJ, Allon M, et al. Effect of clopidogrel on early failure of arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2008;299:2164-71.

9. Dixon BS, Beck GJ, Vazquez MA, et al. Effect of dipyridamole plus aspirin on hemodialysis graft patency. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360:2191-201.
10. Astor BC, Eustace JA, Powe NR, et al. Timing of nephrologist

referral and arteriovenous access use: the CHOICE Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2001;38:494-501.

Copyright © 2011 Massachusetts Medical Society.

A Critic's Assessment of Our Approach to Cardiac Arrest

Gust H. Bardy, M.D.

In this issue of the *Journal*, Weisfeldt et al.¹ report that ventricular fibrillation is identified less frequently during sudden cardiac arrest in the home than in public places, even when the arrest is witnessed. The authors surmise that age and coexisting illnesses are responsible and that the location of sudden cardiac arrest may be a surrogate for underlying disease severity. In addition, poorer outcomes were observed with use of the automated external defibrillator (AED) in the home, as compared with public AED use. The authors conclude that perhaps AEDs should be reserved for public locations and cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) should be taught more broadly, as the better path to improving survival from sudden cardiac arrest. This research is controversial in several respects.

Does this study really show that ventricular fibrillation in sudden cardiac arrest occurs less often in the home than in public? Without electrocardiographic (ECG) data regarding the onset of the event, we cannot know for certain. What we do know is that untreated ventricular fibrillation will deteriorate to asystole over a period of minutes, and probably more rapidly in patients with more advanced cardiac disease; after 25 minutes, nearly all patients are in asystole.² Although primary bradyarrhythmias as the cause of sudden cardiac arrest are becoming more common, most instances of bradycardia — specifically asystole — follow ventricular fibrillation. These considerations alter the interpretation of the findings that Weisfeldt et al. report. If the home rescuer takes just 60 seconds longer to call 911, as compared with the public witness, then the findings could be explained simply as a matter of response speed. The Home Use of Automated External Defibrillators for Sudden Cardiac Arrest trial (NCT00047411) showed that spouses confronted with the sudden collapse of a loved one commonly exhibit emotional distress and confusion, thus delaying an effective response.³

How much time actually elapses between witnessing and assessing the collapse and dialing 911? Does this interval differ between the home and the public setting? Does it differ between those who have CPR training and those who do not? Knowing the answers to these questions has broad implications. The greater number of bystanders who witness sudden cardiac arrest in public makes calling 911 more likely to occur closer to the time of collapse. Moreover, those who have completed CPR courses know that they should call 911 promptly. Because seconds matter, even a modest delay in the 911 call could lead to differences in outcome. Consequently, the lone rescuer at home, who is probably less aware of the critical importance of speed, would lose the race to a public bystander.

What about AED use in the home? Certainly, at present, no grounds exist to broadly promote publicly financed home AEDs. However, this policy assessment should not dissuade persons from purchasing their own AEDs. The dismissal of home AEDs is premature, and other than the personal expense, there is no known downside to such a purchase. Moreover, some home rescuers do indeed act quickly and can save a life.³ Perhaps the presence of ECG monitoring technologies in the home would prompt a more rapid response and shave off valuable seconds to minutes, improving outcomes of arrests at home.

As an alternative to a home AED, the increased use of CPR does not make sense to me. At best, CPR represents a placeholder. Overall survival rates remain poor, and 300,000 sudden cardiac deaths still occur annually in spite of national CPR awareness. One simple reason for such gloomy results may be the logistic impossibility of responding to a broadly disseminated, quasirandom event that causes death within minutes. Yet there may be another, more subtle reason for this bleak lack of progress. If CPR were a drug or a surgical procedure, its value would be tested prospectively, but it has not

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

JANUARY 27, 2011

VOL. 364 NO. 4

Prevention of Dialysis Catheter Malfunction with Recombinant Tissue Plasminogen Activator

Brenda R. Hemmelgarn, M.D., Ph.D., Louise M. Moist, M.D., Charmaine E. Lok, M.D.,
Marcello Tonelli, M.D., S.M., Braden J. Manns, M.D., Rachel M. Holden, M.D., Martine LeBlanc, M.D.,
Peter Faris, Ph.D., Paul Barre, M.D., Jianguo Zhang, M.Sc., and Nairne Scott-Douglas, M.D., Ph.D.,
for the Prevention of Dialysis Catheter Lumen Occlusion with rt-PA versus Heparin (PreCLOT) Study Group

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The effectiveness of various solutions instilled into the central venous catheter lumens after each hemodialysis session (catheter locking solutions) to decrease the risk of catheter malfunction and bacteremia in patients undergoing hemodialysis is unknown.

METHODS

We randomly assigned 225 patients undergoing long-term hemodialysis in whom a central venous catheter had been newly inserted to a catheter-locking regimen of heparin (5000 U per milliliter) three times per week or recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) (1 mg in each lumen) substituted for heparin at the midweek session (with heparin used in the other two sessions). The primary outcome was catheter malfunction, and the secondary outcome was catheter-related bacteremia. The treatment period was 6 months; treatment assignments were concealed from the patients, investigators, and trial personnel.

RESULTS

A catheter malfunction occurred in 40 of the 115 patients assigned to heparin only (34.8%) and 22 of the 110 patients assigned to rt-PA (20.0%) — an increase in the risk of catheter malfunction by a factor of almost 2 among patients treated with heparin only as compared with those treated with rt-PA once weekly (hazard ratio, 1.91; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.13 to 3.22; $P=0.02$). Catheter-related bacteremia occurred in 15 patients (13.0%) assigned to heparin only, as compared with 5 (4.5%) assigned to rt-PA (corresponding to 1.37 and 0.40 episodes per 1000 patient-days in the heparin and rt-PA groups, respectively; $P=0.02$). The risk of bacteremia from any cause was higher in the heparin group than in the rt-PA group by a factor of 3 (hazard ratio, 3.30; 95% CI, 1.18 to 9.22; $P=0.02$). The risk of adverse events, including bleeding, was similar in the two groups.

CONCLUSIONS

The use of rt-PA instead of heparin once weekly, as compared with the use of heparin three times a week, as a locking solution for central venous catheters significantly reduced the incidence of catheter malfunction and bacteremia. (Funded by Hoffmann–La Roche; Current Controlled Trials number, ISRCTN35253449.)

From the Departments of Medicine (B.R.H., B.J.M., J.Z., N.S.-D.) and Community Health Sciences (B.R.H., B.J.M., P.F.), University of Calgary; the Alberta Kidney Disease Network (B.R.H., M.T., B.J.M., N.S.-D.); the Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton (M.T.); and Alberta Health Services, Calgary (P.F.) — all in Alberta; London Health Sciences Center, University of Western Ontario, London (L.M.M.); University Health Network–Toronto General Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto (C.E.L.); and the Department of Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston (R.M.H.) — all in Ontario; and the Department of Medicine, Maison-neuve–Rosemont Hospital, University of Montreal (M.L.); and the Department of Medicine, McGill University (P.B.) — both in Montreal. Address reprint requests to Dr. Hemmelgarn at the Division of Nephrology, Foothills Medical Centre, 1403 29th St. NW, Calgary, AB T2N 2T9, Canada, or at brenda.hemmelgarn@albertahealthservices.ca.

N Engl J Med 2011;364:303-12.

Copyright © 2011 Massachusetts Medical Society.

CENTRAL VENOUS CATHETERS ARE USED for vascular access in the majority of patients undergoing hemodialysis.¹⁻³ The major complications of catheters include thrombosis and infection.^{4,5} Approximately 50% of hemodialysis catheters fail within 1 year⁶; up to two thirds of the failures are due to thrombosis.^{7,8} Infection related to central venous catheters is also associated with adverse health outcomes and high health care costs; indeed, catheter-related sepsis is one of the most common causes of death in patients undergoing hemodialysis.⁹

The solution instilled into the central venous catheter lumens after each hemodialysis session and left in the catheter until the next session (catheter locking solution) is used to prevent thrombosis during the period between dialysis sessions and may also prevent catheter-related infection. However, evidence supporting the use of various locking solutions to achieve these objectives is limited. Heparin has been the traditional locking solution. Several small studies have assessed whether citrate and heparin are equally efficacious for maintaining catheter patency,^{10,11} but the interpretation of the results was limited because the studies had a short follow-up period and included both uncuffed and cuffed central venous catheters. Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) has been used primarily to treat catheter thrombosis¹²⁻¹⁴; in one small randomized trial, it was shown to be superior to heparin as a locking solution.¹⁵ The relatively high cost of rt-PA and its theoretical potential to cause bleeding, as well as the morbidity and mortality associated with catheter malfunction and infection, justify the need for more definitive evidence of the efficacy of rt-PA as a locking solution.

We performed a multicenter, randomized, blinded, controlled trial involving patients undergoing long-term hemodialysis through a newly inserted, tunneled central venous catheter to determine whether substituting rt-PA (1 mg in each lumen) for heparin once a week as a catheter locking solution, as compared with using heparin three times a week, would decrease the incidence of catheter malfunction and bacteremia.

METHODS

STUDY OVERSIGHT

Hoffmann-La Roche funded the trial. The funding body had no role in the design or conduct of

the study, in any aspect of data management or analysis, in the reporting of the study results, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The trial was designed and conducted by the investigators in collaboration with the trial's steering committee (for a list of the members of the steering committee, see the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org). The investigators and their research staff collected the data at each site. The University of Calgary oversaw data management and analyzed the data according to a prespecified statistical analysis plan. The protocol, including the statistical analysis plan, is available at NEJM.org. The first author and the last (senior) author attest that the study was performed in accordance with the protocol and vouch for the accuracy and completeness of the reported data.

An independent data and safety monitoring board approved the protocol and reviewed the study for safety. A planned interim analysis of safety was performed after one third of the patient-years had been accumulated (at which time 114 patients had undergone randomization) to determine whether the study should be stopped early because of safety issues; stopping the study was deemed not to be necessary.

STUDY POPULATION

The study design has been described previously.¹⁶ Briefly, adults undergoing hemodialysis in whom a tunneled catheter had been newly inserted into the upper central venous system were eligible to be included in the study if they were being treated with hemodialysis three times a week and were expected to continue undergoing hemodialysis with the use of a central venous catheter for 6 months. Major exclusion criteria were long-term receipt of systemic anticoagulant therapy, a central venous catheter inserted by means of guidewire exchange, current use of antibiotics for catheter-related bacteremia, major hemorrhage or intracranial bleeding in the previous 4 weeks, intracranial or intraspinal neoplasm, pregnancy or breast-feeding, and pericarditis. Patients with known catheter-related bacteremia could be eligible for the study once the infection had been treated and the patient had not received antibiotics for a period covering three hemodialysis sessions.

Patients were recruited at 11 Canadian sites, within 2 weeks after insertion of the catheter;

during the period before recruitment, the catheters were managed according to the usual practice at each center (Table 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). Patients were eligible for randomization after the fourth hemodialysis session if the mean blood flow was at least 300 ml per minute during sessions 3 and 4.

STUDY PROCEDURES

After providing written informed consent, eligible patients were randomly assigned, in a 1:1 ratio, by a centralized computerized service (Axiom Real-Time Metrics), with the use of a permuted-block design stratified according to center and catheter status (first hemodialysis catheter ever vs. previous use). Patients were assigned to one of two regimens for locking of the catheter after a hemodialysis session: rt-PA (1 mg in each lumen) once a week, at the midweek session, with unfractionated heparin (5000 U per milliliter, full luminal volume) used as a locking solution for the other two dialysis sessions that week, or 5000 U of unfractionated heparin per milliliter (full luminal volume) after each dialysis session. The rt-PA was administered in each lumen initially (1 mg in 1 ml), with saline added to fill the lock to the full luminal volume. The study drug (rt-PA or heparin) was prepared and dispensed by the pharmacy in such a way that concealment of the treatment assignments was ensured, with four syringes prepared per patient for administration of the locking solution. On the days on which heparin was used for all the patients, the heparin was prepared and administered by the hemodialysis nurse according to the usual standard of care.

Patients were followed for 6 months after they underwent randomization. Patients who met the criteria for the primary outcome were followed for at least 1 month after the primary outcome occurred and continued to be followed until one of the following occurred: the patient underwent six consecutive successful hemodialysis sessions (mean blood flow, ≥ 300 ml per minute during each treatment), 3 months elapsed, or the central venous catheter was no longer used. The follow-up period for these patients was extended so that the natural history of malfunction of the central venous catheter could be documented and the costs associated with maintaining patency could be assessed, for use in the economic analysis. We included six consecutive successful hemodialysis sessions as part of the defi-

inition of the extended follow-up period because a second malfunction typically occurs within 2 weeks after the initial malfunction.¹⁷

OUTCOMES

The primary outcome was catheter malfunction, which was defined as the first occurrence of any of the following, after attempts to reestablish patency had been undertaken (see Table 2 in the Supplementary Appendix): peak blood flow of 200 ml per minute or less for 30 minutes during a dialysis treatment, mean blood flow of 250 ml per minute or less during two consecutive dialysis treatments, or inability to initiate dialysis owing to inadequate blood flow. The definition of catheter malfunction was chosen by the study investigators on the basis of published guidelines.¹⁸ Catheter malfunction was selected as the primary outcome because of concern that recruitment would be limited if the sole outcome were removal of the central venous catheter and because poor blood flow during dialysis is associated with adverse outcomes.¹⁹

Catheter-related bacteremia was defined according to published criteria,^{16,20} with both “definite” and “probable” infections included in the outcome (Table 3 in the Supplementary Appendix). Bacteremia was treated by the attending nephrologist; patients remained in the study and were followed for the primary outcome. If a new central venous catheter was clinically indicated, the patient’s data were censored at the time of removal of the initial central venous catheter.

Bleeding was classified as fatal bleeding, major bleeding (bleeding at a critical site or overt bleeding with a fall in the hemoglobin level of 20 g per liter or more or requiring transfusion of 2 or more units of packed red cells), clinically important nonmajor bleeding (overt bleeding requiring admission to the hospital or a visit to a medical facility or overt bleeding leading to an intervention such as suturing), or minor bleeding (all other episodes of bleeding).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

We estimated that with a total of 340 participants (170 in each group), the study would have 80% power to detect approximately a 34% reduction in the incidence of catheter malfunction with rt-PA once weekly, assuming a 1-year rate of catheter malfunction of 95% in the heparin group and an annual dropout rate of 75% (on the basis

of data from the University Health Network, Toronto [unpublished data] and Little and Walshe¹⁷), at a two-sided alpha level of 0.05.¹⁶ Because enrollment was slower than expected, an interim analysis that was based on the overall event rate (with the group assignments concealed) was performed after 197 patients had undergone randomization, to determine what power the study would be expected to have if 225 patients were enrolled. This analysis showed that the study would have 80% power with the event rate at that point and an anticipated effect size of 50%. Therefore, enrollment was halted after 225 patients had undergone randomization.

Survival curves for the primary and secondary outcomes were prepared with the use of the Kaplan–Meier method and were compared with the use of a two-sided log-rank test. Cox proportional-hazards models were used to compare event-free survival in the rt-PA and heparin groups, stratified according to center and catheter status. The results of analyses with models that included terms for the interaction between treatment and the stratification variables were nonsignificant; therefore, the results for the overall study population are presented. Comparisons of primary and secondary outcomes were based on the treatment assignments, irrespective of adherence to the intervention. We also performed a secondary analysis according to the actual treatment received. Follow-up data were censored at the end of the study (January 15, 2010) or at the time of death, a switch to an alternative form of renal-replacement therapy, removal of the central venous catheter or use of an alternative dialysis access, or the patient's transfer to a clinical center that was not involved in the trial — whichever came first. Statistical analyses were performed with the use of SAS, version 9.2 (SAS Institute) or Stata, version 11.1 (Stata) software. All reported P values are two-sided and have not been adjusted for multiple testing.

Although a full economic evaluation for this trial is not yet complete (administrative data on the cost of hospitalizations are not yet available), we provide a preliminary analysis of cost-effectiveness, on the basis of the cost (in Canadian dollars, as of 2010) of the interventions (\$64 for 2 mg of rt-PA and \$1.25 for 10,000 U of heparin) and the estimated cost of treating patients in whom bacteremia develops or catheter malfunction occurs. The cost of removal and replacement

of a catheter (\$1,228), and the mean cost of outpatient and inpatient treatment for catheter-related bacteremia (\$485 and \$6,040, respectively) were estimated from a detailed cost analysis of hemodialysis vascular access.²¹

RESULTS

STUDY POPULATION

We assessed 2325 patients for eligibility, of whom 225 underwent randomization; 110 patients were assigned to receive rt-PA, and 115 were assigned to receive heparin only (Fig. 1). All the patients received their assigned intervention except for one patient in the rt-PA group, who underwent emergency surgery before the first dose of the study drug was administered. The baseline characteristics of the two groups were similar (Table 1, and Table 4 in the Supplementary Appendix).

A total of 58 patients in the rt-PA group (52.7%) and 56 in the heparin group (48.7%) discontinued the study medication before the end of the 6-month study period. The median duration of follow-up was 115.5 days in the rt-PA group and 89.0 days in the heparin group. No patients were lost to follow-up.

PRIMARY OUTCOME

The primary outcome occurred in 62 patients — 22 (20.0%) in the rt-PA group and 40 (34.8%) in the heparin group (hazard ratio with heparin vs. rt-PA, 1.91; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.13 to 3.22; $P=0.02$) (Fig. 2). There was no significant interaction between catheter status (first hemodialysis catheter ever vs. previous use) and treatment assignment ($P=0.84$) or between clinical center and treatment assignment ($P=0.46$). The results were unchanged in a model adjusted for catheter status and clinical center (hazard ratio with heparin, 1.88; 95% CI, 1.11 to 3.19) and in a sensitivity analysis with a composite outcome of catheter malfunction or early discontinuation of the study intervention (Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). A total of 31 patients (50.0%) met the criteria for the primary outcome because of a peak blood flow of 200 ml per minute or less for 30 minutes, 19 patients (30.6%) because of an inability to initiate dialysis, and 12 patients (19.4%) because of a mean blood flow of 250 ml per minute or less for two consecutive sessions.

The results were similar in a secondary analysis that was performed according to the actual




Figure 1. Screening, Randomization, and Follow-up.

CVC denotes central venous catheter, and rt-PA recombinant tissue plasminogen activator.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Patients.*

Characteristic	rt-PA Group (N=110)	Heparin-Only Group (N=115)	P Value†
Age — yr	61.6±16.6	64.8±15.2	0.13
Female sex — no. (%)	39 (35.5)	49 (42.6)	0.27
First dialysis catheter ever — no. (%)	67 (60.9)	70 (60.9)	0.99
Indication for current central venous catheter — no. (%)			0.34
Starting dialysis without peripheral access	60 (54.5)	58 (50.4)	
Failure of peripheral access or awaiting peripheral access	21 (19.1)	27 (23.5)	
Catheter-related infection	4 (3.6)	10 (8.7)	
Transfer from peritoneal dialysis or failed kidney transplantation	13 (11.8)	8 (7.0)	
Other	12 (10.9)	12 (10.4)	
Cause of end-stage renal disease — no. (%)			0.38
Diabetic nephropathy	38 (34.5)	33 (28.7)	
Glomerulonephritis	10 (9.1)	7 (6.1)	
Polycystic kidney disease	3 (2.7)	3 (2.6)	
Hypertension or vascular disease	15 (13.6)	27 (23.5)	
Other	44 (40.0)	45 (39.1)	
Duration of dialysis — yr			0.43
Median	0.5	1.0	
Interquartile range	0.0–1.0	0.0–6.0	
Coexisting or prior illnesses — no. (%)			
Diabetes mellitus	60 (54.5)	64 (55.7)	0.87
Ischemic heart disease	26 (23.6)	21 (18.3)	0.32
Congestive heart failure	28 (25.5)	22 (19.1)	0.25
Cerebral vascular disease	12 (10.9)	17 (14.8)	0.30
Hypertension	104 (94.5)	102 (88.7)	0.12
Prior pulmonary embolism or deep-vein thrombosis	5 (4.5)	6 (5.2)	0.82
Prior gastrointestinal bleeding	12 (10.9)	9 (7.8)	0.43
Medications — no. (%)			
Aspirin	53 (48.2)	57 (49.6)	0.43
Other antiplatelet agent	12 (10.9)	9 (7.8)	0.19
Serum albumin — g/liter	31.8±5.8	32.3±7.1	0.57
Hemoglobin — g/liter	106.0±16.0	106.1±15.5	0.96
Platelet count — ×10 ⁹ /liter	247.5±92.2	254.4±113.2	0.62
International normalized ratio	1.1±0.1	1.1±0.1	1.00

* Plus–minus values are means ±SD.

† P values for mean data were calculated with the use of Student's t-test, P values for percentages with the use of the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, and P values for medians with the use of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

treatment received. The primary outcome occurred in 54 participants: 18 (16.4%) in the rt-PA group and 36 (31.3%) in the heparin group (hazard ratio with heparin, 2.13; 95% CI, 1.20 to 3.76).

Among patients in whom the primary outcome occurred, immediate management of the

central venous catheter included reversal of catheter lines (in 13 of 22 patients in the rt-PA group [59.1%] and 14 of 40 in the heparin group [35.0%]; P=0.07) and use of rt-PA (in 4 of 22 patients in the rt-PA group [18.2%] and 20 of 40 in the heparin group [50.0%]; P=0.01). One pa-

tient in the heparin group underwent an immediate exchange of the central venous catheter.

SECONDARY OUTCOME

Catheter-related bacteremia (which was classified as definite, according to the published criteria used, in 45.0% of the cases) occurred in 5 patients (4.5%) assigned to receive rt-PA and 15 patients (13.0%) assigned to receive heparin alone (hazard ratio with heparin, 3.30; 95% CI, 1.18 to 9.22; $P=0.02$) (Fig. 3). This corresponded to rates of 0.40 and 1.37 episodes of bacteremia per 1000 patient-days in the rt-PA and heparin groups, respectively ($P=0.02$).

FOLLOW-UP AFTER THE PRIMARY OUTCOME

Patients who met the criteria for the primary outcome were followed for up to 3 months or until removal of the catheter, with a median follow-up period that covered 11.5 and 10.0 dialysis sessions in the rt-PA and heparin groups, respectively. Treatment with rt-PA (outside the study protocol) for repeat malfunction of the original catheter occurred in 8.8% of the sessions (32 of 364 sessions) in the rt-PA group and 12.8% of the sessions (101 of 792 sessions) in the heparin group ($P=0.06$) (see also Table 5 in the Supplementary Appendix).

ADVERSE EVENTS

Serious adverse events were reported in 23 patients (20.9%) receiving rt-PA and 34 (29.6%) receiving heparin ($P=0.14$) (Table 2). The rate of adverse events was similar in the two groups: 70.0% (77 of 110 patients) in the rt-PA group and 68.7% (79 of 115 patients) in the heparin group ($P=0.83$). Most patients had multiple events, with the result that there was a total of 454 adverse events and 68 serious adverse events. Neither the frequency nor the severity of bleeding events was greater among patients in the rt-PA group than among patients in the heparin group. There were 4 intracranial bleeding episodes, all in patients in the heparin group; 1 episode was a fatal brain-stem hemorrhage. There were no intracranial bleeding episodes or deaths caused by bleeding in the rt-PA group.

COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS

For each patient who received therapy for 6 months, the mean costs (in Canadian dollars) of rt-PA and heparin were \$1,794 and \$195, respectively; the cost of managing complications associated with




Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier Curves for the Time to Catheter Malfunction, According to Study Group.


The numbers in parentheses below the x axis are the numbers of patients in whom an episode of catheter malfunction occurred in the interval between follow-up assessments. The hazard ratio is for the group that received heparin as compared with the group that received recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA).

catheter malfunction and catheter-related bacteremia per patient was \$156 with rt-PA and \$582 with heparin. Thus, the incremental cost of caring for patients with rt-PA as compared with heparin was \$1,173 per patient, or \$13,956 per episode of catheter-related bacteremia prevented.

DISCUSSION

As compared with the use of unfractionated heparin three times a week, the use of rt-PA as a catheter locking solution once a week (with heparin used the other two times) significantly decreased the incidence of catheter malfunction and bacteremia among patients with a newly inserted hemodialysis catheter. The findings were consistent between patients for whom this was the first use of a catheter and those who had had previous catheters. The frequency of bleeding or other serious adverse events was not increased with the use of rt-PA.

Catheter thrombosis occurs at a frequency of 0.5 to 3.0 events per 1000 catheter-days,^{4,22} resulting in shortened dialysis treatments, less-than-adequate dialysis, and increased morbidity and mortality.¹⁹ Thrombolytic agents are used to treat catheter malfunction in both nondialysis



catheters^{23,24} and dialysis catheters.^{12,13} Thrombolytic agents such as rt-PA preferentially bind to fibrin and activate plasminogen in close proximity to the clot to form plasmin, which dissolves the fibrin in the clot and prevents fibrinogen from forming more fibrin. The binding of rt-PA to fibrin confines fibrinolysis to the thrombus, theoretically averting systemic activation and justifying the use of this drug for the treatment and prevention of catheter malfunction.

Evidence to guide the use of locking solutions for the primary prevention of catheter malfunction has been based on studies in the critical care and oncology settings.²⁵⁻²⁷ It was uncertain whether these results could be generalized to catheters used for hemodialysis, which are larger, are used for longer periods, and require locking solutions to remain in place for up to 72 hours. A single randomized, crossover trial involving 12 patients undergoing hemodialysis showed that locking with 2 mg of rt-PA in each catheter lumen was superior to locking with heparin.¹⁵ Our results are consistent with these findings and indicate that the use of rt-PA as a locking solution at a reduced dose (1 mg in each lumen) and a reduced frequency (once a week) decreases the rates of catheter malfunction and bacteremia,

although the number of catheters removed because of malfunction was small.

A few trials have evaluated other strategies for the primary prevention of catheter malfunction. A fixed dose (1 mg) of warfarin was shown to be ineffective for the prevention of catheter malfunction.²⁸ The rates of catheter malfunction with 30% trisodium citrate were similar to those with heparin, although there was a reduction in catheter-related bacteremia in the citrate group.¹¹

Catheter-related bacteremia is a serious complication, with an incidence of 2.5 to 6.5 episodes per 1000 catheter-days.^{5,29} Furthermore, septicemia is responsible for more than 75% of deaths from infection among patients undergoing dialysis.⁹ Several mechanisms may contribute to catheter-related bacteremia, including the formation of an intraluminal thrombosis, which may act as a nidus for the development of bacterial biofilm.^{30,31} Although antibiotic locking solutions have been proposed for the treatment³² or prevention^{33,34} of bacteremia, side effects limit their widespread use.³⁵ Although the interpretation of our results is limited by the small number of events, we found that the risk of bacteremia was increased by a factor of 3 in the heparin-only group as compared with the rt-PA group. Furthermore, the number of central venous catheters that were removed in both groups owing to an episode of presumed or confirmed bacteremia was not inconsequential. Although an earlier trial suggested that there was a reduction in the rate of bacteremia with 30% trisodium citrate as compared with heparin,¹¹ two recent trials of 46.7% sodium citrate³⁶ and taurolidine-citrate³⁷ did not show any significant difference. Since management of catheter-related bacteremia is expensive and often requires replacement of central venous catheters, our finding that rt-PA reduces the risk of this complication without increasing the risk of bleeding is potentially very important.

Though our study is a relatively large, multicenter trial with complete follow-up data, it has several limitations. First, although our primary outcome was defined according to published guidelines,¹⁸ it was a surrogate outcome that was based on measurement of blood flow. Ongoing evidence of catheter malfunction after the primary outcome provides support for the validity of this definition. Second, we discontinued enrollment early, owing to difficulties with patient

Table 2. Adverse Events.*

Event	rt-PA Group (N = 110)	Heparin- Only Group (N = 115)	P Value†‡
	<i>no. (%)</i>		
Any serious adverse event	23 (20.9)	34 (29.6)	0.14
Bleeding			0.93
Minor	7 (6.4)	9 (7.8)	
Clinically important nonmajor	3 (2.7)	2 (1.7)	
Major	3 (2.7)	4 (3.5)	
Fatal	0	1 (0.9)	
Hospitalization			0.15
For ischemic heart disease	3 (2.7)	5 (4.3)	
For congestive heart failure	3 (2.7)	0	
For arrhythmia	2 (1.8)	0	
For cerebrovascular disease	1 (0.9)	2 (1.7)	
For infection			
Related to central venous catheter	2 (1.8)	4 (3.5)	
Not related to central venous catheter	5 (4.5)	3 (2.6)	
For bleeding event	1 (0.9)	3 (2.6)	
For other event	8 (7.3)	18 (15.7)	
Death			0.72
Cardiovascular-related	1 (0.9)	0	
Infection-related	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	
Bleeding-related	0	1 (0.9)	
Other‡	1 (0.9)	3 (2.6)	

* Adverse events were reported until 30 days after the last dose of study medication was administered.

† P values were calculated with the use of the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test.

‡ Other deaths in the heparin group were related to withdrawal of treatment, perforated bowel, and metastatic cancer (1 patient each); the other death in the rt-PA group occurred at home from complications of end-stage renal disease.

recruitment. However, even the smaller sample had adequate power to detect a significant difference in both primary and secondary outcomes. Third, because of protocol-mandated selection criteria, we were not able to perform analyses in potentially important subgroups, such as patients in whom the expected duration of use of a central venous catheter was less than 6 months. Finally, a large proportion of patients discontinued the study medication during the course of the study (often because of a switch to arteriovenous access or hospitalization), as has been observed in previous trials involving patients undergoing hemodialysis.³⁸

In conclusion, we found that among patients undergoing hemodialysis, rt-PA administered once a week as a catheter locking solution (with heparin

administered the other two times), as compared with heparin administered three times a week, led to a significant reduction in the incidence of both catheter malfunction and bacteremia.

Supported by Hoffmann–La Roche. Drs. Hemmelgarn, Manns, and Tonelli were supported by awards from the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Drs. Hemmelgarn, Manns, Tonelli, Scott-Douglas, and Holden report receiving grant support from Amgen; Drs. Hemmelgarn and Manns, grant support from Merck; Dr. Tonelli, grant support from Abbott Laboratories; and Dr. Scott-Douglas, board membership fees from Amgen and lecture fees and travel expenses from Novartis. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

We thank Anita Kozinski for her contribution to the study and the members of the data and safety monitoring board — Drs. Denis Geary (chair), George Pylpchuk, and Philip McFarlane — for their input.

REFERENCES

- Mendelssohn DC, Ethier J, Elder SJ, Saran R, Port FK, Pisoni RL. Haemodialysis vascular access problems in Canada: results from the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS II). *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006;21:721-8.
- Moist LM, Trpeski L, Na Y, Lok CE. Increased hemodialysis catheter use in Canada and associated mortality risk: data from the Canadian Organ Replacement Registry 2001-2004. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008;3:1726-32.
- Collins AJ, Foley RN, Gilbertson DT, Chen SC. The state of chronic kidney disease, ESRD, and morbidity and mortality in the first year of dialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009;4:Suppl 1:S5-S11.
- Develter W, De Cubber A, Van Biesen W, Vanholder R, Lameire N. Survival and complications of indwelling venous catheters for permanent use in hemodialysis patients. *Artif Organs* 2005;29:399-405.
- Little MA, O'Riordan A, Lucey B, et al. A prospective study of complications associated with cuffed, tunneled haemodialysis catheters. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2001;16:2194-200.
- Ponikvar R, Buturović-Ponikvar J. Temporary hemodialysis catheters as a long-term vascular access in chronic hemodialysis patients. *Ther Apher Dial* 2005;9:250-3.
- Swartz RD, Messana JM, Boyer CJ, Lunde NM, Weitzel WF, Hartman TL. Successful use of cuffed central venous hemodialysis catheters inserted percutaneously. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1994;4:1719-25.
- Trerotola SO, Johnson MS, Harris VJ, et al. Outcome of tunneled hemodialysis catheters placed via the right internal jugular vein by interventional radiologists. *Radiology* 1997;203:489-95.
- Renal Data System. *USRDS 2003 annual data report: atlas of end-stage renal disease in the United States*. Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2003.
- Stas KJ, Vanwalleghem J, De Moor B, Keuleers H. Trisodium citrate 30% vs. heparin 5% as catheter lock in the interdialytic period in twin- or double-lumen dialysis catheters for intermittent haemodialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2001;16:1521-2.
- Weijmer MC, van den Dorpel MA, Van de Ven PJ, et al. Randomized, clinical trial comparison of trisodium citrate 30% and heparin as catheter-locking solution in hemodialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:2769-77.
- Clase CM, Crowther MA, Ingram AJ, Cinà CS. Thrombolysis for restoration of patency to haemodialysis central venous catheters: a systematic review. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2001;11:127-36.
- Macrae JM, Loh G, Djurdjev O, et al. Short and long alteplase dwells in dysfunctional hemodialysis catheters. *Hemodial Int* 2005;9:189-95.
- Tumlin J, Goldman J, Spiegel DM, et al. A phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of tenecteplase for improvement of hemodialysis catheter function: TROPICS 3. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010;5:631-6.
- Schenk P, Rosenkranz AR, Wöfl G, Hörl WH, Traindl O. Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator is a useful alternative to heparin in priming quinton perm-cath. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000;35:130-6.
- Hemmelgarn BR, Moist L, Pilkey RM, et al. Prevention of catheter lumen occlusion with rT-PA versus heparin (PreCLOT): study protocol of a randomized trial [SRCTN35253449]. *BMC Nephrol* 2006;7:8.
- Little MA, Walshe JJ. A longitudinal study of the repeated use of alteplase as therapy for tunneled hemodialysis catheter dysfunction. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39:86-91.
- Vascular Access Work Group. Clinical practice guidelines for vascular access. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2006;48:Suppl 1:S248-S273.
- Saran R, Bragg-Gresham JL, Rayner HC, et al. Nonadherence in hemodialysis: associations with mortality, hospitalization, and practice patterns in the DOPPS. *Kidney Int* 2003;64:254-62.
- Preventing infections associated with indwelling intravascular access devices. *Can Commun Dis Rep* 1997;23:Suppl 8:1-32.
- Manns B, Tonelli M, Yilmaz S, et al. Establishment and maintenance of vascular access in incident hemodialysis patients: a prospective cost analysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:201-9.
- Lee T, Barker J, Allon M. Tunneled catheters in hemodialysis patients: reasons and subsequent outcomes. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005;46:501-8.
- Ponec D, Irwin D, Haire WD, Hill PA, Li X, McCluskey ER. Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (alteplase) for restoration of flow in occluded central venous access devices: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial — the Cardiovascular Thrombolytic to Open Occluded Lines (COOL) efficacy trial. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2001;12:951-5.
- Deitcher SR, Fesen MR, Kiproff PM, et al. Safety and efficacy of alteplase for restoring function in occluded central venous catheters: results of the Cardiovascular Thrombolytic to Open Occluded Lines trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:317-24.
- Baskin JL, Pui CH, Reiss U, et al. Management of occlusion and thrombosis associated with long-term indwelling central venous catheters. *Lancet* 2009;374:159-69.
- Kalmanti M, Germanakis J, Stiakaki E, et al. Prophylaxis with urokinase in pediatric oncology patients with central venous catheters. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2002;19:173-9.
- Kethireddy S, Safdar N. Urokinase lock or flush solution for prevention of bloodstream infections associated with central venous catheters for chemotherapy: a meta-analysis of prospective randomized trials. *J Vasc Access* 2008;9:51-7.
- Mokrzycki MH, Jean-Jerome K, Rush H, Zdunek MP, Rosenberg SO. A randomized trial of minidose warfarin for the prevention of late malfunction in tunneled, cuffed hemodialysis catheters. *Kidney Int* 2001;59:1935-42.
- Saad TF. Central venous dialysis catheters: catheter-associated infection. *Semin Dial* 2001;14:446-51.
- McGee DC, Gould MK. Preventing complications of central venous catheterization. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:1123-33.
- Jain G, Allon M, Saddekni S, Barker JF, Maya ID. Does heparin coating improve patency or reduce infection of tunneled dialysis catheters? *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009;4:1787-90.
- Krishnasami Z, Carlton D, Bimbo L, et al. Management of hemodialysis catheter-related bacteremia with an adjunctive antibiotic lock solution. *Kidney Int* 2002;61:1136-42.
- James MT, Conley J, Tonelli M, Manns BJ, MacRae J, Hemmelgarn BR. Meta-analysis: antibiotics for prophylaxis against hemodialysis catheter-related infections. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;148:596-605.
- McIntyre CW, Hulme LJ, Taa M, Fluck RJ. Locking of tunneled hemodialysis catheters with gentamicin and heparin. *Kidney Int* 2004;66:801-5.
- Allon M. Dialysis catheter-related bacteremia: treatment and prophylaxis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004;44:779-91.
- Power A, Duncan N, Singh SK, et al. Sodium citrate versus heparin catheter locks for cuffed central venous catheters: a single-center randomized controlled trial. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2009;53:1034-41.
- Solomon LR, Cheesbrough JS, Ebah L, et al. A randomized double-blind controlled trial of taurolidine-citrate catheter locks for the prevention of bacteremia in patients treated with hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010;55:1060-8.
- Fellström BC, Jardine AG, Schmieder RE, et al. Rosuvastatin and cardiovascular events in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:1395-407. [Erratum, *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:1450.]

Copyright © 2011 Massachusetts Medical Society.

diagnostic criteria. As Figure 4 of our article showed, acute otitis media is not always spontaneously remitting: otoscopic signs had not improved at all or had deteriorated by the end-of-treatment visit in 38% of placebo recipients. Even according to an alternative definition of treatment failure, solely based on symptoms, antimicrobial treatment was beneficial. Our component of symptomatic failure was based on parental assessment of the child's overall condition, because no symptom is specific to acute otitis media and the spectrum of symptoms varies among children and also among days in one child. Our holistic approach, easily applicable in clinical practice, did not measure any individual symptom; rather, it measured all symptoms simultaneously, including adverse events. Thus, the comparison of the number needed to treat and the number needed to harm does not provide balance because, in our study, the clinical effects of harms are included in the number needed to treat.

We share the concern about the liberal use of antimicrobial agents. We agree that sicker patients benefit more from treatment, and this is what

our study showed — “sicker” patients were those with bulging eardrums. If antimicrobial treatment were restricted only to those patients, we would expect the use of antimicrobials to be decreased.

We acknowledge the diagnostic challenges and are aware that more education, as well as new technology, is urgently needed. However, we think that basing guidelines on the acceptance of the poor quality of diagnostics is not justified because they would lead to the withholding of treatment from children with true acute otitis media.

Aino Ruohola, M.D., Ph.D.

Paula A. Tähtinen, M.D.

Turku University Hospital

Turku, Finland

aino.ruohola@utu.fi

Since publication of their article, the authors report no further potential conflict of interest.

1. Laine MK, Tähtinen PA, Ruuskanen O, Huovinen P, Ruohola A. Symptoms or symptom-based scores cannot predict acute otitis media at otitis-prone age. *Pediatrics* 2010;125(5):e1154-e1161.
2. Coker TR, Chan LS, Newberry SJ, et al. Diagnosis, microbial epidemiology, and antibiotic treatment of acute otitis media in children: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2010;304:2161-9.

Dialysis Catheters and Recombinant Tissue Plasminogen Activator

TO THE EDITOR: Hemmelgarn et al. (Jan. 27 issue)¹ evaluated prophylactic recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) for preventing dialysis catheter malfunction. I have a few caveats regarding their interpretation of the results.

The authors used a surrogate outcome (blood flow during dialysis) to define catheter malfunction. A more clinically meaningful end point, many would think, is the need for catheter removal. Among those catheters in the heparin group in which malfunction developed, 50% required rt-PA instillation, but only 7.5% required removal because of malfunction (see Table 5 in the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of the article at NEJM.org). In other words, rt-PA instillation usually restored catheter patency. Rather than instilling rt-PA weekly, it might be more cost-effective to use heparin locks alone and reserve rt-PA instillation for catheters in which malfunction develops.

The authors observed less catheter-related bacteremia in the rt-PA group, which they attributed to prevention of bacterial biofilm. They enrolled only patients with incident-dialysis catheters. It is

unknown whether rt-PA prevents catheter-related bacteremia in prevalent-dialysis catheters, which already have an established biofilm. Antimicrobial locking solutions, which reduce the incidence of catheter-related bacteremia in patients with catheters for both incident and prevalent dialysis,² may be preferred to prophylactic rt-PA instillation.

Michael Allon, M.D.

University of Alabama at Birmingham

Birmingham, AL

mdallon@uab.edu

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. Hemmelgarn BR, Moist LM, Lok CE, et al. Prevention of dialysis catheter malfunction with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:303-12.
2. Allon M. Prophylaxis against dialysis catheter-related bacteremia: a glimmer of hope. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008;51:165-8.

THE AUTHORS REPLY: We agree with Allon that rt-PA has been shown to be an effective strategy to treat catheter malfunction. As we found in our study, rt-PA as prophylaxis can reduce the risk of catheter malfunction and the requirement for

rt-PA as a treatment strategy, which typically signals recurrent malfunction, with a median survival advantage of only 10 to 18 days with each subsequent treatment.¹

Blood flow during dialysis is a surrogate outcome, yet it is an established marker of catheter malfunction that is directly related to the ability to maintain adequate dialysis. This marker is the definition used by clinical-practice guidelines,² and its validity was further evidenced in our study by the frequency with which rt-PA was required after the primary outcome, which indicated ongoing deficiencies in blood flow during dialysis. Although catheter removal is an intriguing clinical outcome, the specific criteria according to which a catheter should be removed for malfunction have not been defined, validated, or endorsed by guidelines.

Strategies to reduce the incidence of catheter-related bacteremia should be encouraged. Although antibiotic-based antimicrobial locking solutions may reduce rates of catheter-related bacteremia, ongoing concern about potential adverse effects, including the development of antibiotic resistance,³ currently dampens enthusiasm

for their use. Until large, blinded, randomized studies of antibiotic-based antimicrobial locking solutions are performed or these solutions receive approval from the Food and Drug Administration for use, or both, intermittent thrombolytic locking will effectively serve an important dual purpose in incident-hemodialysis catheters.

Brenda R. Hemmelgarn, M.D., Ph.D.

University of Calgary
Calgary, AB, Canada
brenda.hemmelgarn@albertahealthservices.ca

Charmaine E. Lok, M.D.

University of Toronto
Toronto, ON, Canada

Nairne Scott-Douglas, M.D., Ph.D.

University of Calgary
Calgary, AB, Canada

Since publication of their article, the authors report no further potential conflict of interest.

1. Little MA, Walshe JJ. A longitudinal study of the repeated use of alteplase as therapy for tunneled hemodialysis catheter dysfunction. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39:86-91.
2. Vascular Access Work Group. Clinical practice guidelines for vascular access. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2006;48:Suppl 1:S248-S273.
3. Landry DL, Braden GL, Gobeille SL, Haessler SD, Vaidya CK, Sweet SJ. Emergence of gentamicin-resistant bacteremia in hemodialysis patients receiving gentamicin lock catheter prophylaxis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010;5:1799-804.

Iniparib in Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer

TO THE EDITOR: O'Shaughnessy and colleagues (Jan. 20 issue)¹ report a 56% rate of clinical benefit in the group of patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer who received chemotherapy plus the poly(adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor iniparib. However, the result of targeted therapy depends on the existence and the level of expression of the target protein. It seems that this trial and other ongoing trials of PARP inhibitors² have been designed on the erroneous assumption that all breast cancers are PARP-positive. However, similarly to other target proteins, they are not. Recently, we reported that 18% of BRCA1-associated cancers had low expression of or did not express nuclear PARP1 protein.³ We also found low PARP1 expression in 21% of triple-negative BRCA1-associated breast cancers and 2.7% of triple-negative carcinomas that were not related to BRCA1.⁴ Therefore, the results of trials of PARP inhibitors will be influenced by the percentage of PARP-negative breast cancers (mainly BRCA1-related cancers) in the study groups. We propose that to reveal the full

efficacy of PARP inhibitors, the expression of PARP protein in tumor cells should be taken into account in the interpretation of ongoing and future trials of PARP inhibitors.

Pawel Domagala, M.D.

Jan Lubinski, M.D.

Wenancjusz Domagala, M.D.

Pomeranian Medical University
Szczecin, Poland
sekrpato@ams.edu.pl

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. O'Shaughnessy J, Osborne C, Pippen JE, et al. Iniparib plus chemotherapy in metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:205-14.
2. Tutt A, Robson M, Garber JE, et al. Oral poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor olaparib in patients with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations and advanced breast cancer: a proof-of-concept trial. *Lancet* 2010;376:235-44.
3. Domagala P, Huzarski T, Lubinski J, Gugata K, Domagala W. Immunophenotypic predictive profiling of BRCA1-associated breast cancer. *Virchows Arch* 2011;458:55-64.
4. Domagala P, Huzarski T, Lubinski J, et al. PARP expression in breast cancer including BRCA1-associated, triple-negative and basal-like tumours: implications for anti-PARP1 therapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2011 March 17 (Epub ahead of print).