

Intraoperative Hypotension and Patient Outcome

Does “One Size Fit All?”

PATIENTS who are anesthetized or heavily sedated surrender their ability to convey signs and symptoms of low blood pressure, particularly those associated with cerebral hypoperfusion (i.e., light headedness, mental status changes, or syncope). Consequently, physicians have come to rely on empiric definitions of what constitutes the lowest tolerable blood pressure during surgery or, stated differently, the definition of intraoperative hypotension. There remains debate, although, on what value of blood pressure in relation to preoperative baseline should be considered as hypotension with much variability in definitions between investigations.^{1,2} The need for a precise definition of intraoperative hypotension is supported by observational studies in adults that have linked low blood pressure with adverse patient outcomes after cardiac and noncardiac surgery, including 30-day and 1-yr mortality.³⁻⁸ In this issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY, Walsh *et al.*⁹ con-

firm and extend these growing data when they report that mean arterial pressure (MAP) less than 55 mmHg during noncardiac surgery is associated with risk for postoperative acute kidney injury (AKI) or myocardial infarction (MI).

In their study, Walsh *et al.*⁹ analyzed prospectively collected data obtained from the electronic medical records of 33,330 patients who underwent noncardiac surgery at the Cleveland Clinic (Cleveland, Ohio). They have assessed the association between MAP less than 55–75 mmHg and postoperative AKI (defined as increases in serum creatinine of



“... we believe that the combined data suggest that a single blood pressure target derived from group summary data cannot be extrapolated to be optimal for all patients, or, ‘one size does not fit all.’”

duration of MAP less than 55 mmHg (1–5, 6–10, 11–20, and >20 min) increased the risk for AKI and MI. Moreover, 30-day mortality was significantly associated with more than 20 min of MAP of less than 55 mmHg.

The current study by Walsh *et al.*⁹ and data from others draw important attention to the fact that blood pressure management during surgery might be a factor that can be modified as a means for improving patient outcomes.³⁻⁸ As questioned in the title of the article by Walsh *et al.*,⁹ are physicians now able to derive an empiric definition of

greater than 1.5-fold or 0.3 mg/dl from baseline) or MI (defined as serum troponin T ≥ 0.04 $\mu\text{g/l}$ or creatinine kinase-MB ≥ 8.8 ng/ml). Of note, patients with chronic kidney disease and those who underwent urologic surgery, nephrectomy, or renal transplantation were excluded because they did not have postoperative creatinine measurements. Serum myocardial injury biomarkers were selectively measured only in high-risk patients and those with clinical evidence of myocardial ischemia. Patients without myocardial injury biomarker data were assumed not to have suffered an MI. Blood pressure was measured noninvasively every 2–5 min in most patients, but 44.5% of patients had invasive arterial pressure monitoring every 1–2 min. A MAP threshold of less than 55 mmHg was found to be associated with risk for AKI and MI, events that occurred in 7.4 and 2.3% of patients, respectively. They further report an incremental exposure–risk relationship whereby increased

Illustration: A. Johnson.

Accepted for publication May 21, 2013. Supported in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, to Dr. Hogue (R01 HL092259).

Copyright © 2013, the American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Anesthesiology 2013; 119:495-7

◆ This Editorial View accompanies the following article: Walsh M, Devereaux PJ, Garg AX, Kurz A, Turan A, Rodseth RN, Cywinski J, Thabane L, Sessler DI: Relationship between intraoperative mean arterial pressure and clinical outcomes after noncardiac surgery: Toward an empirical definition of hypotension. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2013; 119:507–15.

intraoperative hypotension as a MAP less than 55 mmHg for adult patients undergoing noncardiac surgery? The study has many strengths, including the large number of patients, which allows for careful risk adjustments. As with any such analysis, however, it is difficult to account for all variables or residual confounders that might affect the results. The authors acknowledge and attempt to address many of these factors, including potential bias by their exclusion of patients without postoperative serum creatinine data and MI biomarker data. One source of bias that was not directly addressed was whether patients who had surgery of longer duration might have had more blood pressure measurements and a higher risk for hypotension than those whose surgery was of shorter duration. Additionally, bias might occur for patients who received direct arterial blood pressure measurement because they had more blood pressure measurements than did those whose blood pressure was measured noninvasively. Patients in whom direct arterial blood pressure monitoring was performed likely had higher comorbidity and/or more complex surgery. Furthermore, rather than being the proximate cause of AKI and MI, might intraoperative hypotension be a marker for some unmeasured characteristic of patients who are also prone to AKI and MI?

An important consideration in interpreting the results reported by Walsh *et al.*⁹ is that adverse cerebral outcomes were not evaluated. Classically, it is believed that cerebral perfusion is more dependent on MAP, whereas cardiac perfusion is more dependent on diastolic blood pressure, and renal perfusion is dependent on both MAP and cardiac output. That is, the kidney can be hypoperfused at normal MAP if cardiac output is compromised, even while cerebral and cardiac perfusion is maintained.^{10,11} Therefore, the historic rationale of choosing 50 mmHg as a goal for MAP has been to preserve cerebral perfusion, specifically citing the autoregulatory limit of 50 mmHg published by Lassen in 1959.¹² Although 50 mmHg is descriptive of the lower limit of cerebrovascular pressure autoregulation in a large number of patients, the applicability of such a limit to all patients is frequently questioned.¹³ Indeed, our work in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass has revealed the startling finding that the lower limit of cerebral blood flow autoregulation varies widely between individuals and ranges from 40 to 90 mmHg.¹⁴ These limits are difficult to predict based on clinical variables, including preoperative blood pressure. Importantly, we have found that regional cerebral oxygen saturation derived from non-invasive near-infrared spectroscopy serves as a suitable surrogate for cerebral blood flow autoregulation monitoring.^{15,16} This method involves monitoring of the correlation coefficient between cerebral oxygen saturation and MAP in the low frequencies associated with autoregulation vasoreactivity and provides a continuous measure of autoregulation at the bedside. Although much work is required before the use of such monitoring can become widespread, these methods will enable physicians to individualize blood

pressure of patients to maintain MAP in the autoregulation range. Of relevance to the study by Walsh *et al.*,⁹ we have found that the magnitude and duration of blood pressure below the limits of cerebral blood flow autoregulation measured with cerebral oximetry independently predict AKI.¹⁷ One is tempted to conclude from this that a MAP threshold that allows for cerebrovascular autoregulation will also allow for renovascular perfusion. However, we have seen in animal models that decrements in cardiac output can ablate renovascular reactivity and result in large decreases of renal blood flow, even at normal arterial pressure, when cerebral blood flow is uncompromised.¹⁰ On the basis of these findings, one would predict that the lower limit of cerebrovascular autoregulation is specific for compromise of renal perfusion but is not sensitive in low-output states. Notably, during cardiopulmonary bypass, systemic flow is controlled such that MAP is an important variable for ensuring organ perfusion.

The combined data to date suggest that hypotension during surgery may be associated with poor patient outcome even up to 1 yr after surgery. Hence, careful management of blood pressure may lead to improved patient outcomes. However, it remains unknown whether it is untreated intraoperative hypotension or the treatment of such hypotension with IV fluids, vasoconstrictive drugs, or inotropes that contributes to the observed adverse outcomes in these studies. We are currently conducting a randomized clinical trial to compare neurologic outcomes of patients whose MAP targets during cardiopulmonary bypass are based on real-time autoregulation monitoring to outcomes of patients who receive standard of care (trial registration www.clinicaltrials.gov: NCT00981474). Such studies in noncardiac surgical patients are needed to determine whether early treatment or prevention of adverse intraoperative events leads to improved patient outcomes. Regardless, we believe that the combined data suggest that a single blood pressure target derived from group summary data cannot be extrapolated to be optimal for all patients, or, "one size does not fit all."

Kenneth Brady, M.D.,* Charles W. Hogue, M.D.† *Baylor University School of Medicine, The Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas. †The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology & Critical Care Medicine, The Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland. chogue2@jhmi.edu

References

1. Bijker J, van Klei W, Kappen T, van Wolfswinkel L, Moons K, Kalkman C: Incidence of intraoperative hypotension as a function of the chosen definition. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2007; 107:213–20
2. Warner MA, Monk TG: The impact of lack of standardized definitions on the specialty. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2007; 107:198–9
3. Williams-Russo P, Sharrock NE, Mattis S, Liguori GA, Mancuso C, Peterson MG, Hollenberg J, Ranawat C, Salvati E, Sculco T: Randomized trial of hypotensive epidural anesthesia in older adults. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1999; 91:926–35

4. Reich DL, Bodian CA, Krol M, Kuroda M, Osinski T, Thys DM: Intraoperative hemodynamic predictors of mortality, stroke, and myocardial infarction after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Anesth Analg* 1999; 89:814–22
5. Monk TG, Saini V, Weldon BC, Sigl JC: Anesthetic management and one-year mortality after noncardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2005; 100:4–10
6. Bijker JB, van Klei WA, Vergouwe Y, Eleveld DJ, van Wolfswinkel L, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ: Intraoperative hypotension and 1-year mortality after noncardiac surgery. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2009; 111:1217–26
7. Bijker JB, Persoon S, Peelen LM, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ, Kappelle LJ, van Klei WA: Intraoperative hypotension and perioperative ischemic stroke after general surgery: A nested case-control study. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2012; 116:658–64
8. Lienhart A, Auroy Y, Péquignot F, Benhamou D, Warszawski J, Bovet M, Jougla E: Survey of anesthesia-related mortality in France. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2006; 105:1087–97
9. Walsh M, Devereaux PJ, Garg AX, Kurz A, Turan A, Rodseth RN, Cywinski J, Thabane L, Sessler DI: Relationship between intraoperative mean arterial pressure and clinical outcomes after noncardiac surgery: Toward an empirical definition of hypotension. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2013; 119:507–15
10. Rhee CJ, Kibler KK, Easley RB, Andropoulos DB, Czosnyka M, Smielewski P, Brady KM: Renovascular reactivity measured by near-infrared spectroscopy. *J Appl Physiol* 2012; 113:307–14
11. Michler RE, Sandhu AA, Young WL, Schwartz AE: Low-flow cardiopulmonary bypass: Importance of blood pressure in maintaining cerebral blood flow. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1995; 60(6 suppl):S525–8
12. Lassen NA: Cerebral blood flow and oxygen consumption in man. *Physiol Rev* 1959; 39:183–8
13. Drummond JC: The lower limit of autoregulation: Time to revise our thinking? *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1997; 86:1431–3
14. Joshi B, Ono M, Brown C, Brady K, Easley RB, Yenokyan G, Gottesman RF, Hogue CW: Predicting the limits of cerebral autoregulation during cardiopulmonary bypass. *Anesth Analg* 2012; 114:503–10
15. Brady K, Joshi B, Zweifel C, Smielewski P, Czosnyka M, Easley RB, Hogue CW Jr: Real-time continuous monitoring of cerebral blood flow autoregulation using near-infrared spectroscopy in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. *Stroke* 2010; 41:1951–6
16. Brady KM, Lee JK, Kibler KK, Smielewski P, Czosnyka M, Easley RB, Koehler RC, Shaffner DH: Continuous time-domain analysis of cerebrovascular autoregulation using near-infrared spectroscopy. *Stroke* 2007; 38:2818–25
17. Ono M, Arnaoutakis GJ, Fine DM, Brady K, Easley RB, Zheng Y, Brown C, Katz NM, Grams ME, Hogue CW: Blood pressure excursions below the cerebral autoregulation threshold during cardiac surgery are associated with acute kidney injury. *Crit Care Med* 2013; 41:464–71

Relationship between Intraoperative Mean Arterial Pressure and Clinical Outcomes after Noncardiac Surgery

Toward an Empirical Definition of Hypotension

Michael Walsh, M.D.,* Philip J. Devereaux, M.D., Ph.D.,† Amit X. Garg, M.D., Ph.D.,‡
 Andrea Kurz, M.D.,§ Alparslan Turan, M.D.,|| Reitze N. Rodseth, M.D.,# Jacek Cywinski, M.D.,**
 Lehana Thabane, Ph.D.,†† Daniel I. Sessler, M.D.‡‡

ABSTRACT

Background: Intraoperative hypotension may contribute to postoperative acute kidney injury (AKI) and myocardial injury, but what blood pressures are unsafe is unclear. The authors evaluated the association between the intraoperative

* Assistant Professor, Departments of Medicine, and Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. † Associate Professor, Departments of Medicine, and Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, and the Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. ‡ Professor, Departments of Medicine, and Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada. § Professor, || Associate Professor, ‡‡ Professor and Department Chair, Department of Outcomes Research, ** Assistant Professor, Departments of General Anesthesiology and Outcomes Research, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio. # Research Fellow, Department of Anesthesia, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa, and Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University. †† Professor, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University.

Received from the Departments of Medicine, and Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. Submitted for publication September 13, 2012. Accepted for publication May 22, 2013. Dr. Walsh is supported by a New Investigator Award from the Kidney Research Scientist Core Education and National Training program funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Kidney Foundation of Canada and Canadian Society of Nephrology (Montreal, Quebec, Canada). Dr. Devereaux is supported by a Career Investigator Award from the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). Dr. Garg is supported by a Clinician Scientist Award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). The authors declare no competing interests.

Address correspondence to Dr. Walsh: Nephrology and Transplantation, Marian Wing, St. Joseph's Hospital, 50 Charlton Avenue E, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8N 4A6. lastwalsh1975@gmail.com. This article may be accessed for personal use at no charge through the Journal Web site, www.anesthesiology.org.

Copyright © 2013, the American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Anesthesiology 2013; 119:507-15

What We Already Know about This Topic

- Understanding intraoperative events that predict morbidity and mortality could improve perioperative care in surgical patients perhaps by preventing events or initiating treatments after adverse events

What This Article Tells Us That Is New

- This retrospective analysis examined mean arterial pressure to determine predictors of postoperative morbidity and mortality in noncardiac surgical patients in a database of more than 33,000 patients
- A mean arterial pressure less than 55 mmHg predicted adverse cardiac- and renal-related outcomes; however, the limitations of retrospective analyses must be considered

mean arterial pressure (MAP) and the risk of AKI and myocardial injury.

Methods: The authors obtained perioperative data for 33,330 noncardiac surgeries at the Cleveland Clinic, Ohio. The authors evaluated the association between intraoperative MAP from less than 55 to 75 mmHg and postoperative AKI and myocardial injury to determine the threshold of MAP where risk is increased. The authors then evaluated the association between the duration below this threshold and their outcomes adjusting for potential confounding variables.

Results: AKI and myocardial injury developed in 2,478 (7.4%) and 770 (2.3%) surgeries, respectively. The MAP threshold where the risk for both outcomes increased was

◇ This article is featured in "This Month in Anesthesiology." Please see this issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY, page 3A.

◆ This article is accompanied by an Editorial View. Please see: Brady K, Hogue CW Jr: Intraoperative hypotension and patient outcome: Does "one size fit all?" ANESTHESIOLOGY 2013; 119:495-7.

less than 55 mmHg. Compared with never developing a MAP less than 55 mmHg, those with a MAP less than 55 mmHg for 1–5, 6–10, 11–20, and more than 20 min had graded increases in their risk of the two outcomes (AKI: 1.18 [95% CI, 1.06–1.31], 1.19 [1.03–1.39], 1.32 [1.11–1.56], and 1.51 [1.24–1.84], respectively; myocardial injury 1.30 [1.06–1.5], 1.47 [1.13–1.93], 1.79 [1.33–2.39], and 1.82 [1.31–2.55], respectively).

Conclusions: Even short durations of an intraoperative MAP less than 55 mmHg are associated with AKI and myocardial injury. Randomized trials are required to determine whether outcomes improve with interventions that maintain an intraoperative MAP of at least 55 mmHg.

INTRAOPERATIVE hypotension has the potential to cause an ischemia–reperfusion injury which may manifest as dysfunction of any vital organ. Among the most sensitive organs to be affected in this way are the kidneys and the heart. However, blood pressures that constitute hypotension and provoke acute kidney and myocardial injury remain unclear.

Acute kidney injury (AKI), a sudden reduction in kidney function, occurs in approximately 7% of hospitalized patients and 7.5% of patients who undergo noncardiac surgery.^{1,2} Small changes in serum creatinine, the most commonly used marker of kidney function, are increasingly recognized as strong independent risk factors for short- and long-term mortality^{3,4} and more costly health care after surgery.^{2,5} Similarly, myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery manifests as an acute increase in the concentration of cardiac biomarkers and occurs in 11.6% of noncardiac surgeries.⁶ Myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery is also associated with a strong, independent risk of death after surgery, even with only small biomarker increases.⁶

Ischemia–reperfusion injury due to hypotension may substantially contribute to postoperative AKI and myocardial injury.⁷ As such, optimizing intraoperative hemodynamics may mitigate or prevent both complications. This theory is supported by a systematic review of interventions to prevent perioperative AKI that demonstrated maneuvers to prevent hypotension reduced the incidence of AKI.⁸ As well, as data from the Perioperative Ischemia Evaluation Trial demonstrated hypotension was the most responsible factor for postoperative death (of which, the majority were vascular).⁷

Although hypotension is recognized as an important factor in the development of postoperative complications, there is uncertainty in how to optimally define intraoperative hypotension. A systematic review on intraoperative hypotension identified 140 definitions used in 130 studies.⁹ Most of these definitions were not empirically derived, and each definition's association with clinical outcomes was explored in relatively few and/or small studies. We therefore studied patients who had noncardiac surgery to determine what durations of various levels of mean arterial pressure (MAP) are associated with AKI and myocardial injury to establish an empirical definition of prognostically important intraoperative hypotension.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

We undertook an observational study using data from the Cleveland Clinic Perioperative Health Documentation System, an electronic medical record-based registry of noncardiac surgery patients who had surgery between January 6, 2005 and September 21, 2010, at the Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio. Use of this de-identified registry for research was approved by the Cleveland Clinic Institutional Review Board, Cleveland, Ohio.

Patients

Eligible patients had noncardiac surgery, stayed at least one night in hospital, and had a preoperative creatinine concentration measured and at least one postoperative creatinine. As chronic kidney disease may affect the interpretation and prognostic significance of absolute changes in serum creatinine and cardiac biomarkers, we excluded patients with chronic kidney disease, defined as an estimated preoperative glomerular filtration rate less than $60 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot 1.73\text{m}^{-2}$. Patients having urological procedures such as the relief from urinary obstruction, nephrectomy, or renal transplantation were also excluded because of their association with changes in creatinine independent of renal injury.

Outcomes and Exposures

We defined AKI according to changes in serum creatinine between preoperative and postoperative values. The preoperative creatinine was considered to be the concentration measured closest to the time of surgery. The postoperative value used was the highest concentration measured within 7 days after surgery. Consistent with the Acute Kidney Injury Network threshold, patients were considered to have AKI if the highest postoperative concentration was either more than 1.5-fold or more than 0.3 mg/dl greater than the preoperative concentration.⁴ The small changes in creatinine used by this definition are independently associated with mortality in numerous studies.^{10–13}

We defined myocardial injury as a postoperative cardiac enzyme concentration within 7 days of surgery that was greater than or equal to the suggested necrosis limit for troponin T and greater than the upper limit of normal for creatinine kinase-MB. For a fourth-generation troponin T assay (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), this was 0.04 µg/l or more and for creatine kinase-MB 8.8 ng/ml or more. These definitions are consistent with the universal definition of myocardial infarction and data from a large international study on perioperative myocardial infarction.^{6,14} Rather than exclude patients who were otherwise eligible but did not have any cardiac enzymes measured, we assumed these patients did not have a myocardial injury and included them in all analyses.

As a secondary outcome, we also examined the association between intraoperative blood pressure and the outcome of a postoperative cardiac complication as defined by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality using administrative

codes for complications of surgical procedures. §§ This definition includes intraoperative and postoperative acute myocardial infarctions, heart failure, and cardiac arrest.

Intraoperative Blood Pressure

The intraoperative MAP was recorded electronically for all cases directly into an electronic medical record. When an arterial catheter was used (44.5% of cases), MAP was recorded every 1–2 min. When noninvasive blood pressure monitoring was used, MAP was recorded from every 2–5 min. During minutes when no blood pressure was recorded or when a reading was marked as artifact by the attending anesthesiologist, the last nonartifact blood pressure was carried forward.

For each case, we calculated the total number of minutes spent with a MAP less than 55, less than 60, less than 65, less than 70, and less than 75 mmHg. For each case, we also calculated the number of minutes during which the MAP was less than 55, 55–59, 60–64, 65–69, 70–74, and 75 mmHg or more.

Other Exposures

Patient's age and sex were determined from the registry. The Charlson Comorbidity Index and Risk Stratification Index for 30-day mortality and validated risk scores using administrative data codes were calculated for all patients.^{15,16} Preoperative kidney function was characterized according to the patient's estimated glomerular filtration rate using the four-variable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation.¹⁷ Preoperative hemoglobin was categorized according to the hemoglobin concentration taken closest to the time before surgery. Intraoperative estimated blood loss and transfusion of erythrocytes (autologous and allogeneic) were recorded in the clinical database. We previously demonstrated that decrements in hemoglobin concentration in the first 24 h after surgery are strongly associated with AKI, so this parameter was also included in the model. Surgeries were classified according to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality descriptors and whether they were emergency or elective procedures.

Statistics

Patient characteristics were calculated as mean (SD), median (25th to 75th percentile), or frequency (%) as appropriate. Comparisons of patient characteristics between groups were made using ANOVA for continuous data and the chi-square test for frequency data.

We visually assessed the relationship between the total amount of time spent under each MAP threshold (<55, <60, <65, <70, and <75 mmHg) and each outcome using restricted cubic splines in a logistic regression model. For each threshold, risk appeared to initially substantially increase rapidly for each minute under the threshold for

approximately 10 min, followed by a less rapid risk increase thereafter. We therefore categorized patients as having spent 0, 1–5, 6–10, 11–20, or more than 20 min in each strata. We were concerned that the association between time spent under each threshold may be due to time spent well beneath that threshold rather than just under the threshold value (*i.e.*, an association between time under a MAP of 65 mmHg may be due to time spent with a MAP less than 55 mmHg rather than the time spent with a MAP between 55 and 65 mmHg). We therefore conducted several further analyses to more accurately determine the blood pressure threshold that was most clinically relevant.

Using logistic regression model, we next explored whether the risk for each category was in fact driven by the lowest MAPs by: (1) calculating the risk of AKI and separately myocardial injury associated with the amount of time each patient spent with a MAP in each strata (*i.e.*, <55, 55–59, 60–64, 65–69, and 70–74 mmHg) while excluding patients with any time spent in lower blood pressure strata (*i.e.*, only patients with a lowest blood pressure in a given strata or higher strata remained in the analysis); (2) calculating the risk of AKI and separately myocardial injury for the time spent in each blood pressure strata while adjusting for time spent in the other strata; and (3) calculating separately the risk of AKI and myocardial injury by the lowest MAP during surgery irrespective of the amount of time at that blood pressure.

On the basis of these models, we then constructed final logistic models using the amount of time spent with a MAP below the highest threshold MAP that was predictive of one of the outcomes categorized as 0, 1–5, 6–10, 11–20, or more than 20 min below that threshold. The final model was adjusted for age, sex, the Charlson Comorbidity Index, the volume of erythrocytes transfused intraoperatively, estimated blood loss, preoperative hemoglobin, decrement in hemoglobin within 24 h of surgery, and the type of surgery performed. We *a priori* tested for interactions between duration of a MAP below threshold and emergency surgery status and decrement in hemoglobin concentration and dropped these interaction terms when they were found to be non-significant. We accommodated the correlation of multiple surgeries at different times for an individual by calculating estimated standard errors adjusted for intragroup correlations using clustered sandwich estimators.^{18,19} We reported adjusted odds ratios and associated 95% CIs and *P* values. We tested the trend of increasing risk with increasing time with a MAP less than 55 mmHg using the Cochran–Armitage test for trend. We set the criterion for statistical significance at *P* value less than 0.05 for all tests.

We performed sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our findings. Sensitivity models were constructed as logistic regression identical to the final model above, except: (1) with the primary outcome AKI redefined on the basis of postoperative creatinine concentrations only up to 3 days postoperatively; (2) using severe AKI (three-fold increase in

§§ Available at: <http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolsoftware/ccs/>. Accessed October 28, 2011.




Fig. 1. Patient selection.

creatinine) as the outcome; (3) using the Risk Stratification Index rather than the Charlson Comorbidity Index; (4) restricting the analysis of myocardial injury to only those patients with a troponin T measured; (5) adjusting for systolic blood pressure recorded in the Preoperative Clinic for patients in whom this was recorded; (6) adjusting for the duration of the surgery; (7) using multiple imputation of missing covariate data; and (8) using only one surgery per patient (the most recent surgery). We completed all analyses using Stata version 11 MP (College Station, TX).

Results

Figure 1 reports the patient flow chart. In total, we included 33,330 surgeries performed in 27,381 patients in the analysis. Compared with patients in excluded surgeries, the included patients were younger, had lower American Society

of Anesthesiology Status Scores, and less comorbidity but had longer operations and postoperative lengths of stay (data not shown). AKI occurred after 2,477 surgeries (7.4%) of which 2,043 (82.4%) occurred within 3 days of surgery. Myocardial injury was documented in 770 surgeries (2.3%), and 926 (2.8%) had a cardiac complication after surgery. Five hundred six patients (1.5%) died within 30 days of surgery.

Defining Hypotension

Point estimates for the risk of AKI and myocardial injury minimally increased with the amount of time spent under each MAP threshold, and was pronounced for any time spent with a MAP less than 60 mmHg (fig. 2). The risks appeared nonlinear in each model ($P < 0.001$ in every model) with risk increasing markedly during the first 10 min, but at a slower rate thereafter. In multivariable spline models in which we controlled for the amount of time spent in each MAP category, the risk of AKI appeared greater for time spent with a MAP less than 60 mmHg and the risk of myocardial injury appeared greater only for a MAP less than 55 mmHg (fig. 3).

We then performed analyses in which we excluded patients who had any time in the lowest MAP category (*i.e.*, MAP <55 mmHg for any duration). These analyses were performed to ensure that correlations between time below a MAP of 55 mmHg and time spent in other blood pressure strata did not cause us to miss significant associations between a MAP more than 55 mmHg and our outcomes. In the 18,989 eligible surgeries, there was a modest but statistically significant risk of AKI for a MAP of 55–59 mmHg lasting longer than 5 min (adjusted odds ratios, 1.65; 95% CI, 1.21–2.25; $P = 0.002$). However, there was no additional risk in the time categories more than 10 min for a MAP of 55–59 mmHg and there was no risk of AKI in higher MAP categories (*i.e.*, MAP ≥ 60 mmHg). There was no risk of myocardial injury associated with any MAP range or duration once periods of MAP less than 55 mmHg were excluded.

Finally, we performed univariable spline analyses in which the lowest MAP for the surgery was the predictor of




Fig. 2. Predicted risk of (A) acute kidney injury and (B) myocardial injury for each minute the mean arterial pressure (MAP) is <55 mmHg, between 55 and 59 mmHg, between 60 and 64 mmHg, between 65 and 69 mmHg, and between 70 and 74 mmHg during noncardiac surgery. The risk for time in each blood pressure strata is adjusted for time in all other blood pressure strata.




Fig. 3. Predicted probability of (A) acute kidney injury and (B) myocardial injury by lowest mean arterial pressure (MAP) experienced during surgery.

AKI and myocardial injury. The risk of both AKI and myocardial injury appeared to increase substantially at MAPs less than 55–60 mmHg (fig. 4).

On the basis of these analyses, for the final models we categorized patients by the amount of time they spent with a MAP less than 55 mmHg as 0, 1–5, 6–10, 11–20, and more than 20 min.

Patients with different amounts of time with a MAP less than 55 mmHg differed significantly with respect to most characteristics (table 1). However, only emergency procedures, preoperative hemoglobin, and intraoperative estimated blood loss appeared to have a clear progression as time with a MAP less than 55 mmHg increased (i.e., more emergency procedures, lower hemoglobin, and larger estimated blood loss).

Risk of AKI, Myocardial Injury, Cardiac Complications, and 30-day Mortality with Hypotension

In our fully adjusted model, we observed an independent, graded relationship between the length of time spent with a MAP less than 55 mmHg and AKI and cardiac complications (table 2 and fig. 4). A similar magnitude of association was

seen for myocardial injury although the relationship was less graded as time with a MAP less than 55 mmHg increased. Compared with patients who spent no time with a MAP less than 55 mmHg, those with the longest periods of a MAP less than 55 mmHg had approximately a 1.5-fold increased risk of AKI or myocardial injury and an almost two-fold increased risk of a cardiac complication. The test for trend across durations of MAP less than 55 mmHg was *P* value less than 0.001 for all three outcomes. These relationships were qualitatively preserved across sensitivity analyses (tables 3 and 4). Of note, although the absolute risk of AKI and myocardial injury was increased in patients in the highest quartile of preoperative clinic blood pressures, the relative effect of each period of time spent with a MAP less than 55 mmHg was preserved across all baseline blood pressures, and there was no evidence of interaction between preoperative blood pressure and time with a MAP less than 55 mmHg (*P* > 0.1 for all interaction groups).

As time increased with a MAP less than 55 mmHg, there was a trend to a higher risk of death by 30 days after surgery (test for trend, *P* < 0.001). However, 30-day mortality was only significantly associated with more than 20 min of MAP less than 55 mmHg (table 2).

Discussion

In this large cohort with detailed intraoperative blood pressures, we found that MAP less than 55 mmHg was associated with the development of AKI, myocardial injury, and cardiac complications. Furthermore, we found that risk escalates rapidly and there does not appear to be any safe duration of a MAP less than 55 mmHg. This finding is important because AKI and myocardial injury are common, strongly associated with morbidity and mortality, and costly. Unlike baseline patient characteristics which are rarely modifiable, intraoperative MAP can usually be controlled and may thus be an important therapeutic target. Understanding what arterial pressures are associated with ischemic damage will help guide interventional studies.

Our study differs from many others in that we account for each minute spent with a MAP less than 55 mmHg which




Fig. 4. Adjusted odds ratios for acute kidney injury, cardiac complications, and myocardial injury by time spent with a mean arterial pressure <55 mmHg.

Table 1. Patient Characteristics by Operative Time Spent with a MAP <55 mmHg

	MAP <55 mmHg					P Value
	Never n = 18,989	1–5 min n = 8,266	6–10 min n = 2,856	11–20 min n = 1,987	>20 min n = 1,232	
Age, yr*	54.8 (15.3)	57.3 (15.5)	57.9 (15.6)	56.1 (16.6)	55.7 (16.7)	<0.001
Female, n (%)	9,519 (50.0)	4,102 (49.6)	1,516 (53.1)	1,064 (53.5)	635 (51.5)	<0.001
Emergency procedure, n (%)	1,063 (5.6)	579 (7.0)	193 (6.8)	188 (9.5)	144 (11.7)	<0.001
ASA score, n (%)						
I	418 (2.2)	121 (1.4)	42 (1.5)	26 (1.3)	16 (1.3)	<0.001
II	8,262 (43.6)	2,965 (35.9)	1,022 (35.8)	652 (32.8)	347 (28.2)	
III	9,120 (48.1)	4,387 (53.1)	1,521 (53.3)	1,087 (54.7)	654 (53.1)	
IV	1,143 (6.0)	767 (9.3)	264 (9.2)	218 (11.0)	211 (17.1)	
V	28 (0.1)	24 (0.3)	7 (0.2)	4 (0.2)	4 (0.3)	
Charlson Index†	0 (0 to 2)	1 (0 to 2)	1 (0 to 2)	1 (0 to 2)	1 (0 to 2)	<0.001
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	2,424 (12.8)	1,056 (12.8)	384 (13.4)	246 (12.4)	156 (12.7)	0.71
Myocardial infarction, n (%)	699 (3.7)	387 (4.7)	143 (5.0)	88 (4.4)	43 (3.5)	<0.001
Congestive heart failure, n (%)	581 (3.1)	302 (3.7)	90 (3.2)	85 (4.3)	43 (3.5)	<0.001
Peripheral vascular disease, n (%)	576 (3.0)	474 (5.7)	150 (5.3)	97 (4.9)	57 (4.6)	<0.001
Stroke, n (%)	796 (4.2)	495 (6.0)	150 (5.2)	88 (4.4)	84 (6.8)	<0.001
Estimated GFR, ml·min ⁻¹ ·73m ⁻² *	92.3 (26.3)	91.7 (26.7)	93.2 (29.6)	94.9 (32.2)	96.6 (33.8)	<0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dl*	13.1 (2.0)	13.0 (2.0)	12.8 (2.1)	12.6 (2.1)	12.3 (2.1)	<0.001
Intraoperative erythrocyte transfusions, ml†	0 (0 to 0)	0 (0 to 0)	0 (0 to 0)	0 (0 to 320)	0 (0 to 690)	<0.001
Estimated blood loss, ml†	200 (80 to 350)	250 (100 to 550)	250 (100 to 600)	300 (100 to 700)	400 (163 to 1,000)	<0.001

P values for continuous data computed by ANOVA. P values for frequency data computed by chi-square test.

* Mean (SD). † Median (first to third quarter).

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; MAP = mean arterial pressure.

appears to be an especially sensitive method of determining the effect of MAP on the kidneys and heart. Our findings that a MAP less than 55 mmHg is strongly associated with renal and cardiac risks are nonetheless broadly consistent with previous work. Classic physiology experiments, for example, suggested that renal blood flow is maintained (autoregulated) down to a MAP of 50–60 mmHg.^{20,21} However, this inference is extrapolated from experiments in healthy animals.

In critically ill patients, one study in 217 patients found a MAP of up to 82 mmHg may be required to prevent AKI.²² Similarly, a study in 31 critically ill patients demonstrated periods with a systolic blood pressure less than

90 mmHg for at least 30 min were associated with higher levels of cardiac enzymes. However, critically ill patients may have more confounding influences than the generally healthier population we studied. One study performed in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery found that in those who were at high risk for AKI, periods of a MAP less than 60 mmHg were more common in those who developed AKI than those who did not.²³ Furthermore, using classification and regression tree analysis, Bijker *et al.*²⁴ found a MAP less than 50 mmHg had the largest independent association with death in their study in 1,705 patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. However, there were only 88 deaths in the

Table 2. Adjusted Odds Ratios for Acute Kidney Injury, Myocardial Injury, and Cardiac Complications for Intraoperative Time Spent with a MAP <55 mmHg

Time MAP <55 mmHg (min)	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)			
	Acute Kidney Injury	Myocardial Injury	Cardiac Complication	30-day Mortality
0		Referent		
1–5	1.18 (1.06–1.31)	1.30 (1.06–1.58)	1.35 (1.15–1.58)	1.16 (0.91–1.46)
6–10	1.19 (1.03–1.39)	1.47 (1.13–1.93)	1.46 (1.17–1.83)	1.16 (0.84–1.60)
11–20	1.32 (1.11–1.56)	1.79 (1.33–2.39)	1.50 (1.16–1.94)	1.26 (0.89–1.80)
>20	1.51 (1.24–1.84)	1.82 (1.31–2.55)	1.95 (1.46–2.60)	1.79 (1.21–2.65)

Estimates adjusted for patient age, sex, Charlson comorbidity index, emergency procedure status, type of surgery, preoperative hemoglobin, decrement in hemoglobin concentration, estimated blood loss, and volume of erythrocyte transfusions.

MAP = mean arterial pressure.

Table 3. Comparison of Results for Primary Analysis of Acute Kidney Injury Outcome Compared with Sensitivity Analyses

		Time Mean Arterial Pressure <55 mmHg (min)				
		0	1–5	6–10	11–20	>20
Primary	Referent	1.18 (1.06–1.31)	1.19 (1.03–1.39)	1.32 (1.11–1.56)	1.51 (1.24–1.84)	
AKI within 3 d	Referent	1.15 (1.02–1.29)	1.15 (1.00–1.35)	1.30 (1.09–1.56)	1.45 (1.17–1.80)	
Severe AKI	Referent	1.05 (0.77–1.50)	1.70 (1.16–2.63)	1.20 (0.70–2.11)	1.31 (0.72–2.37)	
Adjusted for RSI	Referent	1.12 (1.01–1.25)	1.13 (1.00–1.32)	1.23 (1.04–1.46)	1.36 (1.12–1.66)	
Adjusted for preoperative systolic blood pressure	Referent	1.19 (1.07–1.33)	1.17 (1.00–1.38)	1.30 (1.10–1.56)	1.55 (1.26–1.91)	
Adjusted for case duration	Referent	1.11 (1.00–1.24)	1.12 (0.97–1.30)	1.22 (1.03–1.45)	1.33 (1.09–1.62)	
Multiple imputation of missing covariates	Referent	1.24 (1.12–1.37)	1.25 (1.09–1.45)	1.38 (1.18–1.63)	1.58 (1.31–1.91)	
Most recent surgery only	Referent	1.10 (0.99–1.26)	1.07 (0.90–1.29)	1.36 (1.10–1.68)	1.33 (1.03–1.71)	

All models are adjusted for patient age, sex, preoperative hemoglobin, Charlson Comorbidity score (except RSI model), preoperative hemoglobin, estimated blood loss, transfusions, emergency surgery, and type of surgery.

AKI = acute kidney injury; RSI = risk stratification index.

study and it was therefore likely underpowered to show an association between a low intraoperative MAP and death at 1 yr. Another study found that periods of clinically significant hypotension corresponding to a systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg requiring treatment were a strong determinant of postoperative death; however, this study did not assess the association between hypotension and myocardial injury or hypotension and AKI.⁷ Our study extends previous work and informs the degree and duration of low MAP that is clinically important and suggests that hypotension is independent of other risk factors in a diverse cohort of non-cardiac surgical patients. Furthermore, our cohort is among the largest and therefore capable of detecting modest effects of low blood pressure on clinical events that occur close to the time of surgery.

Most studies examining risk factors for postoperative complications such as myocardial events and AKI concentrate on

preoperative morbidity. Although preoperative patient characteristics allow us to appropriately stratify the risk of myocardial events and AKI, they provide few risk factors that are potentially modifiable. Intraoperative and early postoperative risk factors may improve risk stratification and provide important therapeutic targets. By understanding at what level of MAP ischemia–reperfusion injury becomes likely, it is possible to focus interventions on patients most likely to benefit. This is a promising target for intervention as shown in small trials of hemodynamic optimization.⁸

Our study has several notable strengths. We used electronically recorded blood pressures which were available on a minute-by-minute basis in 14,828 patients and every 2–5 min in the remaining patients. These detailed records allowed us to characterize intraoperative hemodynamics in considerable detail. Our large sample size provided sufficient statistical power to fit a stable model

Table 4. Comparison of Results for Primary Analysis of Myocardial Injury Outcome Compared with Sensitivity Analyses

		Time Mean Arterial Pressure <55 mmHg (min)				
		0	1–5	6–10	11–20	>20
Primary	Referent	1.30 (1.06–1.58)	1.47 (1.13–1.93)	1.79 (1.34–2.39)	1.82 (1.31–2.55)	
Restricted to patients with troponin T measured (n = 4,533)	Referent	0.99 (0.80–1.20)	1.12 (0.84–1.50)	1.32 (1.00–1.79)	1.35 (0.99–1.90)	
Adjusted for RSI	Referent	1.21 (0.99–1.48)	1.35 (1.03–1.78)	1.60 (1.22–2.10)	1.67 (1.23–2.25)	
Adjusted for preoperative systolic blood pressure	Referent	1.15 (0.95–1.43)	1.26 (0.96–1.70)	1.54 (1.15–2.08)	1.56 (1.11–2.17)	
Adjusted for case duration	Referent	1.27 (1.05–1.53)	1.44 (1.12–1.86)	1.72 (1.30–2.26)	1.89 (1.39–2.58)	
Multiple imputation of missing covariates	Referent	1.31 (1.10–1.57)	1.48 (1.16–1.89)	1.85 (1.42–2.40)	2.03 (1.51–2.72)	
Most recent surgery only	Referent	1.21 (0.97–1.52)	1.05 (0.75–1.47)	1.51 (1.08–2.13)	1.58 (1.07–2.32)	

All models are adjusted for patient age, sex, preoperative hemoglobin, Charlson Comorbidity score (except RSI model), preoperative hemoglobin, estimated blood loss, transfusions, emergency surgery, and type of surgery.

RSI = risk stratification index.

despite numerous covariates, and thus detect even moderate associations between intraoperative blood pressures and AKI and myocardial injury. Our sample included a broad spectrum of patients in terms of surgical types and comorbidities, thereby making our findings generalizable. And finally, our results were consistent across numerous sensitivity analyses testing important assumptions related to our primary analysis.

An important **limitation** of our analysis is that observed **associations** could result from residual confounding. For example, we were **not** able to incorporate perioperative **medication** effects such as **angiotensin-converting** enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin-receptor antagonists which may be **important** in the **pathogenesis** of both intraoperative hypotension and AKI.^{25,26} Although the observed association **may not be causal**, and the **treatments** used for low MAP may **account** for some of the observed associations, there is a strong **biologic plausibility** for the effect we saw and it was consistent across all analyses. The association between time spent with a MAP less than 55 mmHg and both AKI and myocardial injury were of moderate size. Although it is probable that residual confounding accounts for at least part of the observed associations, the fact that our findings were consistent across outcomes and sensitivity analyses suggests an underlying biologic effect. Our study was only from a single center, which may reduce the generalizability, although we would expect that a physiologic parameter like MAP should have consistent effects across centers. We also need to be cautious applying our findings to groups of patients and to outcomes not included in our study. As we excluded patients with abnormal renal function preoperatively and patients who did not have postoperative serum creatinine measurements (and who were therefore likely healthier and/or underwent less complicated procedures), our empiric definition of hypotension needs to be studied in these patients. Similarly, we lack data on stroke outcomes. Importantly, our results provide information on what the average tolerated MAP may be in patients having noncardiac surgery. Individuals tolerated limits will vary. But currently, there are not specific data indicating how thresholds may vary or that would allow clinicians to determine the threshold in a specific patient. Finally, we have not yet validated our finding in an independent cohort.

In summary, we found that time spent with a MAP less than 55 mmHg during noncardiac surgery is independently associated with an increased risk of AKI and myocardial injury. Notably, any amount of time at a MAP less than 55 mmHg was associated with adverse outcomes. Further research is required to determine whether interventions to prevent and rapidly treat intraoperative hypotension ameliorate the risk of AKI and myocardial injury in patients having noncardiac surgery.

References

1. Abelha FJ, Botelho M, Fernandes V, Barros H: Determinants of postoperative acute kidney injury. *Crit Care* 2009; 13:R79
2. Chertow GM, Burdick E, Honour M, Bonventre JV, Bates DW: Acute kidney injury, mortality, length of stay, and costs in hospitalized patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005; 16:3365–70
3. Bellomo R, Kellum JA, Ronco C: Defining and classifying acute renal failure: From advocacy to consensus and validation of the RIFLE criteria. *Intensive Care Med* 2007; 33:409–13
4. Mehta RL, Kellum JA, Shah SV, Molitoris BA, Ronco C, Warnock DG, Levin A; Acute Kidney Injury Network: Acute Kidney Injury Network: Report of an initiative to improve outcomes in acute kidney injury. *Crit Care* 2007; 11:R31
5. Davenport DL, Henderson WG, Khuri SF, Mentzer RM Jr: Preoperative risk factors and surgical complexity are more predictive of costs than postoperative complications: A case study using the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database. *Ann Surg* 2005; 242:463–8; discussion 468–71
6. Devereaux PJ, Chan MT, Alonso-Coello P, Walsh M, Berwanger O, Villar JC, Wang CY, Garutti RI, Jacka MJ, Sigamani A, Srinathan S, Biccari BM, Chow CK, Abraham V, Tiboni M, Pettit S, Szczeklik W, Lurati BG, Botto F, Guyatt G, Heels-Ansdell D, Sessler DI, Thorlund K, Garg AX, Mrkobrada M, Thomas S, Rodseth RN, Pearse RM, Thabane L, McQueen MJ, VanHelder T, Bhandari M, Bosch J, Kurz A, Polanczyk C, Malaga G, Nagele P, Le MY, Leuw M, Yusuf S: Association between postoperative troponin levels and 30-day mortality among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *JAMA* 2012; 307:2295–304
7. Devereaux PJ, Yang H, Yusuf S, Guyatt G, Leslie K, Villar JC, Xavier D, Chrolavicius S, Greenspan L, Pogue J, Pais P, Liu L, Xu S, Malaga G, Avezum A, Chan M, Montori VM, Jacka M, Choi P: Effects of extended-release metoprolol succinate in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery (POISE trial): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008; 371:1839–47
8. Brienza N, Giglio MT, Marucci M, Fiore T: Does perioperative hemodynamic optimization protect renal function in surgical patients? A meta-analytic study. *Crit Care Med* 2009; 37:2079–90
9. Bijker JB, van Klei WA, Kappen TH, van Wolfswinkel L, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ: Incidence of intraoperative hypotension as a function of the chosen definition: Literature definitions applied to a retrospective cohort using automated data collection. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2007; 107:213–20
10. Ricci Z, Cruz D, Ronco C: The RIFLE criteria and mortality in acute kidney injury: A systematic review. *Kidney Int* 2008; 73:538–46
11. Coca SG, Peixoto AJ, Garg AX, Krumholz HM, Parikh CR: The prognostic importance of a small acute decrement in kidney function in hospitalized patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2007; 50:712–20
12. Chung KK, Stewart IJ, Gisler C, Simmons JW, Aden JK, Tilley MA, Cotant CL, White CE, Wolf SE, Renz EM: The Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN) criteria applied in burns. *J Burn Care Res* 2012; 33:483–90
13. Kim WY, Huh JW, Lim CM, Koh Y, Hong SB: A comparison of acute kidney injury classifications in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. *Am J Med Sci* 2012; 344:350–6
14. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD, Jaffe AS, Apple FS, Galvani M, Katus HA, Newby LK, Ravkilde J, Chaitman B, Clemmensen PM, Dellborg M, Hod H, Porela P, Underwood R, Bax JJ, Beller GA, Bonow R, Van der Wall EE, Bassand JP, Wijns W, Ferguson TB, Steg PG, Uretsky BF, Williams DO, Armstrong PW, Antman EM, Fox KA, Hamm CW, Ohman EM, Simoons ML, Poole-Wilson PA, Gurfinkel EP, Lopez-Sendon JL, Pais P, Mendis S, Zhu JR, Wallentin LC, Fernández-Avilés F, Fox KM, Parkhomenko AN, Priori SG, Tendera M, Voipio-Pulkki LM, Vahanian A, Camm AJ, De Caterina R, Dean V, Dickstein K, Filippatos G, Funck-Brentano C, Hellemans I,

- Kristensen SD, McGregor K, Sechtem U, Silber S, Tendera M, Widimsky P, Zamorano JL, Morais J, Brener S, Harrington R, Morrow D, Lim M, Martinez-Rios MA, Steinhubl S, Levine GN, Gibler WB, Goff D, Tubaro M, Dudek D, Al-Attar N; Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction: Universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2007; 116:2634–53
15. Sessler DI, Sigl JC, Manberg PJ, Kelley SD, Schubert A, Chamoun NG: Broadly applicable risk stratification system for predicting duration of hospitalization and mortality. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2010; 113:1026–37
 16. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, Fushimi K, Graham P, Hider P, Januel JM, Sundararajan V: Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score for risk adjustment in hospital discharge abstracts using data from 6 countries. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011; 173:676–82
 17. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D: A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: A new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann Intern Med* 1999; 130:461–70
 18. Huber PI: The behavior of maximum likelihood estimates under nonstandard conditions. *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*. 1967; 1:221–33
 19. Rogers WH: Regression standard errors in clustered samples. *Stata Technical Bulletin* 1993; 13:19–3
 20. Bayliss WM: On the local reactions of the arterial wall to changes of internal pressure. *J Physiol* 1902; 28:220–31
 21. Harper AM: Autoregulation of cerebral blood flow: Influence of the arterial blood pressure on the blood flow through the cerebral cortex. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1966; 29:398–3
 22. Badin J, Boulain T, Ehrmann S, Skarzynski M, Bretagnol A, Buret J, Benzekri-Lefevre D, Mercier E, Runge I, Garot D, Mathonnet A, Dequin PF, Perrotin D: Relation between mean arterial pressure and renal function in the early phase of shock: A prospective, explorative cohort study. *Crit Care* 2011; 15:R135
 23. Kheterpal S, Tremper KK, Heung M, Rosenberg AL, Englesbe M, Shanks AM, Campbell DA Jr: Development and validation of an acute kidney injury risk index for patients undergoing general surgery: Results from a national data set. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2009; 110:505–15
 24. Bijker JB, van Klei WA, Vergouwe Y, Eleveld DJ, van Wolfswinkel L, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ: Intraoperative hypotension and 1-year mortality after noncardiac surgery. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2009; 111:1217–26
 25. Coriat P, Richer C, Douraki T, Gomez C, Hendricks K, Giudicelli JF, Viars P: Influence of chronic angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition on anesthetic induction. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1994; 81:299–7
 26. Bertrand M, Godet G, Meersschaert K, Brun L, Salcedo E, Coriat P: Should the angiotensin II antagonists be discontinued before surgery? *Anesth Analg* 2001; 92:26–30

Intraoperative Mean Arterial Pressure Variability and 30-day Mortality in Patients Having Noncardiac Surgery

Edward J. Mascha, Ph.D., Dongsheng Yang, M.S., Stephanie Weiss, M.D., Daniel I. Sessler, M.D.

ABSTRACT

Background: Little is known about the relationship between intraoperative blood pressure variability and mortality after noncardiac surgery. Therefore, the authors tested the hypothesis that blood pressure variability, independent from absolute blood pressure, is associated with increased 30-day mortality.

Methods: Baseline and intraoperative variables plus 30-day mortality were obtained for 104,401 adults having noncardiac surgery lasting 60 min or longer. In confounder-adjusted models, the authors evaluated the associations between 30-day mortality and both time-weighted average intraoperative mean arterial pressure (TWA-MAP) and measures of intraoperative MAP variability—including generalized average real variability of MAP (ARV-MAP) and SD of MAP (SD-MAP).

Results: Mean \pm SD TWA-MAP was 84 ± 10 mmHg, and ARV-MAP was 2.5 ± 1.3 mmHg/min. TWA-MAP was strongly related to 30-day mortality, which more than tripled as TWA-MAP decreased from 80 to 50 mmHg. ARV-MAP was only marginally related to 30-day mortality ($P = 0.033$) after adjusting for TWA-MAP. Compared with median ARV-MAP, odds ratio (95% CI) for 30-day mortality was 1.14 (1.03 to 1.25) for low ARV-MAP (first quartile) and 0.94 (0.88 to 0.99) for high ARV-MAP (third quartile). Odds of 30-day mortality decreased as five-level categorized ARV-MAP increased (0.92; 0.87 to 0.99 for one category increase; $P = 0.015$). Secondarily, cumulative duration of MAP less than 50, 55, 60, 70, and 80 mmHg was associated with increased odds of 30-day mortality (all $P < 0.001$).

Conclusion: Although lower mean arterial pressure is strongly associated with mortality, *lower* intraoperative blood pressure variability *per se* is only mildly associated with postoperative mortality after noncardiac surgery. (ANESTHESIOLOGY 2015; 123:79-91)

ALTHOUGH the relationship between mean blood pressure and organ damage or death¹⁻⁴ is well established, there is also some evidence for a relationship between blood pressure variability and death or organ damage.^{1,2,5-7} For example, in a study of approximately 9,000 ambulatory patients, Hansen *et al.*⁸ found that higher 24-h variability in systolic blood pressure (SBP) was significantly associated with long-term mortality and cardiovascular events after adjusting for mean blood pressure although including it did not substantially change predicted values for mortality. Control of variability in blood pressure is also thought to reduce morbidity or mortality, leading some investigators to suggest that longer-acting perioperative antihypertensive drugs may be preferable to shorter-acting drugs.^{7,9}

A correlation between mean blood pressure and subsequent cardiovascular events has been observed in medical⁷ and surgical^{10,11} patients. In addition, recent studies have found that intraoperative excursions in SBP outside of a targeted range (measured by magnitude \times duration, *i.e.*, area under the curve) were associated with 30-day mortality in cardiac surgery patients.^{12,13} However, such hypotensive and hypertensive indices measure the average level of the blood pressure rather than reading-to-reading

What We Already Know about This Topic

- Recent studies have found that intraoperative excursions in systolic blood pressure outside of a targeted range were associated with 30-day mortality in cardiac surgery patients.
- However, such hypotensive and hypertensive indices measure the average level of the blood pressure rather than reading-to-reading variability. The relationship between blood pressure variability *per se* (distinct from mean blood pressure) and mortality remains unclear in noncardiac surgical patients.
- This study determined whether patient variability in mean arterial pressure, independent of time-weighted average mean arterial pressure and other confounding variables, is associated with 30-day postoperative mortality in patients having noncardiac surgery.

What This Article Tells Us That Is New

- Average mean arterial pressure and mean pressure variability were nonlinearly related to 30-day mortality in noncardiac surgical patients. After adjusting for time-weighted average mean arterial pressure and other important covariables, low blood pressure variability as measured by an improved formula was still associated with higher 30-day mortality, but the differences were not clinically important. Anesthesiologists might thus pay more attention to overall trends in the mean blood pressure for a case than in the minute-to-minute variation.

Submitted for publication November 7, 2014. Accepted for publication March 5, 2015. From the Departments of Quantitative Health Sciences and Outcomes Research (E.J.M., D.Y.) and Department of Outcomes Research (S.W., D.I.S.), Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio. Current affiliation: Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Florida College of Medicine, Jacksonville, Florida (S.W.).

Copyright © 2015, the American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Anesthesiology 2015; 123:79-91

variability. The relationship between blood pressure variability *per se* (distinct from mean blood pressure) and mortality remains unclear in noncardiac surgical patients. In fact, some intraoperative variability may indicate healthy autonomic control. A constant blood pressure, either very high or very low, might indicate issues with perfusion of the patient, important fluid imbalances, or other problems.

We therefore tested the hypothesis that patient variability in mean arterial pressure (MAP), independent of time-weighted average MAP (TWA-MAP) and other confounding variables, is associated with 30-day postoperative mortality in patients having noncardiac surgery.

Materials and Methods

With institutional review board approval (Cleveland Clinic Institutional Review Board, Cleveland, Ohio), we extracted data on 140,312 adult patients with noncardiac surgery and American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status (ASA-PS) less than 5 between January 2005 and December 2012 from the Cleveland Clinic Perioperative Health Documentation System, which is an electronic medical record–based registry that pulls and merges data from various Cleveland Clinic electronic databases including EPIC (Epic Systems Corporation, USA), the anesthesia automated record keeping system (Talis Clinical, Inc., USA), billing data, laboratory data, pharmacy data, and others. Data are regularly scrutinized using range checks, cross-variable and table checks, and other data quality programs to assure good quality data for research.

Vital status was updated as of December 31, 2012. Only the longest lasting surgery was considered for patients who had more than one operation. Patients were excluded if their surgery duration (induction to emergence) was less than 60 min or missing baseline variables. We also excluded patients with inadequate information on blood pressure readings (periods of artifacts/unavailable data of >10 min or <6 measurements per hour). Thus, a total of 104,401 patients were included in the study.

Artifact Algorithm for Blood Pressure Measurements

Mean arterial pressure data from our monitors are stored in our automated record keeping system, in which MAP was recorded at 1-min intervals for patients with an arterial catheter and every 1 to 5 min for those with noninvasive blood pressure monitoring. Because electronic anesthesia records are known to contain considerable artifact, we removed artifacts using the following rules, in order: (1) blood pressure readings documented as artifacts; (2) out of range: values—if (a) SBP 300 mmHg or greater or SBP 20 mmHg or less, (b) SBP \leq diastolic blood pressure (DBP) + 5 mmHg, or (c) DBP 5 mmHg or less or DBP 225 mmHg or more; and (3) abrupt change, defined as SBP change 80 mmHg or greater from last reading within 1 min in either direction, or abrupt SBP change 40 mmHg or greater within 1 min in both directions.

Blood Pressure Variability

There is no definitive standard for evaluating blood pressure variability although within-patient SD is most commonly used.^{5,14} Hansen *et al.*⁸ proposed an index of short-term reading-to-reading blood pressure variation called average real variability (ARV), calculated by the following formula (sum of the product of time between measurements and absolute change divided by total time):

$$\text{ARV} = \frac{1}{\sum t} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} t |\text{BP}_{k+1} - \text{BP}_k| \quad (1)$$

where N is the number of blood pressure (BP) readings and t is the time interval between each set of readings, BP_k and BP_{k+1} .

Hansen *et al.* showed that the ARV more reliably estimates variability for time-series data than the SD. But a limitation is that Hansen's approach is only valid for equally distant blood pressure readings. For pressures at unequal intervals, this index overestimates the variability of steep changes. For example, consider five consecutive MAP readings of 50, 60, 70, 60, and 50 at 1-min intervals so that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ARV} &= \frac{1|60-50|+1|70-60|+1|60-70|+1|50-60|}{1+1+1+1} \\ &= \frac{40}{4} = 10 \text{ mmHg/min} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the same patient, but with data recorded at 1, 3, 4, and 5 min, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ARV} &= \frac{2|70-50|+1|70-60|+1|60-50|}{2+1+1} \\ &= \frac{60}{4} = 15 \text{ mmHg/min} \end{aligned}$$

or 50% more variability compared with the same patient with blood pressure recorded each minute.

To avoid incorrect estimates resulting from unequal measurement periods, we propose (and use) a better ARV index, generalized ARV, which does not require equally distant data. We calculate it simply as the sum of the absolute value of all changes across measurements divided by total time:

$$\text{Generalized ARV} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} |\text{BP}_{k+1} - \text{BP}_k| \text{ mmHg/min} \quad (2)$$

where T is the total time from first to last BP reading (equivalent to $\sum t$ in equation 1). In our example, generalized ARV is the same for both sets of the above data, demonstrating that the new measure is robust to varying distances between readings:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Generalized ARV} &= \frac{|60-50|+|70-60|+|60-70|+|50-60|}{1+1+1+1} \\ &= \frac{40}{4} = 10, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Generalized ARV} &= \frac{|70 - 50| + |60 - 70| + |50 - 60|}{2 + 1 + 1} \\ &= \frac{40}{4} = 10. \end{aligned}$$

The units for ARV-MAP are mmHg/min, so an ARV-MAP of 1 would mean that the MAP changes (either up or down) on average approximately 1 mmHg between consecutive minutes during the case for a given patient. Hereafter, we refer to our measure of variability (equation 2) as generalized ARV, and when referring to its use with MAP data, generalized ARV-MAP.

Mena *et al.*¹⁵ proposed an earlier version of the ARV that was calculated as the sum of absolute differences divided by the number of readings minus 1 or $\text{ARV} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} |\text{BP}_{k+1} - \text{BP}_k|$. Although they found that it predicted cardiovascular events better than the SD index, the difficulty with this version of the ARV, as with the SD index (see next paragraph), is that it ignores the distance between the consecutive readings, and thus does not have a “change per minute” interpretation as does our generalized ARV.

For comparative purposes, we also report on the SD of MAP, or SD-MAP, as a measure of blood pressure variability. The difficulty with SD-MAP as a measure of variability is that it ignores the timing of the measurements. For example, SD-MAP for consecutive values of 60, 60, 80, 80, and 80 mmHg and 80, 60, 80, 60, and 80 mmHg has the same SD although the latter is obviously more variable. Generalized ARV analysis gives a value of 5 for the first set and 20 for the second set and thus clearly better estimating variability for the time series than the SD. Although we report SD-MAP as a measure of variability to facilitate comparisons with previous work, we do not consider it an optimal estimate of variability in sequential data.

Finally, to give more weight to steep changes (slope), we also consider a squared version of the generalized ARV called ARV_S , as follows:

$$\text{Squared ARV} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{|\text{BP}_{k+1} - \text{BP}_k|^2}{t_{k+1} - t_k}. \quad (3)$$

In our example, we have for the 1-min data

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Squared ARV} &= \frac{|60 - 50|^2 + |70 - 60|^2 + |60 - 70|^2 + |50 - 60|^2}{1 + 1 + 1 + 1} \\ &= \frac{400}{4} = 100, \end{aligned}$$

and for the mixed distance data

$$\frac{|70 - 50|^2 + |60 - 70|^2 + |50 - 60|^2}{2 + 1 + 1} = \frac{600}{4} = 150.$$

Because of the squared term, this ARV measure does not give the same result with equal and unequal data intervals. However, it does penalize large jumps and is thus a generally intuitive variability measure.

We *a priori* selected generalized ARV-MAP as our primary estimate of MAP variability, with SD-MAP and squared ARV-MAP as secondary indices.

As a measure of mean MAP across a case, we also calculated TWA-MAP for each patient’s surgery. We assess its interplay with the relationship between blood pressure variability measures and 30-day mortality. TWA-MAP was calculated as the area under the curve of the MAP measurements divided by total measurement time (note that the area under the curve alone is insufficient because it ignores total time). TWA-MAP is equal to the mean of all measurements when all data are equidistant and is more accurate than a simple mean when the readings are not all equidistant,¹⁶ as in most intraoperative data. TWA-MAP is thus not an estimate of variability but rather a measure of patient severity or average level (see Discussion).

Statistical Analysis

We first assessed the relationship between categories (for display purposes) of generalized ARV-MAP and all baseline characteristics, medical history, and important surgical factors by using chi-square tests and ANOVA.

In our multivariable models, we adjusted for all confounding variables in table 1. We defined preexisting medical conditions used International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) billing codes and included only those fulfilling at least one of the following (1) appeared in the patient “problem list” with a date preceding the date of surgery, (2) appeared in an ICD-9 list before the index surgery, or (3) were flagged as a chronic ICD-9 condition based on the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project definitions.¹⁷ Because there were many types of surgical procedures, we characterized each procedure code into 1 of 244 clinically meaningful categories using the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality’s Clinical Classifications Software for Services and Procedures. We then aggregated low-frequency-event categories (N < 5 death) into one group and used that as the reference group (a low-risk group). Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlations among the three variability measures (generalized ARV, SD, and squared ARV) and TWA of MAP. Discrimination (ability to discriminate events from nonevents) of models was assessed with the *c*-statistic; several model diagnostics were assessed as well.

We used univariable and multivariable logistic regression models to assess the relationship between 30-day mortality and each of generalized ARV-MAP, SD-MAP, squared ARV, and TWA-MAP. We assessed the linearity of the relationship between each exposure and 30-day mortality using a restricted cubic spline function with three knots (located at 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles).¹⁸ Because all relationships were nonlinear, we used continuous versions of each exposure with a restricted cubic spline function (three knots) as our primary analyses. A restricted cubic spline function was used to obtain

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics and Intraoperative Factors by Generalized Average Real Variability of MAP

Potential Confounding Variables	Average Real Variability of MAP (mmHg/min)*					P Value
	≤1 (n = 7,651)	1–2 (n = 34,531)	2–3 (n = 30,749)	3–4 (n = 18,354)	>4 (n = 13,202)	
Female (%)	53.3	55.6	51.6	51.4	54.8	<0.001
Age (yr)	47±16	52±19	57±19	61±14	66±22	<0.001
Weight (kg)	82±23	85±25	86±24	85±24	82±22	<0.001
White (%)	83.5	83.3	83.3	83.5	81.9	<0.001
Emergency (%)	1.9	3.0	4.7	5.9	7.7	<0.001
ASA physical status (%)						<0.001
1	18.0	8.6	3.3	1.5	0.6	
2	52.7	50.9	39.9	30.1	20.1	
3	26.4	36.7	48.8	57.1	64.0	
4	2.9	3.8	7.9	11.3	15.3	
Use of arterial catheter (%)	0.6	8.5	37.5	61.2	74.5	<0.001
Medical history (%)						
Congestive heart failure	2.8	3.1	5.3	6.9	8.1	<0.001
Valvular disease	2.9	3.4	4.5	5.7	7.3	<0.001
Pulmonary circulation disease	0.7	0.9	1.6	1.8	2.2	<0.001
Peripheral vascular disease	2.7	3.6	7.2	11.3	17.4	<0.001
Hypertension	27.2	38.0	50.1	59.0	68.9	<0.001
Paralysis	1.1	1.5	2.2	2.7	4.2	<0.001
Other neurological disorders	3.5	4.7	6.4	6.9	8.5	<0.001
Chronic pulmonary disease	10.1	11.5	13.2	15.5	18.5	<0.001
Diabetes	9.9	12.9	17.3	20.6	23.1	<0.001
Hypothyroidism	8.1	9.9	11.2	12.3	13.9	<0.001
Renal failure	5.0	4.9	6.7	7.3	8.4	<0.001
Liver disease	2.4	3.9	4.5	4.4	3.6	<0.001
Lymphoma	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.7	<0.001
Metastatic cancer	3.5	4.0	6.3	8.9	9.0	<0.001
Solid tumor without metastasis	10.8	15.2	21.1	22.6	19.9	<0.001
Rheumatoid arthritis/collagen	3.0	3.1	3.5	3.6	4.5	<0.001
Coagulopathy	2.5	2.9	5.5	7.3	8.3	<0.001
Weight loss	1.7	2.6	4.6	6.5	6.9	<0.001
Fluid and electrolyte disorders	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	<0.001
Chronic blood loss anemia	0.7	1.2	1.9	2.4	2.7	<0.001
Deficiency anemia	2.5	2.6	3.2	3.3	3.6	<0.001
Alcohol abuse	1.1	1.3	1.9	2.3	2.2	<0.001
Drug abuse	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.34
Psychoses	2.2	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	<0.001
Depression	10.5	11.6	12.5	12.7	12.7	<0.001
Hyperlipidemia	21.8	26.6	32.6	37.3	43.6	<0.001
Coronary heart disease	5.9	7.9	13.8	19.6	27.2	<0.001
Cardiac rhythms	5.7	7.6	11.6	14.2	17.2	<0.001
Myocardial infarction	0.4	0.5	1.0	1.4	2.3	<0.001
Transient ischemic attack	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.2	<0.001
Seizure	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.027
Stroke	0.1	0.2	0.6	1.0	2.8	<0.001
Top 10 surgical procedures (%)						<0.001
Other*	50.4	38.8	28.4	21.9	19.1	
Colorectal resection	1.0	3.9	5.2	5.6	5.4	
Arthroplasty knee	9.3	5.7	2.6	1.3	0.9	
Nephrectomy	0.8	2.1	4.6	6.2	3.3	
Spinal fusion	0.5	2.0	4.4	5.6	5.0	
Other OR upper GI therapeutic procedures	1.5	4.3	3.7	3.0	2.2	
Hysterectomy	1.9	4.4	3.6	2.3	2.0	
Laminectomy	2.7	3.2	3.0	2.8	2.4	
Hip replacement	2.8	3.3	2.7	2.4	2.7	
Incision and excision of CNS	0.3	1.5	3.1	3.7	4.3	
Surgical time (h)	2.4 [1.7, 4.4]	2.7 [1.9, 3.8]	3.0 [2, 4.4]	3.2 [2, 4.7]	2.8 [1.8, 4]	<0.001

(Continued)

Table 1. Continued

Potential Confounding Variables	Average Real Variability of MAP (mmHg/min)*					P Value
	≤1 (n = 7,651)	1–2 (n = 34,531)	2–3 (n = 30,749)	3–4 (n = 18,354)	>4 (n = 13,202)	
Exposure variables						
TWA-MAP, mmHg	79±9	82±10	84±10	85±10	87±10	<0.001
ARV-MAP, mmHg/min	0.8±0.1	1.5±0.3	2.5±0.3	3.4±0.3	5.0±1.0	<0.001
SD-MAP, mmHg/min	6.9±2.5	10.4±3.1	12.6±3.6	14.0±4.0	16.4±4.5	<0.001
Squared ARV-MAP, mmHg ² /min	1.4 [1, 1.8]	5.1 [3.5,7.2]	14 [11, 19]	29 [23, 35]	55 [44, 72]	<0.001

Data are presented as mean ± SD, median [25th, 75th percentiles], or %. P values from chi-square test or F test (ANOVA).

* The units for ARV-MAP are mmHg/min, so an ARV-MAP of 1 indicates that the MAP changes (either up or down) on average approximately 1 mmHg between consecutive minutes during the case for a given patient.

ARV-MAP = generalized average real variability of mean arterial pressure; ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; CNS = central nervous system; GI = gastrointestinal; MAP = mean arterial pressure; OR = operating room; TWA = time-weighted average.

a smoothed relationship between selected predictor variables and response; this is a useful technique when a relationship appears quite nonlinear (*i.e.*, not a straight line). Results in our logistic regression model setting were then interpreted by (1) simply observing the resulting curve and also by (2) reporting odds ratios for the outcome comparing certain values of the predictor (*e.g.*, generalized ARV-MAP) to a reference value.

We estimated odds ratios (95% CIs) from the spline models using the median of each exposure as the reference. In addition, we categorized the primary exposure of generalized ARV into five equal-distant groups (≤1, 1–2, 2–3, 3–4, and >4 mmHg/min) and used the lowest (≤1 mmHg/min) as the reference. A sensitivity analysis for the association between generalized ARV-MAP and 30-day mortality was conducted including only patients having minute-by-minute invasive blood pressure measurements. We further assessed the interaction between history of hypertension and the relationship between generalized ARV-MAP and 30-day mortality.

We conducted secondary analyses to assess the relationship between 30-day mortality and amount of time MAP is sustained below certain thresholds, independent of minute-to-minute variations in MAP (*i.e.*, adjusting for generalized ARV-MAP). These analyses help to understand implications of the relationship between the overall mean (TWA-MAP) and 30-day mortality. Specifically, we assessed the relationship between 30-day mortality and minimum 10-min sustained MAP (*i.e.*, the minimum MAP sustained continuously for ≥10 min) and cumulative time of MAP less than 50, 55, 60, 70, and 80 mmHg during surgery using multivariable logistic regression models. We adjusted for generalized ARV-MAP and baseline confounding variables (as in primary analyses).

We used a significance level of 0.05 for main effects and 0.10 for interaction effects. SAS software version 9.4 for Windows (SAS Institute, USA) was used for all statistical analyses and graphics.

Results

Among 104,401 patients included in the study (fig. 1), the overall incidence of 30-day mortality was 1.3% (1,348). The

overall mean (± SD) of TWA-MAP was 84±10 mmHg, generalized ARV-MAP was 2.5±1.3 mmHg/min, and SD-MAP was 12.2±4.3 mmHg. Arterial line was used in 33% of patients and noninvasive measurement in 66%, whereas 1% used both.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients as a function of generalized ARV-MAP category. Patients with higher generalized ARV-MAP were more likely to be older, to have higher ASA-PS, to be designated as emergency cases, to have had arterial catheters inserted, to have a history of serious chronic disease, and to have higher SD-MAP and TWA-MAP.

Time-weighted average of MAP was only weakly correlated with variability measured by generalized ARV-MAP




Fig. 1. Study population. Consort diagram showing study population. ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; BP = blood pressure.

(Pearson correlation coefficient, 0.20; 95% CI, 0.19 to 0.21; $P < 0.001$) but moderately correlated with SD-MAP (0.41; 0.40 to 0.42; $P < 0.001$). A weak correlation between generalized ARV-MAP and TWA-MAP implies that variability as measured with ARV is fairly independent of a patient's mean MAP during surgery. ARV-MAP was moderately-to-strongly correlated with SD-MAP (0.57; 0.567 to 0.574; $P < 0.001$) and highly correlated with squared ARV-MAP (0.92; 0.921 to 0.923; $P = 0.001$).

Univariably, 30-day mortality increased steeply as the generalized ARV-MAP increased to 3 mmHg/min but thereafter increased only slowly ($P < 0.001$; fig. 2A); conversely, there was a slight U-shaped univariable relationship between the SD-MAP and 30-day mortality ($P < 0.001$; fig. 2B); finally, 30-day mortality decreased steeply as the TWA of MAP increased up to approximately 80 mmHg and then slowly increased ($P < 0.001$; fig. 2C).

Multivariable Analyses

ARV-MAP. In a multivariable model using cubic splines, we found no interaction between generalized ARV-MAP and TWA-MAP on 30-day mortality ($P = 0.36$). Both continuous ARV-MAP ($P = 0.033$) and continuous TWA-MAP ($P < 0.001$) were independently associated with 30-day mortality (fig. 3). Compared with the univariable analysis, TWA-MAP had a similarly shaped but attenuated relationship with mortality (fig. 3C). However, generalized ARV-MAP (fig. 3A) was only weakly associated with mortality

(nearly flat curve) after adjusting for covariates as well as TWA-MAP. A plot of odds ratios using the multivariable spline fit (from fig. 3) showed that an ARV-MAP of approximately 3.8 had the lowest risk of 30-day mortality (fig. 4A). A generalized ARV-MAP of 1 mmHg/min indicates that the MAP changes (either up or down) an average of 1 mmHg between consecutive minutes during the case for a given patient. The odds ratio (95% CI) at the 25th percentile for a 1-mmHg/min increase in generalized ARV-MAP versus the median ARV-MAP (2.3) was 1.14 (1.03 to 1.25, $P = 0.01$) and at the 75th percentile was 0.94 (0.88 to 0.99, $P = 0.02$) (table 2). The c -statistic for this model was 0.93, indicating very good discrimination. In contrast, odds ratios of mortality for SD of MAP had a more U-shaped relationship (fig. 4B).

Because the relationship between generalized ARV-MAP and mortality was nonlinear, we also assessed the multivariable association using evenly spaced categories of ARV-MAP and mortality. We observed a decreasing trend of mortality ($P = 0.015$, test for linear trend) from low to high generalized ARV-MAP category (0.30 to 0.21%). However, none of the categories of generalized ARV-MAP differed significantly from the reference (lowest) category in their relationship with 30-day mortality (table 2).

Sensitivity analyses using only patients who had minute-by-minute invasive blood pressure measurements ($N = 34,547$) gave the same conclusions for the association between 30-day mortality and generalized ARV-MAP (both continuous and categorized ARV-MAP) as when using all data. Squared ARV




Fig. 2. Univariably association between 30-day mortality and measures of mean arterial pressure (MAP) variability and location. Univariably association between 30-day mortality and (A) generalized average real variability (ARV) of MAP, (B) SD of MAP, and (C) time-weighted average (TWA) of MAP. Curves derived from univariable logistic regression smoothed by restricted cubic spline with 3 degrees of freedom and knots at 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of predictor. Shaded areas represent estimated 95% point-wise CIs. Results: (A) 30-day mortality increases steeply with increasing ARV of MAP to approximately 3 mmHg/min and then more slowly; (B) SD of MAP has slight U-shaped relationship with 30-day mortality; and (C) 30-day mortality decreases steeply up to TWA of MAP of approximately 90 mmHg and then increases. See figure 3 for multivariable results—that is, the independent association of each factor with 30-day mortality.




Fig. 3. Multivariable association between 30-day mortality and measures of mean arterial pressure (MAP) variability and location. Multivariable association between 30-day mortality and (A) generalized average real variability (ARV) of MAP, (B) SD of MAP, and (C) time-weighted average (TWA) of MAP. (A and B) Mild multivariable relationship between 30-day mortality and both generalized ARV of MAP and SD of MAP. (C) Estimated 30-day mortality decreases steeply up to TWA of MAP approximately 85 mmHg and then flattens. Estimated 30-day mortality curves derived from multivariable logistic regression smoothed by restricted cubic spline with 3 degrees of freedom and knots at 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of given variable. A and C are from the same model; B is from a separate multivariable model (with TWA-MAP relationship similar to C). Both models adjusted for all variables in table 1. Shaded areas represent estimated 95% point-wise CIs.




Fig. 4. Multivariable odds ratios for relationship between 30-day mortality and mean arterial pressure (MAP) variability measures. Spline plot of odds ratios from separate multivariable logistic regression models for generalized average real variability (ARV) of MAP (A) and SD of MAP (B). The reference category for each odds ratio is the median value of the respective variability measure. Dashed lines represent estimated 95% point-wise CIs. There is no variability (and hence no CI) at the median, where odds ratio = 1.0. Whereas odds ratios for the relationship between SD-MAP and mortality are symmetric around the median, odds ratios for ARV-MAP remain flat above the median because predicted mortality did not increase for higher ARV-MAP.

Table 2. Multivariable Association between 30-day Mortality and Primary and Secondary Outcomes (N = 104,401)

Factors	Units	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)*	P Value*
ARV-MAP (mmHg/min)†			0.033
25th (ARV = 1.6)	−0.7	1.14 (1.03–1.25)	0.01
Median (ARV = 2.3)		1.0 (reference)	
75th (ARV = 3.2)	0.9	0.94 (0.88–0.99)	0.018
Categorized ARV-MAP (mmHg/min)	Death N (raw %/model %)		0.015‡
≤1 (N = 7,565)	35 (0.46/0.30)	1.0 (reference)	
1–2 (n = 34,531)	246 (0.71/0.26)	0.85 (0.57–1.26)	0.42
2–3 (n = 30,315)	434 (1.4/0.24)	0.79 (0.53–1.17)	0.23
3–4 (n = 18,026)	328 (1.8/0.21)	0.70 (0.47–1.06)	0.09
>4 (n = 12,896)	306 (2.3/0.21)	0.69 (0.45–1.04)	0.08
SD-MAP (mmHg)†	Units		<0.001
25th percentile	−4.4	1.09 (1.03–1.16)	0.006
Median		1.0 (reference)	
75th percentile	3.0	1.05 (1.01–1.10)	0.033
Secondary Analyses: MAP Exposures			
	Units	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value§
10-min sustained minimum MAP (mmHg)			
minimum MAP <70	5 mmHg	0.76 (0.72–0.80)	<0.001
minimum MAP ≥70	5 mmHg	1.02 (0.95–1.10)	0.59
Cumulative minutes of MAP <50 mmHg	10 min	1.23 (1.15–1.30)	<0.001
Cumulative minutes of MAP <55 mmHg	10 min	1.13 (1.09–1.17)	<0.001
Cumulative minutes of MAP <60 mmHg	10 min	1.09 (1.07–1.11)	<0.001
Cumulative minutes of MAP <70 mmHg	10 min	1.04 (1.03–1.05)	<0.001
Cumulative minutes of MAP <80 mmHg	10 min	1.02 (1.01–1.03)	<0.001

Units of comparison to the median vary between the 25th and 75th percentiles for both ARV-MAP and SD-MAP because the relationships with mortality are nonlinear.

* Multivariable logistic regressions adjusting for all baseline factors in table 1 (including 54 CCS categories), surgery duration, and TWA-MAP; † ARV-MAP is the sum of absolute changes in MAP divided by total time; ‡ $P = 0.015$ test for linear trend of ordered categories of ARV-MAP vs. mortality, $P = 0.16$ for nominal categories; § Individual logistic regressions adjusting for all baseline factors in table 1 (including 54 CCS categories), surgery duration, and ARV-MAP.

ARV = average real variability; CCS = Clinical Classifications Software for Services and Procedures (part of Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project [HCUP]); MAP = mean arterial pressure; TWA = time-weighted average.

also gave the same conclusions. A sensitivity analysis to the primary results including only the subset of patients (58% of the total sample) for whom history of cardiovascular medications was available (and thus adjusted for as confounding factors) gave very similar results (appendix 1 and table 3), with no differences in trends or conclusions but a slightly stronger relationship between ARV-MAP and 30-day mortality. Finally, using Hansen's version of the ARV-MAP, shown above only to be intuitive for equally spaced data, we found a similarly shaped relationship between ARV-MAP and 30-day mortality (overall P value of 0.004); however, odds ratios were weaker, and no difference was found between high ARV and the median.

SD-MAP. In a separate multivariable model, both continuous SD-MAP ($P < 0.001$) and continuous TWA-MAP ($P < 0.001$) were independently associated with 30-day mortality. The interaction between SD-MAP and TWA-MAP was significant using three knots ($P = 0.026$) but not using 4 or 5 ($P > 0.10$), so only the main effects model is reported. As in the univariable model, a U-shaped multivariable relationship between SD-MAP and mortality was found (fig. 3B). However, the relationship between SD-MAP and mortality was small compared with TWA-MAP (fig. 3C). The odds ratio (95% CI) of the 25th percentile

versus the median SD-MAP (reference) was 1.09 (1.03 to 1.16, $P = 0.006$), and for the 75th percentile was 1.05 (1.01 to 1.10, $P = 0.033$) (table 2).

MAP Thresholds. The confounder-adjusted relationship between minimum MAP sustained for 10 min or more and 30-day mortality was J-shaped with the low point at 75 mmHg (fig. 5A). Minimum MAPs greater than 75 mmHg were associated with only slightly greater mortality. In contrast, the estimated odds of dying by 30 days was 32% higher (95% CI, 25 to 39) for a 5-mmHg reduction in the minimum MAP value sustained for 10 min when that minimum was less than the median of 70 mmHg ($P < 0.001$; table 2). Corresponding odds ratios for a range of minimum MAP value sustained for 10 min compared with 70 mmHg are given in figure 5B. Finally, cumulative time of MAP less than 50, 55, 60, 70, or 80 mmHg was each associated with higher odds of 30-day mortality (all $P < 0.001$; table 2).

For the primary model of ARV-MAP and 30-day mortality and other models, diagnostics in the form of DFBE-TAs, Pearson residuals, and leverage statistics were good, and no issues were found (see details for primary model in appendix 2 and figs. 6 and 7).

Discussion

Mean arterial pressure variability, measured as the sum of consecutive jumps or drops across a surgery (generalized ARV-MAP), was independently associated with 30-day mortality, consistent with our hypothesis.⁸ TWA-MAP was also independently associated with 30-day mortality, consistent with that reported in previous literature.¹⁹ However, the relationship between mean MAP and mortality was much stronger than that for variability and mortality. Interestingly, the relationship between MAP variability and 30-day mortality did not depend on TWA of MAP in any substantial way, such that low variability was weakly associated with higher mortality regardless of the patient's mean MAP for the case. Likewise, TWA of MAP was independently associated with 30-day mortality irrespective of the level of variability.

Several studies have shown that low heart rate variability is a marker of autonomic dysfunction among patients with congestive heart failure²⁰ or recovering from a myocardial infarction,²¹ as well as among patients without clinical evidence of heart disease.²² Because physiological parameters such as blood pressure and heart rate are autonomic functions, it is possible that decreased variability in blood pressure may be associated with increased mortality and cardiovascular events due to autonomic dysfunction as seen with heart rate. We also saw that blood pressure variability was moderately correlated with TWA-MAP. It thus follows that patients with low TWA-MAP are more likely to have lower variability of MAP, and so the higher mortality for those patients with low variability may reflect the lower mean blood pressure.

Our work differs from previous literature in that we distinguish and isolate blood pressure variability from blood pressure *per se*. For example, Aronson *et al.*¹² found that mean

duration of systolic excursion (outside a range of 105–130 mmHg) was weakly associated with 30-day mortality and referred to this exposure as “variability.” However, this is not actually a measure of variability but rather of mean pressure. For example, a patient could spend much time with systolic pressure less than 105 mmHg and yet have low variability because all measurements were similar. In other studies, duration of intraoperative hypotension was not associated with mortality²³ or stroke^{24,25} under various definitions, but such durations measure extreme values and not specifically the measure-to-measure variability we were interested in. We thus focused on pure measures of variability, that is, those directly assessing changes in consecutive measurements over time, independent of the mean. We adjusted for the mean blood pressure in all models (*e.g.*, Hansen *et al.*⁸ adjusted for 24-h blood pressure in their “full” model) as well as a host of other baseline confounding variables, allowing an assessment of the independent contribution of variability *per se*.

Anesthesiologists consider mean, systolic, and diastolic pressures—and each provides valuable information. However, diastolic and especially systolic pressures are subject to considerable distortion depending on vasomotor status, measurement site, and general anesthesia.^{26,27} In contrast, MAP generally very nearly equals aortic pressure over a wide variety of clinical conditions and with both oscillometric and radial artery measurements. As might thus be expected, our results were essentially unchanged when analysis was restricted to radial arterial pressures.

There is no recognized standard for measuring blood pressure variability. We considered three measures of mean arterial pressure variability, and our conclusions did not differ




Fig. 5. Multivariable association between minimum 10-min sustained mean arterial pressure (MAP) and 30-day mortality. (A) Spline plot of multivariable probability of 30-day mortality as function of 10-min sustained MAP. (B) Spline plot of multivariable odds ratios (Y-axis) for relationship between minimum 10-min sustained MAP and 30-day mortality. The reference category for each odds ratio is the median value of the predictor (70 mmHg). There is no variability (and hence no CI) at the median, where odds ratio = 1.0. Curves derived from multivariable logistic regression smoothed by restricted cubic spline with 3 degrees of freedom using 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of minimum 10-min sustained MAP as knots.

markedly across the approaches. However, ARV seems preferable to the commonly used SD because it measures consecutive changes in blood pressure, whereas the SD ignores timing of the measurements.⁸ We enhance this approach by proposing a generalized version of ARV that remains valid when measurements are recorded at nonequidistant times—as is typical in clinical practice. We also considered a squared version of the ARV to give more weight to more steep jumps but found little difference compared with generalized ARV in the relationship with 30-day mortality. Our generalized ARV thus appears to provide a good estimate of intraoperative variability in blood pressure.

Walsh *et al.*¹⁹ identified 55 mmHg as a number below which the odds of acute kidney injury and myocardial infarction begin to noticeably increase, with the target of 55 mmHg based on the apparently univariable relationship between minimum MAP (single MAP value per patient) and outcome. Our results on MAP should not be directly compared with those of Walsh *et al.* for several reasons, but mainly because we focused on 30-day mortality, whereas they focused on acute kidney injury and myocardial infarction. We observed a U-shaped relationship between TWA-MAP and mortality, such that the odds of mortality decreased as TWA-MAP increased from 40 up to approximately 85 mmHg and then increased for TWA-MAP greater than 85 mmHg. We also found positive relationships between mortality and cumulative minutes of MAP less than 50, 55, 60, 70, and 80 mmHg, such that longer exposure was worse for each threshold. Finally, we found that decreasing the minimum value of MAP that was sustained for more than 10 min was associated with higher odds of mortality when that minimum was less than 70 mmHg, but no association when greater than 70 mmHg. Each of our analyses adjusted for MAP variability and a host of confounding variables and thus represents an estimate of the isolated contribution of MAP level.

In our multivariable modeling of the relationship between blood pressure variability and 30-day mortality, we adjusted for a host of baseline potentially confounding variables, including surgical procedure and a wide range of baseline comorbidities. Although we adjusted for numerous cardiovascular comorbidities, a limitation of our analysis is that we were not able to adjust for history of receiving cardiovascular drugs in the primary analysis due to current limitations of our database. However, adjusting for the reasons for being on the cardiovascular drugs (*i.e.*, the comorbidities) may remove much or most of the confounding due to those drugs on the relationship between blood pressure variability and 30-day mortality. In fact, we were able to verify that adding cardiovascular drugs in a subset of patients (58% of total) for which the information was available only minimally affected our results and did not change any conclusion (appendix 1).

Patients with higher MAP variability had higher levels of many baseline variables known to be risk factors for mortality. Our multivariable models thus included demographics, emergency surgery, medical history, use of an arterial catheter, procedure, ASA-PS, and more. It would be challenging

to randomly vary MAP, especially in the most interesting range (approximately <75 mmHg); and it probably would be even more challenging to control blood pressure variability. An analysis of observational data is thus the best practical approach to the questions we addressed in this study. It remains possible that results would differ in other populations or surgical environments. In particular, the observed relative effects might well be clinically important if observed in settings with higher overall 30-day mortality because the absolute differences in mortality across levels of blood pressure variability would be higher. But given the overall limited association between blood pressure variability and mortality, it seems unlikely that blood pressure variability provides much additional predictive information.

In conclusion, MAP and mean pressure variability were nonlinearly related to 30-day mortality in our noncardiac surgery population. After adjusting for TWA-MAP and other important covariables, low blood pressure variability was still associated with higher 30-day mortality, but the differences were not clinically important in our population. Anesthesiologists might thus pay more attention to overall trends in the mean blood pressure for a case than in the minute-to-minute variation.

Appendix 1.

Subset Analysis of Patients for Whom Information on History of Cardiovascular Medications Was Available

Table 3 shows that when we adjusted for history of taking specific cardiovascular medications on the patients in whom this information was available (58% of total), results are almost identical to our primary analysis on all patients—odds ratios are very similar and all conclusions are the same. We conclude that the primary analysis (table 2) did not include any noticeable bias due to data on cardiovascular medications not being available.

Table 3. Subset Analysis of Patients for Whom Information on History of Cardiovascular Medications Was Available (Multivariable Association between ARV-MAP* and 30-day Mortality; N = 60,616)

Factor	Units	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)†	P Value†
ARV-MAP (mmHg/min)†			<0.001
25th (ARV = 1.8)	−0.7	1.18 (1.06–1.31)	0.002
Median (ARV = 2.5)		1.0 (reference)	
75th (ARV = 3.4)	1.1	0.89 (0.83–0.95)	<0.001

Interaction between AVR-MAP and TWA-MAP: $P = 0.43$ (no evidence of interaction). Test for linear trend in mortality for increasing generalized ARV-MAP category (levels not shown): $P < 0.001$; odds ratio, 0.87 (0.81–0.95) for one category increase over quintiles of ARV-MAP.

* ARV-MAP is the generalized ARV of MAP (sum of absolute changes in MAP divided by total time). † Multivariable logistic regressions adjusting for all baseline factors in table 1 (including 55 CCS categories), surgery duration, and TWA-MAP.

ARV = average real variability; CCS = Clinical Classifications Software for Services and Procedures (part of Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project [HCUP]); MAP = mean arterial pressure; TWA = time-weighted average.

Appendix 2.

Model Diagnostics for Primary Analysis Instability Diagnostics on the Regression Coefficients for Average Real Variability of Mean Arterial Pressure and Time-weighted Average of mean Arterial Pressure in the Primary Analysis Model

For the primary analysis model assessing the association between generalized average real variability of mean arterial pressure (ARV-MAP) (see equation 2 in Materials and Methods), we report on observations that might cause instability in the parameter estimates using DFBETAs. The

DFBETA diagnostic for an observation is the standardized difference in the parameter estimate due to deleting the observation and can be used to assess the effect of an individual observation on an estimated parameter of the fitted model. For small to medium datasets, values greater than 1 may be considered large. For larger datasets, a conservative calculation indicating large values of DFBETA is an absolute value $> 2/\sqrt{n}$ or 0.006 in our data.

Figure 6, A and B, reports DFBETA values for the linear and nonlinear spline terms for generalized ARV-MAP, respectively, whereas figure 6, C and D, reports the same statistics for time-weighted average mean arterial pressure. Figure 6, A–D, had 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, and 1.7% beyond the




Fig. 6. Instability diagnostics on the regression coefficients for (A and B) generalized average real variability (ARV) of mean arterial pressure (MAP) and (C and D) time-weighted average (TWA) of MAP in the primary analysis model as measured by DFBETA. Results indicate that the model fit the data well because there is very little evidence of individual observations affecting parameter estimates for either ARV-MAP or TWA-MAP. Less than 2% of observations in each panel of the figure are beyond the recommended cutoff of DFBETA > 0.006 .




Fig. 7. (A–D) Scatterplot of Pearson residuals by leverage: outlier and extreme data point diagnostics for primary analysis model of generalized average real variability (ARV) of mean arterial pressure (MAP) and 30-day mortality. Only a very small percentage of data points (0.3%) were deemed to be both outliers (extreme in Y space, *i.e.*, 30-day mortality) and with high leverage (extreme in the X space, *i.e.*, generalized ARV of MAP), indicating very good model fit for the primary analysis.

recommended cutoff point (*i.e.*, $DFBETA > 0.006$), indicating that the model fit the data well with relatively very few values that might cause instability in the respective regression parameters.

Outlier and Extreme Data Point Diagnostics for Primary Analysis Model of ARV-MAP and 30-day Mortality

Pearson Residuals

An outlier data value is traditionally defined as a response variable Y (here, 30-day mortality), for which the standardized Pearson residual is greater than 2 in absolute value, shown in the figure with the horizontal line.

Leverage

A conservative definition for an extreme value for an independent variable X (here, the parameter corresponding to the linear portion of the ARV-MAP spline function) for a large dataset is when the leverage calculation is greater than $(2k + 2)/n$, where k is the number of parameters in the model ($k = 96$). In our dataset, a leverage value greater than 0.0018 suggests an extreme value, as shown in the figure by the vertical line. However, experts report that leverage values are not very reliable when the predicted probability is less than 0.10 as is the case for most of our data (Hosmer and Lemeshow²⁷).

As displayed in figure 7, only approximately 1% of observations had a Pearson residual outside of ± 2 ,

indicating good model fit. Only 0.3% of data points were both outliers and with high leverage, as shown in the figure 7B and representing only 0.5% of observations. Figure 7C (neither abnormal residual nor high leverage) contains 90.2% of all observations. Figure 7A (high Pearson residual but normal leverage) had 0.3% and figure 7D (normal Pearson residual but abnormal leverage) had 8.8% of the data points, respectively.

Acknowledgments

Support was provided solely from institutional and/or departmental sources.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Correspondence

Address correspondence to Dr. Mascha: Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, P77, Cleveland, Ohio 44195. maschae@ccf.org. Information on purchasing reprints may be found at www.anesthesiology.org or on the masthead page at the beginning of this issue. ANESTHESIOLOGY's articles are made freely accessible to all readers, for personal use only, 6 months from the cover date of the issue.

References

1. Parati G, Pomidossi G, Albini F, Malaspina D, Mancia G: Relationship of 24-hour blood pressure mean and variability to severity of target-organ damage in hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1987; 5:93–8
2. Sega R, Corrao G, Bombelli M, Beltrame L, Facchetti R, Grassi G, Ferrario M, Mancia G: Blood pressure variability and organ damage in a general population: Results from the PAMELA study (Pressioni Arteriose Monitorate E Loro Associazioni). *Hypertension* 2002; 39(2 Pt 2):710–4
3. Reich DL, Bennett-Guerrero E, Bodian CA, Hossain S, Winfree W, Krol M: Intraoperative tachycardia and hypertension are independently associated with adverse outcome in noncardiac surgery of long duration. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 95:273–7
4. Monk TG, Saini V, Weldon BC, Sigl JC: Anesthetic management and one-year mortality after noncardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2005; 100:4–10
5. Frattola A, Parati G, Cuspidi C, Albini F, Mancia G: Prognostic value of 24-hour blood pressure variability. *J Hypertens* 1993; 11:1133–7
6. Sander D, Kukla C, Klingelhöfer J, Winbeck K, Conrad B: Relationship between circadian blood pressure patterns and progression of early carotid atherosclerosis: A 3-year follow-up study. *Circulation* 2000; 102:1536–41
7. Parati G, Faini A, Valentini M: Blood pressure variability: Its measurement and significance in hypertension. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2006; 8:199–204
8. Hansen TW, Thijs L, Li Y, Boggia J, Kikuya M, Björklund-Bodegård K, Richart T, Ohkubo T, Jeppesen J, Torp-Pedersen C, Dolan E, Kuznetsova T, Stolarz-Skrzypek K, Tikhonoff V, Maljutina S, Casiglia E, Nikitin Y, Lind L, Sandoya E, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Imai Y, Wang J, Ibsen H, O'Brien E, Staessen JA; International Database on Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Relation to Cardiovascular Outcomes Investigators: Prognostic value of reading-to-reading blood pressure variability over 24 hours in 8938 subjects from 11 populations. *Hypertension* 2010; 55:1049–57

9. Meredith PA, Perloff D, Mancía G, Pickering T: Blood pressure variability and its implications for antihypertensive therapy. *Blood Press* 1995; 4:5–11
10. Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG, Dahlöf B, Elmfeldt D, Julius S, Ménard J, Rahn KH, Wedel H, Westerling S: Effects of intensive blood-pressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: Principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomised trial. HOT Study Group. *Lancet* 1998; 351:1755–62
11. MacMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J, Collins R, Sorlie P, Neaton J, Abbott R, Godwin J, Dyer A, Stamler J: Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 1, Prolonged differences in blood pressure: Prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. *Lancet* 1990; 335:765–74
12. Aronson S, Stafford-Smith M, Phillips-Bute B, Shaw A, Gaca J, Newman M; Cardiothoracic Anesthesiology Research Endeavors: Intraoperative systolic blood pressure variability predicts 30-day mortality in aortocoronary bypass surgery patients. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2010; 113:305–12
13. Aronson S, Dyke CM, Levy JH, Cheung AT, Lumb PD, Avery EG, Hu MY, Newman MF: Does perioperative systolic blood pressure variability predict mortality after cardiac surgery? An exploratory analysis of the ECLIPSE trials. *Anesth Analg* 2011; 113:19–30
14. Pringle E, Phillips C, Thijs L, Davidson C, Staessen JA, de Leeuw PW, Jaaskivi M, Nachev C, Parati G, O'Brien ET, Tuomilehto J, Webster J, Bulpitt CJ, Fagard RH; Syst-Eur Investigators: Systolic blood pressure variability as a risk factor for stroke and cardiovascular mortality in the elderly hypertensive population. *J Hypertens* 2003; 21:2251–7
15. Mena L, Pintos S, Queipo NV, Aizpúrua JA, Maestre G, Sulbarán T: A reliable index for the prognostic significance of blood pressure variability. *J Hypertens* 2005; 23:505–11
16. Octavio JA, Contreras J, Amair P, Octavio B, Fabiano D, Moleiro F, Omboni S, Gropelli A, Bilo G, Mancía G, Parati G: Time-weighted *vs.* conventional quantification of 24-h average systolic and diastolic ambulatory blood pressures. *J Hypertens* 2010; 28:459–64
17. HCUP Chronic Condition Indicator. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). March 2015. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. <http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolsoftware/chronic/chronic.jsp>. Accessed January 26, 2015
18. Harrell FE Jr, Lee KL, Califf RM, Pryor DB, Rosati RA: Regression modelling strategies for improved prognostic prediction. *Stat Med* 1984; 3:143–52
19. Walsh M, Devereaux PJ, Garg AX, Kurz A, Turan A, Rodseth RN, Cywinski J, Thabane L, Sessler DI: Relationship between intraoperative mean arterial pressure and clinical outcomes after noncardiac surgery: Toward an empirical definition of hypotension. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2013; 119:507–15
20. Nolan J, Batin PD, Andrews R, Lindsay SJ, Brooksby P, Mullen M, Baig W, Flapan AD, Cowley A, Prescott RJ, Neilson JM, Fox KA: Prospective study of heart rate variability and mortality in chronic heart failure: Results of the United Kingdom heart failure evaluation and assessment of risk trial (UK-heart). *Circulation* 1998; 98:1510–6
21. Kleiger RE, Miller JP, Bigger JT Jr, Moss AJ: Decreased heart rate variability and its association with increased mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1987; 59:256–62
22. Tsuji H, Larson MG, Venditti FJ Jr, Manders ES, Evans JC, Feldman CL, Levy D: Impact of reduced heart rate variability on risk for cardiac events. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 1996; 94:2850–5
23. Bijker JB, van Klei WA, Vergouwe Y, Eleveld DJ, van Wolfswinkel L, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ: Intraoperative hypotension and 1-year mortality after noncardiac surgery. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2009; 111:1217–26
24. Bijker JB, Persoon S, Peelen LM, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ, Kappelle LJ, van Klei WA: Intraoperative hypotension and perioperative ischemic stroke after general surgery: A nested case-control study. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2012; 116:658–64
25. Bijker JB, Gelb AW: Review article: The role of hypotension in perioperative stroke. *Can J Anaesth* 2013; 60:159–67
26. Hynson JM, Sessler DI, Moayeri A, McGuire J, Schroeder M: The effects of preinduction warming on temperature and blood pressure during propofol/nitrous oxide anesthesia. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1993; 79:219–28, discussion 21A–22A
27. Hynson JM, Sessler DI, Moayeri A, Katz JA: Thermoregulatory and anesthetic-induced alterations in the differences among femoral, radial, and oscillometric blood pressures. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1994; 81:1411–21