Awake videolaryngoscope – guided intubation – well worth adding to your skill-mix

Until now, awake fibreoptic intubation (FOI) was the most widely recommended technique for the management of the anticipated difficult airway. However, awake FOI is becoming more and more obsolete and used only by a few airway enthusiasts [1]. Recent evaluations of the awake videolaryngoscope guided intubation (VLI) [2-16] strongly suggest that this technique is not only a suitable alternative to awake FOI but should now be the 1st choice technique for managing anticipated difficult airway.

Many anaesthetists avoid awake FOI as it is a challenging technique to learn and perhaps more importantly, once learned, this skill is difficult to maintain [17, 18]. The Fourth National Audit Project [19] cited 18 cases where the reviewers thought an awake method of securing the airway was appropriate, but the anaesthetist avoided it and chose a more familiar but riskier general anaesthesia with serious consequences. Furthermore, of the 15 awake fibreoptic intubation cases cited in the report, 60% failed suggesting a lack of skill with the procedure even amongst experienced clinicians [19]. In addition, the ASA closed claims database [20] revealed a number of complications, including brain damage and death, when awake fibreoptic intubation was chosen to manage the airway, further suggesting difficulty in maintaining this skill.

Awake videolaryngoscope guided intubation, on the other hand, may be the solution to most of the problems associated with awake FOI technique. Videolaryngoscopes have become freely available allowing the use in a greater number and wider variety of patients gaining the advantage of familiarity and experience. This is especially relevant when managing difficult airways out of hours and in an emergency.

Videolaryngoscopy appears to be easier to learn. In order to reach competence with fibreoptic scope-guided intubations (> 90% success rate within three minutes), the anaesthetist needs some 25 intubations [21]. To reach the same level of competence with videolaryngoscope guided intubations, the anaesthetist needs between one and six intubations [22-24]. There is a suggestion that to become an expert user of a videolaryngoscope requires 76 attempts and sustained deliberate practice [25]. This is typically acquired over a period of 10 years [26]. It can be argued that the expertise in awake intubation would be easier achieved with videolaryngoscope (freely available and widely used) than with fibreoptic scope (used infrequently in comparison).

There are also number of advantages of awake videolaryngoscope guided technique inherent with the device design and intubation technique [27]. First, videolaryngoscopes create space within the airway allowing for effective suctioning of secretions and blood from the airway under direct vision. Second, the created space within the airway aids administration of atomized local anaesthetic to the glottis and trachea under direct view from the videolaryngoscope. Third, there is no blind tube railroading associated with fibrescope guided intubations. When using a videolaryngoscope, the tube placement is observed throughout the intubation process, reducing the potential for airway trauma. Fourth, there is no “diminution of view” [27] associated with fiberscope advance towards the glottis. Videolaryngoscopes provide a fixed wide view of the glottis that aids recognition of the airway landmarks, particularly relevant in patients with distorted airway.
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anatomy. Listed advantages of this technique over awake FOI are likely to make this technique more user friendly and easier to learn. Although, there is a suggestion that a videolaryngoscope with the tube guide may offer an advantage over videolaryngoscopes with an anatomically shaped blade [28], further research is required in finding the most appropriate type of videolaryngoscope for awake intubation.

Perhaps most relevantly, awake VLI is an effective awake intubation technique for managing an anticipated difficult airway. There has been a number of case reports [2-7], case series [8-11] and five comparative studies looking at the awake videolaryngoscopy [12-16]. The use of a videolaryngoscope to facilitate an awake oral or nasal intubation has been shown to be of benefit in patients with a predicted difficult airway [2-16], in the obese population [9], and in patients with peri-glottic tumours [7, 11].

Five studies have directly compared videolaryngoscopes and fibrescopes for awake intubation [12-16]. Two found the two techniques to be comparable in time to securing the airway and operator experience [13, 14]. Three other studies [12, 15, 16] found that the intubation time was significantly shorter with the videolaryngoscope than the fibrescope, which is also our experience. Recently published comparison of the awake flexible fibrescope and the Pentax Airway Scope for awake oral intubation [12] found that the overall time (the sum of sedation time, topical anaesthesia time and intubation time) was significantly shorter with the Airway Scope videolaryngoscope.

September’s issue of Anaesthesia has published a systematic review and meta analyses of all the studies comparing the awake videolaryngoscope guided intubation with the awake flexible scope guided intubation [28] and concluded that awake videolaryngoscopy is significantly faster. This review found no difference in the success rate, complication rate or patient satisfaction.

What about patients’ comfort? Many anaesthetists cite this as a potential disadvantage of awake videolaryngoscopy. All five of the comparative studies [12-16], however, reported no difference in patients’ satisfaction between the awake videolaryngoscope and awake fibrescope guided intubation. There is uncertainty about the level of sedation required to make awake intubation acceptable to patients. The safety of the awake video laryngoscope guided intubation and the level of patients’ acceptance are likely to be more influenced by the adequacy of topical anaesthesia than the levels of sedation.

The difficult airway is a sign for a wide range of conditions. No single airway device will satisfy all clinical situations or operator preferences. Awake fibreoptic intubation still has a role but should not be considered the 1st choice technique any more. Awake videolaryngoscopy is a skill that is simple to learn and easy to maintain and is a more effective technique for managing an anticipated difficult airway than awake FOI. In addition, videolaryngoscopes have a number of design features making them potentially safer devices for managing an anticipated difficult airway. The time has come for awake videolaryngoscopy to be considered not only a suitable alternative to awake FOI, but should now be the 1st choice technique for managing the anticipated difficult airway.
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